# Roark's Formulas for Stress and Strain 

## WARREN C. YOUNG RICHARD G. BUDYNAS

Seventh Edition

## McGraw-Hill

Cataloging-in-Publication Data is on file with the Library of Congress.

## McGraw-Hill

## A Division of The McGraw.Hill Companies

Copyright © 2002, 1989 by the McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a data base or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

123456789 DOC/DOC 07654321
ISBN 0-07-072542-X

The sponsoring editor for this book was Larry Hager and the production supervisor was Pamela A. Pelton. It was set in Century Schoolbook by Techset Composition Limited.

Printed and bound by R. R. Donnelley \& Sons Company.

McGraw-Hill books are available at special quantity discounts to use as premiums and sales promotions, or for use in corporate training programs. For more information, please write to the Director of Special Sales, Professional Publishing, McGraw-Hill, Two Penn Plaza, New York, NY 10121-2298. Or contact your local bookstore.


This book is printed on recycled, acid-free paper containing a minimum of $50 \%$ recycled, de-inked fiber.

Information contained in this work has been obtained by The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. ("McGraw-Hill") from sources believed to be reliable. However, neither McGraw-Hill nor its authors guarantee the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein and neither McGraw-Hill nor its authors shall be responsible for any errors, omissions, or damages arising out of use of this information. This work is published with the understanding that McGrawHill and its authors are supplying information but are not attempting to render engineering or other professional services. If such services are required, the assistance of an appropriate professional should be sought.

## About the Authors

Warren C. Young is Professor Emeritus in the Department of Mechanical Engineering at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, where he was on the faculty for over 40 years. Dr. Young has also taught as a visiting professor at Bengal Engineering College in Calcutta, India, and served as chief of the Energy, Manpower, and Training Project sponsored by US Air in Bandung, Indonesia.

Richard G. Budynas is Professor of Mechanical Engineering at Rochester Institute of Technology. He is author of a newly revised McGraw-Hill textbook, Applied Strength and Applied Stress Analysis, 2nd Edition.

## List of Tables

1.1 Units Appropriate to Structural Analysis ..... 4
1.2 Common Prefixes ..... 5
1.3 Multiplication Factors to Convert from USCU Units to SI Units ..... 5
2.1 Material Properties ..... 33
2.2 Transformation Matrices for Positive Rotations about an Axis ..... 33
2.3 Transformation Equations ..... 34
5.1 Sample Finite Element Library ..... 76
6.1 Strain Gage Rosette Equations Applied to a Specimen of a Linear, Isotropic Material ..... 102
6.2 Corrections for the Transverse Sensitivity of Electrical Resistance Strain Gages ..... 104
8.1 Shear, Moment, Slope, and Deflection Formulas for Elastic Straight Beams ..... 189
8.2 Reaction and Deflection Formulas for In-Plane Loading of Elastic Frames ..... 202
8.3 Numerical Values for Functions Used in Table 8.2 ..... 211
8.4 Numerical Values for Denominators Used in Table 8.2 ..... 212
8.5 Shear, Moment, Slope, and Deflection Formulas for Finite-Length Beams on Elastic Foundations ..... 213
8.6 Shear, Moment, Slope, and Deflection Formulas for Semi-Infinite Beams on Elastic Foundations ..... 221
8.7a Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Beams under Simultaneous Axial and Transverse Loading: Cantilever End Support ..... 225
8.7b Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Beams under Simultaneous Axial and Transverse Loading: Simply Supported Ends ..... 226
8.7c Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Beams under Simultaneous Axial and Transverse Loading: Left End Simply Supported, Right End Fixed ..... 227
8.7d Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Beams under Simultaneous Axial and Transverse Loading: Fixed Ends ..... 228
8.8 Shear, Moment, Slope, and Deflection Formulas for Beams under Simultaneous Axial Compression and Transverse Loading ..... 229
8.9 Shear, Moment, Slope, and Deflection Formulas for Beams under Simultaneous Axial Tension and Transverse Loading ..... 242
8.10 Beams Restrained against Horizontal Displacement at the Ends ..... 245
8.11a Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Tapered Beams; Moments of Inertia Vary as $(1+K x / I)^{n}$, where $n=1.0$ ..... 246
8.11b Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Tapered Beams; Moments of Inertia Vary as $(1+K x / I)^{n}$, where $n=2.0$ ..... 249
8.11c Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Tapered Beams; Moments of Inertia Vary as $(1+K x / I)^{n}$, where $n=3.0$ ..... 252
8.11d Reaction and Deflection Coefficients for Tapered Beams; Moments of Inertia Vary as $(1+K x / I)^{n}$, where $n=4.0$ ..... 255
8.12 Position of Flexural Center Q for Different Sections ..... 258
8.13 Collapse Loads with Plastic Hinge Locations for Straight Beams ..... 260
9.1 Formulas for Curved Beams Subjected to Bending in the Plane of the Curve ..... 304
9.2 Formulas for Circular Rings ..... 313
9.3 Reaction and Deformation Formulas for Circular Arches ..... 333
9.4 Formulas for Curved Beams of Compact Cross-Section Loaded Normal to the Plane of Curvature ..... 350
10.1 Formulas for Torsional Deformation and Stress ..... 401
10.2 Formulas for Torsional Properties and Stresses in Thin-Walled Open Cross-Sections ..... 413
10.3 Formulas for the Elastic Deformations of Uniform Thin-Walled Open Members under Torsional Loading ..... 417
11.1 Numerical Values for Functions Used in Table 11.2 ..... 455
11.2 Formulas for Flat Circular Plates of Constant Thickness ..... 457
11.3 Shear Deflections for Flat Circular Plates of Constant Thickness ..... 500
11.4 Formulas for Flat Plates with Straight Boundaries and Constant Thickness ..... 502
12.1 Formulas for Short Prisms Loaded Eccentrically; Stress Reversal Impossible ..... 548
13.1 Formulas for Membrane Stresses and Deformations in Thin-Walled Pressure Vessels ..... 592
13.2 Shear, Moment, Slope, and Deflection Formulas for Long and Short Thin-Walled Cylindrical Shells under Axisymmetric Loading ..... 601
13.3 Formulas for Bending and Membrane Stresses and Deformations in Thin- Walled Pressure Vessels ..... 608
13.4 Formulas for Discontinuity Stresses and Deformations at the Junctions of Shells and Plates ..... 638
13.5 Formulas for Thick-Walled Vessels Under Internal and External Loading ..... 683
14.1 Formulas for Stress and Strain Due to Pressure on or between Elastic Bodies ..... 702
15.1 Formulas for Elastic Stability of Bars, Rings, and Beams ..... 718
15.2 Formulas for Elastic Stability of Plates and Shells ..... 730
16.1 Natural Frequencies of Vibration for Continuous Members ..... 765
17.1 Stress Concentration Factors for Elastic Stress ( $K_{t}$ ) ..... 781
A. 1 Properties of Sections ..... 802
C. 1 Composite Material Systems ..... 830

## Preface to the Seventh Edition

The tabular format used in the fifth and sixth editions is continued in this edition. This format has been particularly successful when implementing problem solutions on a programmable calculator, or especially, a personal computer. In addition, though not required in utilizing this book, user-friendly computer software designed to employ the format of the tabulations contained herein are available.

The seventh edition intermixes International System of Units (SI) and United States Customary Units (USCU) in presenting example problems. Tabulated coefficients are in dimensionless form for convenience in using either system of units. Design formulas drawn from works published in the past remain in the system of units originally published or quoted.

Much of the changes of the seventh edition are organizational, such as:

- Numbering of equations, figures and tables is linked to the particular chapter where they appear. In the case of equations, the section number is also indicated, making it convenient to locate the equation, since section numbers are indicated at the top of each odd-numbered page.
- In prior editions, tables were interspersed within the text of each chapter. This made it difficult to locate a particular table and disturbed the flow of the text presentation. In this edition, all numbered tables are listed at the end of each chapter before the references.

Other changes/additions included in the seventh addition are as follows:

- Part 1 is an introduction, where Chapter 1 provides terminology such as state properties, units and conversions, and a description of the contents of the remaining chapters and appendices. The defini-
tions incorporated in Part 1 of the previous editions are retained in the seventh edition, and are found in Appendix B as a glossary.
- Properties of plane areas are located in Appendix A.
- Composite material coverage is expanded, where an introductory discussion is provided in Appendix C, which presents the nomenclature associated with composite materials and how available computer software can be employed in conjunction with the tables contained within this book.
- Stress concentrations are presented in Chapter 17.
- Part 2, Chapter 2, is completely revised, providing a more comprehensive and modern presentation of stress and strain transformations.
- Experimental Methods. Chapter 6, is expanded, presenting more coverage on electrical strain gages and providing tables of equations for commonly used strain gage rosettes.
- Correction terms for multielement shells of revolution were presented in the sixth edition. Additional information is provided in Chapter 13 of this edition to assist users in the application of these corrections.

The authors wish to acknowledge and convey their appreciation to those individuals, publishers, institutions, and corporations who have generously given permission to use material in this and previous editions. Special recognition goes to Barry J. Berenberg and Universal Technical Systems, Inc. who provided the presentation on composite materials in Appendix C, and Dr. Marietta Scanlon for her review of this work.

Finally, the authors would especially like to thank the many dedicated readers and users of Roark's Formulas for Stress \& Strain. It is an honor and quite gratifying to correspond with the many individuals who call attention to errors and/or convey useful and practical suggestions to incorporate in future editions.

Warren C. Young
Richard G. Budynas

## Preface to the First Edition

This book was written for the purpose of making available a compact, adequate summary of the formulas, facts, and principles pertaining to strength of materials. It is intended primarily as a reference book and represents an attempt to meet what is believed to be a present need of the designing engineer.

This need results from the necessity for more accurate methods of stress analysis imposed by the trend of engineering practice. That trend is toward greater speed and complexity of machinery, greater size and diversity of structures, and greater economy and refinement of design. In consequence of such developments, familiar problems, for which approximate solutions were formerly considered adequate, are now frequently found to require more precise treatment, and many less familiar problems, once of academic interest only, have become of great practical importance. The solutions and data desired are often to be found only in advanced treatises or scattered through an extensive literature, and the results are not always presented in such form as to be suited to the requirements of the engineer. To bring together as much of this material as is likely to prove generally useful and to present it in convenient form has been the author's aim.

The scope and management of the book are indicated by the Contents. In Part 1 are defined all terms whose exact meaning might otherwise not be clear. In Part 2 certain useful general principles are stated; analytical and experimental methods of stress analysis are briefly described, and information concerning the behavior of material under stress is given. In Part 3 the behavior of structural elements under various conditions of loading is discussed, and extensive tables of formulas for the calculation of stress, strain, and strength are given.

Because they are not believed to serve the purpose of this book, derivations of formulas and detailed explanations, such as are appropriate in a textbook, are omitted, but a sufficient number of examples
are included to illustrate the application of the various formulas and methods. Numerous references to more detailed discussions are given, but for the most part these are limited to sources that are generally available and no attempt has been made to compile an exhaustive bibliography.

That such a book as this derives almost wholly from the work of others is self-evident, and it is the author's hope that due acknowledgment has been made of the immediate sources of all material here presented. To the publishers and others who have generously permitted the use of material, he wishes to express his thanks. The helpful criticisms and suggestions of his colleagues, Professors E. R. Maurer, M. O. Withey, J. B. Kommers, and K. F. Wendt, are gratefully acknowledged. A considerable number of the tables of formulas have been published from time to time in Product Engineering, and the opportunity thus afforded for criticism and study of arrangement has been of great advantage.

Finally, it should be said that, although every care has been taken to avoid errors, it would be oversanguine to hope that none had escaped detection; for any suggestions that readers may make concerning needed corrections the author will be grateful.

## Contents

List of Tables vii
Preface to the Seventh Edition ..... ix
Preface to the First Edition ..... xi
Part 1 Introduction
Chapter 1 Introduction ..... 3
Terminology. State Properties, Units, and Conversions. Contents.
Part 2 Facts; Principles; Methods
Chapter 2 Stress and Strain: Important Relationships ..... 9Stress. Strain and the Stress-Strain Relations. Stress Transformations.Strain Transformations. Tables. References.
Chapter 3 The Behavior of Bodies under Stress ..... 35
Methods of Loading. Elasticity; Proportionality of Stress and Strain.Factors Affecting Elastic Properties. Load-Deformation Relation for a Body.Plasticity. Creep and Rupture under Long-Time Loading. Criteria of ElasticFailure and of Rupture. Fatigue. Brittle Fracture. Stress Concentration.Effect of Form and Scale on Strength; Rupture Factor. Prestressing. ElasticStability. References.
Chapter 4 Principles and Analytical Methods ..... 63Equations of Motion and of Equilibrium. Principle of Superposition.Principle of Reciprocal Deflections. Method of Consistent Deformations(Strain Compatibility). Principles and Methods Involving Strain Energy.Dimensional Analysis. Remarks on the Use of Formulas. References.
Chapter 5 Numerical Methods ..... 73The Finite-Difference Method. The Finite-Element Method. The Boundary-Element Method. References.
Chapter 6 Experimental Methods ..... 81Measurement Techniques. Electrical Resistance Strain Gages. Detection ofPlastic Yielding. Analogies. Tables. References.
Part 3 Formulas and Examples
Chapter 7 Tension, Compression, Shear, and Combined Stress ..... 109Bar under Axial Tension (or Compression); Common Case. Bar under AxialTension (or Compression); Special Cases. Composite Members. Trusses.Body under Pure Shear Stress. Cases of Direct Shear Loading. CombinedStress.
Chapter 8 Beams; Flexure of Straight Bars ..... 125Straight Beams (Common Case) Elastically Stressed. Composite Beams andBimetallic Strips. Three-Moment Equation. Rigid Frames. Beams onElastic Foundations. Deformation due to the Elasticity of Fixed Supports.Beams under Simultaneous Axial and Transverse Loading. Beams ofVariable Section. Slotted Beams. Beams of Relatively Great Depth. Beams ofRelatively Great Width. Beams with Wide Flanges; Shear Lag. Beams withVery Thin Webs. Beams Not Loaded in Plane of Symmetry. Flexural Center.Straight Uniform Beams (Common Case). Ultimate Strength. Plastic, orUltimate Strength. Design. Tables. References.
Chapter 9 Bending of Curved Beams ..... 267Bending in the Plane of the Curve. Deflection of Curved Beams. CircularRings and Arches. Elliptical Rings. Curved Beams Loaded Normal to Planeof Curvature. Tables. References.
Chapter 10 Torsion ..... 381Straight Bars of Uniform Circular Section under Pure Torsion. Bars ofNoncircular Uniform Section under Pure Torsion. Effect of End Constraint.Effect of Longitudinal Stresses. Ultimate Strength of Bars in Torsion.Torsion of Curved Bars. Helical Springs. Tables. References.
Chapter 11 Flat Plates ..... 427
Common Case. Bending of Uniform-Thickness Plates with Circular Boundaries. Circular-Plate Deflection due to Shear. Bimetallic Plates. Nonuniform Loading of Circular Plates. Circular Plates on Elastic Foundations. Circular Plates of Variable Thickness. Disk Springs. Narrow Ring under Distributed Torque about Its Axis. Bending of Uniform- Thickness Plates with Straight Boundaries. Effect of Large Deflection. Diaphragm Stresses. Plastic Analysis of Plates. Ultimate Strength. Tables. References.
Chapter 12 Columns and Other Compression Members ..... 525
Columns. Common Case. Local Buckling. Strength of Latticed Columns.Eccentric Loading: Initial Curvature. Columns under CombinedCompression and Bending. Thin Plates with Stiffeners. Short Prisms underEccentric Loading. Table. References.
Chapter 13 Shells of Revolution; Pressure Vessels; Pipes ..... 553
Circumstances and General State of Stress. Thin Shells of Revolution under Distributed Loadings Producing Membrane Stresses Only. Thin Shells of Revolution under Concentrated or Discontinuous Loadings Producing Bending and Membrane Stresses. Thin Multielement Shells of Revolution. Thin Shells of Revolution under External Pressure. Thick Shells of Revolution. Tables. References.
Chapter 14 Bodies in Contact Undergoing Direct Bearing and Shear Stress ..... 689Stress due to Pressure between Elastic Bodies. Rivets and Riveted Joints.Miscellaneous Cases. Tables. References.
Chapter 15 Elastic Stability ..... 709General Considerations. Buckling of Bars. Buckling of Flat and CurvedPlates. Buckling of Shells. Tables. References.
Chapter 16 Dynamic and Temperature Stresses ..... 743Dynamic Loading. General Conditions. Body in a Known State of Motion.Impact and Sudden Loading. Approximate Formulas. Remarks on Stressdue to Impact. Temperature Stresses. Table. References.
Chapter 17 Stress Concentration Factors ..... 771Static Stress and Strain Concentration Factors. Stress ConcentrationReduction Methods. Table. References.
Appendix A Properties of a Plane Area ..... 799
Table.
Appendix B Glossary: Definitions ..... 813
Appendix C Composite Materials ..... 827
Composite Materials. Laminated Composite Materials. Laminated Composite Structures.
Index ..... 841

## Part <br> 1

## Introduction

## Chapter 1

## Introduction

The widespread use of personal computers, which have the power to solve problems solvable in the past only on mainframe computers, has influenced the tabulated format of this book. Computer programs for structural analysis, employing techniques such as the finite element method, are also available for general use. These programs are very powerful; however, in many cases, elements of structural systems can be analyzed quite effectively independently without the need for an elaborate finite element model. In some instances, finite element models or programs are verified by comparing their solutions with the results given in a book such as this. Contained within this book are simple, accurate, and thorough tabulated formulations that can be applied to the stress analysis of a comprehensive range of structural components.

This chapter serves to introduce the reader to the terminology, state property units and conversions, and contents of the book.

### 1.1 Terminology

Definitions of terms used throughout the book can be found in the glossary in Appendix B.

### 1.2 State Properties, Units, and Conversions

The basic state properties associated with stress analysis include the following: geometrical properties such as length, area, volume, centroid, center of gravity, and second-area moment (area moment of inertia); material properties such as mass density, modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio, and thermal expansion coefficient; loading properties such as force, moment, and force distributions (e.g., force per unit length, force per unit area, and force per unit volume); other proper-

TABLE 1.1 Units appropriate to structural analysis

| Property | SI unit, symbol (derived units) | USCU unit, ${ }^{\dagger}$ symbol (derived units) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length | meter, m | inch, in |
| Area | square meter ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | square inch ( $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ ) |
| Volume | cubic meter ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | cubic inch (in ${ }^{3}$ ) |
| Second-area moment | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{4}\right)$ | (in ${ }^{4}$ ) |
| Mass | kilogram, kg | (lbf-s ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{in}$ ) |
| Force | Newton, $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{kg}-\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right.$ ) | pound, lbf |
| Stress, pressure | Pascal, $\mathrm{Pa}\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | psi (lbf/in ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| Work, energy | Joule, J (N-m) | (lbf-in) |
| Temperature | Kelvin, K | degrees Fahrenheit, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |

${ }^{\dagger}$ In stress analysis, the unit of length used most often is the inch.
ties associated with loading, including energy, work, and power; and stress analysis properties such as deformation, strain, and stress.

Two basic systems of units are employed in the field of stress analysis: SI units and USCU units. ${ }^{\dagger}$ SI units are mass-based units using the kilogram (kg), meter (m), second (s), and Kelvin (K) or degree Celsius ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as the fundamental units of mass, length, time, and temperature, respectively. Other SI units, such as that used for force, the Newton (kg-m/s ${ }^{2}$ ), are derived quantities. USCU units are force-based units using the pound force (lbf), inch (in) or foot (ft), second (s), and degree Fahrenheit ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) as the fundamental units of force, length, time, and temperature, respectively. Other USCU units, such as that used for mass, the slug (lbf-s ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$ ) or the nameless lbf$\mathrm{s}^{2} /$ in, are derived quantities. Table 1.1 gives a listing of the primary SI and USCU units used for structural analysis. Certain prefixes may be appropriate, depending on the size of the quantity. Common prefixes are given in Table 1.2. For example, the modulus of elasticity of carbon steel is approximately $207 \mathrm{GPa}=207 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~Pa}=207 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Prefixes are normally used with SI units. However, there are cases where prefixes are also used with USCU units. Some examples are the kpsi ( $1 \mathrm{kpsi}=10^{3} \mathrm{psi}=10^{3} \mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ ), kip ( $1 \mathrm{kip}=1$ kilopound $=1000 \mathrm{lbf}$ ), and Mpsi ( $1 \mathrm{Mpsi}=10^{6} \mathrm{psi}$ ).

Depending on the application, different units may be specified. It is important that the analyst be aware of all the implications of the units and make consistent use of them. For example, if you are building a model from a CAD file in which the design dimensional units are given in mm , it is unnecessary to change the system of units or to scale the model to units of m . However, if in this example the input forces are in

[^0]
## TABLE 1.2 Common prefixes

| Prefix, symbol | Multiplication factor |
| :--- | :---: |
| Giga, G | $10^{9}$ |
| Mega, M | $10^{6}$ |
| Kilo, k | $10^{3}$ |
| Milli, m | $10^{-3}$ |
| Micro, $\mu$ | $10^{-6}$ |
| Nano, n | $10^{-9}$ |

Newtons, then the output stresses will be in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, which is correctly expressed as MPa. If in this example applied moments are to be specified, the units should be $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{mm}$. For deflections in this example, the modulus of elasticity $E$ should also be specified in MPa and the output deflections will be in mm .

Table 1.3 presents the conversions from USCU units to SI units for some common state property units. For example, $10 \mathrm{kpsi}=$ $\left(6.895 \times 10^{3}\right) \cdot\left(10 \times 10^{3}\right)=68.95 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~Pa}=68.95 \mathrm{MPa}$. Obviously, the multiplication factors for conversions from SI to USCU are simply the reciprocals of the given multiplication factors.

## TABLE 1.3 Multiplication factors to convert from

 USCU units to SI units| To convert from USCU | to SI | Multiply by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area: |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $9.290 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{in}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | $6.452 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Density: |  |  |
| slug/ft ${ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{lbf}-\mathrm{s}^{2} / \mathrm{ft}^{4}\right)$ | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 515.4 |
| $\mathrm{lbf}-\mathrm{s}^{2} / \mathrm{in}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $2.486 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Energy, work, or moment: |  |  |
| ft-lbf or lbf-ft | J or N-m | 1.356 |
| in-lbf or lbf-in | J or N-m | 0.1130 |
| Force: |  |  |
| lbf | N | 4.448 |
| Length: |  |  |
| ft | m | 0.3048 |
| in | m | $2.540 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| Mass: |  |  |
| slug (lbf-s ${ }^{2} / \mathrm{ft}$ ) | kg | 14.59 |
| $\mathrm{lbf}-\mathrm{s}^{2} / \mathrm{in}$ | kg | 1.216 |
| Pressure, stress: |  |  |
| $\mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{Pa}\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 47.88 |
| $\mathrm{lbf} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ (psi) | $\mathrm{Pa}\left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $6.895 \times 10^{3}$ |
| Volume: |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $2.832 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| in ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $1.639 \times 10^{-5}$ |

### 1.3 Contents

The remaining parts of this book are as follows.

Part 2: Facts; Principles; Methods. This part describes important relationships associated with stress and strain, basic material behavior, principles and analytical methods of the mechanics of structural elements, and numerical and experimental techniques in stress analysis.

Part 3: Formulas and Examples. This part contains the many applications associated with the stress analysis of structural components. Topics include the following: direct tension, compression, shear, and combined stresses; bending of straight and curved beams; torsion; bending of flat plates; columns and other compression members; shells of revolution, pressure vessels, and pipes; direct bearing and shear stress; elastic stability; stress concentrations; and dynamic and temperature stresses. Each chapter contains many tables associated with most conditions of geometry, loading, and boundary conditions for a given element type. The definition of each term used in a table is completely described in the introduction of the table.

Appendices. The first appendix deals with the properties of a plane area. The second appendix provides a glossary of the terminology employed in the field of stress analysis.

The references given in a particular chapter are always referred to by number, and are listed at the end of each chapter.

Facts; Principles; Methods

## Stress and Strain: Important Relationships

Understanding the physical properties of stress and strain is a prerequisite to utilizing the many methods and results of structural analysis in design. This chapter provides the definitions and important relationships of stress and strain.

### 2.1 Stress

Stress is simply a distributed force on an external or internal surface of a body. To obtain a physical feeling of this idea, consider being submerged in water at a particular depth. The "force" of the water one feels at this depth is a pressure, which is a compressive stress, and not a finite number of "concentrated" forces. Other types of force distributions (stress) can occur in a liquid or solid. Tensile (pulling rather than pushing) and shear (rubbing or sliding) force distributions can also exist.

Consider a general solid body loaded as shown in Fig. 2.1(a). $P_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ are applied concentrated forces and applied surface force distributions, respectively; and $R_{i}$ and $r_{i}$ are possible support reaction force and surface force distributions, respectively. To determine the state of stress at point $Q$ in the body, it is necessary to expose a surface containing the point $Q$. This is done by making a planar slice, or break, through the body intersecting the point $Q$. The orientation of this slice is arbitrary, but it is generally made in a convenient plane where the state of stress can be determined easily or where certain geometric relations can be utilized. The first slice, illustrated in Fig. 2.1(b), is arbitrarily oriented by the surface normal $x$. This establishes the $y z$ plane. The external forces on the remaining body are shown, as well as the internal force (stress) distribution across the exposed internal


Figure 2.1
surface containing $Q$. In the general case, this distribution will not be uniform along the surface, and will be neither normal nor tangential to the surface at $Q$. However, the force distribution at $Q$ will have components in the normal and tangential directions. These components will be tensile or compressive and shear stresses, respectively.
Following a right-handed rectangular coordinate system, the $y$ and $z$ axes are defined perpendicular to $x$, and tangential to the surface. Examine an infinitesimal area $\Delta A_{x}=\Delta y \Delta z$ surrounding $Q$, as shown in Fig. 2.2(a). The equivalent concentrated force due to the force distribution across this area is $\Delta F_{x}$, which in general is neither normal nor tangential to the surface (the subscript $x$ is used to designate the normal to the area). The force $\Delta F_{x}$ has components in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions, which are labeled $\Delta F_{x x}, \Delta F_{x y}$, and $\Delta F_{x z}$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2.2(b). Note that the first subscript


Figure 2.2
denotes the direction normal to the surface and the second gives the actual direction of the force component. The average distributed force per unit area (average stress) in the $x$ direction is ${ }^{\dagger}$

$$
\bar{\sigma}_{x x}=\frac{\Delta F_{x x}}{\Delta A_{x}}
$$

Recalling that stress is actually a point function, we obtain the exact stress in the $x$ direction at point $Q$ by allowing $\Delta A_{x}$ to approach zero. Thus,

$$
\sigma_{x x}=\lim _{\Delta A_{x} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta F_{x x}}{\Delta A_{x}}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x x}=\frac{d F_{x x}}{d A_{x}} \tag{2.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stresses arise from the tangential forces $\Delta F_{x y}$ and $\Delta F_{x z}$ as well, and since these forces are tangential, the stresses are shear stresses. Similar to Eq. (2.1-1),

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{x y} & =\frac{d F_{x y}}{d A_{x}}  \tag{2.1-2}\\
\tau_{x z} & =\frac{d F_{x z}}{d A_{x}} \tag{2.1-3}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]

Figure 2.3 Stress components.

Since, by definition, $\sigma$ represents a normal stress acting in the same direction as the corresponding surface normal, double subscripts are redundant, and standard practice is to drop one of the subscripts and write $\sigma_{x x}$ as $\sigma_{x}$. The three stresses existing on the exposed surface at the point are illustrated together using a single arrow vector for each stress as shown in Fig. 2.3. However, it is important to realize that the stress arrow represents a force distribution (stress, force per unit area), and not a concentrated force. The shear stresses $\tau_{x y}$ and $\tau_{x z}$ are the components of the net shear stress acting on the surface, where the net shear stress is given by ${ }^{\dagger}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{x}\right)_{\mathrm{net}}=\sqrt{\tau_{x y}^{2}+\tau_{x z}^{2}} \tag{2.1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To describe the complete state of stress at point $Q$ completely, it would be necessary to examine other surfaces by making different planar slices. Since different planar slices would necessitate different coordinates and different free-body diagrams, the stresses on each planar surface would be, in general, quite different. As a matter of fact, in general, an infinite variety of conditions of normal and shear stress exist at a given point within a stressed body. So, it would take an infinitesimal spherical surface surrounding the point $Q$ to understand and describe the complete state of stress at the point. Fortunately, through the use of the method of coordinate transformation, it is only necessary to know the state of stress on three different surfaces to describe the state of stress on any surface. This method is described in Sec. 2.3.

The three surfaces are generally selected to be mutually perpendicular, and are illustrated in Fig. 2.4 using the stress subscript notation

[^2]

Figure 2.4 Stresses on three orthogonal surfaces.
as earlier defined. This state of stress can be written in matrix form, where the stress matrix [ $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ] is given by

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{x} & \tau_{x y} & \tau_{x z}  \tag{2.1-5}\\
\tau_{y x} & \sigma_{y} & \tau_{y z} \\
\tau_{z x} & \tau_{z y} & \sigma_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Except for extremely rare cases, it can be shown that adjacent shear stresses are equal. That is, $\tau_{y x}=\tau_{x y}, \tau_{z y}=\tau_{y z}$, and $\tau_{x z}=\tau_{z x}$, and the stress matrix is symmetric and written as

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{x} & \tau_{x y} & \tau_{z x}  \tag{2.1-6}\\
\tau_{x y} & \sigma_{y} & \tau_{y z} \\
\tau_{z x} & \tau_{y z} & \sigma_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Plane Stress. There are many practical problems where the stresses in one direction are zero. This situation is referred to as a case of plane stress. Arbitrarily selecting the $z$ direction to be stress-free with $\sigma_{z}=\tau_{y z}=\tau_{y z}=0$, the last row and column of the stress matrix can be eliminated, and the stress matrix is written as

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{x} & \tau_{x y}  \tag{2.1-7}\\
\tau_{x y} & \sigma_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the corresponding stress element, viewed three-dimensionally and down the $z$ axis, is shown in Fig. 2.5.

### 2.2 Strain and the Stress-Strain Relations

As with stresses, two types of strains exist: normal and shear strains, which are denoted by $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma$, respectively. Normal strain is the rate of change of the length of the stressed element in a particular direction.


Figure 2.5 Plane stress.

Shear strain is a measure of the distortion of the stressed element, and has two definitions: the engineering shear strain and the elasticity shear strain. Here, we will use the former, more popular, definition. However, a discussion of the relation of the two definitions will be provided in Sec. 2.4. The engineering shear strain is defined as the change in the corner angle of the stress cube, in radians.

Normal Strain. Initially, consider only one normal stress $\sigma_{x}$ applied to the element as shown in Fig. 2.6. We see that the element increases in length in the $x$ direction and decreases in length in the $y$ and $z$ directions. The dimensionless rate of increase in length is defined as the normal strain, where $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}$, and $\varepsilon_{z}$ represent the normal strains in


Figure 2.6 Deformation attributed to $\sigma_{x}$.
the $x, y$, and $z$ directions respectively. Thus, the new length in any direction is equal to its original length plus the rate of increase (normal strain) times its original length. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x^{\prime}=\Delta x+\varepsilon_{x} \Delta x, \quad \Delta y^{\prime}=\Delta y+\varepsilon_{y} \Delta y, \quad \Delta z^{\prime}=\Delta z+\varepsilon_{z} \Delta z \tag{2.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a direct relationship between strain and stress. Hooke's law for a linear, homogeneous, isotropic material is simply that the normal strain is directly proportional to the normal stress, and is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\frac{1}{E}\left[\sigma_{x}-v\left(\sigma_{y}+\sigma_{z}\right)\right]  \tag{2.2-2a}\\
& \varepsilon_{y}=\frac{1}{E}\left[\sigma_{y}-v\left(\sigma_{z}+\sigma_{x}\right)\right]  \tag{2.2-2b}\\
& \varepsilon_{z}=\frac{1}{E}\left[\sigma_{z}-v\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right)\right] \tag{2.2-2c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the material constants, $E$ and $v$, are the modulus of elasticity (also referred to as Young's modulus) and Poisson's ratio, respectively. Typical values of $E$ and $v$ for some materials are given in Table 2.1 at the end of this chapter.

If the strains in Eqs. (2.2-2) are known, the stresses can be solved for simultaneously to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=\frac{E}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}\left[(1-v) \varepsilon_{x}+v\left(\varepsilon_{y}+\varepsilon_{z}\right)\right]  \tag{2.2-3a}\\
& \sigma_{y}=\frac{E}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}\left[(1-v) \varepsilon_{y}+v\left(\varepsilon_{z}+\varepsilon_{x}\right)\right]  \tag{2.2-3b}\\
& \sigma_{z}=\frac{E}{(1+v)(1-2 v)}\left[(1-v) \varepsilon_{z}+v\left(\varepsilon_{x}+\varepsilon_{y}\right)\right] \tag{2.2-3c}
\end{align*}
$$

For plane stress, with $\sigma_{z}=0$, Eqs. (2.2-2) and (2.2-3) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\frac{1}{E}\left(\sigma_{x}-v \sigma_{y}\right)  \tag{2.2-4a}\\
& \varepsilon_{y}=\frac{1}{E}\left(\sigma_{y}-v \sigma_{x}\right)  \tag{2.2-4b}\\
& \varepsilon_{z}=-\frac{v}{E}\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right) \tag{2.2-4c}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{x} & =\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{x}+v \varepsilon_{y}\right)  \tag{2.2-5a}\\
\sigma_{y} & =\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{y}+v \varepsilon_{x}\right) \tag{2.2-5b}
\end{align*}
$$

Shear Strain. The change in shape of the element caused by the shear stresses can be first illustrated by examining the effect of $\tau_{x y}$ alone as shown in Fig. 2.7. The engineering shear strain $\gamma_{x y}$ is a measure of the skewing of the stressed element from a rectangular parallelepiped. In Fig. 2.7(b), the shear strain is defined as the change in the angle $B A D$. That is,

$$
\gamma_{x y}=\angle B A D-\angle B^{\prime} A^{\prime} D^{\prime}
$$

where $\gamma_{x y}$ is in dimensionless radians.
For a linear, homogeneous, isotropic material, the shear strains in the $x y, y z$, and $z x$ planes are directly related to the shear stresses by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{x y}=\frac{\tau_{x y}}{G}  \tag{2.2-6a}\\
& \gamma_{y z}=\frac{\tau_{y z}}{G}  \tag{2.2-6b}\\
& \gamma_{z x}=\frac{\tau_{z x}}{G} \tag{2.2-6c}
\end{align*}
$$

where the material constant, $G$, is called the shear modulus.


Figure 2.7 Shear deformation.

It can be shown that for a linear, homogeneous, isotropic material the shear modulus is related to Poisson's ratio by (Ref. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{E}{2(1+v)} \tag{2.2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.3 Stress Transformations

As was stated in Sec. 2.1, knowing the state of stress on three mutually orthogonal surfaces at a point in a structure is sufficient to generate the state of stress for any surface at the point. This is accomplished through the use of coordinate transformations. The development of the transformation equations is quite lengthy and is not provided here (see Ref. 1). Consider the element shown in Fig. 2.8(a), where the stresses on surfaces with normals in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions are known and are represented by the stress matrix

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x y z}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{x} & \tau_{x y} & \tau_{z x}  \tag{2.3-1}\\
\tau_{x y} & \sigma_{y} & \tau_{y z} \\
\tau_{z x} & \tau_{y z} & \sigma_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now consider the element, shown in Fig. 2.8(b), to correspond to the state of stress at the same point but defined relative to a different set of surfaces with normals in the $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$, and $z^{\prime}$ directions. The stress matrix corresponding to this element is given by

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{x^{\prime}} & \tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} & \tau_{z^{\prime} x^{\prime}}  \tag{2.3-2}\\
\tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} & \sigma_{y^{\prime}} & \tau_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}} \\
\tau_{z^{\prime} x^{\prime}} & \tau_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}} & \sigma_{z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

To determine $[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}$ by coordinate transformation, we need to establish the relationship between the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ and the $x y z$ coordinate systems. This is normally done using directional cosines. First, let us consider the relationship between the $x^{\prime}$ axis and the $x y z$ coordinate system. The orientation of the $x^{\prime}$ axis can be established by the angles $\theta_{x^{\prime} x}, \theta_{x^{\prime} y}$, and $\theta_{x^{\prime} z}$, as shown in Fig. 2.9. The directional cosines for $x^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{x^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{x^{\prime} x}, \quad m_{x^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{x^{\prime} y}, \quad n_{x^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{x^{\prime} z} \tag{2.3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the $y^{\prime}$ and $z^{\prime}$ axes can be defined by the angles $\theta_{y^{\prime} x}, \theta_{y^{\prime} y}, \theta_{y^{\prime} z}$ and $\theta_{z^{\prime} x}, \theta_{z^{\prime} y}, \theta_{z^{\prime} z}$, respectively, with corresponding directional cosines

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
l_{y^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{y^{\prime} x} & m_{y^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{y^{\prime} y}, & n_{y^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{y^{\prime} z} \\
l_{z^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{z^{\prime} x}, & m_{z^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{z^{\prime} y}, & n_{z^{\prime}}=\cos \theta_{z^{\prime} z} \tag{2.3-5}
\end{array}
$$


(a) Stress element relative to $x y z$ axes

(b) Stress element relative to $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ axes

Figure 2.8 The stress at a point using different coordinate systems.

It can be shown that the transformation matrix

$$
[\mathbf{T}]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
l_{x^{\prime}} & m_{x^{\prime}} & n_{x^{\prime}}  \tag{2.3-6}\\
l_{y^{\prime}} & m_{y^{\prime}} & n_{y^{\prime}} \\
l_{z^{\prime}} & m_{z^{\prime}} & n_{z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]
$$



Figure 2.9 Coordinate transformation.
transforms a vector given in $x y z$ coordinates, $\{\mathbf{V}\}_{x y z}$, to a vector in $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ coordinates, $\{\mathbf{V}\}_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}$, by the matrix multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathbf{V}\}_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=[\mathbf{T}]\{\mathbf{V}\}_{x y z} \tag{2.3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that the transformation equation for the stress matrix is given by (see Ref. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=[\mathbf{T}][\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x y z}[\mathbf{T}]^{T} \tag{2.3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathbf{T}]^{T}$ is the transpose of the transformation matrix [ $\left.\mathbf{T}\right]$, which is simply an interchange of rows and columns. That is,

$$
[\mathbf{T}]^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{x^{\prime}} & l_{y^{\prime}} & l_{z^{\prime}}  \tag{2.3-9}\\
m_{x^{\prime}} & m_{y^{\prime}} & m_{z^{\prime}} \\
n_{x^{\prime}} & n_{y^{\prime}} & n_{z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The stress transformation by Eq. (2.3-8) can be implemented very easily using a computer spreadsheet or mathematical software. Defining the directional cosines is another matter. One method is to define the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ coordinate system by a series of two-dimensional rotations from the initial $x y z$ coordinate system. Table 2.2 at the end of this chapter gives transformation matrices for this.

## EXAMPLE

The state of stress at a point relative to an $x y z$ coordinate system is given by the stress matrix

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x y z}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-8 & 6 & -2 \\
6 & 4 & 2 \\
-2 & 2 & -5
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Determine the state of stress on an element that is oriented by first rotating the $x y z$ axes $45^{\circ}$ about the $z$ axis, and then rotating the resulting axes $30^{\circ}$ about the new $x$ axis.

Solution. The surface normals can be found by a series of coordinate transformations for each rotation. From Fig. 2.10(a), the vector components for the first rotation can be represented by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}  \tag{a}\\
y_{1} \\
z_{1}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The last rotation establishes the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ coordinates as shown in Fig. 2.10(b), and they are related to the $x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}$ coordinates by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}  \tag{b}\\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\
0 & -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
y_{1} \\
z_{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Substituting Eq. (a) in (b) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\
0 & -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0 \\
-\sin \theta \cos \varphi & \cos \theta \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\
\sin \theta \sin \varphi & -\cos \theta \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\} \tag{c}
\end{align*}
$$


(a) First rotation

(b) Second rotation

Figure 2.10

Equation (c) is of the form of Eq. (2.3-7). Thus, the transformation matrix is

$$
[\mathbf{T}]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta & 0  \tag{d}\\
-\sin \theta \cos \varphi & \cos \theta \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \\
\sin \theta \sin \varphi & -\cos \theta \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi
\end{array}\right]
$$

Substituting $\theta=45^{\circ}$ and $\varphi=30^{\circ}$ gives

$$
[\mathbf{T}]=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \sqrt{2} & 2 \sqrt{2} & 0  \tag{e}\\
-\sqrt{6} & \sqrt{6} & 2 \\
\sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 2 \sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The transpose of $[\mathbf{T}]$ is

$$
[\mathbf{T}]^{T}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{6} & \sqrt{2}  \tag{f}\\
2 \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{6} & -\sqrt{2} \\
0 & 2 & 2 \sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

From Eq. (2.3-8),

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \sqrt{2} & 2 \sqrt{2} & 0 \\
-\sqrt{6} & \sqrt{6} & 2 \\
\sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 2 \sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{rcc}
-8 & 6 & -2 \\
6 & 4 & 2 \\
-2 & 2 & -5
\end{array}\right] \frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{6} & \sqrt{2} \\
2 \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{6} & -\sqrt{2} \\
0 & 2 & 2 \sqrt{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This matrix multiplication can be performed simply using either a computer spreadsheet or mathematical software, resulting in

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{lrr}
4 & 5.196 & -3 \\
5.196 & -4.801 & 2.714 \\
-3 & 2.714 & -8.199
\end{array}\right] \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Stresses on a Single Surface. If one was concerned about the state of stress on one particular surface, a complete stress transformation would be unnecessary. Let the directional cosines for the normal of the surface be given by $l, m$, and $n$. It can be shown that the normal stress on the surface is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\sigma_{x} l^{2}+\sigma_{y} m^{2}+\sigma_{z} n^{2}+2 \tau_{x y} l m+2 \tau_{y z} m n+2 \tau_{z x} n l \tag{2.3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the net shear stress on the surface is

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau= & {\left[\left(\sigma_{x} l+\tau_{x y} m+\tau_{z x} n\right)^{2}+\left(\tau_{x y} l+\sigma_{y} m+\tau_{y z} n\right)^{2}\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(\tau_{z x} l+\tau_{y z} m+\sigma_{z} n\right)^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{2.3-11}
\end{align*}
$$

The direction of $\tau$ is established by the directional cosines

$$
\begin{align*}
l_{\tau} & =\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma\right) l+\tau_{x y} m+\tau_{z x} n\right] \\
m_{\tau} & =\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\tau_{x y} l+\left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma\right) m+\tau_{y z} n\right]  \tag{2.3-12}\\
n_{\tau} & =\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\tau_{z x} l+\tau_{y z} m+\left(\sigma_{z}-\sigma\right) n\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## EXAMPLE

The state of stress at a particular point relative to the $x y z$ coordinate system is

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x y z}=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
14 & 7 & -7 \\
7 & 10 & 0 \\
-7 & 0 & 35
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{kpsi}
$$

Determine the normal and shear stress on a surface at the point where the surface is parallel to the plane given by the equation

$$
2 x-y+3 z=9
$$

Solution. The normal to the surface is established by the directional numbers of the plane and are simply the coefficients of $x, y$, and $z$ terms of the equation of the plane. Thus, the directional numbers are $2,-1$, and 3 . The directional cosines of the normal to the surface are simply the normalized values of the directional numbers, which are the directional numbers divided by $\sqrt{2^{2}+(-1)^{2}+3^{2}}=\sqrt{14}$. Thus

$$
l=2 / \sqrt{14}, \quad m=-1 / \sqrt{14}, \quad n=3 / \sqrt{14}
$$

From the stress matrix, $\sigma_{x}=14, \tau_{x y}=7, \tau_{z x}=-7, \sigma_{y}=10, \tau_{y z}=0$, and $\sigma_{z}$ $=35 \mathrm{kpsi}$. Substituting the stresses and directional cosines into Eq. (2.3-10) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma= & 14(2 / \sqrt{14})^{2}+10(-1 / \sqrt{14})^{2}+35(3 / \sqrt{14})^{2}+2(7)(2 / \sqrt{14})(-1 / \sqrt{14}) \\
& +2(0)(-1 / \sqrt{14})(3 / \sqrt{14})+2(-7)(3 / \sqrt{14})(2 / \sqrt{14})=19.21 \mathrm{kpsi}
\end{aligned}
$$

The shear stress is determined from Eq. (2.3-11), and is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau= & \left\{[14(2 / \sqrt{14})+7(-1 / \sqrt{14})+(-7)(3 / \sqrt{14})]^{2}\right. \\
& +[7(2 / \sqrt{14})+10(-1 / \sqrt{14})+(0)(3 / \sqrt{14})]^{2} \\
& \left.+[(-7)(2 / \sqrt{14})+(0)(-1 / \sqrt{14})+35(3 / \sqrt{14})]^{2}-(19.21)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}=14.95 \mathrm{kpsi}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. (2.3-12), the directional cosines for the direction of $\tau$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{\tau}=\frac{1}{14.95}[(14-19.21)(2 / \sqrt{14})+7(-1 / \sqrt{14})+(-7)(3 / \sqrt{14})]=-0.687 \\
& m_{\tau}=\frac{1}{14.95}[7(2 / \sqrt{14})+(10-19.21)(-1 / \sqrt{14})+(0)(3 / \sqrt{14})]=0.415 \\
& n_{\tau}=\frac{1}{14.95}[(-7)(2 / \sqrt{14})+(0)(-1 / \sqrt{14})+(35-19.21)(3 / \sqrt{14})]=0.596
\end{aligned}
$$

Plane Stress. For the state of plane stress shown in Fig. 2.11(a), $\sigma_{z}=\tau_{y z}=\tau_{z x}=0$. Plane stress transformations are normally performed in the $x y$ plane, as shown in Fig. 2.11(b). The angles relating the $x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ axes to the $x y z$ axes are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\theta_{x^{\prime} x}=\theta, & \theta_{x^{\prime} y}=90^{\circ}-\theta, & \theta_{x^{\prime} z}=90^{\circ} \\
\theta_{y^{\prime} x}=\theta+90^{\circ}, & \theta_{y^{\prime} y}=\theta, & \theta_{y^{\prime} z}=90^{\circ} \\
\theta_{z^{\prime} x}=90^{\circ}, & \theta_{z^{\prime} y}=90^{\circ}, & \theta_{z^{\prime} z}=0
\end{array}
$$

Thus the directional cosines are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
l_{x^{\prime}}=\cos \theta & m_{x^{\prime}}=\sin \theta & n_{x^{\prime}}=0 \\
l_{y^{\prime}}=-\sin \theta & m_{y^{\prime}}=\cos \theta & n_{y^{\prime}}=0 \\
l_{z^{\prime}}=0 & m_{z^{\prime}}=0 & n_{z^{\prime}}=1
\end{array}
$$

The last rows and columns of the stress matrices are zero so the stress matrices can be written as

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x y}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{x} & \tau_{x y}  \tag{2.3-13}\\
\tau_{x y} & \sigma_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$


(a) Initial element

(b) Transformed element

Figure 2.11 Plane stress transformations.
and

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{x^{\prime}} & \tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}  \tag{2.3-14}\\
\tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} & \sigma_{y^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since the plane stress matrices are $2 \times 2$, the transformation matrix and its transpose are written as

$$
[\mathbf{T}]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & \sin \theta  \tag{2.3-15}\\
-\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right], \quad[\mathbf{T}]^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Equations (2.3-13)-(2.3-15) can then be substituted into Eq. (2.3-8) to perform the desired transformation. The results, written in long-hand form, would be

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{x^{\prime}} & =\sigma_{x} \cos ^{2} \theta+\sigma_{y} \sin ^{2} \theta+2 \tau_{x y} \cos \theta \sin \theta \\
\sigma_{y^{\prime}} & =\sigma_{x} \sin ^{2} \theta+\sigma_{y} \cos ^{2} \theta-2 \tau_{x y} \cos \theta \sin \theta  \tag{2.3-16}\\
\tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} & =-\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta+\tau_{x y}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If the state of stress is desired on a single surface with a normal rotated $\theta$ counterclockwise from the $x$ axis, the first and third equations of Eqs. (2.3-16) can be used as given. However, using trigonometric identities, the equations can be written in slightly different form. Letting $\sigma$ and $\tau$ represent the desired normal and shear stresses on the surface, the equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma & =\frac{\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}}{2}+\frac{\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}}{2} \cos 2 \theta+\tau_{x y} \sin 2 \theta  \tag{2.3-17}\\
\tau & =-\frac{\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}}{2} \sin 2 \theta+\tau_{x y} \cos 2 \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (2.3-17) represent a set of parametric equations of a circle in the $\sigma \tau$ plane. This circle is commonly referred to as Mohr's circle and is generally discussed in standard mechanics of materials textbooks. This serves primarily as a teaching tool and adds little to applications, so it will not be represented here (see Ref. 1).

Principal Stresses. In general, maximum and minimum values of the normal stresses occur on surfaces where the shear stresses are zero. These stresses, which are actually the eigenvalues of the stress matrix, are called the principal stresses. Three principal stresses exist, $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\sigma_{3}$, where they are commonly ordered as $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \sigma_{3}$.

Considering the stress state given by the matrix of Eq. (2.3-1) to be known, the principal stresses $\sigma_{p}$ are related to the given stresses by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{p}\right) l_{p}+\tau_{x y} m_{p}+\tau_{z x} n_{p}=0 \\
& \tau_{x y} l_{p}+\left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma_{p}\right) m_{p}+\tau_{y z} n_{p}=0  \tag{2.3-18}\\
& \tau_{z x} l_{p}+\tau_{y z} m_{p}+\left(\sigma_{z}-\sigma_{p}\right) n_{p}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $l_{p}, m_{p}$, and $n_{p}$ are the directional cosines of the normals to the surfaces containing the principal stresses. One possible solution to Eqs. (2.3-18) is $l_{p}=m_{p}=n_{p}=0$. However, this cannot occur, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{p}^{2}+m_{p}^{2}+n_{p}^{2}=1 \tag{2.3-19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To avoid the zero solution of the directional cosines of Eqs. (2.3-18), the determinant of the coefficients of $l_{p}, m_{p}$, and $n_{p}$ in the equation is set to zero. This makes the solution of the directional cosines indeterminate from Eqs. (2.3-18). Thus,

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{p}\right) & \tau_{x y} & \tau_{z x} \\
\tau_{x y} & \left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma_{p}\right) & \tau_{y z} \\
\tau_{z x} & \tau_{y z} & \left(\sigma_{z}-\sigma_{p}\right)
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

Expanding the determinant yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{p}^{3} & -\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}+\sigma_{z}\right) \sigma_{p}^{2}+\left(\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}+\sigma_{y} \sigma_{z}+\sigma_{z} \sigma_{x}-\tau_{x y}^{2}-\tau_{y z}^{2}-\tau_{z x}^{2}\right) \sigma_{p} \\
& -\left(\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \sigma_{z}+2 \tau_{x y} \tau_{y z} \tau_{z x}-\sigma_{x} \tau_{y z}^{2}-\sigma_{y} \tau_{z x}^{2}-\sigma_{z} \tau_{x y}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.3-20}
\end{align*}
$$

where Eq. (2.3-20) is a cubic equation yielding the three principal stresses $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\sigma_{3}$.

To determine the directional cosines for a specific principal stress, the stress is substituted into Eqs. (2.3-18). The three resulting equations in the unknowns $l_{p}, m_{p}$, and $n_{p}$ will not be independent since they were used to obtain the principal stress. Thus, only two of Eqs. (2.3-18) can be used. However, the second-order Eq. (2.3-19) can be used as the third equation for the three directional cosines. Instead of solving one second-order and two linear equations simultaneously, a simplified method is demonstrated in the following example. ${ }^{\dagger}$

[^3]
## EXAMPLE

For the following stress matrix, determine the principal stresses and the directional cosines associated with the normals to the surfaces of each principal stress.

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Solution. Substituting $\sigma_{x}=3, \tau_{x y}=1, \tau_{z x}=1, \sigma_{y}=0, \tau_{y z}=2$, and $\sigma_{z}=0$ into Eq. (2.3-20) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p}^{2} & -(3+0+0) \sigma_{p}^{2}+\left[(3)(0)+(0)(0)+(0)(3)-2^{2}-1^{2}-1^{2}\right] \sigma_{p} \\
& -\left[(3)(0)(0)+(2)(2)(1)(1)-(3)\left(2^{2}\right)-(0)\left(1^{2}\right)-(0)\left(1^{2}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

which simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}^{2}-3 \sigma_{p}^{2}-6 \sigma_{p}+8=0 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solutions to the cubic equation are $\sigma_{p}=4$, 1 , and -2 MPa. Following the conventional ordering,

$$
\sigma_{1}=4 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad \sigma_{2}=1 \mathrm{MPa}, \quad \sigma_{3}=-2 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

The directional cosines associated with each principal stress are determined independently. First, consider $\sigma_{1}$ and substitute $\sigma_{p}=4 \mathrm{MPa}$ into Eqs. (2.3-18). This results in

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-l_{1}+m_{1}+n_{1}=0 \\
l_{1}-4 m_{1}+2 n_{1}=0 \\
l_{1}+2 m_{1}-4 n_{1}=0 \tag{d}
\end{array}
$$

where the subscript agrees with that of $\sigma_{1}$.
Equations (b), (c), and (d) are no longer independent since they were used to determine the values of $\sigma_{p}$. Only two independent equations can be used, and in this example, any two of the above can be used. Consider Eqs. (b) and (c), which are independent. A third equation comes from Eq. (2.3-19), which is nonlinear in $l_{1}, m_{1}$, and $n_{1}$. Rather than solving the three equations simultaneously, consider the following approach.

Arbitrarily, let $l_{1}=1$ in Eqs. (b) and (c). Rearranging gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1}+n_{1} & =1 \\
4 m_{1}-2 n_{1} & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

solving these simultaneously gives $m_{1}=n_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$. These values of $l_{1}, m_{1}$, and $n_{1}$ do not satisfy Eq. (2.3-19). However, all that remains is to normalize their values by dividing by $\sqrt{1^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{6} / 2$. Thus, ${ }^{\text {, }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1} & =(1)(2 / \sqrt{6})=\sqrt{6} / 3 \\
m_{1} & =(1 / 2)(2 / \sqrt{6})=\sqrt{6} / 6 \\
n_{1} & =(1 / 2)(2 / \sqrt{6})=\sqrt{6} / 6
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating the same procedure for $\sigma_{2}=1 \mathrm{MPa}$ results in

$$
l_{2}=\sqrt{3} / 3, \quad m_{2}=-\sqrt{3} / 3, \quad n_{2}=-\sqrt{3} / 3
$$

and for $\sigma_{3}=-2 \mathrm{MPa}$

$$
l_{3}=0, \quad m_{3}=\sqrt{2} / 2, \quad n_{3}=-\sqrt{2} / 2
$$

If two of the principal stresses are equal, there will exist an infinite set of surfaces containing these principal stresses, where the normals of these surfaces are perpendicular to the direction of the third principal stress. If all three principal stresses are equal, a hydrostatic state of stress exists, and regardless of orientation, all surfaces contain the same principal stress with no shear stress.

Principal Stresses, Plane Stress. Considering the stress element shown in Fig. 2.11(a), the shear stresses on the surface with a normal in the $z$ direction are zero. Thus, the normal stress $\sigma_{z}=0$ is a principal stress. The directions of the remaining two principal stresses will be in the $x y$ plane. If $\tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=0$ in Fig. 2.11(b), then $\sigma_{x^{\prime}}$ would be a principal stress, $\sigma_{p}$ with $l_{p}=\cos \theta, m_{p}=\sin \theta$, and $n_{p}=0$. For this case, only the first two of Eqs. (2.3-18) apply, and are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{p}\right) \cos \theta+\tau_{x y} \sin \theta=0 \\
& \tau_{x y} \cos \theta+\left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma_{p}\right) \sin \theta=0 \tag{2.3-21}
\end{align*}
$$

As before, we eliminate the trivial solution of Eqs. (2.3-21) by setting the determinant of the coefficients of the directional cosines to zero. That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{p}\right) & \tau_{x y} \\
\tau_{x y} & \left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma_{p}\right)
\end{array}\right| & =\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{p}\right)\left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma_{p}\right)-\tau_{x y}^{2} \\
& =\sigma_{p}^{2}-\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right) \sigma_{p}+\left(\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}-\tau_{x y}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.3-22}
\end{align*}
$$

[^4]Equation (2.3-22) is a quadratic equation in $\sigma_{p}$ for which the two solutions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right)^{2}+4 \tau_{x y}^{2}}\right] \tag{2.3-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for plane stress, one of the principal stresses $\left(\sigma_{z}\right)$ is always zero, numbering of the stresses ( $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \sigma_{3}$ ) cannot be performed until Eq. (2.3-23) is solved.

Each solution of Eq. (2.3-23) can then be substituted into one of Eqs. (2.3-21) to determine the direction of the principal stress. Note that if $\sigma_{x}=\sigma_{y}$ and $\tau_{x y}=0$, then $\sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ are principal stresses and Eqs. (2.3-21) are satisfied for all values of $\theta$. This means that all stresses in the plane of analysis are equal and the state of stress at the point is isotropic in the plane.

## EXAMPLE

Determine the principal stresses for a case of plane stress given by the stress matrix

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
5 & -4 \\
-4 & 11
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{kpsi}
$$

Show the element containing the principal stresses properly oriented with respect to the initial $x y z$ coordinate system.

Solution. From the stress matrix, $\sigma_{x}=5, \sigma_{y}=11$, and $\tau_{x y}=-4 \mathrm{kpsi}$ and Eq. (2.3-23) gives

$$
\sigma_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(5+11) \pm \sqrt{(5-11)^{2}+4(-4)^{2}}\right]=13, \quad 3 \mathrm{kpsi}
$$

Thus, the three principal stresses $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$, are $(13,3,0) \mathrm{kpsi}$, respectively. For directions, first substitute $\sigma_{1}=13 \mathrm{kpsi}$ into either one of Eqs. (2.3-21). Using the first equation with $\theta=\theta_{1}$

$$
\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{1}\right) \cos \theta_{1}+\tau_{x y} \sin \theta_{1}=(5-13) \cos \theta_{1}+(-4) \sin \theta_{1}=0
$$

or

$$
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1}\left(-\frac{8}{4}\right)=-63.4^{\circ}
$$

Now for the other principal stress, $\sigma_{2}=3 \mathrm{kpsi}$, the first of Eqs. (2.3-21) gives

$$
\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{2}\right) \cos \theta_{2}+\tau_{x y} \sin \theta_{2}=(5-3) \cos \theta_{2}+(-4) \sin \theta_{2}=0
$$

or

$$
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{4}\right)=26.6^{\circ}
$$


(a) Initial element

(b) Transformed element containing the principal stresses.

Figure 2.12 Plane stress example.

Figure 2.12(a) illustrates the initial state of stress, whereas the orientation of the element containing the in-plane principal stresses is shown in Fig. 2.12(b).

Maximum Shear Stresses. Consider that the principal stresses for a general stress state have been determined using the methods just described and are illustrated by Fig. 2.13. The 123 axes represent the normals for the principal surfaces with directional cosines determined by Eqs. (2.3-18) and (2.3-19). Viewing down a principal stress axis (e.g., the 3 axis) and performing a plane stress transformation in the plane normal to that axis (e.g., the 12 plane), one would find that the shear stress is a maximum on surfaces $\pm 45^{\circ}$ from the two principal stresses in that plane (e.g., $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ ). On these surfaces, the maximum shear stress would be one-half the difference of the principal stresses [e.g., $\tau_{\max }=\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right) / 2$ ] and will also have a normal stress equal to the average of the principal stresses [e.g., $\sigma_{\text {ave }}=\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right) / 2$ ]. Viewing along the three principal axes would result in three shear stress


Figure 2.13 Principal stress state.
maxima, sometimes referred to as the principal shear stresses. These stresses together with their accompanying normal stresses are

| Plane 1, 2: | $\left(\tau_{\text {max }}\right)_{1,2}=\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right) / 2$, | $\left(\sigma_{\text {ave }}\right)_{1,2}=\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\right) / 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plane 2, 3: | $\left(\tau_{\text {max }}\right)_{2,3}=\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right) / 2$, | $\left(\sigma_{\text {ave }}\right)_{2,3}=\left(\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}\right) / 2$ |
| Plane 1, 3: | $\left(\tau_{\text {max }}\right)_{1,3}=\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right) / 2$, | $\left(\sigma_{\text {ave }}\right)_{1,3}=\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{3}\right) / 2$ |

Since conventional practice is to order the principal stresses by $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \sigma_{3}$, the largest shear stress of all is given by the third of Eqs. (2.3-24) and will be repeated here for emphasis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\max }=\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right) / 2 \tag{2.3-25}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE

In the previous example, the principal stresses for the stress matrix

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
5 & -4 \\
-4 & 11
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathrm{kpsi}
$$

were found to be $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)=(13,3,0) \mathrm{kpsi}$. The orientation of the element containing the principal stresses was shown in Fig. 2.12(b), where axis 3 was the $z$ axis and normal to the page. Determine the maximum shear stress and show the orientation and complete state of stress of the element containing this stress.

Solution. The initial element and the transformed element containing the principal stresses are repeated in Fig. 2.14(a) and (b), respectively. The maximum shear stress will exist in the 1,3 plane and is determined by substituting $\sigma_{1}=13$ and $\sigma_{3}=0$ into Eqs. (2.3-24). This results in

$$
\left(\tau_{\max }\right)_{1,3}=(13-0) / 2=6.5 \mathrm{kpsi}, \quad\left(\sigma_{\text {ave }}\right)_{1,3}=(13+0) / 2=6.5 \mathrm{kpsi}
$$

To establish the orientation of these stresses, view the element along the axis containing $\sigma_{2}=3 \mathrm{kpsi}$ [view A, Fig. 2.14(c)] and rotate the surfaces $\pm 45^{\circ}$ as shown in Fig. 2.14(c).

The directional cosines associated with the surfaces are found through successive rotations. Rotating the $x y z$ axes to the 123 axes yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right\} & =\left[\left.\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos 63.4^{\circ} & -\sin 63.4^{\circ} & 0 \\
\sin 63.4^{\circ} & \cos 63.4^{\circ} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.4472 & -0.8944 & 0 \\
0.8944 & 0.4472 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\} \tag{a}
\end{align*}
$$


(a) Initial element
$\left.13 \mathrm{kpsi}\right|^{y}$

(b) Transformed element containing Principal stresses

(c) View A from part (b)
(d) Two-dimensional transformation of part (c) showing the maximum shear stress

Figure 2.14 Plane stress maximum shear stress.

A counterclockwise rotation of $45^{\circ}$ of the normal in the 3 direction about axis 2 is represented by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos 45^{\circ} & 0 & -\sin 45^{\circ} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin 45^{\circ} & 0 & \cos 45^{\circ}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.7071 & 0 & -0.7071 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right\} \tag{b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime} \\
y^{\prime} \\
z^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\} & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.7071 & 0 & -0.7071 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.4472 & -0.8944 & 0 \\
0.8944 & 0.4472 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0.3162 & -0.6325 & -0.7071 \\
0.8944 & 0.4472 & 0 \\
0.3162 & -0.6325 & 0.7071
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The directional cosines for Eq. (2.1-14c) are therefore

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
n_{x^{\prime} x} & n_{x^{\prime} y} & n_{x^{\prime} z} \\
n_{y^{\prime} x} & n_{y^{\prime} y} & n_{y^{\prime} z} \\
n_{z^{\prime} x} & n_{z^{\prime} y} & n_{z^{\prime} z}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rrc}
0.3162 & -0.6325 & -0.7071 \\
0.8944 & 0.4472 & 0 \\
0.3162 & -0.6325 & 0.7071
\end{array}\right]
$$

The other surface containing the maximum shear stress can be found similarly except for a clockwise rotation of $45^{\circ}$ for the second rotation.

### 2.4 Strain Transformations

The equations for strain transformations are identical to those for stress transformations. However, the engineering strains as defined in Sec. 2.2 will not transform. Transformations can be performed if the shear strain is modified. All of the equations for the stress transformations can be employed simply by replacing $\sigma$ and $\tau$ in the equations by $\varepsilon$ and $\gamma / 2$ (using the same subscripts), respectively. Thus, for example, the equations for plane stress, Eqs. (2.3-16), can be written for strain as

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x^{\prime}} & =\varepsilon_{x} \cos ^{2} \theta+\varepsilon_{y} \sin ^{2} \theta+\gamma_{x y} \cos \theta \sin \theta \\
\varepsilon_{y^{\prime}} & =\varepsilon_{x} \sin ^{2} \theta+\varepsilon_{y} \cos ^{2} \theta-\gamma_{x y} \cos \theta \sin \theta  \tag{2.4-1}\\
\gamma_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} & =-2\left(\varepsilon_{x}-\varepsilon_{y}\right) \sin \theta \cos \theta+\gamma_{x y}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.5 Reference

1. Budynas, R. G.: "Advanced Strength and Applied Stress Analysis," 2nd ed., McGrawHill, 1999.

### 2.6 Tables

## TABLE 2.1 Material properties ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Material | Modulus of elasticity, $E$ |  | Poisson's ratio, $v$ | Thermal expansion coefficient, $\alpha$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mpsi | GPa |  | $\mu /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $\mu /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Aluminum alloys | 10.5 | 72 | 0.33 | 13.1 | 23.5 |
| Brass (65/35) | 16 | 110 | 0.32 | 11.6 | 20.9 |
| Concrete | 4 | 34 | 0.20 | 5.5 | 9.9 |
| Copper | 17 | 118 | 0.33 | 9.4 | 16.9 |
| Glass | 10 | 69 | 0.24 | 5.1 | 9.2 |
| Iron (gray cast) | 13 | 90 | 0.26 | 6.7 | 12.1 |
| Steel (structural) | 29.5 | 207 | 0.29 | 6.5 | 11.7 |
| Steel (stainless) | 28 | 193 | 0.30 | 9.6 | 17.3 |
| Titanium (6 A1/4V) | 16.5 | 115 | 0.34 | 5.2 | 9.5 |

${ }^{\dagger}$ The values given in this table are to be treated as approximations of the true behavior of an actual batch of the given material.

TABLE 2.2 Transformation matrices for positive rotations about an axis ${ }^{\dagger}$

$y$ axis:


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
y_{1} \\
z_{1}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$z$ axis:


[^5]
## TABLE 2.3 Transformation equations

## General state of stress

$[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=[\mathbf{T}][\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x y z}[\mathbf{T}]^{T}$
where

$$
[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime} z^{\prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{x^{\prime}} & \tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} & \tau_{z^{\prime} x^{\prime}} \\
\tau_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}} & \sigma_{y^{\prime}} & \tau_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}} \\
\tau_{z y^{\prime} x^{\prime}} & \tau_{y^{\prime} z^{\prime}} & \sigma_{z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right], \quad[\mathbf{T}]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{x^{\prime}} & m_{x^{\prime}} & n_{x^{\prime}} \\
l_{y^{\prime}} & m_{y^{\prime}} & n_{y^{\prime}} \\
l_{z^{\prime}} & m_{z^{\prime}} & n_{z^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right], \quad[\boldsymbol{\sigma}]_{x y z}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{x} & \tau_{x y} & \tau_{z x} \\
\tau_{x y} & \sigma_{y} & \tau_{y z} \\
\tau_{z x} & \tau_{y z} & \sigma_{z}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Stresses on a single surface ( $l, m, n$ are directional cosines of surface normal)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\sigma_{x} l^{2}+\sigma_{y} m^{2}+\sigma_{z} n^{2}+2 \tau_{x y} l m+2 \tau_{y z} m n+2 \tau_{z x} n l \\
\tau & =\left[\left(\sigma_{x} l+\tau_{x y} m+\tau_{z x} n\right)^{2}+\left(\tau_{x y} l+\sigma_{y} m+\tau_{y z} n\right)^{2}+\left(\tau_{z x} l+\tau_{y z} m+\sigma_{z} n\right)^{2}-\sigma^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
l_{\tau} & =\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma\right) l+\tau_{x y} m+\tau_{z x} n\right] \\
m_{\tau} & =\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\tau_{x y} l+\left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma\right) m+\tau_{y z} n\right] \\
n_{\tau} & =\frac{1}{\tau}\left[\tau_{z x} l+\tau_{y z} m+\left(\sigma_{z}-\sigma\right) n\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$l_{\tau}, m_{\tau}$, and $n_{\tau}$ are directional cosines for the direction of $\tau$.
Plane stress ( $\theta$ is counterclockwise from $x$ axis to surface normal, $x^{\prime}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right) \cos 2 \theta+\tau_{x y} \sin 2 \theta \\
\tau & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right) \sin 2 \theta+\tau_{x y} \cos 2 \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Principal stresses (general case)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{p}^{3}-\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}+\sigma_{z}\right) \sigma_{p}^{2}+\left(\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y}+\sigma_{y} \sigma_{z}+\sigma_{z} \sigma_{x}-\tau_{x y}^{2}-\tau_{y z}^{2}-\tau_{z x}^{2}\right) \sigma_{p} \\
-\left(\sigma_{x} \sigma_{y} \sigma_{z}+2 \tau_{x y} \tau_{y z} \tau_{z x}-\sigma_{x} \tau_{y z}^{2}-\sigma_{y} \tau_{z x}^{2}-\sigma_{z} \tau_{x y}^{2}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Directional cosines ( $l_{p}, m_{p}, n_{p}$ ) are found from three of the following equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{p}\right) l_{p}+\tau_{x y} m_{p}+\tau_{z x} n_{p}=0 \\
\tau_{x y} l_{p}+\left(\sigma_{y}-\sigma_{p}\right) m_{p}+\tau_{y z}=0 \\
\tau_{z x} l_{p}+\tau_{y z} m_{p}+\left(\sigma_{z}-\sigma_{p}\right) n_{p}=0
\end{array}\right\} \text { select two independent equations }
$$

Principal stresses (plane stress) One principal stress is zero and the remaining two are given by
$\sigma_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right)^{2}+4 \tau_{x y}^{2}}\right]$
Angle of surface normal relative to the $x$ axis is given by

$$
\theta_{p}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{p}-\sigma_{x}}{\tau_{x y}}\right)
$$

## Chapter 3

## The Behavior of Bodies under Stress

This discussion pertains to the behavior of what are commonly designated as structural materials. That is, materials suitable for structures and members that must sustain loads without suffering damage. Included in this category are most of the metals, concrete, wood, composite materials, some plastics, etc. It is beyond the scope of this book to give more than a mere statement of a few important facts concerning the behavior of a stressed material. Extensive literature is available on every phase of the subject, and the articles contained herein will serve as an introduction only.

### 3.1 Methods of Loading

The mechanical properties of a material are usually determined by laboratory tests, and the commonly accepted values of ultimate strength, elastic limit, etc., are those found by testing a specimen of a certain form in a certain manner. To apply results so obtained in engineering design requires an understanding of the effects of many different variables, such as form and scale, temperature and other conditions of service, and method of loading.
The method of loading, in particular, affects the behavior of bodies under stress. There are an infinite number of ways in which stress may be applied to a body, but for most purposes it is sufficient to distinguish the types of loading now to be defined.

1. Short-time static loading. The load is applied so gradually that at any instant all parts are essentially in equilibrium. In testing, the load is increased progressively until failure occurs, and the total time required to produce failure is not more than a few minutes. In
service, the load is increased progressively up to its maximum value, is maintained at that maximum value for only a limited time, and is not reapplied often enough to make fatigue a consideration. The ultimate strength, elastic limit, yield point, yield strength, and modulus of elasticity of a material are usually determined by short-time static testing at room temperature.
2. Long-time static loading. The maximum load is applied gradually and maintained. In testing, it is maintained for a sufficient time to enable its probable final effect to be predicted; in service, it is maintained continuously or intermittently during the life of the structure. The creep, or flow characteristics, of a material and its probable permanent strength are determined by long-time static testing at the temperatures prevailing under service conditions. (See Sec. 3.6.)
3. Repeated loading. Typically, a load or stress is applied and wholly or partially removed or reversed repeatedly. This type of loading is important if high stresses are repeated for a few cycles or if relatively lower stresses are repeated many times; it is discussed under Fatigue. (See Sec. 3.8.)
4. Dynamic loading. The circumstances are such that the rate of change of momentum of the parts must be taken into account. One such condition may be that the parts are given definite accelerations corresponding to a controlled motion, such as the constant acceleration of a part of a rotating member or the repeated accelerations suffered by a portion of a connecting rod. As far as stress effects are concerned, these loadings are treated as virtually static and the inertia forces (Sec. 16.2) are treated exactly as though they were ordinary static loads.

A second type of quasi-static loading, quick static loading, can be typified by the rapid burning of a powder charge in a gun barrel. Neither the powder, gas, nor any part of the barrel acquires appreciable radial momentum; therefore equilibrium may be considered to exist at any instant and the maximum stress produced in the gun barrel is the same as though the powder pressure had developed gradually.
In static loading and the two types of dynamic loading just described, the loaded member is required to resist a definite force. It is important to distinguish this from impact loading, where the loaded member is usually required to absorb a definite amount of energy.

Impact loading can be divided into two general categories. In the first case a relatively large slow-moving mass strikes a less massive beam or bar and the kinetic energy of the moving mass is assumed to be converted into strain energy in the beam. All portions of the beam
and the moving mass are assumed to stop moving simultaneously. The shape of the elastic axis of the deflected beam or bar is thus the same as in static loading. A special case of this loading, generally called sudden loading, occurs when a mass that is not moving is released when in contact with a beam and falls through the distance the beam deflects. This produces approximately twice the stress and deflection that would have been produced had the mass been "eased" onto the beam (see Sec. 16.4). The second case of impact loading involves the mass of the member being struck. Stress waves travel through the member during the impact and continue even after the impacting mass has rebounded (see Sec. 16.3).

On consideration, it is obvious that methods of loading really differ only in degree. As the time required for the load to be applied increases, short-time static loading changes imperceptibly into longtime static loading; impact may be produced by a body moving so slowly that the resulting stress conditions are practically the same as though equal deflection had been produced by static loading; the number of stress repetitions at which fatigue becomes involved is not altogether definite. Furthermore, all these methods of loading may be combined or superimposed in various ways. Nonetheless, the classification presented is convenient because most structural and machine parts function under loading that may be classified definitely as one of the types described.

### 3.2 Elasticity; Proportionality of Stress and Strain

In determining stress by mathematical analysis, it is customary to assume that material is elastic, isotropic, homogeneous, and infinitely divisible without change in properties and that it conforms to Hooke's law, which states that strain is proportional to stress. Actually, none of these assumptions is strictly true. A structural material is usually an aggregate of crystals, fibers, or cemented particles, the arrangement of which may be either random or systematic. When the arrangement is random the material is essentially isotropic if the part considered is large in comparison with the constituent units; when the arrangement is systematic, the elastic properties and strength are usually different in different directions and the material is anisotropic. Again, when subdivision is carried to the point where the part under consideration comprises only a portion of a single crystal, fiber, or other unit, in all probability its properties will differ from those of a larger part that is an aggregate of such units. Finally, very careful experiments show that for all materials there is probably some set and some deviation from Hooke's law for any stress, however small.

These facts impose certain limitations upon the conventional methods of stress analysis and must often be taken into account, but
formulas for stress and strain, mathematically derived and based on the assumptions stated, give satisfactory results for nearly all problems of engineering design. In particular, Hooke's law may be regarded as practically true up to a proportional limit, which, though often not sharply defined, can be established for most materials with sufficient definiteness. So, too, a fairly definite elastic limit is determinable; in most cases it is so nearly equal to the proportional limit that no distinction need be made between the two.

### 3.3 Factors Affecting Elastic Properties

For ordinary purposes it may be assumed that the elastic properties of most metals, when stressed below a nominal proportional limit, are constant with respect to stress, unaffected by ordinary atmospheric variations of temperature, unaffected by prior applications of moderate stress, and independent of the rate of loading. When precise relations between stress and strain are important, as in the design or calibration of instruments, these assumptions cannot always be made. The fourth edition of this book (Ref. 1) discussed in detail the effects of strain rate, temperature, etc., on the elastic properties of many metals and gave references for the experiments performed. The relationships between atomic and molecular structure and the elastic properties are discussed in texts on materials science.

Wood exhibits a higher modulus of elasticity and much higher proportional limit when tested rapidly than when tested slowly. The standard impact test on a beam indicates a fiber stress at the proportional limit approximately twice as great as that found by the standard static bending test. Absorption of moisture up to the fiber saturation point greatly lowers both the modulus of elasticity and the proportional limit (Ref. 2).

Both concrete and cast iron have stress-strain curves more or less curved throughout, and neither has a definite proportional limit. For these materials it is customary to define $E$ as the ratio of some definite stress (for example, the allowable stress or one-fourth the ultimate strength) to the corresponding unit strain; the quantity so determined is called the secant modulus since it represents the slope of the secant of the stress-strain diagram drawn from the origin to the point representing the stress chosen. The moduli of elasticity of cast iron are much more variable than those of steel, and the stronger grades are stiffer than the weaker ones. Cast iron suffers a distinct set from the first application of even a moderate stress; but after several repetitions of that stress, the material exhibits perfect elasticity up to, but not beyond, that stress. The modulus of elasticity is slightly less in tension than in compression.

Concrete also shows considerable variation in modulus of elasticity, and in general its stiffness increases with its strength. Like cast iron, concrete can be made to exhibit perfect elasticity up to a moderate stress by repeated loading up to that stress. Because of its tendency to yield under continuous loading, the modulus of elasticity indicated by long-time loading is much less than that obtained by progressive loading at ordinary speeds.

### 3.4 Load-Deformatlon Relation for a Body

If Hooke's law holds for the material of which a member or structure is composed, the member or structure will usually conform to a similar law of load-deformation proportionality and the deflection of a beam or truss, the twisting of a shaft, the dilation of a pressure container, etc., may in most instances be assumed proportional to the magnitude of the applied load or loads.

There are two important exceptions to this rule. One is to be found in any case where the stresses due to the loading are appreciably affected by the deformation. Examples of this are: a beam subjected to axial and transverse loads; a flexible wire or cable held at the ends and loaded transversely; a thin diaphragm held at the edges and loaded normal to its plane; a ball pressed against a plate or against another ball; and a helical spring under severe extension.
The second exception is represented by any case in which failure occurs through elastic instability, as in the compressive loading of a long, slender column. Here, for compression loads less than a specific critical (Euler) load, elastic instability plays no part and the axial deformation is linear with load. At the critical load, the type of deformation changes, and the column bends instead of merely shortening axially. For any load beyond the critical load, high bending stresses and failure occurs through excessive deflection (see Sec. 3.13).

### 3.5 Plasticity

Elastic deformation represents an actual change in the distance between atoms or molecules; plastic deformation represents a permanent change in their relative positions. In crystalline materials, this permanent rearrangement consists largely of group displacements of the atoms in the crystal lattice brought about by slip on planes of least resistance, parts of a crystal sliding past one another and in some instances suffering angular displacement. In amorphous materials, the rearrangement appears to take place through the individual shifting from positions of equilibrium of many atoms or molecules, the cause being thermal agitation due to external work and the result appearing as a more or less uniform flow like that of a viscous liquid. It
should be noted that plastic deformation before rupture is much less for biaxial or triaxial tension than for one-way stress; for this reason metals that are ordinarily ductile may prove brittle when thus stressed.

### 3.6 Creep and Rupture under Long-Time Loading

More materials will creep or flow to some extent and eventually fail under a sustained stress less than the short-time ultimate strength. After a short time at load, the initial creep related to stress redistribution in the structure and strain hardening ceases and the steady state, or viscous creep, predominates. The viscous creep will continue until fracture unless the load is reduced sufficiently, but it is seldom important in materials at temperatures less than 40 to $50 \%$ of their absolute melting temperatures. Thus, creep and long-time strength at atmospheric temperatures must sometimes be taken into account in designing members of nonferrous metals and in selecting allowable stresses for wood, plastics, and concrete.

Metals. Creep is an important consideration in high-pressure steam and distillation equipment, gas turbines, nuclear reactors, supersonic vehicles, etc. Marin, Odqvist, and Finnie, in Ref. 3, give excellent surveys and list references on creep in metals and structures. Conway (Refs. 4 and 5) discusses the effectiveness of various parametric equations, and Conway and Flagella (Ref. 6) present extensive creep-rupture data for the refractory metals. Odqvist (Ref. 7) discusses the theory of creep and its application to large deformation and stability problems in plates, shells, membranes, and beams and tabulates creep constants for 15 common metals and alloys. Hult (Ref. 8) also discusses creep theory and its application to many structural problems. Penny and Marriott (Ref. 9) discuss creep theories and the design of experiments to verify them. They also discuss the development of several metals for increased resistance to creep at high temperatures as well as polymeric and composite materials at lower temperatures. Reference 10 is a series of papers with extensive references covering creep theory, material properties, and structural problems.

Plastics. The literature on the behavior of the many plastics being used for structural or machine applications is too extensive to list here.

Concrete. Under sustained compressive stress, concrete suffers considerable plastic deformation and may flow for a very long time at stresses less than the ordinary working stress. Continuous flow has
been observed over a period of 10 years, though ordinarily it ceases or becomes imperceptible within 1 or 2 years. The rate of flow is greater for air than for water storage, greater for small than for large specimens, and for moderate stresses increases approximately as the applied stress. On removal of stress, some elastic recovery occurs. Concrete also shows creep under tensile stress, the early creep rate being greater than the flow rate under compression (Refs. 11 and 16).

Under very gradually applied loading concrete exhibits an ultimate strength considerably less than that found under short-time loading; in certain compression tests it was found that increasing the time of testing from 1 s to 4 h decreased the unit stress at failure about $30 \%$, most of this decrease occurring between the extremely quick ( 1 or 2 s ) and the conventional (several minutes) testing. This indicates that the compressive stress that concrete can sustain indefinitely may be considerably less than the ultimate strength as determined by a conventional test. On the other hand, the long-time imposition of a moderate loading appears to have no harmful effect; certain tests show that after 10 years of constant loading equal to one-fourth the ultimate strength, the compressive strength of concrete cylinders is practically the same and the modulus of elasticity is considerably greater than for similar cylinders that were not kept under load (Ref. 15).
The modulus of rupture of plain concrete also decreases with the time of loading, and some tests indicate that the long-time strength in cross-breaking may be only 55 to $75 \%$ of the short-time strength (Ref. 12).

Reference 17 is a compilation of 12 papers, each with extensive references, dealing with the effect of volumetric changes on concrete structures. Design modifications to accommodate these volumetric changes are the main thrust of the papers.

Wood. Wood also yields under sustained stress; the long-time (several years) strength is about $55 \%$ of the short-time (several minutes) strength in bending; for direct compression parallel to the grain the corresponding ratio is about 75\% (Ref. 2).

### 3.7 Criteria of Elastic Failure and of Rupture

For the purpose of this discussion it is convenient to divide metals into two classes: (1) ductile metals, in which marked plastic deformation commences at a fairly definite stress (yield point, yield strength, or possibly elastic limit) and which exhibit considerable ultimate elongation; and (2) brittle metals, for which the beginning of plastic deformation is not clearly defined and which exhibit little ultimate elongation. Mild steel is typical of the first class, and cast iron is typical of the
second; an ultimate elongation of $5 \%$ has been suggested as the arbitrary dividing line between the two classes of metals.
A ductile metal is usually considered to have failed when it has suffered elastic failure, i.e., when marked plastic deformation has begun. Under simple uniaxial tension this occurs when the stress reaches a value we will denote by $\sigma_{y s}$, which represents the yield strength, yield point, or elastic limit, according to which one of these is the most satisfactory indication of elastic failure for the material in question. The question arises, when does elastic failure occur under other conditions of stress, such as compression, shear, or a combination of tension, compression, and shear?

There are many theories of elastic failure that can be postulated for which the consequences can be seen in the tensile test. When the tensile specimen begins to yield at a tensile stress of $\sigma_{y s}$, the following events occur:

1. The maximum-principal-stress theory: the maximum principal stress reaches the tensile yield strength, $\sigma_{y s}$.
2. The maximum-shear-stress theory (also called the Tresca theory): the maximum shear stress reaches the shear yield strength, $0.5 \sigma_{y s}$.
3. The maximum-principal-strain theory: the maximum principal strain reaches the yield strain, $\sigma_{y s} / E$.
4. The maximum-strain-energy theory: the strain energy per unit volume reaches a maximum of $0.5 \sigma_{y s}^{2} / E$.
5. The maximum-distortion-energy theory (also called the von Mises theory and the Maxwell-Huber-Hencky-von Mises theory): the energy causing a change in shape (distortion) reaches $[(1+v) /(3 E)] \sigma_{y s}^{2}$.
6. The maximum-octahedral-shear-stress theory: the shear stress acting on each of eight (octahedral) surfaces containing a hydrostatic normal stress, $\sigma_{\text {ave }}=\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}\right) / 3$, reaches a value of $\sqrt{2} \sigma_{y s} / 3$. It can be shown that this theory yields identical conditions as that provided by the maximum-distortion-energy theory.

Of these six theories, for ductile materials, the fifth and sixth are the ones that agree best with experimental evidence. However, the second leads to results so nearly the same and is simpler and more conservative for design applications. Thus, it is more widely used as a basis for design.

Failure theories for yield of ductile materials are based on shear or distortion. The maximum-distortion-energy theory equates the distortion energy for a general case of stress to the distortion energy when a
simple tensile specimen yields. In terms of the principal stresses the distortion energy for the general case can be shown to be (see Ref. 59)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{d}=\frac{1+v}{6 E}\left[\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{3.7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the simple tensile test, yielding occurs when $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{y s}$, and $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{3}=0$. From Eq. (3.7-1), this gives a distortion energy at yield of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{d}\right)_{y}=\frac{1+v}{3 E} \sigma_{y s}^{2} \tag{3.7-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the energy for the general case, Eq. (3.7-1), to that for yield, Eq. (3.7-2), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{0.5\left[\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}\right]}=\sigma_{y s} \tag{3.7-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For yield under a single, uniaxial state of stress, the stress would be equated to $\sigma_{y s}$. Thus, for yield, a single, uniaxial stress equivalent to the general state of stress is equated to the left-hand side of Eq. (3.7-3). This equivalent stress is called the von Mises stress, $\sigma_{v M}$, and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{v M}=\sqrt{0.5\left[\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}\right]} \tag{3.7-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the maximum-distortion-energy theory predicts elastic failure when the von Mises stress reaches the yield strength.

The maximum-octahedral-shear-stress theory yields identical results to that of the maximum-distortion-energy theory (see Ref. 59). Through stress transformation, a stress element can be isolated in which all normal stresses on it are equal. These normal stresses are the averages of the normal stresses of the stress matrix, which are also the averages of the principal stresses and are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\text {ave }}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}+\sigma_{z}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}\right) \tag{3.7-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The element with these normal stresses is an octahedron where the eight surfaces are symmetric with respect to the principal axes. The directional cosines of the normals of these surfaces, relative to the principal axes, are eight combinations of $\pm 1 / \sqrt{3}$ (e.g., one set is $1 / \sqrt{3}$, $1 / \sqrt{3}, 1 / \sqrt{3}$; another is $1 / \sqrt{3},-1 \sqrt{3}, 1 / \sqrt{3}$; etc.). The octahedron is as shown in Fig. 3.1. The shear stresses on these surfaces are also equal, called the octahedral shear stresses, and are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{oct}}=\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(\sigma_{3}-\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.7-6}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.1 Octahedral surfaces containing octahedral shear stresses (shown relative to the principal axes, with only one set of stresses displayed).

Again, for the simple tensile test, yield occurs when $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{y s}$, and $\sigma_{2}=$ $\sigma_{3}=0$. From Eq. (3.7-6), this gives an octahedral shear stress at yield of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{o c t}\right)_{y}=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sigma_{y s} \tag{3.7-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating Eqs. (3.7-6) and (3.7-7) results in Eq. (3.7-3) again, proving that the maximum-octahedral-shear-stress theory is identical to the maximum-distortion-energy theory.

The maximum-shear-stress theory equates the maximum shear stress for a general state of stress to the maximum shear stress obtained when the tensile specimen yields. If the principal stresses are ordered such that $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \sigma_{3}$, the maximum shear stress is given by $0.5\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)$ (see Sec. 2.3, Eq. 2.3-25). The maximum shear stress obtained when the tensile specimen yields is $0.5 \sigma_{y s}$. Thus, the condition for elastic failure for the maximum-shear-stress theory is $\dagger$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}=\sigma_{y s} \tag{3.7-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The criteria just discussed concern the elastic failure of material. Such failure may occur locally in a member and may do no real damage if the volume of material affected is so small or so located as to have

[^6]only negligible influence on the form and strength of the member as a whole. Whether or not such local overstressing is significant depends upon the properties of the material and the conditions of service. Fatigue properties, resistance to impact, and mechanical functioning are much more likely to be affected than static strength, and a degree of local overstressing that would constitute failure in a high-speed machine part might be of no consequence whatever in a bridge member.

A brittle material cannot be considered to have definitely failed until it has broken, which can occur either through a tensile fracture, when the maximum tensile stress reaches the ultimate strength, or through what appears to be a shear fracture, when the maximum compressive stress reaches a certain value. The fracture occurs on a plane oblique to the maximum compressive stress but not, as a rule, on the plane of maximum shear stress, and so it cannot be considered to be purely a shear failure (see Ref. 14). The results of some tests on glass and Bakelite (Ref. 26) indicate that for these brittle materials either the maximum stress or the maximum strain theory affords a satisfactory criterion of rupture while neither the maximum shear stress nor the constant energy of distortion theory does. These tests also indicate that strength increases with rate of stress application and that the increase is more marked when the location of the most stressed zone changes during the loading (pressure of a sphere on a flat surface) than when this zone is fixed (axial tension).

Another failure theory that is applicable to brittle materials is the Coulomb-Mohr theory of failure. Brittle materials have ultimate compressive strengths $\sigma_{u c}$ greater than their ultimate tensile strengths $\sigma_{u t}$, and therefore both a uniaxial tensile test and a uniaxial compressive test must be run to use the Coulomb-Mohr theory. First we draw on a single plot both Mohr's stress circle for the tensile test at the instant of failure and Mohr's stress circle for the compressive test at the instant of failure; then we complete a failure envelope simply by drawing a pair of tangent lines to the two circles, as shown in Fig. 3.2.

Failure under a complex stress situation is expected if the largest of the three Mohr circles for the given situation touches or extends outside the envelope just described. If all normal stresses are tensile, the results coincide with the maximum stress theory. For a condition where the three principal stresses are $\sigma_{A}, \sigma_{B}$, and $\sigma_{C}$, as shown in Fig. 3.2 , failure is being approached but will not take place unless the dashed circle passing through $\sigma_{A}$ and $\sigma_{C}$ reaches the failure envelope.

The accurate prediction of the breaking strength of a member composed of brittle metal requires a knowledge of the effect of form and scale, and these effects are expressed by the rupture factor (see Sec. 3.11). In addition, what has been said here concerning brittle metals applies also to any essentially isotropic brittle material.

Thus far, our discussion of failure has been limited to isotropic materials. For wood, which is distinctly anisotropic, the possibility of
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failure in each of several ways and directions must be taken into account, viz.: (1) by tension parallel to the grain, which causes fracture; (2) by tension transverse to the grain, which causes fracture; (3) by shear parallel to the grain, which causes fracture; (4) by compression parallel to the grain, which causes gradual buckling of the fibers usually accompanied by a shear displacement on an oblique plane; (5) by compression transverse to the grain, which causes sufficient deformation to make the part unfit for service. The unit stress producing each of these types of failure must be ascertained by suitable tests (Ref. 2).
Another anisotropic class of material of consequence is that of the composites. It is well known that composite members (see Secs. 7.3, 8.2, and Appendix C), such as steel reinforced concrete beams, more effectively utilize the more expensive, higher-strength materials in high-stress areas and the less expensive, lower-strength materials in the low-stress areas. Composite materials accomplish the same effect at microstructural and macrostructural levels. Composite materials come in many forms, but are generally formulated by embedding a reinforcement material in the form of fibers, flakes, particles, or laminations, in a randomly or orderly oriented fashion within a base matrix of polymeric, metallic, or ceramic material. For more detail properties of composites, see Ref. 60.

### 3.8 Fatigue

Practically all materials will break under numerous repetitions of a stress that is not as great as the stress required to produce immediate rupture. This phenomenon is known as fatigue.

Over the past 100 years the effects of surface condition, corrosion, temperature, etc., on fatigue properties have been well documented, but only in recent years has the microscopic cause of fatigue damage been attributed to cyclic plastic flow in the material at the source of a fatigue crack (crack initiation) or at the tip of an existing fatigue crack (crack propagation; Ref. 20). The development of extremely sensitive extensometers has permitted the separation of elastic and plastic strains when testing axially loaded specimens over short gage lengths. With this instrumentation it is possible to determine whether cyclic loading is accompanied by significant cyclic plastic strain and, if it is, whether the cyclic plastic strain continues at the same level, increases, or decreases. Sandor (Ref. 44) discusses this instrumentation and its use in detail.

It is not feasible to reproduce here even a small portion of the fatigue data available for various engineering materials. The reader should consult materials handbooks, manufacturers' literature, design manuals, and texts on fatigue. See Refs. 44 to 48 . Some of the more important factors governing fatigue behavior in general will be outlined in the following material.

Number of cycles to failure. Most data concerning the number of cycles to failure are presented in the form of an $S-N$ curve where the cyclic stress amplitude is plotted versus the number of cycles to failure. This generally leads to a straight-line $\log -\log$ plot if we account for the scatter in the data. For ferrous metals a lower limit exists on the stress amplitude and is called the fatigue limit, or endurance limit. This generally occurs at a life of from $10^{5}$ to $10^{7}$ cycles of reversed stress, and we assume that stresses below this limit will not cause failure regardless of the number of repetitions. With the ability to separate elastic and plastic strains accurately, there are instances when a plot of plastic-strain amplitudes versus $N$ and elastic-strain amplitudes versus $N$ will reveal more useful information (Refs. 44 and 45).

Method of loading and size of specimen. Uniaxial stress can be produced by axial load, bending, or a combination of both. In flatplate bending, only the upper and lower surfaces are subjected to the full range of cyclic stress. In rotating bending, all surface layers are similarly stressed, but in axial loading, the entire cross section is subjected to the same average stress. Since fatigue properties of a material depend upon the statistical distribution of defects throughout the specimen, it is apparent that the three methods of loading will produce different results.

In a similar way, the size of a bending specimen will affect the fatigue behavior while it will have little effect on an axially loaded
specimen. Several empirical formulas have been proposed to represent the influence of size on a machine part or test specimen in bending. For steel, Moore (Ref. 38) suggests the equation

$$
\sigma_{e}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{0.016}{d^{\prime}}\right)=\sigma_{e}^{\prime \prime}\left(1-\frac{0.016}{d^{\prime \prime}}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{e}^{\prime}$ is the endurance limit for a specimen of diameter $d^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{e}^{\prime \prime}$ is the endurance limit for a specimen of diameter $d^{\prime \prime}$. This formula was based on test results obtained with specimens from 0.125 to 1.875 inches in diameter and shows good agreement within that size range. Obviously it cannot be used for predicting the endurance limit of very small specimens. The few relevant test results available indicate a considerable decrease in endurance limit for very large diameters (Refs. 22-24).

Stress concentrations. Fatigue failures occur at stress levels less than those necessary to produce the gross yielding which would blunt the sharp rise in stress at a stress concentration. It is necessary, therefore, to apply the fatigue strengths of a smooth specimen to the peak stresses expected at the stress concentrations unless the size of the stress-concentrating notch or fillet approaches the grain size or the size of an anticipated defect in the material itself (see Factor of stress concentration in fatigue in Sec. 3.10). References 40 and 41 discuss the effect of notches on low-cycle fatigue.

Surface conditions. Surface roughness constitutes a kind of stress raiser. Discussion of the effect of surface coatings and platings is beyond the scope of this book (see Refs. 28 and 36).

Corrosion fatigue. Under the simultaneous action of corrosion and repeated stress, the fatigue strength of most metals is drastically reduced, sometimes to a small fraction of the strength in air, and a true endurance limit can no longer be said to exist. Liquids and gases not ordinarily thought of as especially conducive to corrosion will often have a very deleterious effect on fatigue properties, and resistance to corrosion is more important than normal fatigue strength in determining the relative rating of different metals (Refs. 24, 25, and 31).

Range of stress. Stressing a ductile material beyond the elastic limit or yield point in tension will raise the elastic limit for subsequent cycles but lower the elastic limit for compression. The consequence of this Bauschinger effect on fatigue is apparent if one accepts the statement that fatigue damage is a result of cyclic plastic flow; i.e.,
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if the range of cyclic stress is reduced sufficiently, higher peak stresses can be accepted without suffering continuing damage.
Various empirical formulas for the endurance limit corresponding to any given range of stress variation have been suggested, the most generally accepted of which is expressed by the Goodman diagram or some modification thereof. Figure 3.3 shows one method of constructing this diagram. In each cycle, the stress varies from a maximum value $\sigma_{\text {max }}$ to a minimum value $\sigma_{\text {min }}$, either of which is plus or minus according to whether it is tensile or compressive. The mean stress is

$$
\sigma_{m}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{\max }+\sigma_{\min }\right)
$$

and the alternating stress is

$$
\sigma_{a}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{\max }-\sigma_{\min }\right)
$$

the addition and subtraction being algebraic. With reference to rectangular axes, $\sigma_{m}$ is measured horizontally and $\sigma_{a}$ vertically. Obviously when $\sigma_{m}=0$, the limiting value of $\sigma_{a}$ is the endurance limit for fully reversed stress, denoted here by $\sigma_{e}$. When $\sigma_{a}=0$, the limiting value of $\sigma_{m}$ is the ultimate tensile strength, denoted here by $\sigma_{u}$. Points $A$ and $B$ on the axes are thus located.
According to the Goodman theory, the ordinate to a point on the straight line $A B$ represents the maximum alternating stress $\sigma_{a}$ that can be imposed in conjunction with the corresponding mean stress $\sigma_{m}$. Any point above $A B$ represents a stress condition that would eventually cause failure; any point below $A B$ represents a stress condition with more or less margin of safety. A more conservative construction, suggested by Soderberg (Ref. 13), is to move point $B$ back to $\sigma_{y s}$, the yield strength. A less conservative but sometimes preferred construction, proposed by Gerber, is to replace the straight line by the parabola.

The Goodman diagrams described can be used for steel and for aluminum and titanium alloys, but for cast iron many test results fall below the straight line $A B$ and the lower curved line, suggested by Smith (Ref. 21), is preferred. Test results for magnesium alloys also sometimes fall below the straight line.

Figure 3.3 represents conditions where $\sigma_{m}$ is tensile. If $\sigma_{m}$ is compressive, $\sigma_{a}$ is increased; and for values of $\sigma_{m}$ less than the compression yield strength, the relationship is represented approximately by the straight line $A B$ extended to the left with the same slope. When the mean stress and alternating stress are both torsional, $\sigma_{a}$ is practically constant until $\sigma_{m}$ exceeds the yield strength in shear; and for alternating bending combined with mean torsion, the same thing is true. But when $\sigma_{m}$ is tensile and $\sigma_{a}$ is torsional, $\sigma_{a}$ diminishes as $\sigma_{m}$ increases in almost the manner represented by the Goodman line. When stress concentration is to be taken into account, the accepted practice is to apply $K_{f}$ (or $K_{t}$ if $K_{f}$ is not known) to $\sigma_{a}$ only, not to $\sigma_{m}$ (for $K_{t}$ and $K_{f}$, see Sec. 3.10).

Residual stress. Since residual stresses, whether deliberately introduced or merely left over from manufacturing processes, will influence the mean stress, their effects can be accounted for. One should be careful, however, not to expect the beneficial effects of a residual stress if during the expected life of a structure it will encounter overloads sufficient to change the residual-stress distribution. Sandor (Ref. 44) discusses this in detail and points out that an occasional overload might be beneficial in some cases.

The several modified forms of the Goodman diagram are used for predicting the stress levels which will form cracks, but other more extensive plots such as the Haigh diagram (Ref. 45) can be used to predict in addition the stress levels for which cracks, once formed, will travel, fatigue lives, etc.

Combined stress. No one of the theories of failure in Sec. 3.7 can be applied to all fatigue loading conditions. The maximum-distortionenergy theory seems to be conservative in most cases, however. Reference 18 gives a detailed description of an acceptable procedure for designing for fatigue under conditions of combined stress. The procedure described also considers the effect of mean stress on the cyclic stress range. Three criteria for failure are discussed: gross yielding, crack initiation, and crack propagation. An extensive discussion of fatigue under combined stress is found in Refs. 27, 31, and 45.

Stress history. A very important question and one that has been given much attention is the influence of previous stressing on fatigue
strength. One theory that has had considerable acceptance is the linear damage law (Miner in Ref. 27); here the assumption is made that the damage produced by repeated stressing at any level is directly proportional to the number of cycles. Thus, if the number of cycles producing failure ( $100 \%$ damage) at a stress range $\sigma_{1}$ is $N_{1}$, then the proportional damage produced by $N$ cycles of the stress is $N / N_{1}$ and stressing at various stress levels for various numbers of cycles causes cumulative damage equal to the summation of such fractional values. Failure occurs, therefore, when $\sum N / N_{1}=1$. The formula implies that the effect of a given number of cycles is the same, whether they are applied continuously or intermittently, and does not take into account the fact that for some metals understressing (stressing below the endurance limit) raises the endurance limit. The linear damage law is not reliable for all stress conditions, and various modifications have been proposed, such as replacing 1 in the formula by a quantity $x$ whose numerical value, either more or less than unity, must be determined experimentally. Attempts have been made to develop a better theory (e.g., Corten and Dolan, Freudenthal and Gumbel, in Ref. 32). Though all the several theories are of value when used knowledgeably, it does not appear that as yet any generally reliable method is available for predicting the life of a stressed part under variable or random loading. (See Refs. 19 and 39.) See Refs. 44 and 45 for a more detailed discussion.

A modification of the foil strain gage called an $S-N$ fatigue life gage (Refs. 33 and 34) measures accumulated plastic deformation in the form of a permanent change in resistance. A given total change in resistance can be correlated with the damage necessary to cause a fatigue failure in a given material.

### 3.9 Brittle Fracture

Brittle fracture is a term applied to an unexpected brittle failure of a material such as low-carbon steel where large plastic strains are usually noted before actual separation of the part. Major studies of brittle fracture started when failures such as those of welded ships operating in cold seas led to a search for the effect of temperature on the mode of failure. For a brittle fracture to take place the material must be subjected to a tensile stress at a location where a crack or other very sharp notch or defect is present and the temperature must be lower than the so-called transition temperature. To determine a transition temperature for a given material, a series of notched specimens is tested under impact loading, each at a different temperature, and the ductility or the energy required to cause fracture is noted. There will be a limited range of temperatures over which the ductility or fracture energy will drop significantly. Careful examination of the
fractured specimens will show that the material at the root of the notch has tried to contract laterally. Where the fracture energy is large, there is evidence of a large lateral contraction; and where the fracture energy is small, the lateral contraction is essentially zero. In all cases the lateral contraction is resisted by the adjacent less stressed material. The deeper and sharper cracks have relatively more material to resist lateral contraction. Thicker specimens have a greater distance over which to build up the necessary triaxial tensile stresses that lead to a tensile failure without producing enough shear stress to cause yielding. Thus, the term transition temperature is somewhat relative since it depends upon notch geometry as well as specimen size and shape. Since yielding is a flow phenomenon, it is apparent that rate of loading is also important. Static loading of sufficient intensity may start a brittle fracture, but it can continue under much lower stress levels owing to the higher rate of loading.

The ensuing research in the field of fracture mechanics has led to the development of both acceptable theories and experimental techniques, the discussion of which is beyond the scope of this book. Users should examine Refs. 49-58 for information and for extensive bibliographies.

### 3.10 Stress Concentration

The distribution of elastic stress across the section of a member may be nominally uniform or may vary in some regular manner, as illustrated by the linear distribution of stress in flexure. When the variation is abrupt so that within a very short distance the intensity of stress increases greatly, the condition is described as stress concentration. It is usually due to local irregularities of form such as small holes, screw threads, scratches, and similar stress raisers. There is obviously no hard and fast line of demarcation between the rapid variation of stress brought about by a stress raiser and the variation that occurs in such members as sharply curved beams, but in general the term stress concentration implies some form of irregularity not inherent in the member as such but accidental (tool marks) or introduced for some special purpose (screw thread).
The maximum intensity of elastic stress produced by many of the common kinds of stress raisers can be ascertained by mathematical analysis, photoelastic analysis, or direct strain measurement and is usually expressed by the stress concentration factor. This term is defined in Appendix B, but its meaning may be made clearer by an example. Consider a straight rectangular beam, originally of uniform breadth $b$ and depth $D$, which has had cut across the lower face a fairly sharp transverse V-notch of uniform depth $h$, making the net depth of the beam section at that point $D-h$. If now the beam is subjected to a uniform bending moment $M$, the nominal fiber stress at the root of the
notch may be calculated by ordinary flexure formula $\sigma=M c / I$, which here reduces to $\sigma=6 M /\left[b(D-h)^{2}\right]$. But the actual stress $\sigma^{\prime}$ is very much greater than this because of the stress concentration that occurs at the root of the notch. The ratio $\sigma^{\prime} / \sigma$, actual stress divided by nominal stress, is the stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ for this particular case. Values of $K_{t}$ for a number of common stress raisers are given in Table 17.1. The most complete single source for numerical values of stress concentration factors is Peterson (Ref. 42). It also contains an extensive bibliography.

The abrupt variation and high local intensity of stress produced by stress raisers are characteristics of elastic behavior. The plastic yielding that occurs on overstressing greatly mitigates stress concentration even in relatively brittle materials and causes it to have much less influence on breaking strength than might be expected from a consideration of the elastic stresses only. The practical significance of stress concentration therefore depends on circumstances. For ductile metal under static loading it is usually (though not always) of little or no importance; for example, the high stresses that occur at the edges of rivet holes in structural steel members are safely ignored, the stress due to a tensile load being assumed uniform on the net section. (In the case of eyebars and similar pin-connected members, however, a reduction of $25 \%$ in allowable stress on the net section is recommended.) For brittle material under static loading, stress concentration is often a serious consideration, but its effect varies widely and cannot be predicted either from $K_{t}$ or from the brittleness of the material (see Ref. 35).

What may be termed the stress concentration factor at rupture, or the strength reduction factor, represents the significance of stress concentration for static loading. This factor, which will be denoted by $K_{r}$ is the ratio of the computed stress at rupture for a plain specimen to the computed stress at rupture for the specimen containing the stress raiser. For the case just described, it would be the ratio of the modulus of rupture of the plain beam to that of the notched beam, the latter being calculated for the net section. $K_{r}$ is therefore a ratio of stresses, one or both of which may be fictitious, but is nonetheless a measure of the strength-reducing effect of stress concentration. Some values of $K_{r}$ are given in Table 17 of Ref. 1.
It is for conditions involving fatigue that stress concentration is most important. Even the most highly localized stresses, such as those produced by small surface scratches, may greatly lower the apparent endurance limit, but materials vary greatly in notch sensitivity, as susceptibility to this effect is sometimes called. Contrary to what might be expected, ductility (as ordinarily determined by axial testing) is not a measure of immunity to stress concentration in fatigue; for example, steel is much more susceptible than cast iron. What may be
termed the fatigue stress concentration factor $K_{f}$ is the practical measure of notch sensitivity. It is the ratio of the endurance limit of a plain specimen to the nominal stress at the endurance limit of a specimen containing the stress raiser.
A study of available experimental data shows that $K_{f}$ is almost always less, and often significantly less, than $K_{t}$, and various methods for estimating $K_{f}$ from $K_{t}$ have been proposed. Neuber (Ref. 37) proposes the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{f}=1+\frac{K_{t}-1}{1+\pi \sqrt{\rho^{\prime} / \rho} /(\pi-\omega)} \tag{3.10-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is the flank angle of the notch (called $\theta$ in Table 17.1), $\rho$ is the radius of curvature (in inches) at the root of the notch (called $r$ in Table 17.1), and $\rho^{\prime}$ is a dimension related to the grain size, or size of some type of basic building block, of the material and may be taken as 0.0189 in for steel.

All the methods described are valuable and applicable within certain limitations, but none can be applied with confidence to all situations (Ref. 29). Probably none of them gives sufficient weight to the effect of scale in the larger size range. There is abundant evidence to show that the significance of stress concentration increases with size for both static and repeated loading, especially the latter.

An important fact concerning stress concentration is that a single isolated notch or hole has a worse effect than have a number of similar stress raisers placed close together; thus, a single V-groove reduces the strength of a part more than does a continuous screw thread of almost identical form. The deleterious effect of an unavoidable stress raiser can, therefore, be mitigated sometimes by juxtaposing additional form irregularities of like nature, but the actual superposition of stress raisers, such as the introduction of a small notch in a fillet, may result in a stress concentration factor equal to or even exceeding the product of the factors for the individual stress raisers (Refs. 30 and 43).

### 3.11 Effect of Form and Scale on Strength; Rupture Factor

It has been pointed out (Sec. 3.7) that a member composed of brittle material breaks in tension when the maximum tensile stress reaches the ultimate strength or in shear when the maximum compressive stress reaches a certain value. In calculating the stress at rupture in such a member it is customary to employ an elastic-stress formula; thus the ultimate fiber stress in a beam is usually calculated by the ordinary flexure formula. It is known that the result (modulus of rupture) is not a true stress, but it can be used to predict the strength of a similar beam of the same material. However, if another beam of
the same material but of different cross section, span/depth ratio, size, or manner of loading and support is tested, the modulus of rupture will be found to be different. (The effect of the shape of the section is often taken into account by the form factor, and the effects of the span/depth ratio and manner of loading are recognized in the testing procedure.) Similarly, the calculated maximum stress at rupture in a curved beam, flat plate, or torsion member is not equal to the ultimate strength of the material, and the magnitude of the disparity will vary greatly with the material, form of the member, manner of loading, and absolute scale. In order to predict accurately the breaking load for such a member, it is necessary to take this variation into account, and the rupture factor (defined in Appendix B) provides a convenient means of doing so. Values of the rupture factor for a number of materials and types of members are given in Table 18 of Ref. 1.

On the basis of many experimental determinations of the rupture factor (Ref. 35) the following generalizations may be made:

1. The smaller the proportional part of the member subjected to high stress, the larger the rupture factor. This is exemplified by the facts that a beam of circular section exhibits a higher modulus of rupture than a rectangular beam and that a flat plate under a concentrated center load fails at a higher computed stress than one uniformly loaded. The extremes in this respect are, on the one hand, a uniform bar under axial tension for which the rupture factor is unity and, on the other hand, a case of severe stress concentration such as a sharply notched bar for which the rupture factor may be indefinitely large.
2. In the flexure of statically indeterminate members, the redistribution of bending moments that occurs when plastic yielding starts at the most highly stressed section increases the rupture factor. For this reason a flat plate gives a higher value than a simple beam, and a circular ring gives a higher value than a portion of it tested as a statically determinate curved beam.
3. The rupture factor seems to vary inversely with the absolute scale for conditions involving abrupt stress variation, which is consistent with the fact (already noted) that for cases of stress concentration both $K_{r}$ and $K_{f}$ diminish with the absolute scale.
4. As a rule, the more brittle the material, the more nearly all rupture factors approach unity. There are, however, many exceptions to this rule. It has been pointed out (Sec. 3.10) that immunity to notch effect even under static loading is not always proportional to ductility.

The practical significance of these facts is that for a given material and given factor of safety, some members may be designed with a
much higher allowable stress than others. This fact is often recognized in design; for example, the allowable stress for wooden airplane spars varies according to the form factor and the proportion of the stress that is flexural.

What has been said here pertains especially to comparatively brittle materials, i.e., materials for which failure consists in fracture rather than in the beginning of plastic deformation. The effect of form on the ultimate strength of ductile members is less important, although even for steel the allowable unit stress is often chosen with regard to circumstances such as those discussed previously. For instance, in gun design the maximum stress is allowed to approach and even exceed the nominal elastic limit, the volume of material affected being very small, and in structural design extreme fiber stresses in bending are permitted to exceed the value allowed for axial loading. In testing, account must be taken of the fact that some ductile metals exhibit a higher ultimate strength when fracture occurs at a reduced section such as would be formed in a tensile specimen by a concentric groove or notch. Whatever effect of stress concentration may remain during plastic deformation is more than offset by the supporting action of the shoulders, which tends to prevent the normal "necking down."

### 3.12 Prestressing

Parts of an elastic system, by accident or design, may have introduced into them stresses that cause and are balanced by opposing stresses in other parts, so that the system reaches a state of stress without the imposition of any external load. Examples of such initial, or locked-up, stresses are the temperature stresses in welded members, stresses in a statically indeterminate truss due to tightening or "rigging" some of the members by turnbuckles, and stresses in the flange couplings of a pipeline caused by screwing down the nuts. The effects of such prestressing upon the rigidity and strength of a system will now be considered, the assumption being made that prestressing is not so severe as to affect the properties of the material.
In discussing this subject it is necessary to distinguish two types of systems, viz. one in which the component parts can sustain reversal of stress and one in which at least some of the component parts cannot sustain reversal of stress. Examples of the first type are furnished by a solid bar and by a truss, all members of which can sustain either tension or compression. Examples of the second type are furnished by the bolt-flange combination mentioned and by a truss with wire diagonals that can take tension only.
For the first type of system, prestressing has no effect on initial rigidity. Thus a plain bar with locked-up temperature stresses will exhibit the same modulus of elasticity as a similar bar from which
these stresses have been removed by annealing; two prestressed helical springs arranged in parallel, the tension in one balancing the compression in the other, will deflect neither more nor less than the same two springs similarly placed without prestressing.

Prestressing will lower the elastic limit (or allowable load, or ultimate strength) provided that in the absence of prestressing all parts of the system reach their respective elastic limits (or allowable loads, or ultimate strengths) simultaneously. But if this relation between the parts does not exist, then prestressing may raise any or all of these quantities. One or two examples illustrating each condition may make this clear.

Consider first a plain bar that is to be loaded in axial tension. If there are no locked-up stresses, then (practically speaking) all parts of the bar reach their allowable stress, elastic limit, and ultimate strength simultaneously. But if there are locked-up stresses present, then the parts in which the initial tension is highest reach their elastic limit before other parts and the elastic limit of the bar as a whole is thus lowered. The load at which the allowable unit stress is first reached is similarly lowered, and the ultimate strength may also be reduced; although if the material is ductile, the equalization of stress that occurs during elongation will largely prevent this.
As an example of the second condition (all parts do not simultaneously reach the elastic limit or allowable stress) consider a thick cylinder under internal pressure. If the cylinder is not prestressed, the stress at the interior surface reaches the elastic limit first and so governs the pressure that may be applied. But if the cylinder is prestressed by shrinking on a jacket or wrapping with wire under tension, as is done in gun construction, then the walls are put into an initial state of compression. This compressive stress also is greatest at the inner surface, and the pressure required to reverse it and produce a tensile stress equal to the elastic limit is much greater than before. As another example, consider a composite member comprising two rods of equal length, one aluminum and the other steel, that are placed side by side to jointly carry a tensile load. For simplicity, it will be assumed that the allowable unit stresses for the materials are the same. Because the modulus of elasticity of the steel is about three times that of the aluminum, it will reach the allowable stress first and at a total load less than the sum of the allowable loads for the bars acting separately. But if the composite bar is properly prestressed, the steel being put into initial compression and the aluminum into initial tension (the ends being in some way rigidly connected to permit this), then on the application of a tensile load the two bars will reach the allowable stress simultaneously and the load-carrying capacity of the combination is thus greater than before. Similarly the elastic limit and
sometimes the ultimate strength of a composite member may be raised by prestressing.

In a system of the second type (in which all parts cannot sustain stress reversal) prestressing increases the rigidity for any load less than that required to produce stress reversal. The effect of prestressing up to that point is to make the rigidity of the system the same as though all parts were effective. Thus in the case of the truss with wire diagonals it is as though the counterwires were taking compression; in the case of the flange-bolt combination it is as though the flanges were taking tension. (If the flanges are practically rigid in comparison with the bolts, there is no deformation until the applied load exceeds the bolt tension and so the system is rigid.) When the applied load becomes large enough to cause stress reversal (to make the counterwires go slack or to separate the flanges), the effect of prestressing disappears and the system is neither more nor less rigid than a similar one not prestressed provided, of course, none of the parts has been overstressed.

The elastic limit (or allowable load, or ultimate strength) of a system of this type is not affected by prestressing unless the elastic limit (or allowable load, or ultimate strength) of one or more of the parts is reached before the stress reversal occurs. In effect, a system of this type is exactly like a system of the first type until stress reversal occurs, after which all effects of prestressing vanish.

The effects of prestressing are often taken advantage of, notably in bolted joints (flanges, cylinder heads, etc.), where high initial tension in the bolts prevents stress fluctuation and consequent fatigue, and in prestressed reinforced-concrete members, where the initially compressed concrete is enabled, in effect, to act in tension without cracking up to the point of stress reversal. The example of the prestressed thick cylinder has already been mentioned.

### 3.13 Elastic Stability

Under certain circumstances the maximum load a member will sustain is determined not by the strength of the material but by the stiffness of the member. This condition arises when the load produces a bending or a twisting moment that is proportional to the corresponding deformation. The most familiar example is the Euler column. When a straight slender column is loaded axially, it remains straight and suffers only axial compressive deformation under small loads. If while thus loaded it is slightly deflected by a transverse force, it will straighten after removal of that force. But there is obviously some axial load that will just hold the column in the deflected position, and since both the bending moment due to the load and the resisting moment due to the stresses are directly proportional to the deflection,
the load required thus to hold the column is independent of the amount of the deflection. If this condition of balance exists at stresses less than the elastic limit, the condition is called elastic stability and the load that produces this condition is called the critical load. Any increase of the load beyond this critical value is usually attended by immediate collapse of the member.
It is the compressive stresses within long, thin sections of a structure that can cause instabilities. The compressive stress can be elastic or inelastic and the instability can be global or local. Global instabilities can cause catastrophic failure, whereas local instabilities may cause permanent deformation but not necessarily a catastrophic failure. For the Euler column, when instability occurs, it is global since the entire cross section is involved in the deformation. Localized buckling of the edges of the flange in compression of a wide-flange I-beam in bending can occur. Likewise, the center of the web of a transversely loaded I-beam or plate girder in bending undergoes pure shear where along the diagonal $\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ compressive stresses are present and localized buckling is possible.

Other examples of elastic stability are afforded by a thin cylinder under external pressure, a thin plate under edge compression or edge shear, and a deep thin cantilever beam under a transverse end load applied at the top surface. Some such elements, unlike the simple column described previously, do not fail under the load that initiates elastic buckling but demonstrate increasing resistance as the buckling progresses. Such postbuckling behavior is important in many problems of shell design. Elastic stability is discussed further in Chap. 15, and formulas for the critical loads for various members and types of loadings are given in Tables 15.1 and 15.2.
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## 0 4

## Principles and Analytical Methods

Most of the formulas of mechanics of materials express the relations among the form and dimensions of a member, the loads applied thereto, and the resulting stress or deformation. Any such formula is valid only within certain limitations and is applicable only to certain problems. An understanding of these limitations and of the way in which formulas may be combined and extended for the solution of problems to which they do not immediately apply requires a knowledge of certain principles and methods that are stated briefly in the following articles. The significance and use of these principles and methods are illustrated in Part 3 by examples that accompany the discussion of specific problems.

### 4.1 Equations of Motion and of Equilibrium

The relations that exist at any instant between the motion of a body and the forces acting on it may be expressed by these two equations: (1) $F_{x}$ (the component along any line $x$ of all forces acting on a body) $=m \bar{a}_{x}$ (the product of the mass of the body and the $x$ component of the acceleration of its mass center); (2) $T_{x}$ (the torque about any line $x$ of all forces acting on the body) $=d H_{x} / d t$ (the time rate at which its angular momentum about that line is changing). If the body in question is in equilibrium, these equations reduce to (1) $F_{x}=0$ and (2) $T_{x}=0$.

These equations, Hooke's law, and experimentally determined values of the elastic constants $E, G$, and $v$ constitute the basis for the mathematical analysis of most problems of mechanics of materials. The majority of the common formulas for stress are derived by considering a portion of the loaded member as a body in equilibrium under the action of forces that include the stresses sought and then solving for these stresses by applying the equations of equilibrium.

### 4.2 Principle of Superposition

With certain exceptions, the effect (stress, strain, or deflection) produced on an elastic system by any final state of loading is the same whether the forces that constitute that loading are applied simultaneously or in any given sequence and is the result of the effects that the several forces would produce if each acted singly.
An exception to this principle is afforded by any case in which some of the forces cause a deformation that enables other forces to produce an effect they would not have otherwise. A beam subjected to transverse and axial loading is an example; the transverse loads cause a deflection that enables the longitudinal load to produce a bending effect it would not produce if acting alone. In no case does the principle apply if the deformations are so large as to alter appreciably the geometrical relations of the parts of the system.

The principle of superposition is important and has many applications. It often makes it possible to resolve or break down a complex problem into a number of simple ones, each of which can be solved separately for like stresses, deformations, etc., which are then algebraically added to yield the solution of the original problem.

### 4.3 Principle of Reciprocal Deflections

Let $A$ and $B$ be any two points of an elastic system. Let the displacement of $B$ in any direction $U$ due to force $P$ acting in any direction $V$ at $A$ be $u$; and let the displacement of $A$ in the direction $V$ due to a force $Q$ acting in the direction $U$ at $B$ be $v$. Then $P v=Q u$.

This is the general statement of the principle of reciprocal deflections. If $P$ and $Q$ are equal and parallel and $u$ and $v$ are parallel, the statement can be simplified greatly. Thus, for a horizontal beam with vertical loading and deflection understood, the principle expresses the following relation: A load applied at any point $A$ produces the same deflection at any other point $B$ as it would produce at $A$ if applied at $B$.

The principle of reciprocal deflections is a corollary of the principle of superposition and so can be applied only to cases for which that principle is valid. It can be used to advantage in many problems involving deformation. Examples of the application of the principle are given in Chaps. 8 and 11.

### 4.4 Method of Consistent Deformations (Strain Compatibility)

Many statically indeterminate problems are easily solved by utilizing the obvious relations among the deformations of the several parts or among the deformations produced by the several loads. Thus the
division of load between the parts of a composite member is readily ascertained by expressing the deformation or deflection of each part in terms of the load it carries and then equating these deformations or deflections. For example, the reaction at the supported end of a beam with one end fixed and the other supported can be found by regarding the beam as a cantilever, acted on by the actual loads and an upward end load (the reaction), and setting the resultant deflection at the support end equal to zero.
The method of consistent deformations is based on the principle of superposition; it can be applied only to cases for which that principle is valid.

### 4.5 Principles and Methods Involving Strain Energy

Strain energy is defined as the mechanical energy stored up in an elastically stressed system; formulas for the amount of strain energy developed in members under various conditions of loading are given in Part 3. It is the purpose of this article to state certain relations between strain energy and external forces that are useful in the analysis of stress and deformation. For convenience, external forces with points of application that do not move will here be called reactions, and external forces with points of application that move will be called loads.

External work equal to strain energy. When an elastic system is subjected to static loading, the external work done by the loads as they increase from zero to their maximum value is equal to the strain energy acquired by the system.

This relation may be used directly to determine the deflection of a system under a single load; for such a case, assuming a linear material, it shows that the deflection at the point of loading in the direction of the load is equal to twice the strain energy divided by the load. The relationship also furnishes a means of determining the critical load that produces elastic instability in a member. A reasonable form of curvature, compatible with the boundary conditions, is assumed, and the corresponding critical load found by equating the work of the load to the strain energy developed, both quantities being calculated for the curvature assumed. For each such assumed curvature, a corresponding approximate critical load will be found and the least load so found represents the closest approximation to the true critical load (see Refs. 3 to 5).

Method of unit loads. During the static loading of an elastic system the external work done by a constant force acting thereon is equal to the
internal work done by the stresses caused by that constant force. This relationship is the basis of the following method for finding the deflection of any given point of an elastic system: A unit force is imagined to act at the point in question and in the direction of the deflection that is to be found. The stresses produced by such a unit force will do a certain amount of internal work during the application of the actual loads. This work, which can be readily found, is equal to the work done by the unit force; but since the unit force is constant, this work is equal to the deflection sought.

If the direction of the deflection cannot be ascertained in advance, its horizontal and vertical components can be determined separately in the way described and the resultant deflection found therefrom. Examples of application of the method are given in Sec. 7.4.

Deflection, the partial derivative of strain energy. When a linear elastic system is statically loaded, the partial derivative of the strain energy with respect to any one of the applied forces is equal to the movement of the point of application of that force in the direction of that force. This relationship provides a means of finding the deflection of a beam or truss under several loads (see Refs. 3, 5, and 7).

Theorem of least work. $\dagger$ When an elastic system is statically loaded, the distribution of stress is such as to make the strain energy a minimum consistent with equilibrium and the imposed boundary conditions. This principle is used extensively in the solution of statically indeterminate problems. In the simpler type of problem (beams with redundant supports or trusses with redundant members) the first step in the solution consists in arbitrarily selecting certain reactions or members to be considered redundant, the number and identity of these being such that the remaining system is just determinate. The strain energy of the entire system is then expressed in terms of the unknown redundant reactions or stresses. The partial derivative of the strain energy with respect to each of the redundant reactions or stresses is then set equal to zero and the resulting equations solved for the redundant reactions or stresses. The remaining reactions or stresses are then found by the equations of equilibrium. An example of the application of this method is given in Sec. 7.4.

[^7]As defined by this procedure, the theorem of least work is implicit in Castigliano's theorem: It furnishes a method of solution identical with the method of consistent deflections, the deflection used being zero and expressed as a partial derivative of the strain energy. In a more general type of problem, it is necessary to determine which of an infinite number of possible stress distributions or configurations satisfies the condition of minimum strain energy. Since the development of software based on the finite-element method of analysis the electronic computer has made practicable the solution of many problems of this kind-shell analysis, elastic and plastic buckling, etc.-that formerly were relatively intractable.

### 4.6 Dimensional Analysis

Most physical quantities can be expressed in terms of mass, length, and time conveniently represented by the symbols $M, L$, and $t$, respectively. Thus velocity is $L t^{-1}$ acceleration is $L t^{-2}$, force is $M L t^{-2}$, unit stress is $M L^{-1} t^{-2}$, etc. A formula in which the several quantities are thus expressed is a dimensional formula, and the various applications of this system of representation constitute dimensional analysis.

Dimensional analysis may be used to check formulas for homogeneity, check or change units, derive formulas, and establish the relationships between similar physical systems that differ in scale (e.g., a model and its prototype). In strength of materials, dimensional analysis is especially useful in checking formulas for homogeneity. To do this, it is not always necessary to express all quantities dimensionally since it may be possible to cancel some terms. Thus it is often convenient to express force by some symbol, as $F$, until it is ascertained whether or not all terms representing force can be canceled.

For example, consider the formula for the deflection $y$ at the free end of a cantilever beam of length $l$ carrying a uniform load per unit length, $w$. This formula (Table 8.1) is

$$
y=-\frac{1}{8} \frac{w l^{4}}{E I}
$$

To test for homogeneity, omit the negative sign and the coefficient $\frac{1}{8}$ (which is dimensionless) and write the formula

$$
L=\frac{(F / L)^{4}}{\left(F / L^{2}\right) L^{4}}
$$

It is seen that $F$ cancels and the equation reduces at once to $L=L$, showing that the original equation was homogeneous.

Instead of the symbols $M, L, t$, and $F$, we can use the names of the units in which the quantities are to be expressed. Thus the above equation may be written

$$
\text { inches }=\frac{\left(\text { pounds } / \text { inch }^{2}\right)\left(\text { inches }^{4}\right)}{\left(\text { pounds } / \text { inches }^{2}\right)\left(\text { inches }^{4}\right)}=\text { inches }
$$

This practice is especially convenient if it is desired to change units. Thus it might be desired to write the above formula so that $y$ is given in inches when $l$ is expressed in feet. It is only necessary to write

$$
\text { inches }=\frac{1}{8} \frac{(\text { pounds } / \text { inch })(\text { feet } \times 12)^{4}}{\left(\text { pounds } / \text { inches }^{2}\right) \text { inches }^{4}}
$$

and the coefficient is thus found to be 2592 instead of $\frac{1}{8}$.
By what amounts to a reversal of the checking process described, it is often possible to determine the way in which a certain term or terms should appear in a formula provided the other terms involved are known. For example, consider the formula for the critical load of the Euler column. Familiarity with the theory of flexure suggests that this load will be directly proportional to $E$ and $I$. It is evident that the length $l$ will be involved in some way as yet unknown. It is also reasonable to assume that the load is independent of the deflection since both the bending moment and the resisting moment would be expected to vary in direct proportion to the deflection. We can then write $P=k E I l^{a}$, where $k$ is a dimensionless constant that must be found in some other way and the exponent $a$ shows how $l$ enters the expression. Writing the equation dimensionally and omitting $k$, we have

$$
F=\frac{F}{L^{2}} L^{4} L^{a} \quad \text { or } \quad L^{2}=L^{4+a}
$$

Equating the exponents of $L$ (as required for homogeneity) we find $a=-2$, showing that the original formula should be $P=k E I / l^{2}$. Note that the derivation of a formula in this way requires at least a partial knowledge of the relationship that is to be expressed.
A much more detailed discussion of similitude, modeling, and dimensional analysis can be found in Chaps. 15 and 8 of Refs. 6 and 7, respectively. Reference 6 includes a section where the effect of Poisson's ratio on the stresses in two- and three-dimensional problems is discussed. Since Poisson's ratio is dimensionless, it would have to be the same in model and prototype for perfect modeling and this generally is not possible. References to work on this problem are included and will be helpful.

### 4.7 Remarks on the Use of Formulas

No calculated value of stress, strength, or deformation can be regarded as exact. The formulas used are based on certain assumptions as to properties of materials, regularity of form, and boundary conditions that are only approximately true, and they are derived by mathematical procedures that often involve further approximations. In general, therefore, great precision in numerical work is not justified. Each individual problem requires the exercise of judgment, and it is impossible to lay down rigid rules of procedure; but the following suggestions concerning the use of formulas may be of value.

1. For most cases, calculations giving results to three significant figures are sufficiently precise. An exception is afforded by any calculation that involves the algebraic addition of quantities that are large in comparison with the final result (e.g., some of the formulas for beams under axial and transverse loading, some of the formulas for circular rings, and any case of superposition in which the effects of several loads tend to counteract each other). For such cases more significant figures should be carried throughout the calculations.
2. In view of uncertainties as to actual conditions, many of the formulas may appear to be unnecessarily elaborate and include constants given to more significant figures than is warranted. For this reason, we may often be inclined to simplify a formula by dropping unimportant terms, "rounding off" constants, etc. It is sometimes advantageous to do this, but it is usually better to use the formula as it stands, bearing in mind that the result is at best only a close approximation. The only disadvantage of using an allegedly "precise" formula is the possibility of being misled into thinking that the result it yields corresponds exactly to a real condition. So far as the time required for calculation is concerned, little is saved by simplification.
3. When using an unfamiliar formula, we may be uncertain as to the correctness of the numerical substitutions made and mistrustful of the result. It is nearly always possible to effect some sort of check by analogy, superposition, reciprocal deflections, comparison, or merely by judgment and common sense. Thus the membrane analogy (Sec. 5.4) shows that the torsional stiffness of any irregular section is greater than that of the largest inscribed circular section and less than that of the smallest circumscribed section. Superposition shows that the deflection and bending moment at the center of a beam under triangular loading (Table 8.1, case 2e) is the same as under an equal load uniformly distributed. The principle of reciprocal deflections shows that the stress and deflection at the center of a circular flat plate under eccentric concentrated load (Table 11.2, case 18) are the same as for an equal load uniformly distributed along a concentric circle with radius equal to the eccentricity (case 9a). Comparison
shows that the critical unit compressive stress is greater for a thin plate under edge loading than for a strip of that plate regarded as an Euler column. Common sense and judgment should generally serve to prevent the acceptance of grossly erroneous calculations.
4. A difficulty frequently encountered is uncertainty as to boundary conditions-whether a beam or flat plate should be calculated as freely supported or fixed, whether a load should be assumed uniformly or otherwise distributed, etc. In any such case it is a good plan to make bracketing assumptions, i.e., to calculate the desired quantity on the basis of each of two assumptions representing limits between which the actual conditions must lie. Thus for a beam with ends having an unknown degree of fixity, the bending moment at the center cannot be more than if the ends were freely supported and the bending moments at the ends cannot be more than if the ends were truly fixed. If so designed as to be safe for either extreme condition, the beam will be safe for any intermediate degree of fixity.
5. The stress and deflections predicted by most formulas do not account for localized effects of the loads. For example, the stresses and deflections given for a straight, simply-supported beam with a centered, concentrated lateral force only account for that due to bending. Additional compressive bearing stresses and deflections exist depending on the exact nature of the interaction of the applied and reaction forces with the beam. Normally, the state of stress and deformation at distances greater than the dimensions of the loaded regions only depend on the net effect of the localized applied and reaction forces and are independent of the form of these forces. This is an application of Saint Venant's principle (defined in Appendix B). This principle may not be reliable for thin-walled structures or for some orthotropic materials.
6. Formulas concerning the validity of which there is a reason for doubt, especially empirical formulas, should be checked dimensionally. If such a formula expresses the results of some intermediate condition, it should be checked for extreme or terminal conditions; thus an expression for the deflection of a beam carrying a uniform load over a portion of its length should agree with the corresponding expression for a fully loaded beam when the loaded portion becomes equal to the full length and should vanish when the loaded portion becomes zero.
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## Numerical Methods

The analysis of stress and deformation of the loading of simple geometric structures can usually be accomplished by closed-form techniques. As the structures become more complex, the analyst is forced to approximations of closed-form solutions, experimentation, or numerical methods. There are a great many numerical techniques used in engineering applications for which digital computers are very useful. In the field of structural analysis, the numerical techniques generally employ a method which discretizes the continuum of the structural system into a finite collection of points (or nodes) whereby mathematical relations from elasticity are formed. The most popular technique used currently is the finite element method (FEM). For this reason, most of this chapter is dedicated to a general description of the method. A great abundance of papers and textbooks have been presented on the finite element method, and a complete listing is beyond the scope of this book. However, some textbooks and historical papers are included for introductory purposes.

Other methods, some of which FEM is based upon, include trial functions via variational methods and weighted residuals, the finite difference method (FDM), structural analogues, and the boundary element method (BEM). FDM and BEM will be discussed briefly.

### 5.1 The Finite Difference Method

In the field of structural analysis, one of the earliest procedures for the numerical solutions of the governing differential equations of stressed continuous solid bodies was the finite difference method. In the finite difference approximation of differential equations, the derivatives in the equations are replaced by difference quotients of the values of the dependent variables at discrete mesh points of the domain. After imposing the appropriate boundary conditions on the structure, the
discrete equations are solved obtaining the values of the variables at the mesh points. The technique has many disadvantages, including inaccuracies of the derivatives of the approximated solution, difficulties in imposing boundary conditions along curved boundaries, difficulties in accurately representing complex geometric domains, and the inability to utilize non-uniform and non-rectangular meshes.

### 5.2 The Finite Element Method

The finite element method (FEM) evolved from the use of trial functions via variational methods and weighted residuals, the finite difference method, and structural analogues (see Table 1.1 of Ref. 1). FEM overcomes the difficulties encountered by the finite-difference method in that the solution of the differential equations of the structural problem are obtained by utilizing an integral formulation to generate a system of algebraic equations with continuous piecewisesmooth (trial) functions that approximate the unknown quantities. A geometrically complex domain of the structural problem can be systematically represented by a large, but finite, collection of simpler subdomains, called finite elements. For structural problems, the displacement field of each element is approximated by polynomials, which are interpolated with respect to preselected points (nodes) on, and possibly within, the element. The polynomials are referred to as interpolation functions, where variational or weighted residual methods (e.g. Rayleigh-Ritz, Galerkin, etc.) are applied to determine the unknown nodal values. Boundary conditions can easily be applied along curved boundaries, complex geometric domains can be modeled, and non-uniform and non-rectangular meshes can be employed.
The modern development of FEM began in the 1940s in the field of structural mechanics with the work of Hrennikoff, McHenry, and Newmark, who used a lattice of line elements (rods and beams) for the solution of stresses in continuous solids (see Refs. 2-4). In 1943, from a 1941 lecture, Courant suggested piecewise-polynomial interpolation over triangular subregions as a method to model torsional problems (see Ref. 5).

With the advent of digital computers in the 1950s, it became practical for engineers to write and solve the stiffness equations in matrix form (see Refs. 6-8). A classic paper by Turner, Clough, Martin, and Topp published in 1956 presented the matrix stiffness equations for the truss, beam, and other elements (see Ref. 9). The expression finite element is first attributed to Clough (see Ref. 10).

Since these early beginnings, a great deal of effort has been expended in the development of FEM in the areas of element formulations and computer implementation of the entire solution process. The major advances in computer technology includes the rapidly expand-
ing computer hardware capabilities, efficient and accurate matrix solver routines, and computer graphics for ease in the preprocessing stages of model building, including automatic adaptive mesh generation, and in the postprocessing stages of reviewing the solution results. A great abundance of literature has been presented on the subject, including many textbooks. A partial list of some textbooks, introductory and more comprehensive, is given at the end of this chapter. For a brief introduction to FEM and modeling techniques, see Chapters 9 and 10 , respectively, of Ref. 11.
FEM is ideally suited to digital computers, in which a continuous elastic structure (continuum) is divided (discretized) into small but finite well-defined substructures (elements). Using matrices, the continuous elastic behavior of each element is categorized in terms of the element's material and geometric properties, the distribution of loading (static, dynamic, and thermal) within the element, and the loads and displacements at the nodes of the element. The element's nodes are the fundamental governing entities of the element, since it is the node where the element connects to other elements, where elastic properties of the element are established, where boundary conditions are assigned, and where forces (contact or body) are ultimately applied. A node possesses degrees of freedom (dof's). Degrees of freedom are the translational and rotational motion that can exist at a node. At most, a node can possess three translational and three rotational degrees of freedom. Once each element within a structure is defined locally in matrix form, the elements are then globally assembled (attached) through their common nodes (dof's) into an overall system matrix. Applied loads and boundary conditions are then specified, and through matrix operations the values of all unknown displacement degrees of freedom are determined. Once this is done, it is a simple matter to use these displacements to determine strains and stresses through the constitutive equations of elasticity.

Many geometric shapes of elements are used in finite element analysis for specific applications. The various elements used in a general-purpose commercial FEM software code constitute what is referred to as the element library of the code. Elements can be placed in the following categories: line elements, surface elements, solid elements, and special purpose elements. Table 5.1 provides some, but not all, of the types of elements available for finite element analysis.

Since FEM is a numerical technique that discretizes the domain of a continuous structure, errors are inevitable. These errors are:

1. Computational errors. These are due to round-off errors from the computer floating-point calculations and the formulations of the numerical integration schemes that are employed. Most commercial
tABLE 5.1 Sample finite element library
Element
type Name
$\dagger$ These elements are also available with mid-size nodes.
finite element codes concentrate on reducing these errors and consequently the analyst generally is concerned with discretization factors.
2. Discretization errors. The geometry and the displacement distribution of a true structure vary continuously. Using a finite number of elements to model the structure introduces errors in matching geometry and the displacement distribution due to the inherent limita-
tions of the elements. For example, consider the thin plate structure shown in Fig. 5.1(a). Figure 5.1(b) shows a finite element model of the structure where three-noded, plane stress, triangular elements are employed. The plane stress triangular element has a flaw, which creates two basic problems. The element has straight sides, which remain straight after deformation. The strains throughout the plane stress triangular element are constant. The first problem, a geometric one, is the modeling of curved edges. Note that the surface of the model with a large curvature appears reasonably modeled, whereas the surface of the hole is very poorly modeled. The second problem, which is much more severe, is that the strains in various regions of the actual structure are changing rapidly, and the constant strain element will only provide an approximation of the average strain at the center of the element. So, in a nutshell, the results predicted using this model will be relatively poor. The results can be improved by significantly increasing the number of elements used (increased mesh density). Alternatively, using a better element, such as an eight-noded quadrilateral, which is more suited to the application, will provide the improved results. Due to higher-order interpolation functions, the eight-noded quadrilateral element can model curved edges and provides for a higher-order function for the strain distribution.

### 5.3 The Boundary Element Method

The boundary element method (BEM), developed more recently than FEM, transforms the governing differential equations and boundary conditions into integral equations, which are converted to contain


Figure 5.1 Discretization of a continuous structure.
surface integrals (see Refs. 12-16). Because only surface integrals remain, surface elements are used to perform the required integrations. This is the main advantage that BEM has over FEM, which requires three-dimensional elements throughout the entire volumetric domain. Boundary elements for a general three-dimensional solid are quadrilateral or triangular surface elements covering the surface area of the component. For two-dimensional and axisymmetric problems, only line elements tracing the outline of the component are necessary.

Although BEM offers some modeling advantages over FEM, the latter can analyze more types of engineering applications and is much more firmly entrenched in today's computer-aided-design (CAD) environment. Development of engineering applications of BEM are proceeding however, and more will be seen of the method in the future.
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## Chapter 6

## Experimental Methods

A structural member may be of such a form or may be loaded in such a way that the direct use of formulas for the calculation of stresses and strain produced in it is ineffective. One then must resort either to numerical techniques such as the finite element method or to experimental methods. Experimental methods can be applied to the actual member in some cases, or to a model thereof. Which choice is made depends upon the results desired, the accuracy needed, the practicality of size, and the cost associated with the experimental method. There has been a tremendous increase in the use of numerical methods over the years, but the use of experimental methods is still very effective. Many investigations make use of both numerical and experimental results to cross-feed information from one to the other for increased accuracy and cost effectiveness (see Chap. 17 in Ref. 27). Some of the more important experimental methods are described briefly in Sec. 6.1 of this chapter. Of these methods, the most popular method employs electrical resistance strain gages, and is described in more detail in Sec. 6.2. Only textbooks, reference books, handbooks, and lists of journals are referenced, since there are several organizations (see Refs. 1, 25, and 26) devoted either partially or totally to experimental methods, and a reasonable listing of papers would be excessive and soon out of date. The most useful reference for users wanting information on experimental methods is Ref. 27, the "Handbook on Experimental Mechanics," edited by A. S. Kobayashi and dedicated to the late Dr. M. Hetenyi, who edited Ref. 2. Reference 27 contains 22 chapters contributed by 27 authors under the sponsorship of the Society for Experimental Mechanics. Experimental methods applied specifically to the field of fracture mechanics are treated extensively in Refs. 13, 15, 17, 19, 22, and Chaps. 14 and 20 of Ref. 27.

### 6.1 Measurement Techniques

The determination of stresses produced under a given loading of a structural system by means of experimental techniques are based on the measurement of deflections. Since strain is directly related to (the rate of change of) deflection, it is common practice to say that the measurements made are that of strain. Stresses are then determined implicitly using the stress-strain relations. Deflections in a structural system can be measured through changes in resistance, capacitance, or inductance of electrical elements; optical effects of interference, diffraction, or refraction; or thermal emissions. Measurement is comparatively easy when the stress is fairly uniform over a considerable length of the part in question, but becomes more difficult when the stress is localized or varies greatly with position. Short gage lengths and great precision require stable gage elements and stable electronic amplification if used. If dynamic strains are to be measured, a suitable high-frequency response is also necessary. In an isotropic material undergoing uniaxial stress, one normal strain measurement is all that is necessary. On a free surface under biaxial stress conditions, two measured orthogonal normal strains will provide the stresses in the same directions of the measured strains. On a free surface under a general state of plane stress, three measured normal strains in different directions will allow the determination of the stresses in directions at that position (see Sec. 6.2). At a free edge in a member that is thin perpendicular to the free edge, the state of stress is uniaxial and, as stated earlier, can be determined from one normal strain tangent to the edge. Another tactic might be to measure the change in thickness or the through-thickness strain at the edge. This might be more practical, such as measuring the strain at the bottom of a groove in a thin plate. For example, assume an orthogonal $x y z$ coordinate system where $x$ is parallel to the edge and $z$ is in the direction of the thickness at the edge. Considering a linear, isotropic material, from Hooke's law, $\varepsilon_{z}=-v \sigma_{x} / E$. Thus, $\sigma_{x}=-E \varepsilon_{z} / v$.

The following descriptions provide many of the successful instruments and techniques used for strain measurement. They are listed in a general order of mechanical, electrical, optical, and thermal methods. Optical and thermal techniques have been greatly enhanced by advances in digital image processing technology for computers (see Chap. 21 of Ref. 27).

1. Mechanical measurement. A direct measurement of strain can be made with an Invar tape over a gage length of several meters or with a pair of dividers over a reasonable fraction of a meter. For shorter gage lengths, mechanical amplification can be used, but friction is a problem and vibration can make them difficult to mount and to
read. Optical magnification using mirrors still requires mechanical levers or rollers and is an improvement but still not satisfactory for most applications. In a laboratory setting, however, such mechanical and optical magnification can be used successfully. See Ref. 3 for more detailed descriptions. A scratch gage uses scratches on a polished target to determine strain amplitudes, and while the scratches are in general not strictly related to time, they are usually related to events in such a way as to be extremely useful in measuring some dynamic events. The scratched target is viewed with a microscope to obtain peak-to-peak strains per event, and a zero strain line can also be scratched on the target if desired (Ref. 3). The use of lasers and/or optical telescopes with electronic detectors to evaluate the motion of fiduciary marks on distant structures makes remote-displacement measurements possible, and when two such detectors are used, strains can be measured. While the technique is valuable when needed for remote measurement, generally for environmental reasons, it is an expensive technique for obtaining the strain at a single location.
2. Brittle coatings. Surface coatings formulated to crack at strain levels well within the elastic limit of most structural materials provide a means of locating points of maximum strain and the directions of principal strains. Under well-controlled environmental conditions and with suitable calibration, such coatings can yield quantitative results (Refs. 2, 3, 7, 9, 20, 21, and 27). This technique, however, is not universally applicable, since the coatings may not be readily available due to environmental problems with the coating materials.
3. Electrical strain and displacement gages. The evolution of electrical gages has led to a variety of configurations where changes in resistance, capacitance, or inductance can be related to strain and displacement with proper instrumentation (Refs. 2-5, 20, 21, 23, 24, and 27).
(a) Resistance strain gage. For the electrical resistance strain gages, the gage lengths vary from less than 0.01 in to several inches. The gage grid material can be metallic or a semiconductor. The gages can be obtained in alloys that are designed to provide minimum output due to temperature strains alone and comparatively large outputs due to stress-induced strains. Metallic bonded-foil gages are manufactured by a photoetching process that allows for a wide range of configurations of the grid(s). The semiconductor strain gages provide the largest resistance change for a given strain, but are generally very sensitive to temperature changes. They are used in transducers where proper design can provide temperature compensation The use of electrical resistance strain gages for stress analysis purposes constitute the
majority of experimental applications. For this reason, Sec. 6.2 provides further information on the use of these gages.
(b) Capacitance strain gage. Capacitance strain gages are larger and more massive than bonded electric resistance strain gages and are more widely used for applications beyond the upper temperature limits of the bonded resistance strain gages.
(c) Inductance strain gages. The change in air gap in a magnetic circuit can create a large change in inductance depending upon the design of the rest of the magnetic circuit. The large change in inductance is accompanied by a large change in force across the gap, and so the very sensitive inductance strain gages can be used only on more massive structures. They have been used as overload indicators on presses with no electronic amplification necessary. The linear relationship between core motion and output voltage of a linear differential transformer makes possible accurate measurement of displacements over a wide range of gage lengths and under a wide variety of conditions. The use of displacement data as input for work in experimental modal analysis is discussed in Chap. 16 of Ref. 27 and in many of the technical papers in Ref. 24.
4. Interferometrlc strain gages. Whole-field interferometric techniques will be discussed later, but a simple strain gage with a short length and high sensitivity can be created by several methods. In one, a diffraction grating is deposited at the desired location and in the desired direction and the change in grating pitch under strain is measured. With a metallic grid, these strain gages can be used at elevated temperatures. Another method, also useable at high temperatures, makes use of the interference of light reflected from the inclined surfaces of two very closely spaced indentations in the surface of a metallic specimen. Both of these methods are discussed and referenced in Ref. 27.
5. Photoelastic analysis. When a beam of polarized light passes through an elastically stressed transparent isotropic material, the beam may be treated as having been decomposed into two rays polarized in the planes of the principal stresses in the material. In birefringent materials the indexes of refraction of the material encountered by these two rays will depend upon the principal stresses. Therefore, interference patterns will develop which are proportional to the differences in the principal stresses.
(a) Two-dimensional analysis. With suitable optical elementspolarizers and wave plates of specific relative retardation-both the principal stress differences and the directions of principal stresses
may be determined at every point in a two-dimensional specimen (Refs. 2-6, 10, 14, 18, 27, and 28). Many suitable photoelastic plastics are available. The material properties that must be considered are transparency, sensitivity (relative index of refraction change with stress), optical and mechanical creep, modulus of elasticity, ease of machining, cost, and stability (freedom from stresses developing with time). Materials with appropriate creep properties may be used for photoplasticity studies (Ref. 16).
(b) Three-dimensional analysis. Several photoelastic techniques are used to determine stresses in three-dimensional specimens. If information is desired at a single point only, the optical polarizers, wave plates, and photoelastically sensitive material can be embedded in a transparent model (Ref. 2) and two-dimensional techniques used. A modification of this technique, stress freezing, is possible in some biphase materials. By heating, loading, cooling, and unloading, it is possible to lock permanently into the specimen, on a molecular level, strains proportional to those present under load. Since equilibrium exists at a molecular level, the specimen can be cut into twodimensional slices and all secondary principal stress differences determined. The secondary principal stresses at a point are defined as the largest and smallest normal stresses in the plane of the slice; these in general will not correspond with the principal stresses at that same point in the three-dimensional structure. If desired, the specimen can be cut into cubes and the three principal stress differences determined. The individual principal stresses at a given point cannot be determined from photoelastic data taken at that point alone since the addition of a hydrostatic stress to any cube of material would not be revealed by differences in the indexes of refraction. Mathematical integration techniques, which start at a point where the hydrostatic stress component is known, can be used with photoelastic data to determine all individual principal stresses.

A third method, scattered light photoelasticity, uses a laser beam of intense monochromatic polarized light or a similar thin sheet of light passing through photoelastically sensitive transparent models that have the additional property of being able to scatter uniformly a small portion of the light from any point on the beam or sheet. The same general restrictions apply to this analysis as applied to the stress-frozen three-dimensional analysis except that the specimen does not have to be cut. However, the amount of light available for analysis is much less, the specimen must be immersed in a fluid with an index of refraction that very closely matches that of the specimen, and in general the data are much more difficult to analyze.
(c) Photoelastic coating. Photoelastic coatings have been sprayed, bonded in the form of thin sheets, or cast directly in place on the
surface of models or structures to determine the two-dimensional surface strains. The surface is made reflective before bonding the plastics in place so the effective thickness of the photoelastic plastic is doubled and all two-dimensional techniques can be applied with suitable instrumentation.
6. Moiré techniques. All moiré techniques can be explained by optical interference, but the course-grid techniques can also be evaluated on the basis of obstructive or mechanical interference.
(a) Geometric moiré. Geometric moiré techniques use grids of alternate equally wide bands of relatively transparent or light-colored material and opaque or dark-colored material in order to observe the relative motion of two such grids. The most common technique (Refs. $2,5,8$, and 11) uses an alternate transparent and opaque grid to produce photographically a matching grid on the flat surface of the specimen. Then the full-field relative motion is observed between the reproduction and the original when the specimen is loaded. Similarly, the original may be used with a projector to produce the photographic image on the specimen and then produce interference with the projected image after loading. These methods can use ordinary white light, and the interference is due merely to geometric blocking of the light as it passes through or is reflected from the grids.
Another similar technique, shadow moiré, produces interference patterns due to motion of the specimen at right angles to its surface between an alternately transparent and opaque grid and the shadow of the grid on the specimen.
(b) Moiré interferometry. Interferometry provides a means of producing both specimen gratings and reference gratings. Virtual reference gratings of more than 100,000 lines per inch have been utilized. Moiré interferometry provides contour maps of in-plane displacements, and, with the fine pitches attainable, differentiation to obtain strains from this experimental process is comparable to that used in the finite-element method of numerical analysis where displacement fields are generally the initial output. See Chap. 7 in Ref. 27.
7. Holographic and laser speckle interferometry. The rapid evolution of holographic and laser speckle interferometry is related to the development of high-power lasers and to the development of digital computer enhancement of the resulting images. Various techniques are used to measure the several displacement components of diffuse reflecting surfaces. Details are beyond the scope of this book and are best reviewed in Chap. 8 of Ref. 27.
8. Shadow optical method of caustics. The very simple images created by the reflection or refraction of light from the surface contours of high-gradient stress concentrations such as those at the tips of cracks make the use of the shadow optical method of caustics very useful for dynamic studies of crack growth or arrest. Chapter 9 of Ref. 27 gives a detailed discussion of this technique and a comparison to photoelastic studies for the same loadings.
9. X-ray diffraction. X-ray diffraction makes possible the determination of changes in interatomic distance and thus the measurement of elastic strain. The method has the particular advantages that it can be used at points of high stress concentration and to determine residual stresses without cutting the object of investigation.
10. Stress-pattern analysis by thermal emission. This technique uses computer enhancement of infrared detection of very small temperature changes in order to produce digital output related to stress at a point on the surface of a structure, a stress graph along a line on the surface, or a full-field isopachic stress map of the surface. Under cyclic loading, at a frequency high enough to assure that any heat transfer due to stress gradients is insignificant, the thermoelastic effect produces a temperature change proportional to the change in the sum of the principal stresses. Although calibration corrections must be made for use at widely differing ambient temperatures, the technique works over a wide range of temperatures and on a variety of structural materials including metals, wood, concrete, and plain and reinforced plastics. Tests have been made on some metals at temperatures above $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Chapter 14 of Ref. 27 describes and surveys work on this technique.

### 6.2 Electrical Resistance Strain Gages

General. The use of electrical resistance strain gages is probably the most common method of measurement in experimental stress analysis. In addition, strain gage technology is quite important in the design of transducer instrumentation for the measurement of force, torque, pressure, etc.

Electrical resistance strain gages are based on the principal that the resistance $R$ of a conductor changes as a function of normal strain $\varepsilon$. The resistance of a conductor can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\rho \frac{L}{A} \tag{6.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the resistivity of the conductor (ohms-length), and $L$ and $A$ are the length and cross-sectional area of the conductor respectively. It
can be shown that a change in $R$ due to changes in $\rho, L$ and $A$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta R}{R}=(1+2 v) \varepsilon+\frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} \tag{6.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is Poisson's ratio, and assuming small strain on the conductor, $\varepsilon$, which is given by $\Delta L / L$. If the change in the resistance of the conductor is considered to be only due to the applied strain, then Eq. (6.2-2) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta R}{R}=S_{a^{\varepsilon}} \tag{6.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}=1+2 v+\frac{\Delta \rho / \rho}{\varepsilon} \tag{6.2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{a}$ is the sensitivity of the conductor to strain ${ }^{\dagger}$. The first two terms come directly from changes in dimension of the conductor where for most metals the quantity $1+2 v$ varies from 1.4 to 1.7. The last term in Eq. (6.2-4) is called the change in specific resistance relative to strain, and for some metals can account for much of the sensitivity to strain. The most commonly used material for strain gages is a copper-nickel alloy called Constantan, which has a strain sensitivity of 2.1 . Other alloys used for strain gage applications are modified Karma, Nichrome V , and Isoelastic, which have sensitivities of $2.0,2.2$, and 3.6 , respectively. The primary advantages of Constantan are:

1. The strain sensitivity $S_{a}$ is linear over a wide range of strain and does not change significantly as the material goes plastic.
2. The thermal stability of the material is excellent and is not greatly influenced by temperature changes when used on common structural materials.
3. The metallurgical properties of Constantan are such that they can be processed to minimize the error induced due to the mismatch in the thermal expansion coefficients of the gage and the structure to which it is adhered over a wide range of temperature.
[^8]Isoelastic, with a higher sensitivity, is used for dynamic applications. Semiconductor gages are also available, and can reach sensitivities as high as 175 . However, care must be exercised with respect to the poor thermal stability of these piezoresistive gages.

Most gages have a nominal resistance of 120 ohm or 350 ohm . Considering a 120 -ohm Constantan gage, to obtain a measurement of strain within an accuracy of $\pm 5 \mu$, it would be necessary to measure a change in resistance within $\pm 1.2 \mathrm{mohm}$. To measure these small changes in resistance accurately, commercial versions of the Wheatstone bridge, called strain gage indicators, are available.

Metallic alloy electrical resistance strain gages used in experimental stress analysis come in two basic types: bonded-wire and bonded-foil (see Fig. 6.1). Today, bonded-foil gages are by far the more prevalent. The resistivity of Constantan is approximately $49 \mu \mathrm{ohm} \cdot \mathrm{cm}$. Thus if a strain gage is to be fabricated using a wire 0.025 mm in diameter and is to have a resistance of 120 ohm , the gage would require a wire approximately 120 mm long. To make the gage more compact over a shorter active length, the gage is constructed with many loops as shown in Fig. 6.1. Typical commercially available bonded-foil gage lengths vary from 0.20 mm ( 0.008 in ) to 101.6 mm ( 4.000 in ). For normal applications, bonded-foil gages either come mounted on a very thin polyimide film carrier (backing) or are encapsulated between two thin films of polyimide. Other carrier materials are available for special cases such as high-temperature applications.
The most widely used adhesive for bonding a strain gage to a test structure is the pressure-curing methyl 2 -cyanoacrylate cement. Other adhesives include epoxy, polyester, and ceramic cements.


Figure 6.1 Forms of electrical resistance strain gages.

Extreme care must be exercised when installing a gage, since a good bond and an electrically insulated gage are necessary. The installation procedures can be obtained from technical instruction bulletins supplied by the manufacturer. Once a gage is correctly mounted, wired, resistance tested for continuity and insulation from the test structure, and waterproofed (if appropriate), it is ready for instrumentation and testing.

Strain Gage Configurations. In both wire or foil gages, many configurations and sizes are available. Strain gages come in many forms for transducer or stress-analysis applications. The fundamental configurations for stress-analysis work are shown in Fig. 6.2.

A strain gage is mounted on a free surface, which in general, is in a state of plane stress where the state of stress with regards to a specific $x y$ rectangular coordinate system can be unknown up to the three stresses, $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$, and $\tau_{x y}$. Thus, if the state of stress is completely unknown on a free surface, it is necessary to use a three-element rectangular or delta rosette since each gage element provides only one piece of information, the indicated normal strain at the point in the direction of the gage.

To understand how the rosettes are used, consider the three-element rectangular rosette shown in Fig. 6.3(a), which provides normal strain components in three directions spaced at angles of $45^{\circ}$.

If an $x y$ coordinate system is assumed to coincide with gages $A$ and $C$, then $\varepsilon_{x}=\varepsilon_{A}$ and $\varepsilon_{y}=\varepsilon_{C}$. Gage $B$ in conjunction with gages $A$ and $C$ provides information necessary to determine $\gamma_{x y}$. Recalling the first of Eqs. (2.4-1), $\varepsilon_{x^{\prime}}=\varepsilon_{x} \cos ^{2} \theta+\varepsilon_{y} \sin ^{2} \theta+\gamma_{x y} \cos \theta \sin \theta$, with $\theta=45^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{B} & =\varepsilon_{x} \cos ^{2} 45^{\circ}+\varepsilon_{y} \sin ^{2} 45^{\circ}+\gamma_{x y} \cos 45 \sin 45^{\circ} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{x}+\varepsilon_{y}+\gamma_{x y}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{C}+\gamma_{x y}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $\gamma_{x y}$ yields

$$
\gamma_{x y}=2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}
$$

Once $\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}$, and $\gamma_{x y}$ are known, Hooke's law [Eqs. (2.2-5) and (2.2-6a)] can be used to determine the stresses $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$, and $\tau_{x y}$.


Figure 6.2 Examples of commonly used strain gage configurations. (Source: Figures $a-c$ courtesy of BLH Electronics, Inc., Canton, MA. Figures $d-f$ courtesy of MicroMeasurements Division of Measurements Group, Inc., Raleigh, NC.) Note: The letters $S R-4$ on the BLH gages are in honor of E. E. Simmons and Arthur C. Ruge and their two assistants (a total of four individuals), who, in 1937-1938, independently produced the first bonded-wire resistance strain gage.

The relationship between $\varepsilon_{A}, \varepsilon_{B}$, and $\varepsilon_{C}$ can be seen from Mohr's circle of strain corresponding to the strain state at the point under investigation [see Fig. 6.3(b)].

The following example shows how to use the above equations for an analysis as well as how to use the equations provided in Table 6.1.

## EXAMPLE

A three-element rectangular rosette strain gage is installed on a steel specimen. For a particular state of loading of the structure the strain gage readings are ${ }^{\dagger}$

$$
\varepsilon_{A}=200 \mu, \quad \varepsilon_{B}=900 \mu, \quad \varepsilon_{C}=1000 \mu
$$

[^9]
(a) Rectangular rosette


Figure 6.3 Three-element strain gage rosette.

Determine the values and orientations of the principal stresses at the point. Let $E=200 \mathrm{GPa}$ and $v=0.285$.

Solution. From above,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varepsilon_{x}=\varepsilon_{A}=200 \mu, \quad \varepsilon_{y}=\varepsilon_{C}=1000 \mu \\
\gamma_{x y}=2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}=(2)(900)-200-1000=600 \mu
\end{gathered}
$$

The stresses can be determined using Eqs. (2.2-5) and (2.2-6a):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{x} & =\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{x}+v \varepsilon_{y}\right) \\
& =\frac{200\left(10^{9}\right)}{1-(0.285)^{2}}[200+(0.285)(1000)]\left(10^{-6}\right)=105.58\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=105.58 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\sigma_{y} & =\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\varepsilon_{y}+v \varepsilon_{x}\right) \\
& =\frac{200\left(10^{9}\right)}{1-(0.285)^{2}}[1000+(0.285)(200)]\left(10^{-6}\right)=230.09\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=230.09 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\tau_{x y} & =\frac{E}{2(1+v)} \gamma_{x y}=\frac{200\left(10^{9}\right)}{2(1+0.285)} 600\left(10^{-6}\right)=46.69\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=46.69 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 6.4( $a$ ) shows the stresses determined in the $x$ and $y$ directions as related to the gage orientation shown in Fig. 6.3(a).

For the principal stress axes, we use Eq. (2.3-23) given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right)^{2}+4 \tau_{x y}^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[105.58+230.09 \pm \sqrt{(105.58+230.09)^{2}+4(46.67)^{2}}\right] \\
& =245.65, \quad 90.01 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the orientation of the principal stress axes, using the first of Eqs. (2.3-21) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{p}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{p}-\sigma_{x}}{\tau_{x y}}\right) \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the principal stress, $\sigma_{1}=245.65 \mathrm{MPa}$, Eq. (a) gives

$$
\theta_{p 1}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{245.65-105.58}{46.69}\right)=71.6^{\circ}
$$

For the other principal stress, $\sigma_{2}=90.01 \mathrm{MPa}$

$$
\theta_{p 2}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{90.01-105.58}{46.69}\right)=-18.4^{\circ}
$$

Recalling that $\theta_{p}$ is defined positive in the counterclockwise direction, the principal stress state at the point relative to the $x y$ axes of the strain gage rosette correspond to that shown in Fig. 6.4(b).


Figure 6.4 (a) Stresses in the $x$ and $y$ directions. (b) Principal stresses.

Using the equations given in Table 6.1 at the end of the chapter,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{C}}{1-v}=\frac{200+1000}{1-0.285}=1678.3 \mu \\
& \frac{1}{1+v} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{1+0.285} \sqrt{(200-1000)^{2}+[2(900)-200-1000]^{2}}=778.2 \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{p 1}=\frac{200(10)^{9}}{2}(1678.3+778.2)=245.65 \mathrm{MPa} \\
& \sigma_{p 2}=\frac{200(10)^{9}}{2}(1678.3-778.2)=90.01 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

The principal angle is

$$
\theta_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{2(900)-200-1000}{200-1000}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{+600}{-800}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(143.13^{\circ}\right)=71.6^{\circ}
$$

counterclockwise from the $x$ axis ( $A$ gage) to $\sigma_{p 1}=245.65 \mathrm{MPa} .{ }^{\dagger}$ Note that this agrees with Fig. 6.4(b).

[^10]Strain Gage Corrections. There are many types of corrections that may be necessary to obtain accurate strain gage results (see Refs. 27 and 28). Two fundamental corrections that are necessary correct the indicated strain errors due to strains on the specimen perpendicular (transverse) to the longitudinal axis of the gage and changes in temperature of the gage installation. With each strain gage, the manufacturer provides much information on the performance of the gage, such as its sensitivity to longitudinal and transverse strain and how the sensitivity of the gage behaves relative to temperature changes.
(b) Transverse sensitivity corrections. The strain sensitivity of a single straight uniform length of conductor in a uniform uniaxial strain field $\varepsilon$ in the longitudinal direction of the conductor is given by Eq. (6.2-3), which is $S_{a}=(\Delta R / R) / \varepsilon$. In a general strain field, there will be strains perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the conductor (transverse strains). Due to the width of the conductor elements and the geometric configuration of the conductor in the gage pattern, the transverse strains will also effect a change in resistance in the conductor. This is not desirable, since only the effect of the strain in the direction of the gage length is being sought.

To further complicate things, the sensitivity of the strain gage provided by the gage manufacturer is not based on a uniaxial strain field, but that of a uniaxial stress field in a tensile test specimen. For a uniaxial stress field let the axial and transverse strains be $\varepsilon_{a}$ and $\varepsilon_{t}$ respectively. The sensitivity provided by the gage manufacturer, called the gage factor $S_{g}$, is defined as $S_{g}=(\Delta R / R) \varepsilon_{a}$, where under a uniaxial stress field, $\varepsilon_{t}=-v_{0} \varepsilon_{a}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta R}{R}=S_{g} \varepsilon_{a}, \quad \text { with } \quad \varepsilon_{t}=-v_{0} \varepsilon_{a} \tag{6.2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $v_{0}$ is Poisson's ratio of the material on which the manufacturer's gage factor was measured, and is normally taken to be 0.285 . If the gage is used under conditions where the transverse strain is $\varepsilon_{t}=-v \varepsilon_{a}$, then the equation $\Delta R / R=S_{g} \varepsilon_{a}$ would yield exact results. If $\varepsilon_{t} \neq-v_{0} \varepsilon_{a}$, then some error will occur. This error depends on the sensitivity of the gage to transverse strain and the deviation of the ratio of $\varepsilon_{t} / \varepsilon_{a}$ from $-v_{0}$. The strain gage manufacturer generally supplies a transverse sensitivity coefficient, $K_{t}$, defined as $S_{t} / S_{a}$, where $S_{t}$ is the transverse sensitivity factor. One cannot correct the indicated strain from a single strain reading. Thus it is necessary to have multiple strain readings from that of a strain gage rosette. Table 6.2 at the end of the chapter gives equations for the corrected strain values of the three most widely used strain gage rosettes. Corrected
strain readings are given by $\varepsilon$, whereas uncorrected strains from the strain gage indicator are given by $\hat{\varepsilon}$.

## EXAMPLE

In the previous example the indicated strains are $\hat{\varepsilon}_{A}=200 \mu, \hat{\varepsilon}_{B}=900 \mu$, and $\hat{\varepsilon}_{C}=1000 \mu$. Determine the principal stresses and directions if the transverse sensitivity coefficient of the gages are $K_{t A}=K_{t C}=0.05$ and $K_{t B}=0.06$.
Solution. From Table 6.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{A}= & \frac{\left(1-v_{0} K_{t A}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{A}-K_{t A}\left(1-v_{0} K_{t C}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{C}}{1-K_{t A} K_{t C}} \\
= & \frac{[1-(0.285)(0.05)](200)-(0.05)[1-(0.285)(0.05)](1000)}{1-(0.05)(0.05)} \\
= & 148.23 \mu \\
\varepsilon_{B}= & \frac{\left(1-v_{0} K_{t B}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{B}-\frac{K_{t B}}{1-K_{t A} K_{t C}}\left[\left(1-v_{0} K_{t A}\right)\left(1-K_{t C}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{A}+\left(1-v_{0} K_{t C}\right)\left(1-K_{t A}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{C}\right]}{1-K_{t B}} \\
= & \left([1-(0.285)(0.06)](900)-\frac{0.06}{[1-(0.05)(0.05)]}\right. \\
& \times\{[1-(0.285)(0.05)][1-0.05)](200)+[1-(0.285)(0.05)][1-0.05](1000)\}) \\
& \times(1-0.06)^{-1} \\
= & 869.17 \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{C} & =\frac{\left(1-v_{0} K_{t C}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{C}-K_{t C}\left(1-v_{0} K_{t A}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{A}}{1-K_{t A} K_{t C}} \\
& =\frac{[1-(0.285)(0.05)](1000)-(0.05)[1-(0.285)(0.05)](200)}{1-(0.05)(0.05)} \\
& =978.34 \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 6.1, $\dagger$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{C}}{1-v}=\frac{148.23+978.34}{1-0.285}=1575.62 \mu \\
\frac{1}{1+v} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}} \\
=\frac{1}{1+0.285} \sqrt{(148.23-978.34)^{2}+[2(869.17)-148.23-978.34]^{2}}=802.48 \mu
\end{gathered}
$$

[^11]and
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p 1} & =\frac{200(10)^{9}}{2}(1575.62+802.48)\left(10^{-6}\right)=237.8\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=237.81 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\sigma_{p 2} & =\frac{200(10)^{9}}{2}(1575.62-802.48)\left(10^{-6}\right)=90.01\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=77.31 \mathrm{MPa} \\
\theta_{p} & =\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{2(869.17)-148.23-978.34}{148.23-978.34}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{611.77}{-830.11}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(143.61^{\circ}\right)=71.8^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The principal stress element is shown in Fig. 6.5 relative to the $x y$ coordinate system of the gage rosette as shown in Fig. 6.3(a).
(b) Corrections due to temperature changes. Temperature changes on an installed strain gage cause a change in resistance, which is due to a mismatch in the thermal expansion coefficients of the gage and the specimen, a change in the resistivity of the gage material, and a change in the gage factor, $S_{g}$. This effect can be compensated for by two different methods. The first method of temperature compensation is achieved using an additional compensating gage on an adjacent arm of the Wheatstone bridge circuit. This compensating gage must be identical to the active gage, mounted on the same material as the active gage, and undergoing an identical temperature change as that of the active gage.

The second method involves calibration of the gage relative to temperature changes. The gage can be manufactured and calibrated for the application on a specific specimen material. The metallurgical properties of alloys such as Constantan and modified Karma can be processed to minimize the effect of temperature change over a limited range of temperatures, somewhat centered about room temperature. Gages processed in this manner are called self-temperaturecompensated strain gages. An example of the characteristics of a


Figure 6.5 Principal stress element corrected for transverse sensitivity.

BLH self-temperature-compensated gage specifically processed for use on a low-carbon steel is shown in Fig. 6.6. Note that the apparent strain is zero at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and approximately zero in the vicinity of these temperatures. For temperatures beyond this region, compensation can be achieved by monitoring the temperature at the strain gage site. Then, using either the curve from the data sheet or the fitted polynomial equation, the strain readings can be corrected numerically. Note, however, that the curve and the polynomial equation given on the data sheet are based on a gage factor of 2.0. If corrections are anticipated, the gage factor adjustment of the strain indicator should be set to 2.0. An example that demonstrates this correction is given at the end of this section.

The gage factor variation with temperature is also presented in the data sheet of Fig. 6.6. If the strain gage indicator is initially set at $\left(S_{g}\right)_{i}$, the actual gage factor at temperature $T$ is $\left(S_{g}\right)_{T}$, and the indicator registers a strain measurement of $\varepsilon_{\text {reading }}$, the corrected strain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\text {actual }}=\frac{\left(S_{g}\right)_{i}}{\left(S_{g}\right)_{T}} \varepsilon_{\text {reading }} \tag{6.2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 6.6 Strain gage temperature characteristsics. (Source: Data sheet courtesy BLH Electronics, Inc., Canton, MA.)
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{g}\right)_{T}=\left(1+\frac{\Delta S_{g}(\%)}{100}\right)\left(S_{g}\right)_{i} \tag{6.2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Delta S_{g}(\%)$ being the percent variation in gage factor given in Fig. 6.6.
If a simultaneous correction for apparent strain and gage factor variation is necessary, the corrected strain is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\text {actual }}=\frac{\left(S_{g}\right)_{i}}{\left(S_{g}\right)_{T}}\left(\varepsilon_{\text {reading }}-\varepsilon_{\text {apparent }}\right) \tag{6.2-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE

A strain gage with the characteristics of Fig. 6.6 has a room-temperature gage factor of 2.1 and is mounted on a 1018 steel specimen. A strain measurement of $-1800 \mu$ is recorded during the test when the temperature is $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Determine the value of actual test strain if:
(a) the gage is in a half-bridge circuit with a dummy temperature compensating gage and prior to testing, the indicator is zeroed with the gage factor set at 2.1.
(b) the gage is the only gage in a quarter-bridge circuit and prior to testing, the indicator is zeroed with the gage factor set at 2.0.

Solution. From Fig. 6.6, the gage factor variation at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is $\Delta S_{g}(\%)=1.13 \%$. Thus, from Eq. (6.2-7), the gage factor at the test temperature is

$$
\left(S_{g}\right)_{T}=\left(1+\frac{1.13}{100}\right)(2.1)=2.124
$$

(a) Since in this part, a dummy gage is present that cancels the apparent strain, the only correction that is necessary is due to the change in the gage factor. From Eq. (6.2-6),

$$
\varepsilon_{\text {actual }}=\left(\frac{2.1}{2.124}\right)(-1800)=-1780 \mu
$$

which we see is a minor correction.
(b) In this part, we must use Eq. (6.2-8). Using the equation given in Fig. 6.6, the apparent strain at the test temperature is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\text {apparent }}= & -48.85+(3.86)(150)-(7.85 E-02)(150)^{2} \\
& +(4.05 E-04)(150)^{3}-(5.28 E-07)(150)^{4}=-136.5 \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into Eq. (6.2-8), with $\left(S_{g}\right)_{i}=2.0$, gives

$$
\varepsilon_{\text {actual }}=\left(\frac{2.0}{2.124}\right)[-1800-(-136.5)]=-1566 \mu
$$

which is not a minor correction.

### 6.3 Detection of Plastic Yielding

In parts made of ductile metal, sometimes a great deal can be learned concerning the location of the most highly stressed region and the load that produces elastic failure by noting the first signs of plastic yielding. Such yielding may be detected in the following ways.

Observation of slip lines. If yielding occurs first at some point on the surface, it can be detected by the appearance of slip lines if the surface is suitably polished.

Brittle coating. If a member is coated with some material that will flake off easily, this flaking will indicate local yielding of the member. A coating of rosin or a wash of lime or white portland cement, applied and allowed to dry, is best for this purpose, but chalk or mill scale will often suffice. By this method zones of high stress such as those that occur in pressure vessels around openings and projections can be located and the load required to produce local yielding can be determined approximately.

Photoelastic coatings. Thin photoelastic coatings show very characteristic patterns analogous to slip lines when the material beneath the coating yields.

### 6.4 Analogies

Certain problems in elasticity involve equations that cannot be solved but that happen to be mathematically identical with the equations that describe some other physical phenomenon which can be investigated experimentally. Among the more useful of such analogies are the following.

Membrane analogy. This is especially useful in determining the torsion properties of bars having noncircular sections. If in a thin flat plate holes are cut having the outlines of various sections and over each of these holes a soap film (or other membrane) is stretched and
slightly distended by pressure from one side, the volumes of the bubbles thus formed are proportional to the torsional rigidities of the corresponding sections and the slope of a bubble surface at any point is proportional to the stress caused at that point of the corresponding section by a given twist per unit length of bar. By cutting in the plate one hole the shape of the section to be studied and another hole that is circular, the torsional properties of the irregular section can be determined by comparing the bubble formed on the hole of that shape with the bubble formed on the circular hole since the torsional properties of the circular section are known.

Electrical analogy for isopachic lines. Isopachic lines are lines along which the sums of the principal stresses are equal in a twodimensional plane stress problem. The voltage at any point on a uniform two-dimensional conducting surface is governed by the same form of equation as is the principal stress sum. Teledeltos paper is a uniform layer of graphite particles on a paper backing and makes an excellent material from which to construct the electrical analog. The paper is cut to a geometric outline corresponding to the shape of the two-dimensional structure or part, and boundary potentials are applied by an adjustable power supply. The required boundary potentials are obtained from a photoelastic study of the part where the principal stress sums can be found from the principal stress differences on the boundaries (Refs. 2 and 3). A similar membrane analogy has the height of a nonpressurized membrane proportional to the principal stress sum (Refs. 2 and 3).

### 6.5 Tables

## TABLE 6.1 Strain gage rosette equations applied to a specimen of a linear, isotropic material

The principal strains and stresses are given relative to the $x y$ coordinate axes as shown.



Three-element rectangular rosette

Principal strains

Principal stresses

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{p 1}=\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{C}}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}} \\
& \varepsilon_{p 2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{C}}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p 1} & =\frac{E}{2}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{C}}{1-v}+\frac{1}{1+v} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
\sigma_{p 2} & =\frac{E}{2}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{C}}{1-v}-\frac{1}{1+v} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Principal angle
Treating the $\tan ^{-1}$ as a single-valued function, ${ }^{\dagger}$ the angle counterclockwise from gage $A$ to the axis containing $\varepsilon_{p 1}$ or $\sigma_{p 1}$ is given by

$$
\theta_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{2 \varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}}{\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{C}}\right)
$$

[^12]TABLE 6.1 Strain gage rosette equations applied to a specimen of a linear, isotropic material (Continued)


Three-element delta rosette

Principal strains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{p 1}=\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{B}+\varepsilon_{C}}{3}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{B}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{C}-\varepsilon_{A}\right)^{2}} \\
& \varepsilon_{p 2}=\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+B+\varepsilon_{C}}{3}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{B}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{C}-\varepsilon_{A}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Principal stresses

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{p 1}=\frac{E}{3}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{B}+\varepsilon_{C}}{1-v}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+v} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{B}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{C}-\varepsilon_{A}\right)^{2}}\right] \\
& \sigma_{p 2}=\frac{E}{3}\left[\frac{\varepsilon_{A}+\varepsilon_{B}+\varepsilon_{C}}{1-v}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+v} \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{B}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(\varepsilon_{C}-\varepsilon_{A}\right)^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Principal angle
Treating the $\tan ^{-1}$ as a single-valued function ${ }^{\dagger}$ the angle counterclockwise from gage $A$ to the axis containing $\varepsilon_{p 1}$ or $\sigma_{p 1}$ is given by

$$
\theta_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(\varepsilon_{C}-\varepsilon_{B}\right)}{2 \varepsilon_{A}-\varepsilon_{B}-\varepsilon_{C}}\right]
$$

[^13]
## TABLE 6.2 Corrections for the transverse sensitivity of electrical resistance strain gages

$\varepsilon$ refers to corrected strain value, whereas $\hat{\varepsilon}$ refers to the strain read from the strain indicator. The $K_{t}$ terms are the transverse sensitivity coefficients of the gages as supplied by the manufacturer. Poisson's ratio, $v_{0}$, is normally given to be 0.285 .

Two-element rectangular rosette

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{x}=\frac{\left(1-v_{0} K_{t A}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{A}-K_{t A}\left(1-v_{0} K_{t B}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{B}}{1-K_{t A} K_{t B}} \\
& \varepsilon_{y}=\frac{\left(1-v_{0} K_{t B}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{B}-K_{t B}\left(1-v_{0} K_{t A}\right) \hat{\varepsilon}_{A}}{1-K_{t A} K_{t B}}
\end{aligned}
$$


where
$\kappa=\left(3 K_{t A} K_{t B} K_{t C}-K_{t A} K_{t B}-K_{t B} K_{t C}-K_{t A} K_{t C}-K_{t A}-K_{t B}-K_{t C}+3\right)^{-1}$
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## Formulas and Examples

Each of the following chapters deals with a certain type of structural member or a certain condition of stress. What may be called the common, or typical, case is usually discussed first; special cases, representing peculiarities of form, proportions, or circumstances of loading, are considered subsequently. In the discussion of each case the underlying assumptions are stated, the general behavior of the loaded member is described, and formulas for the stress and deformation are given. The more important of the general equations are numbered consecutively throughout each section to facilitate reference, but, wherever possible, formulas applying to specific cases are tabulated for convenience and economy of space.

In all formulas which contain numerical constants having dimensions, the units are specified.
Most formulas contain only dimensionless constants and can be evaluated in any consistent system of units.

## Chapter <br> 7

## Tension, Compression, Shear, and Combined Stress

### 7.1 Bar under Axial Tension (or Compression); Common Case

The bar is straight, of any uniform cross section, of homogeneous material, and (if under compression) short or constrained against lateral buckling. The loads are applied at the ends, centrally, and in such a manner as to avoid nonuniform stress distribution at any section of the part under consideration. The stress does not exceed the proportional limit.

Behavior. Parallel to the load the bar elongates (under tension) or shortens (under compression), the unit longitudinal strain being $\varepsilon$ and the total longitudinal deflection in the length $l$ being $\delta$. At right angles to the load the bar contracts (under tension) or expands (under compression); the unit lateral strain $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is the same in all transverse directions, and the total lateral deflection $\delta^{\prime}$ in any direction is proportional to the lateral dimension $d$ measured in that direction. Both longitudinal and lateral strains are proportional to the applied load. On any right section there is a uniform tensile (or compressive) stress $\sigma$; on any oblique section there is a uniform tensile (or compressive) normal stress $\sigma_{\theta}$ and a uniform shear stress $\tau_{\theta}$. The deformed bar under tension is represented in Fig. 7.1(a), and the stresses in Fig. 7.1(b).


Figure 7.1
Formulas. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\text { applied load } \\
A & =\text { cross-sectional area (before loading) } \\
l & =\text { length (before loading) } \\
E & =\text { modulus of elasticity } \\
v & =\text { Poisson's ratio }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma & =\frac{P}{A}  \tag{7.1-1}\\
\sigma_{\theta} & =\frac{P}{A} \cos ^{2} \theta, \quad \max \sigma_{\theta}=\sigma\left(\text { when } \theta=0^{\circ}\right) \\
\tau & =\frac{P}{2 A} \sin 2 \theta, \quad \max \tau_{\theta}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma\left(\text { when } \theta=45 \text { or } 135^{\circ}\right) \\
\varepsilon & =\frac{\sigma}{E}  \tag{7.1-2}\\
\delta & =l \varepsilon=\frac{P l}{A E}  \tag{7.1-3}\\
\varepsilon^{\prime} & =-v \varepsilon  \tag{7.1-4}\\
\delta^{\prime} & =\varepsilon^{\prime} d \tag{7.1-5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Strain energy per unit volume } U=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{E} \tag{7.1-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Total strain energy } U=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{E} A l=\frac{1}{2} P \delta \tag{7.1-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small strain, each unit area of cross section changes by ( $-2 v \varepsilon$ ) under load, and each unit of volume changes by $(1-2 v) \varepsilon$ under load.

In some discussions it is convenient to refer to the stiffness of a member, which is a measure of the resistance it offers to being
deformed. The stiffness of a uniform bar under axial load is shown by Eq. (7.1-3) to be proportional to $A$ and $E$ directly and to $l$ inversely, i.e., proportional $A E / l$.

## EXAMPLE

A cylindrical rod of steel 4 in long and 1.5 in diameter has an axial compressive load of $20,000 \mathrm{lb}$ applied to it. For this steel $v=0.285$ and $E=$ $30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Determine (a) the unit compressive stress $\sigma ;(b)$ the total longitudinal deformation, $\delta$; (c) the total transverse deformation $\delta^{\prime}$; (d) the change in volume, $\Delta V$; and (e) the total energy, or work done in applying the load.

## Solution

(a) $\sigma=\frac{P}{A}=\frac{4 P}{\pi d^{2}}=\frac{4(-20,000)}{\pi(1.5)^{2}}=-11,320 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
(b) $\varepsilon=\frac{\sigma}{E}=\frac{-11,320}{30,000,000}=-377\left(10^{-6}\right)$

$$
\left.\delta=\varepsilon l=(-377)\left(10^{-6}\right)(4)=-1.509\left(10^{-3}\right) \text { in }(")^{\prime \prime}-^{\prime \prime} \text { means shortening }\right)
$$

(c) $\varepsilon^{\prime}=-v \varepsilon=-0.285(-377)\left(10^{-6}\right)=107.5\left(10^{-6}\right)$

$$
\delta^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{\prime} d=(107.5)\left(10^{-6}\right)(1.5)=1.613\left(10^{-4}\right) \text { in }\left({ }^{\prime \prime}+^{\prime \prime} \text { means expansion }\right)
$$

(d) $\Delta V / V=(1-2 v) \varepsilon=[1-2(0.285)](-377)\left(10^{-6}\right)=-162.2\left(10^{-6}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta V & =-162.2\left(10^{-6}\right) V=-162.2\left(10^{-6}\right) \frac{\pi}{4} d^{2} l=-162.2\left(10^{-6}\right) \frac{\pi}{4}(1.5)^{2}(4) \\
& =-1.147\left(10^{-3}\right) \text { in }^{3}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}-\text { " means decrease }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(e) Increase in strain energy,

$$
U=\frac{1}{2} P \delta=\frac{1}{2}(-20,000)(-1.509)\left(10^{-3}\right)=15.09 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb}
$$

### 7.2 Bar under Tension (or Compression); Special Cases

If the bar is not straight, it is subject to bending; formulas for this case are given in Sec. 12.4.

If the load is applied eccentrically, the bar is subject to bending; formulas for this case are given in Secs. 8.7 and 12.4. If the load is compressive and the bar is long and not laterally constrained, it must be analyzed as a column by the methods of Chapters 12 and 15.

If the stress exceeds the proportional limit, the formulas for stress given in Sec. 7.1 still hold but the deformation and work done in producing it can be determined only from experimental data relating unit strain to unit stress.

If the section is not uniform but changes gradually, the stress at any section can be found by dividing the load by the area of that section; the total longitudinal deformation over a length $l$ is given by $\int_{0}^{l} \frac{P}{A E} d x$ and the strain energy is given by $\int_{0}^{l} \frac{1}{2} \frac{P^{2}}{A E} d x$, where $d x$ is an infinitesimal length in the longitudinal direction. If the change in section is abrupt stress concentration may have to be taken into account, values of $K_{t}$ being used to find elastic stresses and values of $K_{r}$ being used to predict the breaking load. Stress concentration may also have to be considered if the end attachments for loading involve pinholes, screw threads, or other stress raisers (see Sec. 3.10 and Chap. 17).

If instead of being applied at the ends of a uniform bar the load is applied at an intermediate point, both ends being held, the method of consistent deformations shows that the load is apportioned to the two parts of the bar in inverse proportion to their respective lengths.

If a uniform bar is supported at one end in a vertical position and loaded only by its own weight, the maximum stress occurs at the supported end and is equal to the weight divided by the cross-sectional area. The total elongation is half as great and the total strain energy one-third as great as if a load equal to the weight were applied at the unsupported end. A bar supported at one end and loaded by its own weight and an axial downward load $P$ (force) applied at the unsupported end will have the same unit stress $\sigma$ (force per unit area) at all sections if it is tapered so that all sections are similar in form but vary in scale according to the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{\sigma}{w} \log _{e} \frac{A \sigma}{P} \tag{7.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is the distance from the free end of the bar to any section, $A$ is the area of that section, and $w$ is the density of the material (force per unit volume).

If a bar is stressed by having both ends rigidly held while a change in temperature is imposed, the resulting stress is found by calculating the longitudinal expansion (or contraction) that the change in temperature would produce if the bar were not held and then calculating the load necessary to shorten (or lengthen) it by that amount (principle of superposition). If the bar is uniform, the unit stress produced is independent of the length of the bar if restraint against buckling is provided. If a bar is stressed by being struck an axial blow at one end, the case is one of impact loading, discussed in Sec. 16.3.

## EXAMPLES

1. Figure 7.2 represents a uniform bar rigidly held at the ends $A$ and $D$ and axially loaded at the intermediate points $B$ and $C$. It is required to determine


Figure 7.2
the total force in each portion of the bar $A B, B C, C D$. The loads are in newtons and the lengths in centimeters.

Solution. Each load is divided between the portions of the bar to right and left in inverse proportion to the lengths of these parts (consistent deformations), and the total force sustained by each part is the algebraic sum of the forces imposed by the individual loads (superposition). Of the 9000 N load, therefore, $\frac{7}{9}$, or 7000 N , is carried in tension by segment $A B$, and $\frac{2}{9}$, or 2000 N , is carried in compression by the segment $B D$. Of the $18,000 \mathrm{~N}$ load, $\frac{4}{9}$, or 8000 N , is carried in compression by segment $A C$, and $\frac{5}{9}$, or $10,000 \mathrm{~N}$, is carried in tension by segment $C D$. Denoting tension by the plus sign and compression by the minus sign, and adding algebraically, the actual stresses in each segment are found to be

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
A B: & 7000-8000=-1000 \mathrm{~N} \\
B C: & -2000-8000=-10,000 \mathrm{~N} \\
C D: & -2000+10,000=+8000 \mathrm{~N}
\end{array}
$$

The results are quite independent of the diameter of the bar and of $E$ provided the bar is completely uniform.

If instead of being held at the ends, the bar is prestressed by wedging it between rigid walls under an initial compression of, say, $10,000 \mathrm{~N}$ and the loads at $B$ and $C$ are then applied, the results secured above would represent the changes in force the several parts would undergo. The final forces in the bar would therefore be $11,000 \mathrm{~N}$ compression in $A B, 20,000 \mathrm{~N}$ compression in $B C$, and 2000 N compression in $C D$. But if the initial compression were less than 8000 N , the bar would break contact with the wall at $D$ (no tension possible); there would be no force at all in $C D$, and the forces in $A B$ and $B C$, now statically determinate, would be 9000 and $18,000 \mathrm{~N}$ compression, respectively.
2. A steel bar 24 in long has the form of a truncated cone, being circular in section with a diameter at one end of 1 in and at the other of 3 in . For this steel, $E=30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and the coefficient of thermal expansion is $0.0000065 /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. This bar is rigidly held at both ends and subjected to a drop in temperature of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. It is required to determine the maximum tensile stress thus caused.

Solution. Using the principle of superposition, the solution is effected in three steps: ( $\alpha$ ) the shortening $\delta$ due to the drop in temperature is found, assuming the bar free to contract; (b) the force $P$ required to produce an elongation equal to $\delta$, that is, to stretch the bar back to its original length, is calculated; (c) the maximum tensile stress produced by this force $P$ is calculated.
(a) $\delta=50(0.0000065)(24)=0.00780 \mathrm{in}$.
(b) Let $d$ denote the diameter and $A$ the area of any section a distance $x$ in
from the small end of the bar. Then

$$
d=1+\frac{x}{12}, \quad A=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(1+\frac{x}{12}\right)^{2}
$$

and
$\delta=\int_{0}^{l} \frac{P}{E A} d x=\int_{0}^{24} \frac{4 P}{(\pi E)(1+x / 12)^{2}} d x=\left.\frac{4 P}{\pi(30)\left(10^{6}\right)} \frac{(-12)}{(1+x / 12)}\right|_{0} ^{24}=3.395\left(10^{-7}\right) P$
Equating this to the thermal contraction of 0.00780 in yields

$$
P=22,970 \mathrm{lb}
$$

(c) The maximum stress occurs at the smallest section, and is

$$
\sigma=\frac{4 P}{\pi d_{\min }^{2}}=\frac{4(22,970)}{\pi(1)^{2}}=29,250 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

The result can be accepted as correct only if the proportional limit of the steel is known to be as great as or greater than the maximum stress and if the concept of a rigid support can be accepted. (See cases 8, 9, and 10 in Table 14.1.)

### 7.3 Composite Members

A tension or compression member may be made up of parallel elements or parts which jointly carry the applied load. The essential problem is to determine how the load is apportioned among the several parts, and this is easily done by the method of consistent deformations. If the parts are so arranged that all undergo the same total elongation or shortening, then each will carry a portion of the load proportional to its stiffness, i.e., proportional to $A E / l$ if each is a uniform bar and proportional to $A E$ if all these uniform bars are of equal length. It follows that if there are $n$ bars, with section areas $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$, lengths $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n}$, and moduli $E_{1}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{n}$, then the loads on the several bars $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}=P \frac{\frac{A_{1} E_{1}}{l_{1}}}{\frac{A_{1} E_{1}}{l_{1}}+\frac{A_{2} E_{2}}{l_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{A_{n} E_{n}}{l_{n}}}  \tag{7.3-1}\\
& P_{2}=P \frac{\frac{A_{2} E_{2}}{l_{2}}}{\frac{A_{1} E_{1}}{l_{1}}+\frac{A_{2} E_{2}}{l_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{A_{n} E_{n}}{l_{n}}} \tag{7.3-2}
\end{align*}
$$

A composite member of this kind can be prestressed. $P_{1}, P_{2}$, etc., then represent the increments of force in each member due to the applied load, and can be found by Eqs. (7.3-1) and (7.3-2), provided all bars can sustain reversal of stress, or provided the applied load is not great enough to cause such reversal in any bar which cannot sustain it. As explained in Sec. 3.12, by proper prestressing, all parts of a composite member can be made to reach their allowable loads, elastic limits, or ultimate strengths simultaneously (Example 2).

## EXAMPLES

1. A ring is suspended by three vertical bars, $A, B$, and $C$ of unequal lengths. The upper ends of the bars are held at different levels, so that as assembled none of the bars is stressed. $A$ is 4 ft long, has a section area of $0.3 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and is of steel for which $E=30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} ; B$ is 3 ft long and has a section area of $0.2 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and is of copper for which $E=17,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} ; C$ is 2 ft long, has a section area of $0.4 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and is of aluminum for which $E=10,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. A load of $10,000 \mathrm{lb}$ is hung on the ring. It is required to determine how much of this load is carried by each bar.

Solution. Denoting by $P_{A}, P_{B}$, and $P_{C}$ the loads carried by $A, B$, and $C$, respectively, and expressing the moduli of elasticity in millions of pounds per square inch and the lengths in feet, we substitute in Eq. (7.3-1) and find

$$
P_{A}=10,000\left[\frac{\frac{(0.3)(30)}{4}}{\frac{(0.3)(30)}{4}+\frac{(0.2)(17)}{3}+\frac{(0.4)(10)}{2}}\right]=4180 \mathrm{lb}
$$

Similarly

$$
P_{B}=2100 \mathrm{lb} \text { and } P_{C}=3720 \mathrm{lb}
$$

2. A composite member is formed by passing a steel rod through an aluminum tube of the same length and fastening the two parts together at both ends. The fastening is accomplished by adjustable nuts, which make it possible to assemble the rod and tube so that one is under initial tension and the other is under an equal initial compression. For the steel rod the section area is $1.5 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the modulus of elasticity $30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and the allowable stress $15,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. For the aluminum tube the section area is $2 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the modulus of elasticity $10,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and the allowable stress $10,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. It is desired to prestress the composite member so that under a tensile load both parts will reach their allowable stresses simultaneously.
Solution. When the allowable stresses are reached, the force in the steel rod will be $1.5(15,000)=22,500 \mathrm{lb}$, the force in the aluminum tube will be $2(10,000)=20,000 \mathrm{lb}$, and the total load on the member will be $22,500+20,000 ;=42,500 \mathrm{lb}$. Let $P_{i}$ denote the initial tension or compression in the members, and, as before, let tension be considered positive and compression negative. Then, since Eq. (7.3-1) gives the increment in force,
we have for the aluminum tube

$$
P_{i}+42,500 \frac{(2)(10)}{(2)(10)+(1.5)(30)}=20,000
$$

or

$$
P_{i}=+6920 \mathrm{lb} \quad \text { (initial tension) }
$$

For the steel rod, we have

$$
P_{i}+42,500 \frac{(1.5)(30)}{(2)(10)+(1.5)(30)}=22,500
$$

or

$$
P_{i}=-6920 \mathrm{lb} \quad \text { (initial compression) }
$$

If the member were not prestressed, the unit stress in the steel would always be just three times as great as that in the aluminum because it would sustain the same unit deformation and its modulus of elasticity is three times as great. Therefore, when the steel reached its allowable stress of $15,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the aluminum would be stressed to only $5000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and the allowable load on the composite member would be only $32,500 \mathrm{lb}$ instead of $42,500 \mathrm{lb}$.

### 7.4 Trusses

A conventional truss is essentially an assemblage of straight uniform bars that are subjected to axial tension or compression when the truss is loaded at the joints. The deflection of any joint of a truss is easily found by the method of unit loads (Sec. 4.5). Let $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$, etc., denote the forces produced in the several members by an assumed unit load acting in the direction $x$ at the joint whose deflection is to be found, and let $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}$, etc., denote the longitudinal deformations produced in the several members by the actual applied loads. The deflection $\Delta_{x}$ in the direction $x$ of the joint in question is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{x}=p_{1} \delta_{1}+p_{2} \delta_{2}+p_{3} \delta_{3}+\cdots=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \delta_{i} \tag{7.4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The deflection in the direction $y$, at right angles to $x$, can be found similarly by assuming the unit load to act in the $y$ direction; the resultant deflection is then determined by combining the $x$ and $y$ deflections. Attention must be given to the signs of $p$ and $\delta, p$ is positive if a member is subjected to tension and negative if under compression, and $\delta$ is positive if it represents an elongation and negative if it represents a shortening. A positive value for $\sum p \delta$ means that the deflection is in the direction of the assumed unit
load, and a negative value means that it is in the opposite direction. (This procedure is illustrated in Example 1 below.)

A statically indeterminate truss can be solved by the method of least work (Sec. 4.5). To do this, it is necessary to write down the expression for the total strain energy in the structure, which, being simply the sum of the strain energies of the constituent bars, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} P_{1} \delta_{1}+\frac{1}{2} P_{2} \delta_{2}+\frac{1}{2} P_{3} \delta_{3}+\cdots=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} P_{i} \delta_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{P^{2} l}{A E}\right)_{i} \tag{7.4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $P_{1}, P_{2}$, etc., denote the forces in the individual members due to the applied loads and $\delta$ has the same meaning as above. It is necessary to express each force $P_{i}$ as the sum of the two forces; one of these is the force the applied loads would produce with the redundant member removed, and the other is the force due to the unknown force (say, $F$ ) exerted by this redundant member on the rest of the structure. The total strain energy is thus expressed as a function of the known applied forces and $F$, the force in the redundant member. The partial derivative with respect to $F$ of this expression for strain energy is then set equal to zero and solved for $F$. If there are two or more redundant members, the expression for strain energy with all the redundant forces, $F_{1}, F_{2}$, etc., represented is differentiated once with respect to each. The equations thus obtained are then solved simultaneously for the unknown forces. (The procedure is illustrated in Example 2.)

## EXAMPLES

1. The truss shown in Fig. 7.3 is composed of tubular steel members, for which $E=30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The section areas of the members are given in the table below. It is required to determine $\Delta_{x}$ and $\Delta_{y}$, the horizontal and vertical components of the displacement of joint $A$ produced by the indicated loading.
Solution. The method of unit loads is used. The force $P$ in each member due to the applied loads is found, and the resulting elongation or shortening $\delta$ is calculated. The force $p_{x}$ in each member due to a load of 1 lb acting to the right at $A$, and the force $p_{y}$ in each member due to a load of 1 lb acting down at $A$ are calculated. By Eq. (7.4-1), $\sum p_{x} \delta$, then gives the horizontal and $\sum p_{y} \delta$ gives the vertical displacement or deflection of $A$. Tensile forces and elongations are
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denoted by + , compressive forces and shortenings by - . The work is conveniently tabulated as follows:

$\Delta_{x}$ and $\Delta_{y}$ are both found to be positive, which means that the displacements are in the directions of the assumed unit loads-to the right and down. Had either been found to be negative, it would have meant that the displacement was in a direction opposite to that of the corresponding unit load.
2. Assume a diagonal member, running from $A$ to $D$ and having a section area 0.3318 in $^{2}$ and length 8.544 ft , is to be added to the truss of Example 1; the structure is now statically indeterminate. It is required to determine the force in each member of the altered truss due to the loads shown.

Solution. We use the method of least work. The truss has one redundant member; any member except $B E$ may be regarded as redundant, since if any one were removed, the remaining structure would be stable and statically determinate. We select $A D$ to be regarded as redundant, denote the unknown force in $A D$ by $F$, and assume $F$ to be tension. We find the force in each member assuming $A D$ to be removed, then find the force in each member due to a pull $F$ exerted at $A$ by $A D$, and then add these forces, thus getting an expression for the force in each member of the actual truss in terms of $F$. The expression for the strain energy can then be written out, differentiated with respect to $F$, equated to zero, and solved for $F$. $F$ being known, the force in each member of the truss is easily found. The computations are conveniently tabulated as follows:

|  | Forces in members $^{\dagger}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Due to | Due to |  |  |
|  | applied |  |  |  |
|  | pull, $F$, | Total forces, | Actual total |  |
|  | loads without | exerted by | $P_{i}$. Superposition | values with |
|  | AD | $A D$ | $(b)$ | $(c)$ |

[^14]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
U=\sum_{i=1}^{7} \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{P^{2} l}{A E}\right)_{i}= & \frac{1}{2 E}\left[\frac{(800-0.470 F)^{2}(48)}{0.07862}+\frac{(-1000-0.584 F)^{2}(60)}{0.07862}\right. \\
& +\frac{(1200+0.351 F)^{2}(36)}{0.1464}+\frac{(4000)^{2}(48)}{0.4142} \\
& +\frac{(-4000-0.584 F)^{2}(60)}{0.3318}+\frac{(-800-0.470 F)^{2}(48)}{0.07862} \\
& \left.+\frac{F^{2}(102.5)}{0.3318}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Setting the partial derivative of $U$ relative to $F$ to zero,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial U}{\partial F} & =\frac{1}{2 E}\left[\frac{2(800-0.470 F)(-0.470)(48)}{0.07862}+\frac{2(-1000-0.584 F)(-0.584)(60)}{0.07862}+\cdots\right] \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and solving for $F$ gives $\quad F=-1050 \mathrm{lb}$.
The negative sign here simply means that $A D$ is in compression. A positive value of $F$ would have indicated tension. Substituting the value of $F$ into the terms of column (c) yield the actual total forces in each member as tabulated in column (d).

### 7.5 Body under Pure Shear Stress

A condition of pure shear may be produced by any one of the methods of loading shown in Fig. 7.4. In Fig. 7.4(a), a rectangular block of length $a$, height $b$, and uniform thickness $t$ is shown loaded by forces $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, uniformly distributed over the surfaces to which they are applied and satisfying the equilibrium equation $P_{1} b=P_{2} a$. There are equal shear stresses on all vertical and horizontal planes, so that any contained cube oriented like $A B C D$ has on each of four faces the shear stress $\tau=P_{1} / a t=P_{2} / b t$ and no other stress.

In Fig. $7.4(b)$ a rectangular block is shown under equal and opposite biaxial stresses $\sigma_{t}$ and $\sigma_{c}$. There are equal shear stresses on all planes inclined at $45^{\circ}$ to the top and bottom faces, so that a contained cube
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oriented like $A B C D$ has on each of four faces the shear stress $\tau=\sigma_{t}=\sigma_{c}$ and no other stress.

In Fig. 7.4(c), a circular shaft is shown under a twisting moment $T$; a cube of infinitesimal dimensions, a distance $z$ from the axis and oriented like $A B C D$ has on each of four faces an essentially uniform shear stress $\tau=T z / J$ (Sec. 10.1) and no other stress.

In whatever way the loading is accomplished, the result is to impose on an elementary cube of the loaded body the condition of stress represented in Fig. 7.5, that is, shearing stress alone on each of four faces, these stresses being equal and so directed as to satisfy the equilibrium condition $T_{x}=0$ (Sec. 4.1).

The stresses, $\sigma_{\theta}$ and $\tau_{\theta}$ on a transformed surface rotated counterclockwise through the angle $\theta$ can be determined from the transformation equations given by Eqs. (2.3-17). They are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\theta}=\tau \sin 2 \theta, \quad \tau_{\theta}=\tau \cos 2 \theta \tag{7.5-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\sigma_{\theta}\right)_{\max , \min }= \pm \tau$ at $\theta= \pm 45^{\circ}$.
The strains produced by pure shear are shown in Fig. 7.5(b), where the cube $A B C D$ is deformed into a rhombohedron $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D^{\prime}$. The unit shear strain, $\gamma$, referred to as the engineering shear strain, is reduction of angles $\angle A B C$ and $\angle A D C$, and the increase in angles $\angle D A B$ and $\angle B C D$ in radians. Letting $G$ denote the modulus of rigidity, the shear strain is related to the shear stress as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{\tau}{G} \tag{7.5-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming a linear material, the strain energy per unit volume for pure shear, $u_{s}$, within the elastic range is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau^{2}}{G} \tag{7.5-3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7.5 (a) Shear stress and transformation. (b) Shear strain.

The relations between $\tau, \sigma$, and the strains represented in Fig. 7.5(b) make it possible to express $G$ in terms of $E$ and Poisson's ratio, $v$, for a linear, homogeneous, isotropic material. The relationship is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\frac{E}{2(1+v)} \tag{7.5-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From known values of $E$ (determined by a tensile test) and $G$ (determined by a torsion test) it is thus possible to calculate $v$.

### 7.6 Cases of Direct Shear Loading

By direct shear loading is meant any case in which a member is acted on by equal, parallel, and opposite forces so nearly colinear that the material between them is subjected primarily to shear stress, with negligible bending. Examples of this are provided by rivets, bolts, and pins, shaft splines and keys, screw threads, short lugs, etc. These are not really cases of pure shear; the actual stress distribution is complex and usually indeterminate because of the influence of fit and other factors. In designing such parts, however, it is usually assumed that the shear is uniformly distributed on the critical section, and since working stresses are selected with due allowance for the approximate nature of this assumption, the practice is usually permissible. In beams subject to transverse shear, this assumption cannot be made as a rule.

Shear and other stresses in rivets, pins, keys, etc., are discussed more fully in Chap. 14, shear stresses in beams in Chap. 8, and shear stresses in torsion members in Chap. 10.

### 7.7 Combined Stress

Under certain circumstances of loading, a body is subjected to a combination of tensile and compressive stresses (usually designated as biaxial or triaxial stress) or to a combination of tensile, compressive, and shear stresses (usually designated as combined stress). For example, the material at the inner surface of a thick cylindrical pressure vessel is subjected to triaxial stress (radial compression, longitudinal tension, and circumferential tension), and a shaft simultaneously bent and twisted is subjected to combined stress (longitudinal tension or compression, and torsional shear).

In most instances the normal and shear stresses on each of three mutually perpendicular planes are due to flexure, axial loading, torsion, beam shear, or some combination of these which separately can be calculated readily by the appropriate formulas. Normal stresses arising from different load conditions acting on the same plane can be
combined simply by algebraic addition considering tensile stresses positive and compressive stresses negative. Similarly, shear stresses can be combined by algebraic addition following a consistent sign convention. Further analysis of the combined states of normal and shear stresses must be performed using the transformation techniques outlined in Sec. 2.3. The principal stresses, the maximum shear stress, and the normal and shear stresses on any given plane can be found by the equations given in Sec. 2.3.

The strains produced by any combination of stresses not exceeding the proportional limit can also be found using Hooke's law for each stress and then combined by superposition. Consideration of the strains caused by equal triaxial stresses leads to an expression for the bulk modulus of elasticity given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{E}{3(1-2 v)} \tag{7.7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLES

1. A rectangular block 12 in long, 4 in high, and 2 in thick is subjected to a longitudinal tensile stress $\sigma_{x}=12,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, a vertical compressive stress $\sigma_{y}=15,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and a lateral compressive stress $\sigma_{z}=9000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The material is steel, for which $E=30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v \stackrel{z}{=} 0.30$. It is required to find the total change in length.

Solution. The longitudinal deformation is found by superposition: The unit strain due to each stress is computed separately by Eqs. (7.1-2) and (7.1-4); these results are added to give the resultant longitudinal unit strain, which is multiplied by the length to give the total elongation. Denoting unit longitudinal strain by $\varepsilon_{x}$ and total longitudinal deflection by $\delta_{x}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{x} & =\frac{12,000}{E}-v \frac{-15,000}{E}-v \frac{-9000}{E} \\
& =0.000400+0.000150+0.000090=+0.00064 \\
\delta_{x} & =12(0.00064)=0.00768 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The lateral dimensions have nothing to do with the result since the lateral stresses, not the lateral loads, are given.
2. A piece of "standard extra-strong" pipe, 2 in nominal diameter, is simultaneously subjected to an internal pressure of $p=2000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and to a twisting moment of $T=5000 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb}$ caused by tightening a cap screwed on at one end. Determine the maximum tensile stress and the maximum shear stress thus produced in the pipe.
Solution. The calculations will be made, first, for a point at the outer surface and, second, for a point at the inner surface. The dimensions of the pipe and properties of the cross section are as follows: inner radius $r_{i}=0.9695 \mathrm{in}$, outer radius $r_{o}=1.1875 \mathrm{in}$, cross-sectional area of bore $A_{b}=2.955 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, cross-sectional area of pipe wall $A_{w}=1.475 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and polar moment of inertial $J=1.735 \mathrm{in}^{4}$.

We take axis $x$ along the axis of the pipe, axis $y$ tangent to the cross section, and axis $z$ radial in the plane of the cross section. For a point at the outer surface of the pipe, $\sigma_{x}$ is the longitudinal tensile stress due to pressure and $\sigma_{y}$ is the circumferential (hoop) stress due to pressure, the radial stress $\sigma_{z}=0$ (since the pressure is zero on the outer surface of the pipe), and $\tau_{x y}$ is the shear stress due to torsion. Equation (7.1-1) can be used for $\sigma_{x}$, where $P=p A_{b}$ and $A=A_{w}$. To calculate $\sigma_{y}$, we use the formula for stress in thick cylinders (Table 13.5, case $1 b$ ). Finally, for $\tau_{x y}$, we use the formula for torsional stress (Eq. (10.1-2). Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{x} & =\frac{p A_{b}}{A_{w}}=\frac{(2000)(2.955)}{1.475}=4007 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{y} & =p \frac{r_{i}^{2}\left(r_{o}^{2}+r_{o}^{2}\right)}{r_{o}^{2}\left(r_{o}^{2}-r_{i}^{2}\right)}=2000 \frac{\left(0.9695^{2}\right)\left(1.1875^{2}+1.1875^{2}\right)}{\left(1.1875^{2}\right)\left(1.1875^{2}-0.9695^{2}\right)}=7996 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\tau_{x y} & =\frac{T r_{o}}{J}=\frac{(5000)(1.1875)}{1.735}=3422 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a case of plane stress where Eq. (2.3-23) applies. The principal stresses are thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right)^{2}+4 \tau_{x y}^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[(4007+7996) \pm \sqrt{(4007-7996)^{2}+4\left(3422^{2}\right)}\right]=9962, \quad 2041 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\quad \sigma_{\max }=9962 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
In order to determine the maximum shear stress, we order the three principal stresses such that $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \sigma_{3}$. For plane stress, the out-of-plane principal stresses are zero. Thus, $\sigma_{1}=9962 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \sigma_{2}=2041 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and $\sigma_{3}=0$. From Eq. (2.3-25), the maximum shear stress is

$$
\tau_{\max }=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(9962-0)=4981 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

For a point on the inner surface, the stress conditions are three-dimensional since a radial stress due to the internal pressure is present. The longitudinal stress is the same; however, the circumferential stress and torsional shear stress change. For the inner surface,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{x} & =4007 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{y} & =p \frac{r_{o}^{2}+r_{i}^{2}}{r_{o}^{2}-r_{i}^{2}}=2000 \frac{1.1875^{2}+0.9695^{2}}{1.1875^{2}-0.9695^{2}}=9996 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{z} & =-p=-2000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\tau_{x y} & =\frac{T r_{i}}{J}=\frac{(5000)(0.9695)}{1.735}=2794 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\tau_{y z} & =\tau_{z x}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The principal stresses are found using Eq. (2.3-20): ${ }^{\dagger}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p}^{3} & -(4007+9996-2000) \sigma_{p}^{2}+[(4007)(9996)+(9996)(-2000) \\
& \left.+(-2000)(4007)-2794^{2}-0-0\right] \sigma_{p}-[(4007)(9996)(-2000)+2(2794)(0)(0) \\
& \left.-(4007)\left(0^{2}\right)-(9996)\left(0^{2}\right)-(-2000)\left(2794^{2}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\sigma_{p}^{3}-12.003\left(10^{3}\right) \sigma_{p}^{2}+4.2415\left(10^{6}\right) \sigma_{p}+64.495\left(10^{9}\right)=0
$$

Solving this gives $\sigma_{p}=11,100,2906$, and $-2000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, which are the principal stresses $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\sigma_{3}$, respectively. Obviously, the maximum tensile stress is $11,100 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Again, the maximum shear stress comes from Eq. $(2.3-25)$, and is $\frac{1}{2}[11,100-(-2000)]=6550 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

Note that for this problem, if the pipe is a ductile material, and one were looking at failure due to shear stress (see Sec. 3.7), the stress conditions for the pipe are more severe at the inner surface compared with the outer surface.
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# Chapter 8 

## Beams; Flexure of Straight Bars

### 8.1 Straight Beams (Common Case) Elastically Stressed

The formulas in this section are based on the following assumptions: (1) The beam is of homogeneous material that has the same modulus of elasticity in tension and compression. (2) The beam is straight or nearly so; if it is slightly curved, the curvature is in the plane of bending and the radius of curvature is at least 10 times the depth. (3) The cross section is uniform. (4) The beam has at least one longitudinal plane of symmetry. (5) All loads and reactions are perpendicular to the axis of the beam and lie in the same plane, which is a longitudinal plane of symmetry. (6) The beam is long in proportion to its depth, the span/depth ratio being 8 or more for metal beams of compact section, 15 or more for beams with relatively thin webs, and 24 or more for rectangular timber beams. (7) The beam is not disproportionately wide (see Sec. 8.11 for a discussion on the effect of beam width). (8) The maximum stress does not exceed the proportional limit.

Applied to any case for which these assumptions are not valid, the formulas given yield results that at best are approximate and that may be grossly in error; such cases are discussed in subsequent sections. The limitations stated here with respect to straightness and proportions of the beam correspond to a maximum error in calculated results of about $5 \%$.

In the following discussion, it is assumed for convenience that the beam is horizontal and the loads and reactions vertical.

Behavior. As the beam bends, fibers on the convex side lengthen, and fibers on the concave side shorten. The neutral surface is normal to the plane of the loads and contains the centroids of all sections, hence the neutral axis of any section is the horizontal central axis. Plane sections
remain plane, and hence unit fiber strains and stresses are proportional to distance from the neutral surface. Longitudinal displacements of points on the neutral surface are negligible. Vertical deflection is largely due to bending, that due to shear being usually negligible under the conditions stated.
There is at any point a longitudinal fiber stress $\sigma$, which is tensile if the point lies between the neutral and convex surfaces of the beam and compressive if the point lies between the neutral and concave surfaces of the beam. This fiber stress $\sigma$ usually may be assumed uniform across the width of the beam (see Secs. 8.11 and 8.12).

There is at any point a longitudinal shear stress $\tau$ on the horizontal plane and an equal vertical shear stress on the transverse plane. These shear stresses, due to the transverse beam forces, may be assumed uniform across the width of the beam (see page 129).
Figure 8.1 $(a, b)$ represent a beam under load and show the various dimensions that appear in the formulas; Fig. 8.1(c) shows a small stress element at a point $q$ acted on by the stresses $\sigma$ and $\tau$.

Formulas. Let $I=$ the moment of inertia of the section of the beam with respect to the neutral axis and $E=$ modulus of elasticity of the material.

The fiber stress $\sigma$ at any point $q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=-\frac{M y}{I} \tag{8.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Figure 8.1
where $M$ is the bending moment at the section containing $q$, and $y$ is the vertical distance from the neutral axis to $q$.

The shear stress $\tau$ at any point $q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{V A^{\prime} \bar{y}}{I b} \tag{8.1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the vertical shear at the section containing $q, A^{\prime}$ is the area of that part of the section above (or below) $q, \bar{y}$ is the distance from the neutral axis to the centroid of $A^{\prime}$, and $b$ is the net breadth of the section measured through $q$.

The complementary energy of flexure $U_{f}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{f}=\int \frac{M^{2}}{2 E I} d x \tag{8.1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ represents the bending moment equation in terms of $x$, the distance from the left end of the beam to any section.

The radius of curvature $\rho$ of the elastic curve at any section is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{E I}{M} \tag{8.1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the bending moment at the section in question.
The general differential equation of the elastic curve is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E I \frac{d^{2} y_{c}}{d x^{2}}=M \tag{8.1-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ has the same meaning as in Eq. (8.1-3) and $y_{c}$ represents the vertical deflection of the centroidal axis of the beam. Solution of this equation for the vertical deflection $y_{c}$ is effected by writing out the expression for $M$, integrating twice, and determining the constants of integration by the boundary conditions.

By the method of unit loads the vertical deflection at any point is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{c}=\int \frac{M m}{E I} d x \tag{8.1-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by Castigliano's second theorem it is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{c}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial P} \tag{8.1-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ has the same meaning as in Eq. (8.1-3) and $m$ is the equation of the bending moment due to a unit load acting vertically at the section where $y_{c}$ is to be found. The integration indicated must be performed over each portion of the beam for which either $M$ or $m$ is expressed by a different equation. A positive result for $y_{c}$ means that
the deflection is in the direction of the assumed unit load; a negative result means it is in the opposite direction (see Example 2 at the end of this section).

In Eq. (8.1-7), $U$ is given by Eq. (8.1-3) and $P$ is a vertical load, real or imaginary, applied at the section where $y_{c}$ is to be found. It is most convenient to perform the differentiation within the integral sign; as with Eq. (8.1-6), the integration must extend over the entire length of the beam, and the sign of the result is interpreted as before.

The change in slope of elastic curve $\Delta \theta$ (radians) between any two sections $a$ and $b$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \theta=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{M}{E I} d x \tag{8.1-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ has the same meaning as in Eq. (8.1-3).
The deflection $\Delta y_{c}$ at any section $a$, measured vertically from $a$ tangent drawn to the elastic curve at any section $b$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{c}=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{M}{E I} x d x \tag{8.1-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the distance from $a$ to any section $d x$ between $a$ and $b$.
Important relations between the bending moment and shear equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\frac{d M}{d x}  \tag{8.1-10}\\
M & =\int V d x \tag{8.1-11}
\end{align*}
$$

These relations are useful in constructing shear and moment diagrams and locating the section or sections of maximum bending moment since Eq. (8.1-10) shows that the maximum moment occurs when $V$, its first derivative, passes through zero and Eq. (8.1-11) shows that the increment in bending moment that occurs between any two sections is equal to the area under the shear diagram between those sections.

Maximum fiber stress. The maximum fiber stress at any section occurs at the point or points most remote from the neutral axis and is given by Eq. (8.1-1) when $y=c$; hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{M c}{I}=\frac{M}{I / c}=\frac{M}{S} \tag{8.1-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the section modulus. The maximum fiber stress in the beam occurs at the section of greatest bending moment; if the section is not symmetrical about the neutral axis, the stresses should be investigated at both the section of greatest positive moment and the section of greatest negative moment.

Maximum transverse shear stress. ${ }^{\dagger}$ The maximum transverse shear stress in the beam occurs at the section of greatest vertical shear. The maximum transverse shear stress at any section occurs at the neutral axis, provided the net width $b$ is as small there as anywhere else; if the section is narrower elsewhere, the maximum shear stress may not occur at the neutral axis. This maximum transverse shear stress can be expressed conveniently by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{\max }\right)_{V}=\alpha \frac{V}{A} \tag{8.1-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V / A$ is the average shear stress on the section and $\alpha$ is a factor that depends on the form of the section. For a rectangular section, $\alpha=\frac{3}{2}$ and the maximum stress is at the neutral axis; for a solid circular section, $\alpha=\frac{4}{3}$ and the maximum stress is at the neutral axis; for a triangular section, $\alpha=\frac{3}{2}$ and the maximum stress is halfway between the top and bottom of the section; for a diamond-shaped section of depth $h, \alpha=\frac{9}{8}$ and the maximum stress is at points that are a distance $h / 8$ above and below the neutral axis.

In the derivation of Eq. (8.1-2) and in the preceding discussion, it is assumed that the shear stress is uniform across the width of the beam; i.e., it is the same at all points on any transverse line parallel to the neutral axis. Actually this is not the case; exact analysis (Ref. 1) shows that the shear stress varies across the width and that for a rectangle the maximum intensity occurs at the ends of the neutral axis where, for a wide beam, it is twice the average intensity. Photoelastic investigation of beams under concentrated loading shows that localized shearing stresses about four times as great as the maximum stress given by Eq. (8.1-2) occur near the points of loading and support (Ref. 2), but experience shows that this variation may be ignored and design based on the average value as determined by Eq. (8.1-2).

[^16]For some sections the greatest horizontal shear stress at a given point occurs, not on a horizontal plane, but on an inclined longitudinal plane which cuts the section so as to make $b$ a minimum. Thus, for a circular tube or pipe the greatest horizontal shear stress at any point occurs on a radial plane; the corresponding shear stress in the plane of the section is not vertical but tangential, and in computing $\tau$ by Eq. (8.1-2) $b$ should be taken as twice the thickness of the tube instead of the net horizontal breadth of the member. (See Table 9.2, case 20 for an example of where this shear stress in a tube is of importance.)

In an I-, T-, or box section there is a horizontal shear stress on any vertical longitudinal plane through the flange, and this stress is usually a maximum at the juncture of flange and web. It may be calculated by Eq. (8.1-2), taking $A^{\prime}$ as the area outside of the vertical plane (for outstanding flanges) or between the vertical plane and the center of the beam section (for box girders), and $b$ as the flange thickness (see the solution to Example lb). The other terms have the same meanings as explained previously.

Shear stresses are not often of controlling importance except in wood or metal beams that have thin webs or a small span/depth ratio. For beams that conform to the assumptions stated previously, strength will practically always be governed by fiber stress.

Change in projected length due to bending. The apparent shortening of a beam due to bending, i.e., the difference between its original length and the horizontal projection of the elastic curve, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta l=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l}\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)^{2} d x \tag{8.1-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate $\Delta l, d y / d x$ is expressed in terms of $x$ [Eq. (8.1-5)] and the square of this is integrated as indicated.

The extreme fibers of the beam undergo a change in actual length due to stress given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\int_{0}^{l} \frac{M c}{E I} d x \tag{8.1-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of these equations the actual relative horizontal displacement of points on the upper or lower surface of the beam can be predicted and the necessary allowances made in the design of rocker bearings, clearance for the corners, etc.

Tabulated formulas. Table 8.1 gives formulas for the reactions, moments, slopes and deflections at each end of single-span beams supported and loaded in various ways. The table also gives formulas
for the vertical shears, bending moments, slopes, and deflections at any distance $x$ from the left end of the span.

In these formulas, the unit step function is used by itself and in combination with ordinary functions.

The unit step function is denoted by $\langle x-a\rangle^{0}$ where the use of the angle brackets $\left\rangle\right.$ is defined as follows: If $x<a,\langle x-a\rangle^{0}=0$; if $x>a$, $\langle x-a\rangle^{0}=1$. At $x=a$ the unit step function is undefined just as vertical shear is undefined directly beneath a concentrated load. The use of the angle brackets $\rangle$ is extended to other cases involving powers of the unit step function and the ordinary function $(x-a)^{n}$. Thus the quantity $(x-a)^{n}\langle x-a\rangle^{0}$ is shortened to $\langle x-a\rangle^{n}$ and again is given a value of zero if $x<a$ and is $(x-a)^{n}$ if $x>a$.
In addition to the usual concentrated vertical loads, concentrated couples, and distributed loads, Table 8.1 also presents cases where the loading is essentially a development of reactions due to deformations created within the span. These cases include the concentrated angular displacement, concentrated transverse deflection, and linear temperature differential across the beam from top to bottom. In all three cases it can be assumed that initially the beam was deformed but free of stress and then is forced to conform to the end conditions. (In many cases no forces are created, and the formulas give only the deformed shape.)

Hetényi (Ref. 29) discusses in detail the Maclaurin series form of the equations used in this article and suggests (Ref. 53) that the deformation type of loading might be useful in solving beam problems. Thomson (Ref. 65) describes the use of the unit step function in the determination of beam deflections. By superposition, the formulas can be made to apply to almost any type of loading and support. The use of the tabulated and fundamental formulas given in this article is illustrated in the following examples.

## EXAMPLES

1. For a beam supported and loaded as shown in Fig. 8.2, it is required to determine the maximum tensile stress, maximum shear stress, and maximum compressive stress, assuming, first, that the beam is made of wood with section as shown in Fig. 8.2(a) second, that the beam is made of wood with section as shown in Fig. 8.2(b); and third that the beam is a $4-\mathrm{in}, 7.7-\mathrm{lb}$ steel Ibeam.

Solution. By using the equations of equilibrium (Sec. 4.1), the left and right reactions are found to be 900 and 1500 lb , respectively. The shear and moment equations are therefore

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(x=0 \text { to } x=160): & & V=900-12 x \\
& & M=900 x-12 x\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right) \\
(x=160 \text { to } x=200): & & V=900-12 x+1500 \\
& M=900 x-12 x\left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)+1500(x-160)
\end{array}
$$


(a)
(b)
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Using the step function described previously, these equations can be reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=900-12 x+1500\langle x-160\rangle^{0} \\
& M=900 x-6 x^{2}+1500\langle x-160\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations are plotted, giving the shear and moment diagrams shown in Fig. 8.2. The maximum positive moment evidently occurs between the supports; the exact location is found by setting the first shear equation equal to zero and solving for $x$, which gives $x=75$ in. Substitution of this value of $x$ in the first moment equation gives $M=33,750 \mathrm{lb}$-in. The maximum negative moment occurs at the right support where the shear diagram again passes through zero and is $9600 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$.

The results obtained so far are independent of the cross section of the beam. The stresses will now be calculated for each of the sections (a), (b), and (c).
(a) For the rectangular section: $I=\frac{1}{12} b d^{3}=86.2 \mathrm{in}^{4} ; I / c=\frac{1}{6} b d^{2}=23.1 \mathrm{in}^{3}$; and $A=b d=18.60 \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Therefore

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{M_{\max }}{I / c}=\frac{33,750}{23.1}=1460 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad[\mathrm{by} \mathrm{Eq} .(8.1-12)]
$$

This stress occurs at $x=75$ in and is tension in the bottom fibers of the beam and compression in the top. The maximum transverse shear stress is ${ }^{\dagger}$

$$
\tau_{\max }=\frac{3}{2} \frac{V_{\max }}{A}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{1020}{18.60}=82 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad[\mathrm{by} \mathrm{Eq} \cdot(8.1-13)]
$$

which is the horizontal and vertical shear stress at the neutral axis of the section just to the left of the right support.
(b) For the routed section it is found (Appendix A) that the neutral axis is 4 in from the base of the section and $I=82.6 \mathrm{in}^{4}$. The maximum transverse shear stress on a horizontal plane occurs at the neutral axis since $b$ is as small there as anywhere, and so in Eq. (8.1-2) the product $A^{\prime} \bar{y}$ represents the statical

[^17]moment about the neutral axis of all that part of the section above the neutral axis. Taking the moment of the flange and web portions separately, we find $A^{\prime} \bar{y}=(2.75)(2.3)(2.30)+(1)(1.15)(0.575)=15.2 \mathrm{in}^{3}$. Also, $b=1.00 \mathrm{in}$.

Since the section is not symmetrical about the neutral axis, the fiber stresses will be calculated at the section of maximum positive moment and at the section of maximum negative moment. We have

At $x=75 \mathrm{in}: \quad \sigma= \begin{cases}\frac{(33,750)(4)}{82.6}=1630 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} & \text { (tension in bottom fiber) } \\ \frac{(33,750)(3.45)}{82.6}=1410 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} & \text { (compression in top fiber) }\end{cases}$
At $x=160$ in: $\quad \sigma= \begin{cases}\frac{(9600)(4)}{82.6}=456 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad & (\text { compression in bottom fiber) } \\ \frac{(9600)(3.45)}{82.6}=400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} & \text { (tension in top fibers) }\end{cases}$
It is seen that for this beam the maximum fiber stresses in both tension and compression occur at the section of maximum positive bending moment. The maximum transverse shear stress is

$$
\left(\tau_{\max }\right)_{V}=\frac{(1020)(15.2)}{(82.6)(1)}=188 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad[\text { by Eq. }(8.1-2)]
$$

This is the maximum shear stress on a horizontal plane and occurs at the neutral axis of the section just to the left of the right support. The actual maximum shear stress is $\tau_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} \times 1630=815 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
(c) For the steel I-beam, the structural steel handbook gives $I / c=3.00 \mathrm{in}^{3}$ and $t=0.190 \mathrm{in}$. Therefore

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{33,750}{3}=11,250 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

This stress occurs at $x=75$ in, where the beam is subject to tension in the bottom fibers and compression in the top. The maximum transverse shear stress is approximated by

$$
\left(\tau_{\max }\right)_{V} \approx \frac{1020}{(4)(0.19)}=1340 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Although this method of calculating $\tau$ (where the shear force is assumed to be carried entirely by the web) is only approximate, it is usually sufficiently accurate to show whether or not this shear stress is important. If it indicates that this shear stress may govern, then the stress at the neutral axis may be calculated by Eq. (8.1-2). For standard I-beams, the allowable vertical shear is given by the structural-steel handbooks, making computation unnecessary.
2. The beam is shown in Fig. 8.3 has a rectangular section 2 in wide and 4 in deep and is made of spruce, where $E=1,300,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. It is required to determine the deflection of the left end.

Solution. The solution will be effected first by superposition, using the formulas of Table 8.1. The deflection $y$ of the left end is the sum of the
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deflection $y_{1}$ produced by the distributed load and the deflection $y_{2}$ produced by the concentrated load. Each of these is computed independently of the other and added using superposition. Thus

$$
y_{1}=-40 \theta=(-40)\left[-\frac{1}{24} \frac{(2.14)\left(140^{3}\right)}{E I}\right]=+\frac{9,800,000}{E I}
$$

by formula for $\theta$ at $A$, case 2 e , where $a=0, l=140$ in and $w_{a}=$ $w_{l}=2.14 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} . y_{2}$ is calculated as the sum of the deflection the $150-\mathrm{lb}$ load would produce if the beam were fixed at the left support and the deflection produced by the fact that it actually assumes a slope there. The first part of the deflection is given by the formula for max $y$ (case 1a), and the second part is found by multiplying the overhang ( 40 in ) by the slope produced at the left end of the 140 -in span by a counterclockwise couple equal to $150(40)=6000 \mathrm{lb}$-in applied at that point (formula for $\theta$ at $A$, case 3 e , where $a=0$ ).

$$
y_{2}=-\frac{1}{3} \frac{(150)\left(40^{3}\right)}{E I}+(-40)\left[-\frac{1}{3} \frac{(-6000)(140)}{E I}\right]=-\frac{14,400,000}{E I}
$$

Adding algebraically, the deflection of the left end is

$$
y=y_{1}+y_{2}=-\frac{4,600,000}{E I}=-0.33 \text { in } \quad \text { (deflection is downward) }
$$

The solution of this problem can also be effected readily by using Eq. (8.1-6). The reaction at the left support due to the actual loads is 343 lb and the reaction due to a unit load acting down at the left support is 1.286 . If $x$ is measured from the extreme left end of the beam

$$
M=-150 x+343\langle x-40\rangle-2.14 \frac{\langle x-40\rangle^{2}}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad m=-x+1.286\langle x-40\rangle
$$

Simplifying the equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =\int \frac{M m}{E I} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{E I}\left[\int_{0}^{40}(-150 x)(-x) d x+\int_{40}^{180}\left(-1.071 x^{2}+278.8 x-15,430\right)(0.286 x-51.6) d x\right] \\
& =+0.33 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

(Here the plus sign means that $y$ is in the direction of the assumed unit load, i.e., downward.)

This second solution involves much more labor than the first, and the calculations must be carried out with great accuracy to avoid the possibility of making a large error in the final result.
3. A cast iron beam is simply supported at the left end and fixed at the right end on a span of 100 cm . The cross section is 4 cm wide and 6 cm deep ( $I=72 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}$ ). The modulus of elasticity of cast iron is $10^{7} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, and the coefficient of expansion is $0.000012 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{cm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It is desired to determine the locations and magnitudes of the maximum vertical deflection and the maximum bending stress in the beam. The loading consists of a uniformly increasing distributed load starting at 0 at 40 cm from the left end and increasing to $200 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$ at the right end. In addition, the beam, which was originally $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, is heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the top and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the bottom with the temperature assumed to vary linearly from top to bottom.

Solution. Superimposing cases 2 c and 6 c of Table 8.1, the following reactions are determined. (Note: For case 2c, $w_{a}=0, a=40 \mathrm{~cm}, w_{l}=200 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$, and $l=100 \mathrm{~cm}$; for case $6 \mathrm{c}, T_{1}=50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, T_{2}=100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \gamma=0.000012 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{cm} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $t=6 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $a=0$.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{A} & =\frac{200(100-40)^{3}(4 \cdot 100+40)}{40\left(100^{3}\right)}-\frac{3\left(10^{7}\right)(72)(0.000012)(100-50)}{2(6)(100)}=-604.8 \mathrm{~N} \\
M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\
\theta_{A} & =\frac{-200(100-40)^{3}(2 \cdot 100+3 \cdot 40)}{240\left(10^{7}\right)(72)(100)}+\frac{0.000012(100-50)(-100)}{4(6)} \\
& =-0.0033 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =-0.0033 x-\frac{604.8 x^{3}}{6 E I}-\frac{200\langle x-40\rangle^{5}}{(100-40)(120) E I}+\frac{0.000012(100-50) x^{2}}{2(6)} \\
& =-0.0033 x-1.4\left(10^{-7}\right) x^{3}-3.86\left(10^{-11}\right)\langle x-40\rangle^{5}+5.0\left(10^{-5}\right) x^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{d y}{d x}=-0.0033-4.2\left(10^{-7}\right) x^{2}-19.3\left(10^{-11}\right)\langle x-40\rangle^{4}+10\left(10^{-5}\right) x
$$

The maximum deflection will occur at a position $x_{1}$ where the slope $d y / d x$ is zero. At this time an assumption must be made as to which span, $x_{1}<40$ or $x_{1}>40$, will contain the maximum deflection. Assuming that $x_{1}$ is less than 40 cm and setting the slope equal to zero,

$$
0=-0.0033-4.2\left(10^{-7}\right) x_{1}^{2}+10\left(10^{-5}\right) x_{1}
$$

Of the two solutions for $x_{1}, 39.7$ and 198 cm , only the value of 39.7 cm is valid since it is less than 40 cm . Substituting $x=39.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ into the deflection equation gives the maximum deflection of -0.061 cm . Similarly,

$$
M=-604.8 x-\frac{200}{6(100-40)}\langle x-40\rangle^{3}
$$

which has a maximum negative value where $x$ is a maximum, i.e., at the right end:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\max } & =-604.8(100)-\frac{200}{6(100-40)}(100-40)^{3}=-180,480 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{cm} \\
\sigma_{\max } & =\frac{M c}{I}=\frac{180,480(3)}{72}=7520 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. The cast iron beam in Example 3 is to be simply supported at both ends and carry a concentrated load of $10,000 \mathrm{~N}$ at a point 30 cm from the right end. It is desired to determine the relative displacement of the lower edges of the end section. For this example, case 1 e can be used with $\alpha=70 \mathrm{~cm}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{A} & =\frac{10,000(100-70)}{100}=3000 \mathrm{~N} \quad M_{A}=0 \\
\theta_{A} & =\frac{-10,000(70)}{6\left(10^{7}\right)(72)(100)}(200-70)(100-70)=-0.00632 \mathrm{rad}, \quad y_{A}=0 \\
\theta_{B} & =\frac{10,000(70)}{6\left(10^{7}\right)(72)(100)}\left(100^{2}-70^{2}\right)=0.00826 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d y}{d x} & =-0.00632+2.083\left(10^{-6}\right) x^{2}-\frac{10,000}{2\left(10^{7}\right)(72)}\langle x-70\rangle^{2} \\
& =-0.00632+2.083\left(10^{-6}\right) x^{2}-6.94\left(10^{-6}\right)\langle x-70\rangle^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The shortening of the neutral surface of the beam is given in Eq. (8.1-14) to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta l= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{l}\left(\frac{d y}{d x}\right)^{2} d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{70}\left[-0.00632+2.083\left(10^{-6}\right) x^{2}\right]^{2} d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{70}^{100}\left[-0.04033+9.716\left(10^{-4}\right) x-4.857\left(10^{-6}\right) x^{2}\right]^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\Delta l=0.00135 \mathrm{~cm} \quad \text { (a shortening) }
$$

In addition to the shortening of the neutral surface, the lower edge of the left end moves to the left by an amount $\theta_{A} c$ or $0.00632(3)=0.01896 \mathrm{~cm}$. Similarly, the lower edge of the right end moves to the right by an amount $\theta_{B} c$ or $0.00826(3)=0.02478 \mathrm{~cm}$. Evaluating the motion of the lower edges in this manner is equivalent to solving Eq. (8.1-15) for the total strain in the lower fibers of the beam.

The total relative motion of the lower edges of the end sections is therefore a moving apart by an amount $0.01896+0.02478$ $0.00135=0.0424 \mathrm{~cm}$.

### 8.2 Composite Beams and Bimetallic Strips

Beams that are constructed of more than one material can be treated by using an equivalent width technique if the maximum stresses in each of the several materials remain within the proportional limit. An equivalent cross section is developed in which the width of each component parallel to the principal axis of bending is increased in the same proportion that the modulus of elasticity of that component makes with the modulus of the assumed material of the equivalent beam.

## EXAMPLE

The beam cross section shown in Fig. 8.4(a) is composed of three portions of equal width and depth. The top portion is made of aluminum for which $E_{A}=10 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$; the center is made of brass for which $E_{B}=15 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$; and the bottom is made of steel for which $E_{S}=30 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Figure 8.4(b) shows the equivalent cross section, which is assumed to be made of aluminum. For this equivalent cross section the centroid must be located and the moment of inertia determined for the centroidal axis.

## Solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{y} & =\frac{3(2)(5)+4.5(2)(3)+9(2)(1)}{6+9+18}=2.27 \mathrm{in} \\
I_{x} & =\frac{3\left(2^{3}\right)}{12}+6(5-2.27)^{2}+\frac{4.5\left(2^{3}\right)}{12}+9(3-2.27)^{2}+\frac{9\left(2^{3}\right)}{12}+18(2.27-1)^{2} \\
& =89.5 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equivalent stiffness $E I$ of this beam is therefore $10 \cdot 10^{6}(89.5)$, or $895 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}^{2}$.

A flexure stress computed by $\sigma=M c / I_{x}$ will give a stress in the equivalent beam which can thereby be converted into the stress in the actual composite beam by multiplying by the modulus ratio. If a bending moment of $300,000 \mathrm{lb}-$ in were applied to a beam with the cross section shown, the stress at the top surface of the equivalent beam would be $\sigma=300,000(6-2.27) / 89.5$, or $12,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Since the material at the top is the same in both the actual and equivalent beams, this is also the maximum stress in the aluminum portion of the actual beam. The stress at the bottom of the equivalent beam would be $\sigma=300,000(2.27) / 89.5=7620 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Multiplying the stress by the

(a)

(b)
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modulus ratio, the actual stress at the bottom of the steel portion of the beam would be $\sigma=7620(30 / 10)=22,900 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

Bimetallic strips are widely used in instruments to sense or control temperatures. The following formula gives the equivalent properties of the strip for which the cross section is shown in Fig. 8.5:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Equivalent } E I=\frac{w t_{b}^{3} t_{a} E_{b} E_{a}}{12\left(t_{a} E_{a}+t_{b} E_{b}\right)} K_{1} \tag{8.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=4+6 \frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}+4\left(\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}\right)^{2}+\frac{E_{a}}{E_{b}}\left(\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}\right)^{3}+\frac{E_{b}}{E_{a}} \frac{t_{b}}{t_{a}} \tag{8.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

All the formulas in Table 8.1, cases 1 to 5 , can be applied to the bimetallic beam by using this equivalent value of EI. Since a bimetallic strip is designed to deform when its temperature differs from $T_{o}$, the temperature at which the strip is straight, Table 8.1 , case 6 , can be used to solve for reaction forces and moments as well as deformations of the bimetallic strip under a uniform temperature $T$. To do this, the term $\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) / t$ is replaced by the term $6\left(\gamma_{b}-\gamma_{a}\right)\left(T-T_{o}\right)\left(t_{a}+t_{b}\right) /$ $\left(t_{b}^{2} K_{1}\right)$ and $E I$ is replaced by the equivalent $E I$ given by Eq. (8.2-1).

After the moments and deformations have been determined, the flexure stresses can be computed. The stresses due to the bending moments caused by the restraints and any applied loads are given by the following expressions:

In the top surface of material $a$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{-6 M}{w t_{b}^{2} K_{1}}\left(2+\frac{t_{b}}{t_{a}}+\frac{E_{a} t_{a}}{E_{b} t_{b}}\right) \tag{8.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the bottom surface of material $b$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{6 M}{w t_{b}^{2} K_{1}}\left(2+\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}+\frac{E_{b} t_{b}}{E_{a} t_{a}}\right) \tag{8.2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there are no restraints imposed, the distortion of a bimetallic strip due to a temperature change is accompanied by flexure stresses in the two materials. This differs from a beam made of a single material which deforms free of stress when subjected to a linear temperature
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variation through the thickness if there are no restraints. Therefore the following stresses must be added algebraically to the stresses caused by the bending moments, if any:

In the top surface of material $a$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{-\left(\gamma_{b}-\gamma_{a}\right)\left(T-T_{o}\right) E_{a}}{K_{1}}\left[3 \frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}+2\left(\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}\right)^{2}-\frac{E_{b} t_{b}}{E_{a} t_{a}}\right] \tag{8.2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the bottom surface of material $b$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{\left(\gamma_{b}-\gamma_{a}\right)\left(T-T_{o}\right) E_{b}}{K_{1}}\left[3 \frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}+2-\frac{E_{a}}{E_{b}}\left(\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}\right)^{3}\right] \tag{8.2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE

A bimetallic strip is made by bonding a piece of titanium alloy $\frac{1}{4}$ in wide by 0.030 in thick to a piece of stainless steel $\frac{1}{4}$ in wide by 0.060 in thick. For titanium, $E=17 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $\gamma=5.7 \cdot 10^{-6} \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; for stainless steel, $E=28 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $\gamma=9.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} /^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. It is desired to find the length of bimetal required to develop a reaction force of 5 oz at a simply supported left end when the right end is fixed and the temperature is raised $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; also the maximum stresses must be determined.
Solution. First find the value of $K_{1}$ from Eq. (8.2-2) and then evaluate the equivalent stiffness from Eq. (8.2-1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad K_{1}=4+6 \frac{0.03}{0.06}+4\left(\frac{0.03}{0.06}\right)^{2}+\frac{17}{28}\left(\frac{0.03}{0.06}\right)^{3}+\frac{28}{17} \frac{0.06}{0.03}=11.37 \\
& \text { Equivalent } E I=\frac{0.25\left(0.06^{3}\right)(0.03)\left(28 \cdot 10^{6}\right)\left(17 \cdot 10^{6}\right)}{12\left[0.03\left(17 \cdot 10^{6}\right)+0.06\left(28 \cdot 10^{6}\right)\right]} 11.37=333 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Under a temperature rise over the entire length, the bimetallic strip curves just as a single strip would curve under a temperature differential. To use case 6 c in Table 8.1, the equivalent to $\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) / t$ must be found. This equivalent value is given by

$$
\frac{6\left(9.6 \cdot 10^{-6}-5.7 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)(50)(0.03+0.06)}{\left(0.06^{2}\right)(11.37)}=0.00257 \mathrm{in}^{-1}
$$

The expression for $R_{A}$ can now be obtained from case 6c in Table 8.1 and, noting that $a=0$, the value of the length $l$ can be determined:

$$
R_{A}=\frac{-3\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{2 l^{3}} E I \frac{\gamma}{t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)=\frac{-3}{2 l}(333)(0.00257)=\frac{-5}{16} \mathrm{lb}
$$

Therefore $l=4.11 \mathrm{in}$.
The maximum bending moment is found at the fixed end and is equal to $R_{A} l$ :

$$
\max M=-\frac{5}{16}(4.11)=-1.285 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

Combining Eqs. (8.2-3) and (8.2-5), the flexure stress on the top of the titanium is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma= & \frac{-6(-1.285)}{0.25(0.06)^{2}(11.37)}\left(2+\frac{0.06}{0.03}+\frac{17}{28} \frac{0.03}{0.06}\right) \\
& -\frac{\left(9.6 \cdot 10^{-6}-5.7 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)(50)\left(17 \cdot 10^{6}\right)}{11.37}\left[3 \frac{0.03}{0.06}+2\left(\frac{0.03}{0.06}\right)^{2}-\frac{28}{17} \frac{0.06}{0.03}\right] \\
= & 3242+378=3620 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Likewise, the flexure stress on the bottom of the stainless steeel is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma= & \frac{6(-1.285)}{0.25\left(0.06^{2}\right)(11.37)}\left[2+\frac{0.03}{0.06}+\frac{28}{17} \frac{0.06}{0.03}\right] \\
& +\frac{\left(9.6 \cdot 10^{-6}-5.7 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)(50)\left(28 \cdot 10^{6}\right)}{11.37}\left[3 \frac{0.03}{0.06}+2-\frac{17}{28}\left(\frac{0.03}{0.06}\right)^{3}\right] \\
= & -4365+1644=-2720 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 8.3 Three-Moment Equation

The three-moment equation, which expresses the relationship between the bending moments found at three consecutive supports in a continuous beam, can be readily derived for any loading shown in Table 8.1. This is accomplished by taking any two consecutive spans and evaluating the slope for each span at the end where the two spans join. These slopes, which are expressed in terms of the three moments and the loads on the spans, are then equated and the equation reduced to its usual form.

## EXAMPLE

Consider two contiguous spans loaded as shown in Fig. 8.6. In addition to the loading shown, it is known that the left end of span 1 had settled an amount $y_{2}-y_{1}$ relative to the right end of the span, and similarly that the left end of span 2 has settled an amount $y_{3}-y_{2}$ relative to the right end. (Note that $y_{1}, y_{2}$, and $y_{3}$ are considered positive upward as usual.) The individual spans with their loadings are shown in Fig. 8.7(a,b). Determine the relationship between the applied loads and the moment at the intermediate support.
Solution. Using cases 2 e and 3 e from Table 8.1 and noting the relative deflections mentioned above, the expression for the slope at the right end of
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span 1 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{2}= & \frac{w_{1}\left(l_{1}^{2}-a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}}{24 E_{1} I_{1} l_{1}}-\frac{w_{1}\left(l_{1}-a_{1}\right)^{2}}{360 E_{1} I_{1} l_{1}}\left(8 l_{1}^{2}+9 a_{1} l_{1}+3 a_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{M_{1} l_{1}^{2}}{6 E_{1} I_{1} l_{1}}+\frac{-M_{2}\left(l_{1}^{2}-3 a_{1}^{2}\right)}{6 E_{1} I_{1} l_{1}}+\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{l_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, using cases 1 e and 3 e from Table 8.1, the expression for the slope at the left end of span 2 is
$\theta_{2}=\frac{-W_{2} a_{2}}{6 E_{2} I_{2} l_{2}}\left(2 l_{2}-a_{2}\right)\left(l_{2}-a_{2}\right)-\frac{M_{2}}{6 E_{2} I_{2} l_{2}}\left(2 l_{2}^{2}\right)-\frac{-M_{3}}{6 E_{2} I_{2} l_{2}}\left(2 l_{2}^{2}-6 l_{2}^{2}+3 l_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{y_{3}-y_{2}}{l_{2}}$
Equating these slopes gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{M_{1} l_{1}}{6 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{M_{2} l_{1}}{3 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{M_{2} l_{2}}{3 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{M_{3} l_{2}}{6 E_{2} I_{2}}=\frac{-w_{1}\left(l_{1}-a_{1}\right)^{2}}{360 E_{1} I_{1} l_{1}}\left(7 l_{1}^{2}+21 a_{1} l_{1}+12 a_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{l_{1}}-\frac{W_{2} a_{2}}{6 E_{2} I_{2} l_{2}}\left(2 l_{2}-a_{2}\right)\left(l_{2}-a_{2}\right)+\frac{y_{3}-y_{2}}{l_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $M_{1}$ and $M_{3}$ are known, this expression can be solved for $M_{2}$ : if not, similar expressions for the adjacent spans must be written and the set of equations solved for the moments.

The three-moment equation can also be applied to beams carrying axial tension or compression in addition to transverse loading. The procedure is exactly the same as that described above except the slope formulas to be used are those given in Tables 8.8 and 8.9.

### 8.4 Rigid Frames

By superposition and the matching of slopes and deflections, the formulas in Table 8.1 can be used to solve for the indeterminate reactions in rigid frames or to determine the deformations where the support conditions permit such deformations to take place. The term rigid in this section simply means that any deformations are small enough to have negligible effect on bending moments.

In Table 8.2 formulas are given for the indeterminate reactions and end deformations for rigid frames consisting of three members. Only in-plane deformations and only those due to bending moments have
been included in the expressions found in this table. Since deformations due to transverse shear and axial loading are not included, the results are limited to those frames made up of members which are long in proportion to their depths (see assumptions 6 to 8 in Sec. 8.1). Each member must be straight and of uniform cross section having a principal axis lying in the plane of the frame. The elastic stability of the frame, either in the plane of the frame or out of this plane, has not been treated in developing Table 8.2. The effects of axial load on the bending deformations, as documented in Tables 8.7-8.9, have not been considered. A final check on a solution must verify that indeed these effects can be neglected. Very extensive compilations of formulas for rigid frames are available, notably those of Kleinlogel (Ref. 56) and Leontovich (Ref. 57).

While Table 8.2 is obviously designed for frames with three members where the vertical members both lie on the same side of the horizontal member, its use is not limited to this configuration. One can set the lengths of either of the vertical members, members 1 and 2 , equal to zero and solve for reactions and deformations of two-member frames. The length of the horizontal member, member 3, should not be set to zero for two reasons: (1) It does not generally represent a real problem; and (2) the lengths of members 1 and 2 are assumed not to change, and this adds a restraint to member 3 that would force it to have zero slope if its length was very short. Another very useful application of the expressions in Table 8.2 is to apply them to frames where one of the two vertical members lies on the opposite side of the horizontal member. Instead of forming a U-shape in the side view, it forms a Z-shape. To do this one must change the signs of three variables associated with the reversed member: (1) the sign of the length of the reversed member, (2) the sign of the distance $a$ which locates any load on the reversed member, and (3) the sign of the product $E I$ of the reversed member. All the reactions and end-point deflections retain their directions as given in the figures in Table 8.2; that is, if member 1 is reversed and extends upward from the left end of member $3, H_{A}$ now acts at the upper end of member 1 and is positive to the left as is $\delta_{H A}$. Example 3 illustrates this application as well as showing how the results of using Tables 8.1 and 8.2 together can be used to determine the deformations anywhere in a given frame.

When the number of members is large, as in a framed building, a relaxation method such as moment distribution might be used or a digital computer could be programmed to solve the large number of equations. In all rigid frames, corner or knee design is important; much information and experimental data relating to this problem are to be found in the reports published by the Fritz Engineering Laboratories of Lehigh University. The frames given in Table 8.2 are assumed to have rigid corners; however, corrections can be made easily once the
rigidity of a given corner design is known by making use of the concentrated angular displacement loading with the displacement positioned at the corner. This application is illustrated in Example 2.

## EXAMPLES

1. The frame shown in Fig. 8.8(a) is fixed at the lower end of the right-hand member and guided at the lower end of the left-hand member in such a way as to prevent any rotation of this end but permitting horizontal motion if any is produced by the loading. The configuration could represent the upper half of the frame shown in Fig. 8.8(b); for this frame the material properties and physical dimensions are given as $l_{1}=40 \mathrm{in}, l_{2}=20 \mathrm{in}, l_{3}=15 \mathrm{in}, E_{1}=$ $E_{2}=E_{3}=30 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, I_{1}=8 \mathrm{in}^{4}, I_{2}=10 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, and $I_{3}=4 \mathrm{in}^{4}$. In addition to the load $P$ of 1000 lb , the frame has been subjected to a temperature rise of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ since it was assembled in a stress-free condition. The coefficient of expansion of the material used in all three portions is $0.0000065 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

Solution. An examination of Table 8.2 shows the required end or support conditions in case 7 with the loading cases f and q listed under case 5 . For cases 5 to 12 the frame constants are evaluated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{H H} & =\frac{l_{1}^{3}}{3 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{1}^{3}-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)^{3}}{3 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{1}^{2} l_{3}}{E_{3} I_{3}}=\frac{\frac{40^{3}}{3(8)}+\frac{40^{3}-(40-20)^{3}}{3(10)}+\frac{\left(40^{2}\right)(15)}{4}}{30\left(10^{6}\right)} \\
& =\frac{2666.7+1866.7+6000}{30\left(10^{6}\right)}=0.0003511 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{H V} & =C_{V H}=0.0000675 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{lb} \\
C_{H M} & =C_{M H}=0.00001033 \mathrm{lb}^{-1} \\
C_{V V} & =0.0000244 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{lb} \\
C_{V M} & =C_{M V}=0.00000194 \mathrm{lb}^{-1} \\
C_{M M} & =0.000000359(\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in})^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$
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For case 7f

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H} & =W\left(C_{H H}-a C_{H M}+\frac{a^{3}}{6 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \\
& =1000\left[0.0003511-12(0.00001033)+\frac{12^{3}}{6\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(8)}\right] \\
& =1000(0.0003511-0.000124+0.0000012)=0.2282 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
L F_{V}=0.0442 \text { in and } L F_{M}=0.00632 \mathrm{rad}
$$

For case $7 q$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L F_{H}=-\left(T-T_{o}\right) \gamma_{3} l_{3}=-50(0.0000065)(15)=-0.004875 \mathrm{in} \\
& L F_{V}=0.0065 \mathrm{in} \text { and } L F_{M}=0 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the combined loading

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H} & =0.2282-0.004875=0.2233 \text { in } \\
L F_{V} & =0.0507 \mathrm{in} \\
L F_{M} & =0.00632 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the left end force, moment, and displacement can be evaluated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{A} & =\frac{L F_{V} C_{M M}-L F_{M} C_{V M}}{C_{V V} C_{M M}-C_{V M}^{2}}=\frac{0.0507\left(0.359 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)-0.00632\left(1.94 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}{\left(24.4 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)\left(0.359 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)-\left(1.94 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)^{2}} \\
& =1189 \mathrm{lb} \\
M_{A} & =11,179 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
\delta_{H A} & -0.0274 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 8.9 shows the moment diagram for the entire frame.


Figure 8.9 (units are in lb-in)
2. If the joint at the top of the left vertical member in Example 1 had not been rigid but instead had been found to be deformable by $10^{-7}$ rad for every inchpound of bending moment applied to it, the solution can be modified as follows.
Solution. The bending moment as the corner in question would be given by $M_{A}-28(1000)$, and so the corner rotation would be $10^{-7}\left(M_{A}-28,000\right) \mathrm{rad}$ in a direction opposite to that shown by $\theta_{o}$ in case $5 a$. Note that the position of $\theta_{o}$ is
at the corner, and so $a$ would be 40 in . Therefore, the following load terms due to the corner deformation can be added to the previously determined load terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L F_{H}=-10^{-7}\left(M_{A}-28,000\right)(40) \\
& L F_{V}=0 \\
& L F_{M}=-10^{-7}\left(M_{A}-28,000\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the resultant load terms become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L F_{H}=0.2233-4 \cdot 10^{-6} M_{A}+0.112=0.3353-4 \cdot 10^{-6} M_{A} \\
& L F_{V}=0.0507 \mathrm{in} \\
& L F_{M}=0.00632-10^{-7} M_{A}+0.0028=0.00912-10^{-7} M_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, the left end force, moment and displacement are evaluated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{A} & =\frac{0.0507\left(0.359 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)-\left(0.00912-10^{-7} M_{A}\right)\left(1.94 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}{4.996 \cdot 10^{-12}} \\
& =100+0.0388 M_{A} \\
M_{A} & =\frac{\left(0.00912-10^{-7} M_{A}\right)\left(24.4 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)-0.0507\left(1.94 \cdot 10^{-6}\right)}{4.996 \cdot 10^{-12}} \\
& =24,800-0.488 M_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{A} & =16,670 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
\delta_{H A} & =-0.0460 \mathrm{in} \\
V_{A} & =747 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Find the reactions and deformations at the four positions $A$ to $D$ in the pinned-end frame shown in Fig. 8.10. All lengths are given in millimeters, $M_{o}=2000 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}_{\text {, }}$, and all members are made of aluminum for which $E=7\left(10^{4}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ with a constant cross section for which $I=100 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}$.
Solution. Case 1 h of Table 8.2 covers this combination of loading and end supports, if, due to the upward reach of member 1, appropriate negative values are used. The need for negative values is described in the introduction to Sec.
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8.4. Substitute the following material properties and physical dimensions into case 1h: $l_{1}=-100 \mathrm{~mm}, l_{2}=150 \mathrm{~mm}, l_{3}=75 \mathrm{~mm}, \alpha=-(100-40)=-60 \mathrm{~mm}$, $E_{1} I_{1}=-7\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{mm}^{2}$, and $E_{2} I_{2}=E_{3} I_{3}=7\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{mm}^{2}$. Using these data gives the frame and load constants as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{H H} & =0.2708 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{lb}, \quad A_{H M}=A_{M H}=-0.0008036 \mathrm{lb}^{-1} \\
A_{M M} & =0.00001786(\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in})^{-1}, \quad L P_{H}=0.0005464(2000)=1.0928 \mathrm{in} \\
L P_{M} & =-0.000009286(2000)=-0.01857 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these frames and load terms in case 1, the pinned-end case, the reaction and deformations are found to be

$$
\delta_{H A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad H_{A}=\frac{L P_{H}}{A_{H H}}=4.0352 \mathrm{~N} \quad(\text { to left })
$$

and

$$
\psi_{A}=A_{M H} H_{A}-L P_{M}=0.01533 \mathrm{rad} \quad \text { (clockwise) }
$$

Applying the three independent statics equations to Fig. 8.10(a) results in

$$
V_{A}=-13.214 \mathrm{~N} \quad H_{B}=-4.0358 \mathrm{~N} \quad \text { and } \quad V_{B}=13.214 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Now treat each of the three members as separate bodies in equilibrium, and find the deformations as pinned-end beams using equations from Table 8.1 as appropriate.

For member 1 as shown in Fig. 8.10(b): Using case 3e twice, once for each of the two moment loadings, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{A}= & \frac{-2000}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(100)}\left[2(100)^{2}-6(60)(100)+3(60)^{2}\right] \\
& +\frac{1596.4}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(100)}\left[2(100)^{2}-6(100)(100)+3(100)^{2}\right] \\
= & -0.001325 \mathrm{rad} \\
\theta_{C}= & \frac{2000}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(100)}\left[(100)^{2}-3(60)^{2}\right]-\frac{1596.4}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(100)}\left[(100)^{2}-3(100)^{2}\right] \\
= & 0.007221 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain the angle $\psi_{A}=0.01533 \mathrm{rad}$ at position $A$, member 1 must be given an additional rigid-body clockwise rotation of $0.01533-0.001325=0.01401 \mathrm{rad}$. This rigid-body motion moves position $C$ to the left a distance of 1.401 mm and makes the slope at position $C$ equal to $0.007221-0.01401=-0.006784 \mathrm{rad}$ (clockwise).

For member 3 as shown in Fig. 8.10(c): Again use case 3e from Table 8.1 twice to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{C} & =\frac{-1596.4}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(75)}\left[2(75)^{2}-0+0\right]-\frac{-605.35}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(75)}\left[2(75)^{2}-6(75)(75)+3(75)^{2}\right] \\
& =-0.006782 \mathrm{rad} \\
\theta_{D} & =\frac{1596.4}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(75)}\left[(75)^{2}-0\right]+\frac{-605.35}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(75)}\left[(75)^{2}-3(75)^{2}\right] \\
& =0.005013 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

No rigid-body rotation is necessary for member 3 since the left end has the same slope as the lower end of member 1 , which is as it should be.

For member 2 as shown in Fig. 8.10(d): Use case 3e from Table 8.1 to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{D} & =\frac{-605.35}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(150)}\left[2(150)^{2}-0+0\right]=-0.004324 \mathrm{rad} \\
\theta_{B} & =\frac{605.35}{6\left(7 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(150)}\left[(150)^{2}-0\right]=0.002162 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

To match the slope at the right end of member 3, a rigid-body counterclockwise rotation of $0.005013+0.00432=0.009337 \mathrm{rad}$ must be given to member 2 . This creates a slope $\psi_{B}=0.009337+0.002162=0.01150 \mathrm{rad}$ counterclockwise and a horizontal deflection at the top end of $0.009337(150)=1.401 \mathrm{~mm}$ to the left. This matches the horizontal deflection of the lower end of member 1 as a final check on the calculations.

To verify that the effect of axial load on the bending deformations of the members is negligible, the Euler load on the longest member is found to be more than 100 times the actual load. Using the formulas from Table 8.8 would not produce significantly different results from those in Table 8.1.

### 8.5 Beams on Elastic Foundations

There are cases in which beams are supported on foundations which develop essentially continuous reactions that are proportional at each position along the beam to the deflection of the beam at that position. This is the reason for the name elastic foundation. Solutions are available (Refs. 41 and 42) which consider that the foundation transmits shear forces within the foundation such that the reaction force is not directly proportional to the deflection at a given location but instead is proportional to a function of the deflections near the given location; these solutions are much more difficult to use and are not justified in many cases since the linearity of most foundations is open to question anyway.

It is not necessary, in fact, that a foundation be continuous. If a discontinuous foundation, such as is encountered in the support provided a rail by the cross ties, is found to have at least three concentrated reaction forces in every half-wavelength of the deflected beam, then the solutions provided in this section are adequate.

Table 8.5 provides formulas for the reactions and deflections at the left end of a finite-length beam on an elastic foundation as well as formulas for the shear, moment, slope, and deflection at any point $x$ along the length. The format used in presenting the formulas is designed to facilitate programming for use on a digital computer or programmable calculator.

In theory the equations in Table 8.5 are correct for any finite-length beam or for any finite foundation modulus, but for practical purposes they should not be used when $\beta l$ exceeds a value of 6 because the roundoff errors that are created where two very nearly equal large numbers are subtracted will make the accuracy of the answer questionable. For this reason, Table 8.6 has been provided. Table 8.6 contains formulas for semi-infinite- and infinite-length beams on elastic foundations. These formulas are of a much simpler form since the far end of the beam is assumed to be far enough away so as to have no effect on the response of the left end to the loading. If $\beta l>6$ and the load is nearer the left end, this is the case.
Hetényi (Ref. 53) discusses this problem of a beam supported on an elastic foundation extensively and shows how the solutions can be adapted to other elements such as hollow cylinders. Hetényi (Ref. 51) has also developed a series solution for beams supported on elastic foundations in which the stiffness parameters of the beam and foundation are not incorporated in the arguments of trigonometric or hyperbolic functions. He gives tables of coefficients derived for specific boundary conditions from which deformation, moments, or shears can be found at any specific point along the beam. Any degree of accuracy can be obtained by using enough terms in the series.

Tables of numerical values, Tables 8.3 and 8.4 are provided to assist in the solution of the formulas in Table 8.5. Interpolation is possible for values that are included but should be used with caution if it is noted that differences of large and nearly equal numbers are being encountered. A far better method of interpolation for a beam with a single load is to solve the problem twice. For the first solution move the load to the left until $\beta(l-a)$ is a value found in Table 8.3, and for the second solution move the load similarly to the right. A linear interpolation from these solutions should be very accurate.

Presenting the formulas for end reactions and displacements in Table 8.5 in terms of the constants $C_{i}$ and $C_{a i}$ is advantageous since it permits one to solve directly for loads anywhere on the span. If the loads are at the left end such that $C_{i}=C_{a i}$, then the formulas can be presented in a simpler form as is done in Ref. 6 of Chap. 13 for cylindrical shells. To facilitate the use of Table 8.5 when a concentrated load, moment, angular rotation, or lateral displacement is at the left end (that is, $a=0$ ), the following equations are presented to simplify the numerators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} C_{2}+C_{3} C_{4}=C_{12}, & 2 C_{1}^{2}+C_{2} C_{4}=2+C_{11} \\
C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}=C_{13}, & C_{2}^{2}-2 C_{1} C_{3}=C_{14} \\
C_{1}^{2}+C_{3}^{2}=1+C_{11}, & 2 C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}=C_{11} \\
C_{2}^{2}+C_{4}^{2}=2 C_{14}, & 2 C_{1} C_{3}+C_{4}^{2}=C_{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLES

1. A 6 -in, $12.5-\mathrm{lb}$ I-beam 20 ft long is used as a rail for an overhead crane and is in turn being supported every 2 ft of its length by being bolted to the bottom of a 5 -in, $10-\mathrm{lb}$ I-beam at midlength. The supporting beams are each 21.5 ft long and are considered to be simply supported at the ends. This is a case of a discontinuous foundation being analyzed as a continuous foundation. It is desired to determine the maximum bending stresses in the 6 -in beam as well as in the supporting beams when a load of 1 ton is supported at one end of the crane.

Solution. The spring constant for each supporting beam is $48 E I / l^{3}$, or $(48)\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(12.1) /(21.5 \cdot 12)^{3}=1013 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$. If this is assumed to be distributed over a 2 - ft length of the rail, the equivalent value of $b_{o} k_{o}$ is $1.013 / 24=42.2$ $\mathrm{lb} /$ in per inch of deflection. Therefore

$$
\beta=\left(\frac{b_{o} k_{o}}{4 E I}\right)^{1 / 4}=\left[\frac{42.2}{4\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(21.8)}\right]^{1 / 4}=0.01127 \mathrm{in}^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\beta l=(0.01127)(240)=2.70
$$

An examination of the deflection of a beam on an elastic foundation shows that it varies cyclicly in amplitude with the sine and cosine of $\beta x$. A half-wavelength of this cyclic variation would occur over a span $l_{1}$, where $\beta l_{1}=\pi$, or $l_{1}=\pi /$ $0.01127=279 \mathrm{in}$. There is no question about there being at least three supporting forces over this length, and so the use of the solution for a continuous foundation is entirely adequate.

Since $\beta l$ is less than 6 , Table 8.5 will be used. Refer to case 1 where both ends are free. It must be pointed out that a simple support refers to a reaction force, developed by a support other than the foundation, which is large enough to prevent any vertical deflection of the end of the beam. From the table we find that $R_{A}=0$ and $M_{A}=0$; and since the load is at the left end, $a=0$. When $a=0$, the $C_{a}$ terms are equal to the $C$ terms, and so the four terms $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$, and $C_{4}$ are calculated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\cosh \beta l \cos \beta l=7.47(-0.904)=-6.76 \\
& C_{2}=\cosh \beta l \sin \beta l+\sinh \beta l \cos \beta l=7.47(0.427)+7.41(-0.904)=-3.50
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $C_{3}=3.17, C_{4}=9.89$, and $C_{11}=54.7$. (See Tables 8.3 and 8.4.) Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{A}=\frac{2000}{2\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(21.8)\left(0.01127^{2}\right)} \frac{\left(-3.50^{2}\right)-(2)(3.17)(-6.76)}{54.7}=0.01216 \mathrm{rad} \\
& y_{A}=\frac{2000}{2\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(21.8)\left(0.01127^{3}\right)} \frac{(9.89)(-6.76)-(3.17)(-3.50)}{54.7}=-1.092 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

With the deformations at the left end known, the expression for the bending moment can be written:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & -y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}-\frac{W}{2 \beta} F_{a 2} \\
= & 1.092(2)\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(21.8)\left(0.01127^{2}\right) F_{3}-0.01216\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(21.8)(0.01127) F_{4} \\
& -\frac{2000}{2(0.01127)} F_{a 2} \\
= & 181,400 F_{3}-89,600 F_{4}-88,700 F_{a 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now substituting the expressions for $F_{a 2}, F_{3}$, and $F_{4}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & 181,400 \sinh \beta x \sin \beta x-89,600(\cosh \beta x \sin \beta x-\sinh \beta x \cos \beta x) \\
& -88,700(\cosh \beta x \sin \beta x+\sinh \beta x \cos \beta x)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
M=181,400 \sinh \beta x \sin \beta x-178,300 \cosh \beta x \sin \beta x+900 \sinh \beta x \cos \beta x
$$

The maximiun value of $M$ can be found by trying values of $x$ in the neighborhood of $x=\pi / 4 \beta=\pi / 4(0.01127)=69.7 \mathrm{in}$, which would be the location of the maximum moment if the beam were infinitely long (see Table 8.6). This procedure reveals that the maximum moment occurs at $x=66.5$ in and has a value of $-55,400 \mathrm{lb}$-in.

The maximum stress in the 6 -in I-beam is therefore $55,400(3) / 21.8=$ $7620 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The maximum stress in the supporting 5 -in I-beams is found at the midspan of the beam directly above the load. The deflection of this beam is known to be 1.092 in , and the spring constant is $1013 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$, so that the center load on the beam is $1.092(1013)=1107 \mathrm{lb}$. Therefore the maximum bending moment is $\mathrm{Pl} / 4=1107(21.5)(12) / 4=71,400 \mathrm{lb}$-in and the maximum stress is $71,400(2.5) / 12.1=14,780 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
2. If the 6 -in I-beam in Example 1 had been much longer but supported in the same manner, Table 8.6 could have been used. Case 8 reveals that for an end load the end deflection is $-W / 2 E I \beta^{3}=-2000 / 2\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(21.8)\left(0.01127^{3}\right)=$ -1.070 in and the maximum moment would have equaled $-0.3225 \mathrm{~W} /$ $\beta=-0.3225(2000) / 0.01127=-57,200 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb}$ at 69.7 in from the left end. We should not construe from this example that increasing the length will always increase the stresses; if the load had been placed elsewhere on the span, the longer beam could have had the lower maximum stress.
3. An aluminum alloy beam 3 in wide, 2 in deep, and 60 in long is manufactured with an initial concentrated angular deformation of 0.02 rad at midlength; this initial shape is shown in Fig. 8.11(a). In use, the beam is placed on an elastic foundation which develops $500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ vertical upward pressure for every 1 in it is depressed. The beam is loaded by two concentrated loads of 4000 lb each and a uniformly distributed load of $80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ over the portion between the concentrated loads. The loading is shown in Fig. 8.11(b). It is desired to determine the maximum bending stress in the aluminum beam.
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Solution. First determine the beam and foundation parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E & =9.5 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \quad I=\frac{1}{12}(3)\left(2^{3}\right)=2 \mathrm{in}^{4}, \quad k_{0}=500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{in}, \quad b_{o}=3 \mathrm{in} \\
\beta & =\left[\frac{3(500)}{4\left(9.5 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(2)}\right]^{1 / 4}=0.0666, \quad l=60 \mathrm{in}, \quad \beta l=4.0 \\
C_{1} & =-17.85, \quad C_{2}=-38.50, \quad C_{3}=-20.65, \quad C_{4}=-2.83, \quad C_{11}=744
\end{aligned}
$$

An examination of Table 8.5 shows the loading conditions covered by the superposition of three cases in which both ends are free: case 1 used twice with $W_{1}=4000 \mathrm{lb}$ and $a_{1}=15 \mathrm{in}$, and $W_{2}=4000 \mathrm{lb}$ and $a_{2}=45 \mathrm{in}$; case 2 used twice with $w_{3}=80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ and $a_{3}=15 \mathrm{in}$, and $w_{4}=-80 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ and $a_{4}=45 \mathrm{in}$; case 5 used once with $\theta_{o}=0.02$ and $\alpha=30 \mathrm{in}$.

The loads and deformations at the left end are now evaulated by summing the values for the five different loads, which is done in the order in which the loads are mentioned. But before actually summing the end values, a set of constants involving the load positions must be determined for each case. For case 1, load 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{a 1}=\cosh \beta(60-15) \cos \beta(60-15)=10.068(-0.99)=-9.967 \\
& C_{a 2}=-8.497
\end{aligned}
$$

For case 1, load 2:

$$
C_{a 1}=0.834, \quad C_{a 2}=1.933
$$

For case 2, load 3:

$$
C_{a 2}=-8.497, \quad C_{a 3}=1.414
$$

For case 2, load 4:

$$
C_{a 2}=1.933, \quad C_{a 3}=0.989
$$

For case 5:

$$
C_{a 3}=3.298, \quad C_{a 4}=4.930
$$

Therefore $R_{A}=0$ and $M_{A}=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{A}= & \frac{4000}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{(-38.50)(-8.497)-(2)(-20.65)(-9.967)}{744} \\
& +\frac{4000}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{(-38.50)(1.933)-(2)(-20.65)(0.834)}{744} \\
& +\frac{80}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{(-38.50)(1.414)-(-20.65)(-8.497)}{744} \\
& +\frac{-80}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{(-38.50)(0.989)-(-20.65)(1.933)}{744} \\
& +0.02 \frac{(-38.50)(4.93)-(2)(-20.65)(3.298)}{744} \\
= & \frac{400}{E I \beta^{2}}(-0.0568)+\frac{4000}{E I \beta^{2}}(-0.02688)+\frac{80}{E I \beta^{3}}(-0.1545) \\
& -\frac{80}{E I \beta^{3}}(0.00125)+0.02(-0.0721) \\
= & -0.007582 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
y_{A}=-0.01172 \text { in }
$$

An examination of the equation for the transverse shear $V$ shows that the value of the shear passes through zero at $x=15,30$, and 45 in . The maximum positive bending moment occurs at $x=15$ in and is evaluated as follows, noting again that $R_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ are zero:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{15}= & -(-0.01172)(2)\left(9.5 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(2)\left(0.06666^{2}\right)[\sinh (0.06666)(15) \sin 1] \\
& -(-0.007582)\left(9.5 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(2)(0.06666)(\cosh 1 \sin 1-\sinh 1 \cos 1) \\
= & 8330 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the maximum negative moment at $x=30$ in is evaluated, making sure that the terms for the concentrated load at 15 in and the uniformly distributed load from 15 to 30 in are included:

$$
M_{30}=-13,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

The maximum bending stress is given by $\sigma=M c / I$ and is found to be $6500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

### 8.6 Deformation Due to the Elasticity of Fixed Supports

The formulas in Tables 8.1, 8.2, 8.5, 8.6, and 8.8-8.10 that apply to those cases where fixed or guided end supports are specified are based on the assumption that the support is rigid and holds the fixed or guided end truly horizontal or vertical. The slight deformation that actually occurs at the support permits the beam to assume there a


Figure 8.12
slope $\Delta \theta$, which for the conditions represented in Fig. 8.12, that is, a beam integral with a semi-infinite supporting foundation, is given by

$$
\Delta \theta=\frac{16.67 M}{\pi E h_{1}^{2}}+\frac{(1-v) V}{E h_{1}}
$$

Here $M$ is the bending moment per unit width and $V$ is the shear force per unit width of the beam at the support; $E$ is the modulus of elasticity, and $v$ is Poisson's ratio for the foundation material; and $h_{1}=h+1.5 r$ (Ref. 54). The effect of this deformation is to increase the deflections of the beam. For a cantilever, this increase is simply $x \Delta \theta$, but for other support conditions the concept of the externally created angular deformation may be utilized (see Example 2 on page 144).

For the effect of many moment-loaded cantilever beams spaced closely one above the next, see Ref. 67.

### 8.7 Beams under Simultaneous Axial and Transverse Loading

Under certain conditions a beam may be subjected to axial tension or compression in addition to the transverse loads. Axial tension tends to straighten the beam and thus reduce the bending moments produced by the transve:rse loads, but axial compression has the opposite effect and may greatly increase the maximum bending moment and deflection and obviously must be less than the critical or buckling load. See Chap. 15. In either case a solution cannot be effected by simple superposition but must be arrived at by methods that take into account the change in deflection produced by the axial load.

For any condition of loading, the maximum normal stress in an extreme fiber is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{A} \pm \frac{M c}{I} \tag{8.7-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the axial load (positive if tensile and negative if compressive), $A$ is the cross-sectional area of the beam, $I / c$ is the section modulus, and $M$ is the maximum bending moment due to the combined effect of axial and transverse loads. (Use the plus sign if
$M$ causes tension at the point in question and the minus sign if $M$ causes compression.)

It is the determination of $M$ that offers difficulty. For some cases, especially if $P$ is small or tensile, it is permissible to ignore the small additional moment caused by $P$ and to take $M$ equal to $M^{\prime}$, the bending moment due to transverse loads only. Approximate formulas of the type (Ref. 33)

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{\max }=\frac{y_{\max }^{\prime}}{1 \pm \alpha_{y} P^{2} / E I}, \quad \theta_{\max }=\frac{\theta_{\max }^{\prime}}{1 \pm \alpha_{\theta} P^{2} / E I}  \tag{8.7-2}\\
M_{\max }=\frac{M_{\max }^{\prime}}{1 \pm \alpha_{M} P^{2} / E I}
\end{gather*}
$$

have been used, but the values of $\alpha_{y}, \alpha_{\theta}$, and $\alpha_{M}$ are different for each loading and each manner of supporting the beam.

Instead of tabulating the values of $\alpha$, which give answers with increasing error as $P$ increases, Tables $8.7(a-d)$ gives values of the coefficient $C_{P}$ which can be used in the expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{A}=C_{P} y_{A}^{\prime}, \quad \theta_{A}=C_{P} \theta_{A}^{\prime}, \quad M_{A}=C_{p} M_{A}^{\prime}, \quad \text { etc. } \tag{8.7-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the primed values refer to the laterally loaded beam without the axial load and can be evaluated from expressions found in Table 8.1. For those cases listed where the reactions are statically indeterminate, the reaction coefficients given will enable the remaining reactions to be evaluated by applying the principles of static equilibrium. The given values of $C_{P}$ are exact, based on the assumption that deflections due to transverse shear are negligible. This same assumption was used in developing the equations for transverse shear, bending moment, slope, and deflection shown in Tables 8.8 and 8.9.

Table 8.8 lists the general equations just mentioned as well as boundary values and selected maximum values for the case of axial compressive loading plus transverse loading. Since, in general, axial tension is a less critical condition, where deflections, slopes, and moments are usually reduced by the axial load, Table 8.9 is much more compact and gives only the general equations and the left-end boundary values.

Although the principle of superposition does not apply to the problem considered here, this modification of the principle can be used: The moment (or deflection) for a combination of transverse loads can be found by adding the moments (or deflections) for each transverse load combined with the entire axial load. Thus a beam supported at the ends and subjected to a uniform load, a center load, and an axial compression would have a maximum bending moment (or deflection) given by the sum of the maximum moments (or deflections) for Table
8.8, cases 1 e and 2 e , the end load being included once for each transverse load.

A problem closely related to the beam under combined axial and lateral loading occurs when the ends of a beam are axially restrained from motion along the axis of the beam (held) and a lateral load is applied. A solution is effected by equating the increase in length of the neutral surface of the beam $P l / A E$ to the decrease in length due to the curvature of the neutral surface $\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \theta^{2} d x$ [Eq. (8.1-14)]. In general, solving the resulting equation for $P$ is difficult owing to the presence of the hyperbolic functions and the several powers of the load $P$ in the equation. If the beam is long, slender, and heavily loaded, this will be necessary for good accuracy; but if the deflections are small, the deflection curve can be approximated with a sine or cosine curve, obtaining the results given in Table 8.10. The following examples will illustrate the use of the formulas in Tables 8.7-8.10.

## EXAMPLES

1. A 4 -in, 7.7 -lb steel I-beam 20 ft long is simply supported at both ends and simultaneously subjected to a transverse load of $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ (including its own weight), a concentrated lateral load of 600 lb acting vertically downward at a position 8 ft from the left end, and an axial compression of 3000 lb . It is required to determine the maximum fiber stress and the deflection at midlength.
Solution. Here $P=3000 \mathrm{lb} ; l=240 \mathrm{in} ; I=6 \mathrm{in}^{4} ; I / c=3 \mathrm{in}^{3} ; A=2.21 \mathrm{in}^{2}$; $w_{a}=w_{l}=\frac{50}{12}=4.17 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$; and $a=0$ for case $2 \mathrm{e} ; W=600 \mathrm{lb}$ and $a=96 \mathrm{in}$ for case 1e; $k=\sqrt{12} \sqrt{P / E I}=0.00408$ in $^{-1} ; k l=0.98$. The solution will be carried out (a) ignoring deflection, (b) using coefficients from Table 8.7 and (c) using precise formulas from Table 8.8.
(a) $R_{A}=860 \mathrm{lb}$, and max $M_{8^{\prime}}=860(8)-8(50)(4)=5280 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$ :

$$
\text { max compressive stress }=-\frac{P}{A}-\frac{M}{I / c}=-\frac{3000}{2.21}-\frac{5280(12)}{3}=-22,475 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

For the uniform load (Table 8.1, case 2e):

$$
y_{l / 2}=\frac{-5}{384} \frac{w_{a} l^{4}}{E I}=\frac{-5(4.17)\left(240^{4}\right)}{384\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(6)}=-1.00 \mathrm{in}
$$

For the concentrated load (Table 8.1, case 1e):

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{A} & =360 \mathrm{lb} \\
\theta_{A} & =\frac{-600(96)[2(240)-96](240-96)}{6\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(6)(240)}=-0.123 \mathrm{rad} \\
y_{l / 2} & =-0.123(120)+\frac{360\left(120^{3}\right)}{6\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(6)}-\frac{600(120-96)^{3}}{6\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(6)}=-0.907 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus
(b) From Table 8.7(b) (simply supported ends), coefficients are given for concentrated loads at $l / 4$ and $l / 2$. Plotting curves of the coefficients versus $k l$ and using linear interpolation to correct for $a=0.4 l$ give a value of $C_{P}=1.112$ for the midlength deflection and 1.083 for the moment under the load. Similarly, for a uniform load on the entire span $(\alpha=0)$, the values of $C_{P}$ are found to be 1.111 for the midlength deflection and 1.115 for the moment at midlength. If it is assumed that this last coefficient is also satisfactory for the moment at $x=0.4 l$, the following deflections and moments are calculated:
$\operatorname{Max} M_{8^{\prime}}=360(8)(1.083)+[500(8)-8(50)(4)](1.115)=3120+2680=5800 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$
Max compressive stress $=-\frac{P}{A}-\frac{M}{I / c}=-\frac{3000}{2.21}-\frac{5800(12)}{3}=-24,560 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Midlength deflection $=-0.907(1.112)-1.00(1.111)=-2.12$ in
(c) From Table 8.8 cases 1 e and $2 \mathrm{e}, R_{A}=860 \mathrm{lb}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{A}= & \frac{-600}{3000}\left[\frac{\sin 0.00408(240-96)}{\sin 0.98}-\frac{240-96}{240}\right] \\
& +\frac{-4.17}{0.00408(3000)}\left(\tan \frac{0.98}{2}-\frac{0.98}{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{-600}{3000}\left(\frac{0.5547}{0.8305}-0.6\right)-0.341(0.533-0.49) \\
= & -0.0283 \mathrm{rad} \\
\text { Max } M_{8^{\prime}}= & \frac{860}{0.00408} \sin 0.00408(96)-\frac{-0.0283(3000)}{0.00408} \sin 0.392 \\
& -\frac{4.17}{0.00408^{2}}(1-\cos 0.392) \\
= & 80,500+7950-19,000=69,450 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
\text { Midlength deflection }= & \frac{-0.0283}{0.00408} \sin 0.49+\frac{600}{0.00408(3000)}(0.49-\sin 0.49) \\
& -\frac{600}{0.00408(3000)}[0.00408(120-96) \\
& -\sin 0.00408(120-96)] \\
& -\frac{4.17}{0.00408^{2}(3000)}\left[\frac{0.00408^{2}\left(120^{2}\right)}{2}\right. \\
& -1+\cos 0.00408(120)] \\
= & -3.27+1.36-0.00785-0.192=-2.11 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The ease with which the coefficients $C_{P}$ can be obtained from Tables 8.7(a-d) makes this a very desirable way to solve problems of axially loaded beams. Some caution must be observed, however, when interpolating for the position of the load. For example, the concentrated moment in Tables $8.7 c$ and $8.7 d$ shows a large variation in $C_{P}$ for the end moments when the load position is changed from 0.25 to 0.50 , especially under axial tension. Note that there are some cases in which $C_{P}$ either changes sign or increases and then decreases when $k l$ is increased; in these cases the loading produces both positive and negative moments and deflections in the span.
2. A solid round brass bar 1 cm in diameter and 120 cm long is rigidly fixed at both ends by supports assumed to be sufficiently rigid to preclude any relative horizontal motion of the two supports. If this bar is subjected to a transverse center load of 300 N at midlength, what is the center deflection and what is the maximum tensile stress in the bar?

Solution. Here $P$ is an unknown tensile load; $W=300 \mathrm{~N}$ and $a=60 \mathrm{~cm}$; $l=120 \mathrm{~cm} ; \quad A=0.785 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, \quad I=0.0491 \mathrm{~cm}^{4} ;$ and $E=10 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. (This situation is described in Table 8.10, case 2.) The first equation is solved for $y_{\max }$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{\max }+\frac{0.785}{16(0.0491)} y_{\max }^{3}=\frac{300\left(120^{3}\right)}{2\left(\pi^{4}\right)\left(10 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(0.0491)} \\
y_{\max }+y_{\max }^{3}=5.44
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $y_{\text {max }}=1.57 \mathrm{~cm}$. The second equation is now solved for $P$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\frac{\pi^{2}\left(10 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(0.785)}{4\left(120^{2}\right)} 1.57^{2}=3315 \mathrm{~N} \\
k & =\sqrt{\frac{P}{E I}}=\left[\frac{3315}{\left(10 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(0.0491)}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.0822 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\
k l & =9.86
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 8.7, case 1 d , the values of $R_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ can be calculated. (Note that $\theta_{A}$ and $y_{A}$ are zero.) First evaluate the necessary constants:

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{2}=\sinh 9.86=9574.4 \\
C_{3}=\cosh 9.86-1=9574.4-1=9573.4 \\
C_{4}=\sinh 9.86-9.86=9564.5 \\
C_{a 3}=\cosh \frac{9.86}{2}-1=69.193-1=68.193 \\
C_{a 4}=\sinh 4.93-4.93=69.186-4.93=64.256 \\
R_{A}=W \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}=300 \frac{9573.4(68.193)-9574.4(64.256)}{9573.4^{2}-9574.4(9564.5)}=300(0.5) \\
=150 \mathrm{~N} \\
M_{A}=\frac{-W}{k} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}=\frac{-300}{0.0822} \frac{9564.5(68.193)-9573.4(64.256)}{74,900} \\
=\frac{-300}{0.0822} 0.493=-1800 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{cm}
\end{gathered}
$$

Max tensile stress $=\frac{P}{A}+\frac{M c}{I}=\frac{3315}{0.785}+\frac{1800(0.5)}{0.0491}=4220+18,330$

$$
=22,550 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Midlength deflection } & =\frac{-1800}{3315}\left(\cosh \frac{9.86}{2}-1\right)+\frac{150}{3315(0.0822)}(\sinh 4.93-4.93) \\
& =-37.0+35.4=-1.6 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

This compares favorably with the value $y_{\text {max }}=1.57 \mathrm{~cm}$ obtained from the equation which was based on the assumption of a cosine curve for the deflection.

An alternative to working with the large numerical values of the hyperbolic sines and cosines as shown in the preceding calculations would be to simplify the equations for this case where the load is at the center by using the doubleangle identities for hyperbolic functions. If this is done here, the expressions simplify to

$$
R_{A}=\frac{W}{2} \quad M_{A}=\frac{-W}{k} \tanh \frac{k l}{4} \quad y_{l / 2}=\frac{-W}{k P}\left(\frac{k l}{4}-\tanh \frac{k l}{4}\right)
$$

Using these expressions gives $R_{A}=150 \mathrm{~N}, M_{A}=-1800 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{cm}$ and $y_{l / 2}=$ -1.63 cm . Table 8.6 for axial compression gives the formulas for these special cases, but when the lateral loads are not placed at midlength or any of the other common locations, a desk calculator or digital computer must be used. If tables of hyperbolic functions are employed, it should be kept in mind that adequate solutions can be made using values of $k l$ close to the desired values if such values are given in the table and the desired ones are not. For example, if the values for the arguments 9.86 and 4.93 are not available but values for 10 and 5 are (note that it is necessary to maintain the correct ratio $\alpha / l$ ), these values could be used with no noticeable change in the results. Finally, an energy approach, using Rayleigh's technique, is outlined in Chap. 6, Sec. 13, of Ref. 72. The method works well with simple, axially constrained, and unconstrained beams.

### 8.8 Beams of Variable Section

Stress. For a beam whose cross section changes gradually, Eqs. (8.1-1), (8.1-4), and (8.1-10)-(8.1-12) (Sec. 8.1) apply with sufficient accuracy; Eqs. (8.1-3) and (8.1-5)-(8.1-7) apply if $I$ is treated as a variable, as in the examples that follow. All the formulas given in Table 8.1 for vertical shear and bending moments in statically determinate beams apply, but the formulas given for statically indeterminate beams and for deflection and slope are inapplicable to beams of nonuniform section unless the section varies in such a way that $I$ is constant.

Accurate analysis (Ref. 3) shows that in an end-loaded cantilever beam of rectangular section which is symmetrically tapered in the plane of bending the maximum fiber stress is somewhat less than is indicated by Eq. (8.1-12) the error amounting to about 5\% for a surface slope of $15^{\circ}$ (wedge angle $30^{\circ}$ ) and about $10 \%$ for a surface slope of $20^{\circ}$. See also Prob. 2.35 in Ref. 66. The maximum horizontal and vertical shear stress is shown to occur at the upper and lower surfaces instead of at the neutral axis and to be approximately three times as great as the average shear stress on the section for slopes up to $20^{\circ}$. It is very doubtful, however, if this shear stress is often critical even in wood beams, although it may possibly start failure in short, heavily reinforced concrete beams that are deepened or "haunched" at the ends.

Such a failure, if observed, would probably be ascribed to compression since it would occur at a point of high compressive stress. It is also conceivable, of course, that this shear stress might be of importance in certain metal parts subject to repeated stress.

Abrupt changes in the section of a beam cause high local stresses, the effect of which is taken into account by using the proper factor of stress concentration (Sec. 3.10 and Table 17.1).

Deflection. Determining deflections or statically indeterminate reactions for beams of variable section can be considered in two categories: where the beam has a continuously varying cross section from one end to the other, and where the cross section varies in a stepwise fashion.

Considering the first category, where the section varies continuously, we sometimes find a variation where Eq. (8.1-5) can be integrated directly, with the moment of inertia treated as a variable. This has been accomplished in Ref. 20 for tapered beams of circular section, but using the expressions presented, one must carry more than the usual number of digits to get accurate results. In most instances, however, this is not easy, if possible, and a more productive approach is to integrate Eq. (8.1-6) numerically using small incremental lengths $\Delta x$. This has been done for a limited number of cases, and the results are tabulated in Tables 8.11(a)-(d).

These tables give coefficients by which the stated reaction forces or moments or the stated deformations for uniform beams, as given in Table 8.1, must be multiplied to obtain the comparable reactions or deformations for the tapered beams. The coefficients are dependent upon the ratio of the moment of inertia at the right end of the beam $I_{B}$ to the moment of inertia at the left end $I_{A}$, assuming that the uniform beam has a moment of inertia $I_{A}$. The coefficients are also dependent upon the manner of variation between the two end values. This variation is of the form $I_{x}=I_{A}(1+K x / l)^{n}$, where $x$ is measured from the left end and $K=\left(I_{B} / I_{A}\right)^{1 / n}-1$. Thus if the beam is uniform, $n=0$; if the width of a rectangular cross section varies linearly, $n=1$; if the width of a rectangular cross section varies parabolically, $n=2$; if the depth of a rectangular cross section varies linearly, $n=3$; and if the lateral dimensions of any cross section vary linearly and proportionately, $n=4$. Beams having similar variations in cross section can be analysed approximately by comparing the given variations to those found in Table 8.11.

Coefficients are given for only a few values of $a / l$, so it is not desirable to interpolate to determine coefficients for other values of $a / l$. Instead it is advisable to determine the corrected deformations or reactions with the loads at the tabulated values of $a / l$ and then interpolate. This allows the use of additional known values as shown in the second example below. For beams with symmetric end condi-
tions, such as both ends fixed or both ends simply supported, the data given for any value of $a / l<0.5$ can be used twice by reversing the beam end for end.

## EXAMPLES

1. A tapered beam 30 in long with a depth varying linearly from 2 in at the left end to 4 in at the right end and with a constant width of 1.5 in is fixed on the right end and simply supported on the left end. A concentrated clockwise couple of 5000 lb -in is applied at midlength and it is desired to know the maximum bending stress in the beam.

Solution. First determine the left-end reaction force for a uniform cross section. From Table 8.1, case 3c, the left reaction is

$$
R_{A}=\frac{-3 M_{o}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{2 l^{3}}=\frac{-3(5000)\left(30^{2}-15^{2}\right)}{2\left(30^{3}\right)}=-187.5 \mathrm{lb}
$$

For the tapered beam

$$
I_{A}=\frac{1.5\left(2^{3}\right)}{12}=1 \mathrm{in}^{4}, \quad I_{B}=\frac{1.5\left(4^{3}\right)}{12}=8 \mathrm{in}^{4}
$$

In Table 8.11(c) for $n=3, I_{B} / I_{A}=8$; and for case 3 c with the loading at $l / 2$, the coefficient is listed as 0.906 . Therefore, the left-end reaction is $-187.5(0.906)=-170 \mathrm{lb}$

The maximum negative moment will occur just left of midlength and will equal $-170(15)=-2550 \mathrm{lb}$-in. The maximum positive moment will occur just right of midlength and will equal $-2550+5000=2450 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$. At midlength the moment of inertia $I=1.5\left(3^{3}\right) / 12=3.37 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, and so the maximum stress is given by $\sigma=M c / I=2550(1.5) / 3.37=1135 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ just left of midlength.
2. A machine part is an $800-\mathrm{mm}$-long straight beam with a variable wideflange cross section. The single central web has a constant thickness of 1.5 mm but a linearly varying depth from 6 mm at the left end $A$ to 10 mm at the right end $B$. The web and flanges are welded together continuously over the entire length and are also welded to supporting structures at each end to provide fixed ends. A concentrated lateral load of 100 N acts normal to the central axis of the beam parallel to the web at a distance of 300 mm from the left end. The maximum bending stress and the deflection under the load are desired. The modulus of elasticity is 70 GPa , or $70,000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$.

Solution. First determine the left-end reaction force and moment for a beam of constant cross section. From Table 8.1, case 1d,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{A}=\frac{W}{l^{3}}(l-a)^{2}(l+2 a)=\frac{100}{800^{3}}(800-300)^{2}(800+600)=68.36 \mathrm{~N} \\
& M_{A}=\frac{-W a}{l^{2}}(l-a)^{2}=\frac{-100(300)}{800^{2}}(800-300)^{2}=-11,720 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the tapered beam,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{A}=\frac{4\left(10^{3}\right)-2.5\left(6^{3}\right)}{12}=288.3 \mathrm{~mm}^{4} \\
& I_{B}=\frac{8\left(14^{3}\right)-6.5\left(10^{3}\right)}{12}=1287.7 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and at midlength where $x=l / 2$, the moment of inertia is given by

$$
I_{l / 2}=\frac{6\left(12^{3}\right)-4.5\left(8^{3}\right)}{12}=672.0 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
$$

Using the formula for the variation of $I$ with $x$ and these three values for the moment of inertia, approximate values for $K$ and $n$ can be found.

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{B}=I_{A}(1+K)^{n}, \quad I_{l / 2}=I_{A}\left(1+\frac{K}{2}\right)^{n} \\
\frac{1287.7}{288.3}=4.466=(1+K)^{n}, \quad \frac{672}{288.3}=2.331=\left(1+\frac{K}{2}\right)^{n} \\
4.466^{1 / n}-2(2.331)^{1 / n}+1=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving this last expression gives $1 / n=0.35, n=2.86$, and $K=0.689$.
An examination of Tables $8.11(a-d)$ shows that for a fixed-ended beam with a concentrated load, which is case 1d in Table 8.1, values of coefficients are given only for $a / l=0.25$ and 0.50 . For this problem $a / l=0.375$. Simple linear interpolation is not sufficiently accurate. However, if one imagines the load at $a / l=0.25$ the values for $R_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ can be found. This procedure can be repeated for $a / l=0.50$. Two other sets of data are also available. If the load were placed at the left end, $a / l=0, M_{A}=0, R_{A}=100 \mathrm{~N}$, and $d M_{A} / d a=$ -100 N . If the load were placed at the right end, $a / l=1, R_{A}=0, M_{A}=0$, and $d M_{A} / d a=0$. The variations of the tabulated coefficients with $I_{B} / I_{A}$ and with $n$ do not pose a comparable problem since many data are available. Plotting curves for the variation with $I_{B} / I_{A}$ and interpolating linearly between $n=2$ and $n=3$ for $n=2.86$ give the coefficients used below to find the values for $R_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ at $a / l=0.25$ and 0.50 :

|  | Untapered beam |  |  | Tapered beam where $n=2.86$ and $I_{B} / I_{A}=4.466$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a / l$ | 0.25 | 0.50 |  | 0.25 | 0.50 |
| $R_{A}(\mathrm{~N})$ | 84.38 | 50.00 |  | $84.38(0.922)=77.80$ | $50(0.0805)=40.25$ |
| $M_{A}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm})$ | $-11,250$ | $-10,000$ |  | $-11,250(0.788)=-8865$ | $-10,000(0.648)=-6480$ |

Plotting these values of $R_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ versus $a / l$ for the four positions allows one to pick from the graphs at $a / l=0.375, R_{A}=60 \mathrm{~N}$, and $M_{A}=$ $-8800 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}$. The use of static equilibrium now gives $M_{B}=-10,800 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}$ and the moment at the load of $9200 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}$. The bending stress at the left end is found to be the largest.

$$
\sigma_{A}=\frac{M_{A} c_{A}}{I_{A}}=\frac{8800(5)}{288.3}=152.6 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

No correction coefficients for deflections are included for case ld in Table 8.11. The deflection at the load position can be found from the information in this table, however, if either end of the beam is isolated and treated as a cantilever with an end load and an end moment. The moment of inertia at the load position $C$ is given by

$$
I_{C}=\frac{5.5\left(11.5^{3}\right)-4\left(7.5^{3}\right)}{12}=556.4 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}
$$

Treat the left portion of the beam as a $300-\mathrm{mm}$-long cantilever, using case 1a with an end load of 60 N and case 3 a with an end moment of $9200 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}$. Determine the correction coefficients for $a / l=0, n=3$, and the moment of inertia ratio of $288.33 / 556.44=0.518$. Interpolation between data for $n=2$ and $n=3$ is not justified when considering the approximations already made from plotted curves. Noting that all correction coefficients in Table 8.11 are unity for $I_{B} / I_{A}=1$ and using data points for $I_{B} / I_{A}=0.25$ and 0.50 , the correction coefficients used below were found

$$
y_{C}=-\frac{60\left(300^{3}\right)(1.645)}{3(70,000)(556.4)}+\frac{9200\left(300^{2}\right)(1.560)}{2(70,000)(556.4)}=-22.8+16.6=-6.2 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

This deflection at the load can be checked by repeating the above procedure by using the right-hand portion of the beam. The slope of the beam at the load can also be used as a check.

Alternative solution. The solution just presented was intended to illustrate appropriate methods of interpolation with the limited load positions shown in the tables. There is also an alternative solution involving superposition of cases. Remove the fixity at end $A$ and treat the $500-\mathrm{mm}$-long right portion as a cantilever with an end load of 100 N . Use $n=3$ as being close enough to $n=2.86$ and $I_{B} / I_{C}=1287.7 / 556.4=2.314$. Interpolate between $I_{B} / I_{C}=2$ and 4 to obtain from case 1a in Table 8.11(c) the correction coefficients used below to calculate the slope and deflection at the load
$y_{C}=-\frac{100\left(500^{3}\right)(0.555)}{3(70,000)(556.4)}=-59.37 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \theta_{C}=\frac{100\left(500^{2}\right)(0.593)}{2(70,000)(556.4)}=0.1903 \mathrm{rad}$
Since the left portion is unloaded and remains straight, the end deflection and slope are $y_{A}=-59.37-300(0.1903)=-116.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $\theta_{A}=0.1903 \mathrm{rad}$. Next treat the complete beam as a cantilever under an end load $R_{A}$ and an end moment $M_{A}$. Let $I_{A}=228.3 \mathrm{~mm}^{4}, I_{B} / I_{A}=4.466$, and again let $n=3$. From cases 1a and 3a in Table 8.11(c),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{A}=\frac{R_{A}\left(800^{3}\right)(0.332)}{3(70,000)(288.3)}+\frac{M_{A}\left(800^{2}\right)(0.380)}{2(70,000)(288.3)}=2.808 R_{A}+0.00602 M_{A} \\
& \theta_{A}=-\frac{R_{A}\left(800^{2}\right)(0.380)}{2(70,000)(288.3)}-\frac{M_{A}(800)(0.497)}{(70,000)(288.3)}=-0.006024 R_{A}-19.7\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the slopes and deflections from the load of 100 N to those above and equating each to zero to represent the fixed end gives $R_{A}=60.3 \mathrm{~N}$ and $M_{A}=-8790 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}$. This is a reasonable check on those values from the first solution.

The second category of determining deflections, where the cross section varies in steps from one uniform section to another, can be solved in several ways. Equation (8.1-5) can be integrated, matching slopes and deflections at the transition sections, or Eq. (8.1-6) can be integrated over the separate portions and summed to obtain the desired deflections. A third method utilizes the advantages of the step function and its application to beam deflections as given in Table 8.1. In a given portion of the span where the cross section is uniform it is apparent that the shape of the elastic curve will remain the same if the internal bending moments and the moments of inertia are increased or decreased in proportion. By this means, a modified moment diagram can be constructed which could be applied to a beam with a single constant cross section and thereby produce an elastic curve identical to the one produced by the actual moments and the several moments of inertia present in the actual span. It is also apparent that this modified moment diagram could be produced by adding appropriate loads to the beam. (See Refs. 29 and 65.) In summary, then, a new loading is constructed which will produce the required elastic curve, and the solution for this loading is carried out by using the formulas in Table 8.1. This procedure will be illustrated by the following example.

## EXAMPLE

The beam shown in Fig. 8.13 has a constant depth of 4 in and a step increase in the width from 2 to 5 in at a point 5 ft from the left end. The left end is simply supported, and the right end is fixed; the loading is a uniform $200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ from $x=3 \mathrm{ft}$ to the right end. Find the value of the reaction at the left end and the maximum stress.

Solution. For the left $5 \mathrm{ft}, I_{1}=2\left(4^{3}\right) / 12=10.67 \mathrm{in}^{4}$. For the right 5 ft , $I_{2}=5\left(4^{3}\right) / 12=26.67 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, or 2.5 times $I_{1}$.

The same $M / I$ diagram shown in Fig. 8.13(e) can be produced by the loading shown in Fig. 8.14 acting upon a beam having a constant moment of inertia $I_{2}$. Note that all loads on the left portion simply have been increased by a factor of 2.5 , while added loads at the 5 - ft position reduce the effects of these added loads to those previously present on the right portion.

To find the left-end reaction for the beam loaded as shown in Fig. 7.14(a), use Table 8.1, case 1 c , where $W=1.5 R_{1}-600$ and $a=5$; case 2 c, where $w_{a}=w_{l}=500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ and $a=3 \mathrm{ft}$; case 2 c , again, where $w_{a}=w_{l}=-300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}$ and $a=5 \mathrm{ft}$; and finally case 3 c , where $M_{o}=-\left(7.5 R_{1}-600\right)$ and $a=5 \mathrm{ft}$. Summing the expressions for $R_{A}$ from these cases in the order above, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{A}= & 2.5 R_{1}=\frac{\left(1.5 R_{1}-600\right)(10-5)^{2}}{2\left(10^{3}\right)}[2(10)+5]+\frac{500(10-3)^{3}}{8\left(10^{3}\right)}[3(10)+3] \\
& +\frac{(-300)(10-5)^{3}}{8\left(10^{3}\right)}[3(10)+5]-\frac{3\left[-\left(7.5 R_{1}-600\right)\right]}{2\left(10^{3}\right)}\left(10^{2}-5^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives $R_{1}=244 \mathrm{lb}$.
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Figure 8.14

From Fig. 8.13(a) we can observe that the maximum positive bending moment will occur at $x=4.22 \mathrm{ft}$, where the transverse shear will be zero. The maximum moments are therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Max}+M=244(4.22)-\frac{200}{2}\left(1.22^{2}\right)=881 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} \\
& \operatorname{Max}-M=244(10)-4900=-2460 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft} \quad \text { at the right end }
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum stresses are $\sigma=881(12)(2) / 10.67=1982 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ at $x=4.22 \mathrm{ft}$ and $\sigma=2460(12)(2) / 26.67=2215 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ at $x=10 \mathrm{ft}$.

### 8.9 Slotted Beams

If the web of a beam is pierced by a hole or slot (Fig. 8.15), the stresses in the extreme fibers $a$ and $b$ at any section $B$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{a} & =-\frac{M_{A}}{I / c}-\frac{V_{A} x I_{1} /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)}{(I / c)_{1}} \\
\sigma_{b} & =\frac{M_{A}}{I / c}+\frac{V_{A} x I_{2} /\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)}{(I / c)_{2}}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { (tension) } \quad \text { (tension) }
$$

Here $M_{A}$ is the bending moment at $A$ (midlength of the slot), $V_{A}$ is the vertical shear at $A, I / c$ is the section modulus of the net beam section at $B, I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are the moments of inertia, and $(I / c)$, and $(I / c)_{2}$ are the section moduli of the cross sections of parts 1 and 2 about their own central axes. $M$ and $V$ are positive or negative according to the usual convention, and $x$ is positive when measured to the right.

The preceding formulas are derived by replacing all forces acting on the beam to the left of $A$ by an equivalent couple $M_{A}$ and shear $V_{A}$ at $A$. The couple produces a bending stress given by the first term of the formula. The shear divides between parts 1 and 2 in proportion to their respective $I$ 's and produces in each part an additional bending stress given by the second term of the formula. The stress at any other point in the cross section can be found similarly by adding the stresses due to $M_{A}$ and those due to this secondary bending caused by the shear. (At the ends of the slot there is a stress concentration at the corners which is not taken into account here.)


Figure 8.15

The above analysis applies also to a beam with multiple slots of equal length; all that is necessary is to modify the term $\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$. The numerator is still the $I$ of the part in question and the denominator is the sum of the $I$ 's of all the parts $1,2,3$, etc. The formulas can also be used for a rigid frame consisting of beams of equal length joined at their ends by rigid members; thus in Fig. 8.15 parts 1 and 2 might equally well be two separate beams joined at their ends by rigid crosspieces.

### 8.10 Beams of Relatively Great Depth

In beams of small span/depth ratio, the transverse shear stresses are likely to be high and the resulting deflection due to shear may not be negligible. For span/depth ratios of 3 or more, the deflection $y_{s}$ due to shear is found by the method of unit loads to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{s}=F \int \frac{V v}{A G} d x \tag{8.10-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by Castigliano's theorem to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{s}=\frac{\partial U_{s}}{\partial P} \tag{8.10-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (8.10-1), $V$ is the vertical shear due to the actual loads, $v$ is the vertical shear due to a unit load acting at the section where the deflection is desired, $A$ is the area of the section, $G$ is the modulus of rigidity, $F$ is a factor depending on the form of the cross section, and the integration extends over the entire length of the beam, with due regard to the signs of $V$ and $v$. For three solid sections, a rectangle, a triangle with base either up or down, and a trapezoid with parallel sides top and bottom, $F=\frac{6}{5}$; for a diamond-shaped section, $F=\frac{31}{31}$; for a solid circular section, $F=\frac{10}{9}$; for a thin-walled hollow circular section, $F=2$; for an $I$ - or box section with flanges and webs of uniform thickness,

$$
F=\left[1+\frac{3\left(D_{2}^{2}-D_{1}^{2}\right) D_{1}}{2 D_{2}^{3}}\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}-1\right)\right] \frac{4 D_{2}^{2}}{10 r^{2}}
$$

where
$D_{1}=$ distance from neutral axis to the nearest surface of the flange
$D_{2}=$ distance from neutral axis to extreme fiber
$t_{1}=$ thickness of web (or webs in box beams)
$t_{2}=$ width of flange
$r=$ radius of gyration of section with respect to the neutral axis

If the I- or box beam has flanges of nonuniform thickness, it may be replaced by an "equivalent" section whose flanges, of uniform thickness, have the same width and areas as those of the actual section (Ref. 19). Approximate results may be obtained for I-beams using $F=1$ and taking for $A$ the area of the web.

Application of Eq. (8.10-1) to several common cases of loading yields the following results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { End support, center load } P & y_{s}=\frac{1}{4} F \frac{P l}{A G} \\
\text { End support, uniform load } w & y_{s}=\frac{1}{8} F \frac{w l^{2}}{A G} \\
\text { Cantilever, end load } P & y_{s}=F \frac{P l}{A G} \\
\text { Cantilever, uniform load } w & y_{s}=\frac{1}{2} F \frac{w l^{2}}{A G}
\end{aligned}
$$

In Eq. (8.10-2), $U_{s}=F \int\left(V^{2} / 2 A G\right) d x, P$ is a vertical load, real or imaginary, applied at the section where $y_{s}$ is to be found, and the other terms have the same meaning as in Eq. (8.10-1).

The deflection due to shear will usually be negligible in metal beams unless the span/depth ratio is extremely small; in wood beams, because of the small value of $G$ compared with $E$, deflection due to shear is much more important. In computing deflections it may be allowed for by using for $E$ a value obtained from bending tests (shear deflection ignored) on beams of similar proportions or a value about $10 \%$ less than that found by testing in direct compression if the span/depth ratio is between 12 and 24 . For larger ratios the effect of shear is negligible, and for lower ratios it should be calculated by the preceding method.

For extremely short deep beams, the assumption of linear stress distribution, on which the simple theory of flexure is based, is no longer valid. Equation (8.1-1) gives sufficiently accurate results for span/depth ratios down to about 3; for still smaller ratios it was believed formerly that the actual stresses were smaller than the formula indicates (Refs. 1 and 2), but more recent analyses by numerical methods (Refs. 43 and 44) indicate that the contrary is true. These analyses show that at $s / d$ between 1.5 and 1 , depending on the manner of loading and support, the stress distribution changes radically and the ratio of maximum stress to $M c / I$ becomes greater than 1 and increases rapidly as $s / d$ becomes still smaller. In the following table, the influence of $s / d$ on both maximum fiber stress and maximum horizontal shear stress is shown in accordance with the solution given in Ref. 43. Reference 44 gives comparable results, and both strain-gage measurements (Ref. 45) and photoelastic studies (Ref. 46) support the conclusions reached in these analyses.

| Ratio $l / d$ | Ratio span/d | Uniform load over entire $l$ |  |  | $\square$ <br>  <br>  | IT $-1$ <br> 23/24 <br> ad over |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{\max \sigma_{t}}{M c / I}$ | $\frac{\max \sigma_{c}}{M c / I}$ | $\frac{\max \tau}{V / A}$ | $\frac{\max \sigma_{t}}{M c / I}$ | $\frac{\max \sigma_{c}}{M c / I}$ | $\frac{\max \tau}{V / A}$ |
| 3 | 2.875 | 1.025 | 1.030 | 1.58 | 0.970 | 1.655 | 1.57 |
| 2.5 | 2.395 | 1.046 | 1.035 | 1.60 | 0.960 | 1.965 | 1.60 |
| 2.0 | 1.915 | 1.116 | 1.022 | 1.64 | 0.962 | 2.525 | 1.70 |
| 1.5 | 1.4375 | 1.401 | 0.879 | 1.80 | 1.038 | 3.585 | 1.92 |
| 1 | 0.958 | 2.725 | 0.600 | 2.43 | 1.513 | 6.140 | 2.39 |
| 0.5 | 0.479 | 10.95 | 2.365 | 4.53 | 5.460 | 15.73 | 3.78 |
| 1 | 0.3193 | 24.70 | 5.160 | 6.05 | 12.35 | 25.55 | 7.23 |

These established facts concerning elastic stresses in short beams seem incompatible with the contrary influence of $s / d$ on modulus of rupture, discussed in Sec. 8.15, unless it is assumed that there is a very radical redistribution of stress as soon as plastic action sets in.

The stress produced by a concentrated load acting on a very short cantilever beam or projection (gear tooth, sawtooth, screw thread) can be found by the following formula, due to Heywood (Chap. 2, Ref. 28) and modified by Kelley and Pedersen (Ref. 59). As given here, the formula follows this modification, with some changes in notation. Figure 8.16 represents the profile of the beam, assumed to be of uniform thickness $t . E D$ is the axis or center line of the beam; it bisects the angle between the sides if these are straight; otherwise it is drawn through the centers of two unequal inscribed circles. $W$ represents the load; its line of action, or load line, intersects the beam profile at $C$ and the beam axis at $O$. The inscribed parabola, with vertex at $O$, is tangent to the fillet on the tension side of the beam at $A$, which is the point of maximum tensile stress. ( $A$ can be located by making $A F$ equal to $F E$ by trial, $F$ being the intersection of a perpendicular to the axis at $O$ and a trial tangent to the fillet.) $B$ is the corresponding point on the compression side, and $D$ is the intersection of the beam axis with section $A B$. The dimensions $a$ and $e$ are perpendicular, respectively, to the load line and to the beam axis, $r$ is the fillet radius, and $b$ is the straight-line distance from $A$ to $C$. The tensile stress at $A$ is given by

$$
\sigma=\frac{W}{t}\left[1+0.26\left(\frac{e}{r}\right)^{0.7}\right]\left[\frac{1.5 a}{e^{2}}+\frac{\cos \beta}{2 e}+\frac{0.45}{(b e)^{1 / 2}}\right]
$$



Figure 8.16

Here the quantity in the first pair of brackets is the factor of stress concentration for the fillet. In the second pair of brackets, the first term represents the bending moment divided by the section modulus, the second term represents the effect of the component of the load along the tangent line, positive when tensile, and the third term represents what Heywood calls the proximity effect, which may be regarded as an adjustment for the very small span/depth ratio.

Kelley and Pedersen have suggested a further refinement in locating the point of maximum stress, putting it at an angular distance equal to $25^{\circ}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha$, positive toward the root of the fillet. Heywood suggests locating this point at $30^{\circ}$ from the outer end of the fillet, reducing this to $12^{\circ}$ as the ratio of $b$ to $e$ increases; also, Heywood locates the moment of $W$ about a point halfway between $A$ and $B$ instead of about $D$. For most cases the slightly different procedures seem to give comparable results and agree well with photoelastic analysis. However, more recent experimental studies (1963), including fatigue tests, indicate that actual stresses may considerably exceed those computed by the formula (Ref. 63).

### 8.11 Beams of Relatively Great Width

Because of prevention of the lateral deformation that would normally accompany the fiber stresses, wide beams, such as thin metallic strips, are more rigid than the formulas of Sec. 8.1 indicate. This stiffening effect is taken into account by using $E /\left(1-v^{2}\right)$ instead of $E$ in the formulas for deflection and curvature if the beams are very wide (Ref. 21). The anticlastic curvature that exists on narrow rectangular beams is still present at the extreme edges of very wide beams, but the central region remains flat in a transverse direction and trans-
verse bending stresses equal to Poisson's ratio times the longitudinal bending stresses are present. For rectangular beams of moderate width, Ashwell (Ref. 10) shows that the stiffness depends not only upon the ratio of depth to width of the beam but also upon the radius of curvature to which the beam is bent. For a rectangular beam of width $b$ and depth $h$ bent to a radius of curvature $\rho$ by a bending moment $M$, these variables are related by the expression $1 / \rho=M / K E I$, where $I=b h^{3} / 12$, and the following table of values for $K$ is given for several values of Poisson's ratio and for the quantity $b^{2} / \rho h$.

|  | $b^{2} / \rho h$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.25 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 16.0 | 50.0 | 200. | 800. |  |
| 0.1000 | 1.0000 | 1.0003 | 1.0033 | 1.0073 | 1.0085 | 1.0093 | 1.0097 |  |
| 0.2000 | 1.0001 | 1.0013 | 1.0135 | 1.0300 | 1.0349 | 1.0383 | 1.0400 |  |
| 0.3000 | 1.0002 | 1.0029 | 1.0311 | 1.0710 | 1.0826 | 1.0907 | 1.0948 |  |
| 0.3333 | 1.0002 | 1.0036 | 1.0387 | 1.0895 | 1.1042 | 1.1146 | 1.1198 |  |
| 0.4000 | 1.0003 | 1.0052 | 1.0569 | 1.1357 | 1.1584 | 1.1744 | 1.1825 |  |
| 0.5000 | 1.0005 | 1.0081 | 1.0923 | 1.2351 | 1.2755 | 1.3045 | 1.3189 |  |

In very short wide beams, such as the concrete slabs used as highway-bridge flooring, the deflection and fiber-stress distribution cannot be regarded as uniform across the width. In calculating the strength of such a slab, it is convenient to make use of the concept of effective width, i.e., the width of a spanwise strip which, acting as a beam with uniform extreme fiber stress equal to the maximum stress in the slab, develops the same resisting moment as does the slab. The effective width depends on the manner of support, manner of loading, and ratio of breadth to span $b / a$. It has been determined by Holl (Ref. 22) for a number of assumed conditions, and the results are given in the following table for a slab that is freely supported at each of two opposite edges (Fig. 8.17). Two kinds of loading are considered, viz. uniform load over the entire slab and load uniformly distributed over a central circular area of radius $c$. The ratio of the effective width $e$ to the span $a$ is given for each of a number of ratios of $c$ to slab thickness $h$ and each of a number of $b / a$ values.

| Loading | Values of $e / a$ for |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $b / a=1$ | $b / a=1.2$ | $b / a=1.6$ | $b / a=2$ | $b / a=\infty$ |
|  | 0.960 | 1.145 | 1.519 | 1.900 |  |
| Central, $c=0$ | 0.568 | 0.599 | 0.633 | 0.648 | 0.656 |
| Central, $c=0.125 h$ | 0.581 | 0.614 | 0.649 | 0.665 | 0.673 |
| Central, $c=0.250 h$ | 0.599 | 0.634 | 0.672 | 0.689 | 0.697 |
| Central, $c=0.500 h$ | 0.652 | 0.694 | 0.740 | 0.761 | 0.770 |



Figure 8.17
For the same case (a slab that is supported at opposite edges and loaded on a central circular area) Westergaard (Ref. 23) gives $e=0.58 a+4 c$ as an approximate expression for effective width. Morris (Ref. 24) gives $e=\frac{1}{2} e_{c}+d$ as an approximate expression for the effective width for midspan off-center loading, where $e_{c}$ is the effective width for central loading and $d$ is the distance from the load to the nearer unsupported edge.

For a slab that is fixed at two opposite edges and uniformly loaded, the stresses and deflections may be calculated with sufficient accuracy by the ordinary beam formulas, replacing $E$ by $E /\left(1-v^{2}\right)$. For a slab thus supported and loaded at the center, the maximum stresses occur under the load, except for relatively large values of $c$, where they occur at the midpoints of the fixed edges. The effective widths are approximately as given in the following table (values from the curves of Ref. 22). Here $b / a$ and $c$ have the same meaning as in the preceding table, but it should be noted that values of $e / b$ are given instead of $e / a$.

|  | Values of $e / b$ for |  |  |  | Max stress <br> at |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Values of $c$ | $b / a=1$ | $b / a=1.2$ | $b / a=1.6$ | $b / a=2.0$ |  |
| 0 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.53 | Load |  |
| $0.01 a$ | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.55 | Load |
| $0.03 a$ | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.60 | Load |
| $0.10 a$ | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.81 | 0.86 | Fixed edges |

Holl (Ref. 22) discusses the deflections of a wide beam with two edges supported and the distribution of pressure under the supported edges. The problem of determining the effective width in concrete slabs and tests made for that purpose are discussed by Kelley (Ref. 25), who also gives a brief bibliography on the subject.

The case of a very wide cantilever slab under a concentrated load is discussed by MacGregor (Ref. 26), Holl (Ref. 27), Jaramillo (Ref. 47), Wellauer and Seireg (Ref. 48), Little (Ref. 49), Small (Ref. 50), and others. For the conditions represented in Fig. 8.18, a cantilever plate of infinite length with a concentrated load, the bending stress $\sigma$ at any point can be expressed by $\sigma=K_{m}\left(6 P / t^{2}\right)$, and the deflection $y$ at any point by $y=K_{y}\left(P a^{2} / \pi D\right)$, where $K_{m}$ and $K_{y}$ are dimensionless coeffi-


Figure 8.18
cients that depend upon the location of the load and the point, and $D$ is as defined in Table 11.2. For the load at $x=c, z=0$, the stress at any point on the fixed edge $x=0, z=z$, and the deflection at any point on the free edge $x=a, z=z$, can be found by using the following values of $K_{m}$ and $K_{y}$ :

| c/a/a <br> 1.0 |  | 0 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2 | $\infty$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{m}$ | 0.509 | 0.474 | 0.390 | 0.205 | 0.091 | 0.037 | 0 |
|  | $K_{y}$ | 0.524 | 0.470 | 0.380 | 0.215 | 0.108 | 0.049 | 0 |
|  | $K_{m}$ | 0.428 | 0.387 | 0.284 | 0.140 | 0.059 | 0.023 | 0 |
|  | $K_{y}$ | 0.318 | 0.294 | 0.243 | 0.138 | 0.069 | 0.031 | 0 |
| 0.50 | $K_{m}$ | 0.370 | 0.302 | 0.196 | 0.076 | 0.029 | 0.011 | 0 |
| 0.25 | $K_{m}$ | 0.332 | 0.172 | 0.073 | 0.022 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0 |

These values are based on the analysis of Jaramillo (Ref. 47), who assumes an infinite length for the plate, and are in good agreement, so far as comparable, with coefficients given by MacGregor (Ref. 26). They differ only slightly from results obtained by Holl (Ref. 27) for a length/span ratio of 4 and by Little (Ref. 49) for a length/span ratio of 5 and are in good agreement with available test data.

Wellauer and Seireg (Ref. 48) discuss the results of tests on beams of various proportions and explain and illustrate an empirical method by which the $K_{m}$ values obtained by Jaramillo (Ref. 47) for the infinite plate under concentrated loading can be used to determine approxi-
mately the stress in a finite plate under an arbitrary transverse loading.

The stresses corresponding to the tabulated values of $K_{m}$ are spanwise ( $x$ direction) stresses; the maximum crosswise ( $z$ direction) stress occurs under the load when the load is applied at the midpoint of the free edge and is approximately equal to the maximum spanwise stress for that loading.

Although the previous formulaes are based on the assumption of infinite width of a slab, tests (Ref. 26) on a plate with a width of $8 \frac{1}{2}$ in and span $a$ of $1 \frac{1}{4}$ in showed close agreement between calculated and measured deflections, and Holl's analysis (Ref. 27), based on the assumption of a plate width four times the span, gives results that differ only slightly from MacGregor's (Ref. 26). The formulas given should therefore be applicable to slabs of breadth as small as four times the span.

### 8.12 Beams with Wide Flanges; Shear Lag

In thin metal construction, box, T-, or I-beams with very wide thin cover plates or flanges are sometimes used, and when a thin plate is stiffened by an attached member, a portion of the plate may be considered as a flange, acting integrally with the attached member which forms the web; examples are to be found in ship hulls, floors, tanks, and aircraft. In either type of construction the question arises as to what width of flange or plate would be considered effective; i.e., what width, uniformly stressed to the maximum stress that actually occurs, would provide a resisting moment equal to that of the actual stresses, which are greatest near the web and diminish as the distance from it increases.

This problem has been considered by several investigators; the results tabulated on page 174 are due to Hildebrand and Reissner (Ref. 38), Winter (Ref. 39), and Miller (Ref. 28).

Let $b=$ actual net width of the flange (or clear distance between webs in continuous plate and stiffener construction), let $l=$ span, and let $b^{\prime}=$ effective width of the flange at the section of maximum bending moment. Then the approximate value of $b^{\prime} / b$, which varies with the loading and with the ratio $l / b$, can be found for beams of uniform section in the table on p . 174. (In this table the case numbers refer to the manner of loading and support represented in Table 8.1.) See also Ref. 37.

Some of the more important conclusions stated in Ref. 38 can be summarized as follows.

The amount of shear lag depends not only on the method of loading and support and the ratio of span to flange width but also on the ratio of $G$ to $E$ and on the ratio $m=\left(3 I_{w}+I_{s}\right) /\left(I_{w}+I_{s}\right)$, where $I_{w}$ and $I_{s}$ are

## Ratio of effective width to total width $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} / \mathbf{b}$ for wide flanges

| Case no. and load positions (from Table 8.1) | Reference no. | $l / b$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 1.25 | 1.50 | 1.75 | 2 | 2.5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 20 |
| 1a. $a=0$ | 38 | 0.571 | 0.638 | 0.690 | 0.730 | 0.757 | 0.801 | 0.830 | 0.870 | 0.895 | 0.913 | 0.934 | 0.946 |  |  |
| 2a. $w_{a}=w_{l}, a=0$ | 38 |  |  | 0.550 | 0.600 | 0.632 | 0.685 | 0.724 | 0.780 | 0.815 | 0.842 | 0.876 | 0.899 |  |  |
| 2a. $w_{a}=0, a=0$ | 38 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.609 | 0.650 | 0.710 | 0.751 | 0.784 | 0.826 | 0.858 |  |  |
| 1e. $a=l / 2$ | 38 |  |  |  | 0.530 | 0.571 | 0.638 | 0.686 | 0.757 | 0.801 | 0.830 | 0.870 | 0.895 | 0.936 | 0.946 |
| 1e. $a=l / 2$ | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.550 | 0.670 | 0.732 | 0.779 | 0.850 | 0.894 | 0.945 |  |
| 1e. $a=l / 2$ | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.525 |  |  | 0.750 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1e. $a=l / 4$ | 38 |  |  |  | 0.455 | 0.495 | 0.560 | 0.610 | 0.686 | 0.740 | 0.788 | 0.826 | 0.855 | 0.910 | 0.930 |
| 2e. $w_{a}=w_{l}, a=0$ | 38 |  |  |  | 0.640 | 0.690 | 0.772 | 0.830 | 0.897 | 0.936 | 0.957 | 0.977 | 0.985 | 0.991 | 0.995 |

the moments of inertia about the neutral axis of the beam of the side plates and cover plates, respectively. (The values tabulated from Ref. 38 are for $G / E=0.375$ and $m=2$.) The value of $b^{\prime} / b$ increases with increasing $m$, but for values of $m$ between 1.5 and 2.5 the variation is small enough to be disregarded. Shear lag at the critical section does not seem to be affected appreciably by the taper of the beam in width, but the taper in cover-plate thickness may have an important effect. In beams with fixed ends the effect of shear lag at the end sections is the same as for a cantilever of span equal to the distance from the point of inflection to the adjacent end.

In Ref. 39 it is stated that for a given $l / b$ ratio the effect of shear lag is practically the same for box, I-, T-, and U-beams.

Flange in compression. The preceding discussion and tabulated factors apply to any case in which the flange is subjected to tension or to compression less than that required to produce elastic instability (see Chap. 15). When a thin flange or sheet is on the compression side, however, it may be stressed beyond the stability limit. For this condition, the effective width decreases with the actual stress. A formula for effective width used in aircraft design is

$$
b^{\prime}=K t \sqrt{\frac{E}{s}}
$$

where $s$ is the maximum compressive stress (adjacent to the supporting web or webs) and $K$ is a coefficient which may be conservatively taken as 0.85 for box beams and 0.60 for a T- or an I-beam having flanges with unsupported outer edges.

A theoretical analysis that takes into account both compressive buckling and shear lag is described in Ref. 40. Problems involving shear lag and buckling are most frequently encountered in design with thin-gage metal; good guides to such design are the books "ColdFormed Steel Design Manual" in 5 parts including commentary, published in 1982 by the American Iron and Steel Institute, and "Aluminum Construction Manual," 4th ed., published in 1981 by the Aluminum Association. See also Ref. 68.

### 8.13 Beams with Very Thin Webs

In beams with extremely thin webs, such as are used in airplane construction, buckling due to shear will occur at stresses well below the elastic limit. This can be prevented if the web is made shearresistant by the addition of stiffeners such as those used in plate girders, but the number of these required may be excessive. Instead of making the web shear-resistant, it may be permitted to buckle
elastically without damage, the shear being carried wholly in diagonal tension. This tension tends to pull the upper and lower flanges together, and to prevent this, vertical struts are provided which carry the vertical component of the diagonal web tension. A girder so designed is, in effect, a Pratt truss, the web replacing the diagonaltension members and the vertical struts constituting the compression members. In appearance, these struts resemble the stiffeners of an ordinary plate girder, but their function is obviously quite different.
A beam of this kind is called a diagonal-tension field beam, or Wagner beam, after Professor Herbert Wagner of Danzig, who is largely responsible for developing the theory. Because of its rather limited field of application, only one example of the Wagner beam will be considered here, viz. a cantilever under end load.
Let $P=$ end load, $h=$ depth of the beam, $t=$ thickness of the web, $d=$ spacing of the vertical struts, $x=$ distance from the loaded end to the section in question, $H_{t}$ and $H_{c}=$ total stresses in the tension and compression flanges, respectively, at the given section, $C=$ total compression on a vertical strut, and $f=$ unit diagonal tensile stress in the web. Then

$$
H_{t}=\frac{P x}{h}-\frac{1}{2} P, \quad H_{c}=\frac{P x}{h}+\frac{1}{2} P, \quad C=\frac{P d}{h}, \quad f=\frac{2 P}{h t}
$$

The vertical component of the web tension constitutes a beam loading on each individual flange between struts; the maximum value of the resulting bending moment occurs at the struts and is given by $M_{f}=\frac{1}{12} P d^{2} / h$. The flexural stresses due to $M_{f}$ must be added to the stresses due to $H_{t}$ or $H_{c}$, which may be found simply by dividing $H_{t}$ or $H_{c}$ by the area of the corresponding flange.

The horizontal component of the web tension causes a bending moment $M=\frac{1}{8} P h$ in the vertical strut at the end of the beam unless bending there is prevented by some system of bracing. This end strut must also distribute the load to the web, and should be designed to carry the load as a pin-ended column of length $\frac{1}{2} h$ as well as to resist the moment imposed by the web tension.

The intermediate struts are designed as pin-ended columns with lengths somewhat less than $h$. An adjacent portion of the web is included in the area of the column, the width of the strip considered effective being $30 t$ in the case of aluminum and $60 t$ in the case of steel.

Obviously the preceding formulas will apply also to a beam with end supports and center load if $P$ is replaced by the reaction $\frac{1}{2} P$. Because of various simplifying assumptions made in the analysis, these formulas are conservative; in particular the formula for stress in the vertical struts or stiffeners gives results much larger than actual stresses that have been discovered experimentally. More accurate analyses,
together with experimental data from various sources, will be found in Refs. 30, 34, 35, 62, and 69-71.

### 8.14 Beams Not Loaded in Plane of Symmetry; Flexural Center

The formulas for stress and deflection given in Sec. 8.1 are valid if the beam is loaded in a plane of symmetry; they are also valid if the applied loads are parallel to either principal central axis of the beam section, but unless the loads also pass through the elastic axis, the beam will be subjected to torsion as well as bending.

For the general case of a beam of any section loaded by a transverse load $P$ in any plane, therefore, the solution comprises the following steps: (1) The load $P$ is resolved into an equal and parallel force $P^{\prime}$ passing through the flexural center $Q$ of the section, and a twisting couple $T$ equal to the moment of $P$ about $Q$; (2) $P^{\prime}$ is resolved at $Q$ into rectangular components $P_{u}^{\prime}$ and $P_{v}^{\prime}$, each parallel to a principal central axis of the section; (3) the flexural stresses and deflections due to $P_{u}^{\prime}$ and $P_{v}^{\prime}$, are calculated independently by the formulas of Sec. 8.1 and superimposed to find the effect of $P^{\prime}$; and (4) the stresses due to $T$ are computed independently and superimposed on the stresses due to $P^{\prime}$, giving the stresses due to the actual loading. (It is to be noted that $T$ may cause longitudinal fiber stresses as well as shear stresses. See Sec. 10.3 and the example at the end of this section.) If there are several loads, the effect of each is calculated separately and these effects added. For a distributed load the same procedure is followed as for a concentrated load.

The above procedure requires the determination of the position of the flexural center $Q$. For any section having two or more axes of symmetry (rectangle, I-beam, etc.) and for any section having a point of symmetry (equilateral triangle, $Z$-bar, etc.), $Q$ is at the centroid. For any section having only one axis of symmetry, $Q$ is on that axis but in general not at the centroid. For such sections and for unsymmetrical sections in general, the position of $Q$ must be determined by calculation, direct experiment, or the soap-film method (Sec. 6.4).

Table 8.12 gives the position of the flexural center for each of a number of sections.

Neutral axis. When a beam is bent by one or more loads that lie in a plane not parallel to either principal central axis of the section, the neutral axis passes through the centroid but is not perpendicular to the plane of the loads. Let axes 1 and 2 be the principal central axes of the section, and let $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ represent the corresponding moments of inertia. Then, if the plane of the loads makes with axis 1 an angle $\alpha$,
the neutral axis makes with axis 2 an angle $\beta$ such that $\tan \beta=$ $\left(I_{2} / I_{1}\right) \tan \alpha$. It can be seen from this equation that the neutral axis tends to approach the principal central axis about which the moment of inertia is least.

## EXAMPLE

Figure 8.19(a) represents a cantilever beam of channel section under a diagonal end load applied at one corner. It is required to determine the maximum resulting fiber stress.
Solution. For the section (Fig. 8.19b): $I_{u}=5.61 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, $\quad I_{v}=19.9 \mathrm{in}^{4}$; $b=3.875 \mathrm{in}, h=5.75 \mathrm{in}$, and $t=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{in}$. By the formula from Table 8.12, $e=$ $b^{2} h^{2} t / 4 I_{v}=1.55 \mathrm{in}$; therefore the flexural center is at $Q$, as shown. When the load is resolved into vertical and horizontal components at $Q$ and a couple, the results are as shown in Fig. 8.19(b). (Vertical and horizontal components are used because the principal central axes $u$ and $v$ are vertical and horizontal.)

The maximum fiber stress will occur at the corner where the stresses due to the vertical and horizontal bending moments are of the same kind; at the upper-right corner $f$ both stresses are tensile, and since $f$ is farther from the $u$ axis than the lower-left corner $g$ where both stresses are compressive, it will sustain the greater stress. This stress will be simply the sum of the stresses due to the vertical and horizontal components of the load, or

$$
\sigma=\frac{940(36)(3)}{19.9}+\frac{342(36)(2.765)}{5.61}=5100+6070=11,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

The effect of the couple depends on the way in which the inner end of the beam is supported. If it is simply constrained against rotation in the planes of bending and twisting, the twisting moment will be resisted wholly by shear stress on the cross section, and these stresses can be found by the appropriate torsion formula of Table 10.1. If, however, the beam is built in so that the flanges are fixed in the horizontal plane, then part of the torque is resisted by the bending rigidity of the flanges and the corresponding moment causes a further fiber stress. This can be found by using the formulas of Sec. 10.3.


Figure 8.19

For the channel section, $K$ is given with sufficient accuracy by the formula $K=\left(t^{3} / 3\right)(h+2 b)$ (Table 9.2 , case 1 ), which gives $K=0.073$ in $^{4}$. Taking $G=12,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and $E=30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and the formula for $C_{w}$ as

$$
C_{w}=\frac{h^{2} b^{3} t}{12} \frac{2 h+3 b}{h+6 b}=38.4 \mathrm{in}^{6}
$$

the value for $\beta$ can be found. From Table 10.3, the formula for $\beta$ is given as

$$
\beta=\left(\frac{K G}{C_{w} E}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left[\frac{0.073(12)}{38.4(30)}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.0276
$$

From Table 10.3, case 1 b , the value of $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ at the wall is given as

$$
\theta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \beta l=\frac{313}{38.4\left(30 \cdot 10^{6}\right)(0.0276)} \tanh 0.0276(36)=7.47\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{in}^{-2}
$$

Therefore the longitudinal compressive stress at $f$ can be found from the expression for $\sigma_{x}$ in Table 10.2, case 1, as

$$
\sigma_{x}=\frac{h b}{2} \frac{h+3 b}{h+6 b} E \theta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{6(4)}{2} \frac{6+3(4)}{6+6(4)}(30)\left(10^{6}\right)(7.47)\left(10^{-6}\right)=1610 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

The resultant fiber stress at $f$ is $11,200-1610=9590 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

### 8.15 Straight Uniform Beams (Common Case); Ultimate Strength

When a beam is stressed beyond the elastic limit, plane sections remain plane or nearly so but unit stresses are no longer proportional to strains and hence no longer proportional to distance from the neutral surface. If the material has similar stress-strain curves in tension and compression, the stress distribution above and below the neutral surface will be similar and the neutral axis of any section which is symmetric about a horizontal axis will still pass through the centroid; if the material has different properties in tension and compression, then the neutral axis will shift away from the side on which the fibers yield the most; this shift causes an additional departure from the stress distribution assumed by the theory outlined in Sec. 8.1.

Failure In bending. The strength of a beam of ordinary proportions is determined by the maximum bending moment it can sustain. For beams of nonductile material (cast iron, concrete, or seasoned wood) this moment may be calculated by the formula $M_{m}=\sigma^{\prime}(I / c)$ if $\sigma^{\prime}$, the modulus of rupture, is known. The modulus of rupture depends on the material and other factors (see Sec. 3.11), and attempts have been made to calculate it for a given material and section from the form of
the complete stress-strain diagram. Thus for cast iron an approximate value of $\sigma^{\prime}$ may be found by the formula $\sigma^{\prime}=K \sqrt{c / z^{\prime}} \sigma_{t}$, where $c$ is the distance to the extreme fiber, $z^{\prime}$ is the distance from the neutral axis to the centroid of the tensile part of the section, and $K$ is an experimental coefficient equal to $\frac{6}{5}$ for sections that are flat at the top and bottom (rectangle, I, T, etc.) and $\frac{4}{3}$ for sections that are pointed or convex at the top and bottom (circle, diamond, etc.) (Ref. 4). Some tests indicate that this method of calculating the breaking strength of cast iron is sometimes inaccurate but generally errs on the side of safety (Ref. 5).
In general, the breaking strength of a beam can be predicted best from experimentally determined values of the rupture factor and ultimate strength or the form factor and modulus of rupture. The rupture factors are based on the ultimate tensile strength for all materials except wood, for which it is based on compressive strength. Form factors are based on a rectangular section. For structural steel, wrought aluminum, and other ductile metals, where beams do not actually break, the modulus of rupture means the computed fiber stress at the maximum bending moment (Refs. 6 to 9).
When the maximum bending moment occurs at but one section, as for a single concentrated load, the modulus of rupture is higher than when the maximum moment extends over a considerable part of the span. For instance, the modulus of rupture of short beams of brittle material is about 20 percent higher when determined by center loading than when determined by third-point loading. The disparity decreases as the span/depth ratio increases.

Beams of ductile material (structural steel or aluminum) do not ordinarily fracture under static loading but fail through excessive deflection. For such beams, if they are of relatively thick section so as to preclude local buckling, the maximum bending moment is that which corresponds to plastic yielding throughout the section. This maximum moment, or "plastic" moment, is usually denoted by $M_{p}$ and can be calculated by the formula $M_{p}=\sigma_{y} Z$, where $\sigma_{y}$ is the lower yield point of the material and $Z$, called the plastic section modulus, is the arithmetical sum of the statical moments about the neutral axis of the parts of the cross section above and below that axis. Thus, for a rectangular section of depth $d$ and width $b$,

$$
Z=\left(\frac{1}{2} b d\right)\left(\frac{1}{4} d\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2} b d\right)\left(\frac{1}{4} d\right)=\frac{1}{4} b d^{2}
$$

This method of calculating the maximum resisting moment of a ductile-material beam is widely used in "plastic design" and is discussed further in Sec. 8.16. It is important to note that when the plastic moment has been developed, the neutral axis divides the crosssectional area into halves and so is not always a centroidal axis. It is also important to note that the plastic moment is always greater than
the moment required to just stress the extreme fiber to the lower yield point. This moment, which may be denoted by $M_{y}$, is equal to $\sigma_{y} I / c$, and so

$$
\frac{M_{p}}{M_{y}}=\frac{Z}{I / c}
$$

This ratio $Z /(I / c)$, called the shape factor, depends on the form of the cross section. For a solid rectangle it would be $\frac{1}{4} b d^{2} / \frac{1}{6} b d^{2}$, or 1.5 ; for an I -section it is usually about 1.15 . Table A. 1 gives formulas or numerical values for the plastic section modulus $Z$ and for the shape factor for most of the cross sections listed.

In tubes and beams of thin open section, local buckling or crippling will sometimes occur before the full plastic resisting moment is realized, and the length of the member will have an influence. Tubes of steel or aluminum alloy generally will develop a modulus of rupture exceeding the ultimate tensile strength when the ratio of diameter to wall thickness is less than 50 for steel or 35 for aluminum. Wideflanged steel beams will develop the full plastic resisting moment when the outstanding width/thickness ratio is less than 8.7 for $\sigma_{y}=33,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ or 8.3 for $\sigma_{y}=36,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Charts giving the effective modulus of rupture of steel, aluminum, and magnesium tubes of various proportions may be found in Ref. 55.

Failure in shear. Failure by an actual shear fracture is likely to occur only in wood beams, where the shear strength parallel to the grain is, of course, small.

In I-beams and similar thin-webbed sections the diagonal compression that accompanies shear (Sec. 7.5) may lead to a buckling failure (see the discussion of web buckling that follows), and in beams of cast iron and concrete the diagonal tension that similarly accompanies shear may cause rupture. The formula for shear stress [Eq. (8.1-2)] may be considered valid as long as the fiber stresses do not exceed the proportional limit, and therefore it may be used to calculate the vertical shear necessary to produce failure in any case where the ultimate shearing strength of the beam is reached while the fiber stresses, at the section of maximum shear, are still within the proportional limit.

Web buckling; local failure. An I-beam or similar thin-webbed member may fail by buckling of the web owing to diagonal compression when the shear stress reaches a certain value. Ketchum and Draffin (Ref. 11) and Wendt and Withey (Ref. 12) found that in light I-beams this type of buckling occurs when the shear stress, calculated by
$\tau=1.25 \mathrm{~V} /$ web area (Ref. 11) or $\tau=V /$ Web area (Ref. 12), reaches a value equal to the unit load that can be carried by a vertical strip of the beam as a round-ended column. For the thin webs of the beams tested, such a thin strip would be computed as a Euler column; for heavier beams an appropriate parabolic or other formula should be used (Chap. 12).

In plate girders, web buckling may be prevented by vertical or diagonal stiffeners, usually consisting of double angles that are riveted or welded, one on each side of the web. Steel-construction specifications (Ref. 13) require that such stiffeners be provided when $h / t$ exceeds 70 and $v$ exceeds $64,000,000 /(h / t)^{2}$. Such stiffeners should have a moment of inertia (figured for an axis at the center line of the web) equal to at least $0.00000016 H^{4}$ and should be spaced so that the clear distance between successive stiffeners is not more than $11,000 t / \sqrt{v}$ or 84 in , whichever is least. Here $h$ is the clear depth of the web between flanges, $t$ is the web thickness, $v$ is the shear stress $V / h t$, and $H$ is the total depth of the web. In light-metal airplane construction, the stiffeners are sometimes designed to have a moment of inertia about an axis parallel to the web given by $I=(2.29 d / t)$ $(V h / 33 E)^{4 / 3}$, where $V=$ the (total) vertical shear and $d=$ the stiffener spacing center to center (Ref. 14).

Buckling failure may occur also as a result of vertical compression at a support or concentrated load, which is caused by either columntype buckling of the web (Refs. 11 and 12) or crippling of the web at the toe of the fillet (Ref. 15). To guard against this latter type of failure, present specifications provide that for interior loads $R / t(N+2 k) \leqslant 24,000$ and for end reactions $R / t(N+k) \leqslant 24,000$, where $R$ is the concentrated load or end reaction, $t$ the web thickness, $N$ the length of bearing, and $k$ the distance from the outer face of the flange to the web toe of the fillet. Here $R$ is in pounds and all linear dimensions are in inches.

Wood beams will crush locally if the supports are too narrow or if a load is applied over too small a bearing area. The unit bearing stress in either case is calculated by dividing the force by the nominal bearing area, no allowance being made for the nonuniform distribution of pressure consequent upon bending (Ref. 9). Metal beams also may be subjected to high local pressure stresses; these are discussed in Chap. 14.

Lateral buckling. The compression flange of an I-beam or similar member may fail as a column as a result of lateral buckling if it is unsupported. Such buckling may be elastic or plastic; that is, it may occur at a maximum fiber stress below or above the elastic limit. In the first case the buckling is an example of elastic instability, for which
relevant formulas are given in Table 15.1. For buckling above the elastic range analytical solutions are difficult to obtain, and empirical expressions based on experiment are used (as will be shown to be true also of the columns discussed in Chap. 12).

Moore (Ref. 16) found that standard I-beams fail by lateral buckling when

$$
s^{\prime}=40,000-60 \frac{\mathrm{ml}}{\mathrm{r}}
$$

where $s^{\prime}$ is the compressive stress in the extreme fiber [computed by Eq. (8.1-1)], $l$ is the span (in inches), $r$ is the radius of gyration (in inches) of the beam section about a central axis parallel to the web, and $m$ is a coefficient which depends on the manner of loading and support and has the following values:

| Loading and support | Value of $m$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| End supports, uniform load | 0.667 |
| End supports, midpoint load | 0.500 |
| End supports, single load at any point | 0.500 |
| End supports, loads at third points | 0.667 |
| End supports, loads at quarter points | 0.750 |
| End supports, loads at sixth points | 0.833 |
| Cantilever beam, uniform load | 0.667 |
| Cantilever beam, end load | 1.000 |
| Fixed-ended beam, uniform load | 0.281 |
| Fixed-ended beam, midpoint load | 0.250 |

For very light I-beams, Ketchum and Draffin (Ref. 11) found that the lower limit of test results is given by

$$
s^{\prime}=24,000-40 \frac{\mathrm{ml}}{\mathrm{r}}
$$

where the terms have the same meaning and $m$ the same values as given previously.

The beams tested by Moore generally failed at stresses below but very close to the yield point and so probably could be regarded as representing plastic buckling. The lighter beams tested by Ketchum and Draffin, however, failed at stresses below the limit of proportionality and are examples of elastic buckling.

In Ref. 13 rules are given for the reduction in allowable compressive stress according to the unbraced length of the compression flange. A review of the literature on this subject of the lateral buckling of structural members and a bibliography through 1959 are to be found in Ref. 58.

Narrow rectangular beams may fail also as a result of buckling of the compression edge. When this buckling occurs below the elastic limit, the strength is determined by elastic stability; formulas for this case are given in Table 15.1. For buckling at stresses beyond the elastic limit, no simple formula for the critical stress can be given, but methods for calculating this critical stress are given for aluminum beams by Dumont and Hill (Ref. 17) and for wood beams by Trayer and March (Ref. 18).

### 8.16 Plastic, or Ultimate Strength, Design

The foregoing discussion of beams and frames is based for the most part on the assumption of purely elastic action and on the acceptance of maximum fiber stress as the primary criterion of safety. These constitute the basis of elastic analysis and design. An alternative and often preferred method of design, applicable to rigid frames and statically indeterminate beams made of materials capable of plastic action, is the method of plastic, or ultimate strength, design. It is based on the fact that such a frame or beam cannot deflect indefinitely or collapse until the full plastic moment $M_{p}$ (see Sec. 8.15) has been developed at each of several critical sections. If it is assumed that the plastic moment-a determinable couple-does indeed act at each such section, then the problem becomes a statically determinate one and the load corresponding to the collapse condition can be readily calculated.

A simple illustration of the procedure is afforded by the beam of Fig. 8.20(a), corresponding to case lc of Table 8.1. Suppose it is desired to determine the maximum value of the load $W$ that the beam can support. It is shown by elastic analysis, and is indeed apparent from inspection, that the maximum bending moments occur at the load and at the left end of the beam. The maximum possible value of each such moment is $M_{p}$. It is evident that the beam cannot collapse until the


Figure 8.20
moment at each of these points reaches this value. Therefore, when $W$ has reached its maximum value and collapse is imminent, the beam is acted on by the force system represented in Fig. 8.20(b); there is a plastic hinge and a known couple $M_{p}$ at each of the critical sections and the problem is statically determinate. For equilibrium of the right half, $R=M_{p} /(l / 2)$ and $V_{1}=R$; and for equilibrium of the left half, $V_{2}=W-R$ and $\left[W-M_{p} /(l / 2)\right] l / 2=2 M_{p}$ or $W=6 M_{p} / l$.

In attempting to predict the collapse load on the basis of elastic analysis, it is easy to fall into the error of equating the maximum elastic moment $\frac{3}{16} W l$ at the wall (Table 8.1) to $M_{p}$, thus obtaining $W=\frac{16}{3} M_{p} / l$. This erroneous procedure fails to take into account the fact that as $W$ increases and yielding commences and progresses at the wall section, there is a redistribution of moments; the moment at the wall becomes less than $\frac{3}{16} W l$, and the moment at the load becomes greater than $\frac{5}{32} W l$ until finally each moment becomes equal to $M_{p}$. An important point to note is that although the elastic moments are affected by even a very slight departure from the assumed condi-tions-perfect fixity at one end and rigid support at the other-the collapse load is not thus affected. So long as the constraints are rigid enough to develop the plastic hinges as indicated, the ultimate load will be the same. Similarly, the method does not require that the beam be uniform in section, although a local reduction in section leading to the formation of a hinge at some point other than those assumed, of course, would alter the solution.

For a beam with multiple concentrated transverse loads or with distributed transverse loads, the locations of hinges are not known and must be assumed and verified. A virtual work approach to plastic collapse may permit a more rapid analysis than does the use of equilibrium equations, see Ref. 66. Verification consists of using the equilibrium conditions to construct a moment diagram and determine that no moments larger than the locally permitted values of the fully plastic moment are present.

Since nonlinear behavior does not permit superposition of results, one must consider all loads which are acting at a given time. If any of the several loads on a beam tend to cancel the maximum moments due to other loads, one must also consider the order in which the loads are applied in service to assure that the partially loaded beam has not collapsed before all loads have been applied.

Column 4 of Table A. 1 contains an expression or a numerical value for the plastic section modulus $Z$ and for the shape factor $S F=Z c / I$ for many of the cross sections. Using the plastic section modulus and the value of the yield strength of the material, one can find the full plastic moment $M_{p}$. Table 8.13 contains expressions for the loadings which will cause plastic collapse and the locations of the plastic hinges associated with each such loading.

The following example problems illustrate (1) the direct use of the tabulated material and (2) the use of the virtual work approach to a problem where two loads are applied simultaneously and where one plastic hinge location is not obvious.

## EXAMPLES

1. A hollow aluminum cylinder is used as a transversely loaded beam 6 ft long with a 3 -in outer diameter and a 1 -in inner diameter. It is fixed at both ends and subjected to a distributed loading which increases linearly from zero at midspan to a maximum value $w_{l}$ at the right end. The yield strength of this material is $27,000 \mathrm{psi}$, and the value of $W_{l c}$ at plastic collapse is desired.
Solution. From Table A. 1 case 15, the expression for the plastic section modulus is given as $Z=1.333\left(R^{3}-R_{i}^{3}\right)$, which gives

$$
Z=1.333\left(1.5^{3}-0.5^{3}\right)=4.33 \mathrm{in}^{3}
$$

and

$$
M_{p}=4.33(27,000)=117,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

From Table 8.13 case 2 d, with $w_{a}=0$ for a uniformly increasing load, the locations of the fully developed plastic hinges are at the two ends and at a distance $x_{h 2}$ from the left end, where

$$
x_{h 2}=a+\left(a^{2}-a l+\frac{l^{2}}{3}-\frac{a^{3}}{3 l}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Since $l=72$ in and $a=86 \mathrm{in}$, the third hinge is found at $x_{h 2}=50.70 \mathrm{in}$. The expression for the collapse load $w_{l c}$ is given as

$$
w_{l c}=\frac{12 M_{p}(l-a)}{\left(l-x_{h 2}\right)\left(x_{h 2}^{2}-3 a x_{h 2}+l x_{h 2}+a^{3} / l\right)}=1703 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}
$$

2. A steel beam of trapezoidal section is shown in Fig. 8.21. It is 1500 mm long, fixed at the right end and simply supported at the left end. The factor of safety of the loading shown is to be determined based on plastic collapse under a proportionately increased set of similar loads. The yield strength of the material is $200 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ in both tension and compression. All dimensions are in millimeters.

Solution. First evaluate $M_{p}$. An examination of Table A. 1 shows that the plastic section modulus for this cross section is not given. The yield strength in tension and compression is the same, so half the cross-sectional area of
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$2100 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ will be in tension and half in compression under a fully developed plastic hinge. Calculations show that a horizontal axis 16.066 mm above the base will divide this area into equal parts. The centroid of the upper portion is 7.110 mm above this axis, and the centroid of the lower portion is 7.814 mm below. Therefore

$$
M_{p}=200(1050)(7.110+7.814)=3.134\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{mm}
$$

Let the concentrated load at collapse $P_{c}$ be accompanied by a uniformly distributed load $w_{c}$, which equals $P_{c} / 1000$. During a virtual displacement of the beam when plastic rotation is taking place about the fully developed plastic hinges, the elastic deformations and any deformations due to the development of the plastic hinges remain constant and can be neglected in computing the work done by the loading. The angles shown in Fig. 8.22 are not true slopes at these locations but merely represent the virtual rotations of the fully developed plastic hinges. The location of hinge $A$ is not known at this point in the solution, but it is either under the concentrated load or somewhere in the portion of the beam under the distributed load.

Trial 1. Assume that hinge $A$ is under the concentrated load and the virtual displacements are represented by Fig. $8.22(\alpha)$. The work performed by the loads during their vertical displacements is absorbed by the two plastic hinges. The hinge at $A$ rotates through the angle $\theta+\phi$ and the hinge at $B$ through the angle $\phi$. Thus

$$
M_{p}(\theta+\phi+\phi)=P_{c} 1100 \theta+w_{c}(900)(450) \theta
$$

where $w_{c}=P_{c} / 1000$ and from geometry $400 \phi=1100 \theta$ so that $P_{c}=$ $4.319\left(10^{-3}\right) M_{p}$, and from the equilibrium of the entire beam one obtains $R_{1}=3.206\left(10^{-3}\right) M_{p}$. Using these two values and constructing a moment diagram, one finds that a maximum moment of $1.190 M_{p}$ will be present at a distance of 742 mm from the left end.

Thus the assumption that hinge $A$ was under the concentrated load was incorrect. A second trial solution will be carried out by assuming that hinge $A$ is a distance $a$ from the left end.

Trial 2. Figure $8.22(b)$ shows the virtual displacements for this second assumption. Again set the virtual work done by the loading equal to the energy absorbed by the two plastic hinges, or

$$
M_{p}(\theta+2 \phi)=P_{c} 400 \phi+\frac{w_{c} \theta a^{2}}{2}+w_{c}(900-a)\left(1500-a-\frac{900-a}{2}\right) \phi
$$

Note that $w_{c}=P_{c} / 1000$ and from geometery $\phi(1500-a)=\theta a$ so that $P_{c}=M_{p}\left[(1500+\alpha) /\left(1345 a-0.75 a^{2}\right)\right]$. A minimum value of $P_{c}$ is desired so
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this expression is differentiated with respect to $a$ and the derivative set equal to zero. This leads to $a=722.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $P_{c}=3.830\left(10^{-3}\right) M_{p}$, a significantly smaller value than before and one which leads to a moment diagram with a maximum positive moment of $M_{p}$ at $\alpha=722.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ and a maximum negative moment of $-M_{p}$ at the right end. This then is the correct solution, and substituting the numerical value for $M_{p}$ one gets $P_{c}=12,000 \mathrm{~N}$ and $w_{c}=12 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$. The applied loads were $P=4000 \mathrm{~N}$ and $w=4 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$, so the factor of safety is 3.0.

Because of the simplicity, these examples may give an exaggerated impression of the ease of plastic analysis, but they do indicate that for any indeterminate structure with strength that is determined primarily by resistance to bending, the method is well-suited to the determination of ultimate load and-through the use of a suitable factor of safety-to design. Its accuracy has been proved by good agreement between computed and experimental ultimate loads for both beams and frames. An extended discussion of plastic analysis is not appropriate here, but the interested reader will find an extensive literature on the subject (Refs. 60, 61).

### 8.17 Tables

TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams
NOTATION: $W=$ load (force); $w=$ unit load (force per unit length); $M_{o}=$ applied couple (force-length); $\theta_{o}$ externally created concentrated angular displacement (radians); $\Delta_{o}=$ externally created concentrated lateral displacement; $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}=$ temperatures on the top and bottom surfaces, respectively (degrees). $R_{A}$ and $R_{B}$ are the vertical end reactions at the left and right, respectively, and are positive upward. $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are the reaction end moments at the left and right, respectively. All moments are positive when producing compression on the upper portion of the beam cross section. The transverse shear force $V$ is positive when acting upward on the left end of a portion of the beam. All applied loads, couples, and displacements are positive as shown. All deflections are positive upward, and all slopes are positive when up and to the right. $E$ is the modulus of elasticity of the beam material, and $I$ is the area moment of inertia about the centroidal axis of the beam cross section. $\gamma$ is the temperature coefficient of expansion (unit strain per degree)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Transverse shear }=V=R_{A}-W\langle x-a\rangle^{0} \\
& \text { Bending moment }=M=M_{A}+R_{A} x-W\langle x-a\rangle \\
& \text { Slope }=\theta=\theta+\frac{M_{A} x}{E I}+\frac{R_{A} x^{2}}{2 E I}-\frac{W}{2 E I}\langle x-a\rangle^{2} \\
& \text { Deflection }=y=y_{A}+\theta_{A} x+\frac{M_{A} x^{2}}{2 E I}+\frac{R_{A} x^{3}}{6 E I}-\frac{W}{6 E I}\langle x-a\rangle^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note: see page 131 for a definition of the term $\langle x-a\rangle^{n}$.)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad \theta_{A}=\frac{W(l-a)^{2}}{2 E I} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-W}{6 E I}\left(2 l^{3}-3 l^{2} a+a^{3}\right) \\ R_{B} & =W \quad M_{B}=-W(l-a) \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B} ;$ max possible value $=-W l$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{W l^{2}}{2 E I}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-W l^{3}}{3 E I}$ when $a=0$ |
| 1b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=\frac{W(l-a)^{2}}{2 l} \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-W}{12 E I}(l-a)^{2}(l+2 a) \\ R_{B} & =W \quad M_{B}=\frac{-W\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{2 l} \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{W l}{2}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B} ; \max$ possible value $=\frac{-W l}{2}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-W l^{3}}{12 E I}$ when $a=0$ |

## TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c. Left end simply supported right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=\frac{W}{2 l^{3}}(l-a)^{2}(2 l+a) \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{-W a}{4 E I l}(l-a)^{2} \quad y_{A}=0 \\ & R_{B}=\frac{W a}{2 l^{3}}\left(3 l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \quad \theta_{B}=0 \\ & M_{B}=\frac{-W a}{2 l^{2}}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $M a x+M=\frac{W a}{2 l^{3}}(l-a)^{2}(2 l+a)$ at $x=a ;$ max possible value $=0.174 W l$ when $a=0.366 l$ <br> Max $-M=M_{B} ; \max$ possible value $=-0.1924 W l$ when $a=0.5773 l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=\frac{-W a}{6 E I}(l-a)^{2}\left(\frac{a}{2 l+a}\right)^{1 / 2}$ at $x=l\left(\frac{a}{2 l+a}\right)^{1 / 2}$ when $a>0.414 l$ <br> Max $y=\frac{-W a\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{3}}{3 E I\left(3 l^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2}}$ at $x=\frac{l\left(l^{2}+a^{2}\right)}{3 l^{2}-a^{2}}$ when $a<0.414 l ;$ max possible $y=-0.0098 \frac{W l^{3}}{E I}$ when $x=a=0.41$ |
| 1d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =\frac{W}{l^{3}}(l-a)^{2}(l+2 a) \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-W a}{l^{2}}(l-a)^{2} \\ \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =\frac{W a^{2}}{l^{3}}(3 l-2 a) \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-W a^{2}}{l^{2}}(l-a) \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max }+M=\frac{2 W a^{2}}{l^{3}}(l-a)^{2} \text { at } x=a ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{W l}{8} \text { when } a=\frac{l}{2} \\ & \text { Max }-M=M_{A} \text { if } a<\frac{l}{2} ; \text { max possible value }=-0.1481 W l \text { when } a=\frac{l}{3} \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=\frac{-2 W(l-a)^{2} a^{3}}{3 E I(l+2 a)^{2}} \text { at } x=\frac{2 a l}{l+2 a} \text { if } a>\frac{l}{2} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-W l^{3}}{192 E I} \text { when } x=a=\frac{l}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=\frac{W}{l}(l-a) \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{-W a}{6 E I l}(2 l-a)(l-a) \quad y_{A}=0 \\ & R_{B}=\frac{W a}{l} \quad M_{B}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}=\frac{W a}{6 E I l}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=R_{A} a$ at $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\frac{W l}{4}$ when $a=\frac{l}{2}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=\frac{-W a}{3 E I l}\left(\frac{l^{2}-a^{2}}{3}\right)^{3 / 2}$ at $x=l-\left(\frac{l^{2}-a^{2}}{3}\right)^{1 / 2}$ when $a<\frac{l}{2} ; \max$ possible value $=\frac{-W l^{3}}{48 E I}$ at $x$ $=\frac{l}{2}$ when $a=\frac{l}{2}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}$ when $a<\frac{l}{2} ;$ max possible value $=-0.0642 \frac{W l^{2}}{E I}$ when $a=0.423 l$ |
| 1f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=W(l-a) \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-W(l-a)}{6 E I}\left(2 l^{2}+2 a l-a^{2}\right) \\ & R_{B}=W \quad M_{B}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}=\frac{W}{2 E I}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A}$ for $0<x<a ;$ max possible value $=W l$ when $a=0$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } \theta=\theta_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{W l^{2}}{2 E I} \text { when } a=0 \\ & \text { Max } y=y_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-W l^{3}}{3 E I} \text { when } a=0 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)

2. Partial distributed load


Transverse shear $=V=R_{A}-w_{a}\langle x-a\rangle-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{2(l-a)}\langle x-a\rangle^{2}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A}+R_{A} x-\frac{w_{a}}{2}\langle x-a\rangle^{2}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{6(l-a)}\langle x-a\rangle^{3}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A}+\frac{M_{A} x}{E I}+\frac{R_{A} x^{2}}{2 E I}-\frac{w_{a}}{6 E I}\langle x-a\rangle^{3}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{24 E I(l-a)}\langle x-a\rangle^{4}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A}+\theta_{A}+\theta_{A} x+\frac{M_{A} x^{2}}{2 E I}+\frac{R_{A} x^{3}}{6 E I}-\frac{w_{a}}{24 E I}\langle x-a\rangle^{4}-\frac{\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{120 E I(l-a)}\langle x-a\rangle^{5}$

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w_{a}}{6 E I}(l-a)^{3}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{24 E I}(l-a)^{3} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{24 E I}(l-a)^{3}(3 l+a)-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{120 E I}(l-a)^{3}(4 l+a) \\ R_{B} & =\frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a) \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{2}(l-a)^{2}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{6}(l-a)^{2} \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load on entire span), then <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{2}}{2} \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{w_{a} l^{3}}{6 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{4}}{8 E I}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{l} l^{2}}{6} \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{w_{l} l^{3}}{24 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{l} l^{4}}{30 E I}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{2}}{3} \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{w_{a} l^{3}}{8 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-11 w_{a} l^{4}}{120 E I}$ |

## TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w_{a}}{6 l}(l-a)^{3}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{24 l}(l-a)^{3} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{24 E I}(l-a)^{3}(l+a)-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{240 E I}(l-a)^{3}(3 l+2 a) \\ R_{B} & =\frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a) \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{6 l}(l-a)^{2}(2 l+a)-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{24 l}(l-a)^{2}(3 l+a) \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load on entire span), then <br> $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{2}}{3} \quad \operatorname{Max}+M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{a} l^{2}}{6}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{4}}{24 E I}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{\alpha}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then <br> $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{l} l^{2}}{8} \quad \operatorname{Max}+M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{l} l^{2}}{24}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{l} l^{4}}{80 E I}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then <br> $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B}=\frac{-5 w_{a} l^{2}}{24} \quad \operatorname{Max}+M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{a} l^{2}}{8}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-7 w_{a} l^{4}}{240 E I}$ |
| 2c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =\frac{w_{a}}{8 l^{3}}(l-a)^{3}(3 l+a)+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{40 l^{3}}(l-a)^{3}(4 l+a) \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{48 E I l}(l-a)^{3}(l+3 a)-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{240 E I l}(l-a)^{3}(2 l+3 a) \\ M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =\frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a)-R_{A} \\ M_{B} & =R_{A} l-\frac{w_{a}}{2}(l-a)^{2}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{6}(l-a)^{2} \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load on entire span), then $R_{A}=\frac{3}{8} w_{a} l \quad R_{B}=\frac{5}{8} w_{a} l \quad \operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{2}}{8}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+M=\frac{9 w_{a} l^{2}}{128}$ at $x=\frac{3}{8} l \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{3}}{48 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=-0.0054 \frac{w_{a} l^{4}}{E I}$ at $x=0.4215 l$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $R_{A}=\frac{w_{l} l}{10} \quad R_{B}=\frac{2 w_{l} l}{5} \quad \operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{l} l^{2}}{15}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+M=0.0298 w_{l} l^{2}$ at $x=0.4472 l \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{-w_{l} l^{3}}{120 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=-0.00239 \frac{w_{l} l^{4}}{E I}$ at $x=0.4472 l$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then <br> $R_{A}=\frac{11}{40} w_{a} l \quad R_{B}=\frac{9}{40} w_{a} l \quad \operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B}=\frac{-7}{120} w_{a} l^{2}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+M=0.0422 w_{a} l^{2}$ at $x=0.329 l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{-w_{a} l^{3}}{80 E I} \quad \operatorname{Max} y=-0.00304 \frac{w_{a} l^{4}}{E I} ;$ at $x=0.4025 l$ |

TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)


## TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A}= & 0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A}= & \frac{w_{a}}{2}(l-a)^{2}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{6}(l-a)^{2} \\ y_{A}= & \frac{-w_{a}}{24 E I}(l-a)^{2}\left(5 l^{2}+2 a l-a^{2}\right) \\ & \quad-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{120 E I}(l-a)^{2}\left(9 l^{2}+2 a l-a^{2}\right) \\ R_{B}= & \frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a) \\ \theta_{B}= & \frac{w_{a}}{6 E I}(l-a)^{2}(2 l+a)+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{24 E I}(l-a)^{2}(3 l+a) \\ M_{B}= & 0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load on entire span), then <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{a} l^{2}}{2} \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B}=\frac{w_{a} l^{3}}{3 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-5 w_{a} l^{4}}{24 E I}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{l} l^{2}}{6} \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B}=\frac{w_{l} l^{3}}{8 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-3 w_{l} l^{4}}{40 E I}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{a} l^{2}}{3} \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B}=\frac{5 w_{a} l^{3}}{24 E I}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-2 w_{a} l^{4}}{15 E I}$ |

3. Concentrated intermediate moment


Bending moment $=M=M_{A}+R_{A} x+M_{o}\langle x-a\rangle^{0}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A}+\frac{M_{A} x}{E I}+\frac{R_{A} x^{2}}{2 E I}+\frac{M_{o}}{E I}\langle x-a\rangle$
Deflection $=y=y_{A}+\theta_{A} x+\frac{M_{A} x^{2}}{2 E I}+\frac{R_{A} x^{3}}{6 E I}+\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I}\langle x-a\rangle^{2}$

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}(l-a)}{E I} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{2 E I} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=M_{o} \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{o}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-M_{o} l}{E I}$ when $a=0$ <br> Max $y=y_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{M_{o} l^{2}}{2 E I}$ when $a=0$ |


| 3b Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ & M_{A}=\frac{-M_{o}(l-a)}{l} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{M_{o} a(l-a)}{2 E I} \\ & R_{B}=0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \\ & M_{B}=\frac{M_{o} a}{l} \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | Max $+M=M_{B} ;$ max possible value $=M_{o}$ when $a=l$ <br> Max $-M=M_{A} ;$ max possible value $=-M_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> Max $y=y_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{M_{o} l^{2}}{8 E I}$ when $a=\frac{l}{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =\frac{-3 M_{o}}{2 l^{3}}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{4 E I l}(l-a)(3 a-l) \\ M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =\frac{3 M_{o}}{2 l^{3}}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \\ M_{B} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 l^{2}}\left(3 a^{2}-l^{2}\right) \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{o}+R_{A} a$ just right of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=M_{o}$ when $a=0$ or $a=l ;$ min possible value $=0.423 M_{o}$ when $a=0.577 l$ <br> Max $-M=\frac{-3 M_{o} a}{2 l^{3}}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)$ just left of $x=a$ if $a>0.282 l$; max possible value $=-0.577 M_{o}$ when $a=0.577 l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-M=\frac{-M_{o}}{2 l^{2}}\left(l^{2}-3 a^{2}\right)$ at $B$ if $a<0.282 l$; max possible value $=-0.5 M_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=\frac{M_{o}(l-a)}{6 \sqrt{3(l+a)} E I}(3 a-l)^{3 / 2}$ at $x=l \sqrt{\frac{3 a-l}{3 l+3 a}} ; \max$ possible value $=0.0257 \frac{M_{o} l^{2}}{E I}$ at $x=0.474 l$ when $a=0.721 l$ <br> (Note: There is no positive deflection if $a<\frac{l}{3}$ ) <br> Max $-y$ occurs at $x=\frac{2 l^{3}}{3\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)}\left[1-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1-6\left(\frac{a}{l}\right)^{2}+9\left(\frac{a}{l}\right)^{4}}\right] ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-M_{0} l^{2}}{27 E I}$ at $x=\frac{l}{3}$ when $a=0$ |


| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =\frac{-6 M_{o} a}{l^{3}}(l-a) \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{l^{2}}\left(l^{2}-4 a l+3 a^{2}\right) \\ \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \\ M_{B} & =\frac{M_{o}}{l^{2}}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a l\right) \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=\frac{M_{o}}{l^{3}}\left(4 a l^{2}-9 a^{2} l+6 a^{3}\right)$ just right of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=M_{o}$ when $a=l$ <br> Max $-M=\frac{M_{o}}{l^{3}}\left(4 a l^{2}-9 a^{2} l+6 a^{3}-l^{3}\right)$ just left of $x=a$; max possible value $=-M_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=\frac{2 M_{A}^{3}}{3 R_{A}^{2} E I}$ at $x=\frac{l}{3 a}(3 a-l) ;$ max possible value $=0.01617 \frac{M_{o} l^{2}}{E I}$ at $x=0.565 l$ when $a=0.767 l$ <br> (Note: There is no positive deflection if $a<\frac{l}{3}$ ) |
| 3e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{l} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{6 E I l}\left(2 l^{2}-6 a l+3 a^{2}\right) \\ M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =\frac{M_{o}}{l} \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{M_{o}}{6 E I l}\left(l^{2}-3 a^{2}\right) \\ M_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | Max $+M=\frac{M_{o}}{l}(l-a)$ just right of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=M_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> Max $-M=\frac{-M_{o} a}{l}$ just left of $x=a$; max possible value $=-M_{o}$ when $a=l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=\frac{M_{o}\left(6 a l-3 a^{2}-2 l^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}{9 \sqrt{3} E I l}$ at $x=\left(2 a l-a^{2}-\frac{2}{3} l^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ when $a>0.423 l$; max possible value $=0.0642 \frac{M_{o} l^{2}}{E I}$ at $x=0.577 l$ when $a=l$ (Note: There is no positive deflection if $a<0.423 l$ ) |
| 3f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o} a}{2 E I}(2 l-a) \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{-M_{o} a}{E I} \end{aligned}$ | Max $M=-M_{o}$ for $0<x<a$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-M_{o} l}{E I}$ when $a=l$ <br> Max $y=y_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{M_{o} l^{2}}{2 E I}$ when $a=l$ |

TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)


| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a. Left end free, right end fixed | $\begin{array}{rll} R_{A} & =0 & \\ \theta_{A}=0 \\ & =-\theta_{o} & \\ y_{A}=\theta_{o} a \\ R_{B} & =0 & \\ \theta_{B}=0 & & y_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | Max $y=y_{A}$, max possible value $=\theta_{o} l$ when $a=l$ |
| 4b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{array}{ll} R_{A}=0 & M_{A}=\frac{-E I \theta_{o}}{l} \\ \theta_{A}=0 & y_{A}=\theta_{o}\left(a-\frac{l}{2}\right) \\ R_{B}=0 & M_{B}=\frac{-E I \theta_{o}}{l} \\ \theta_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=y_{A}$ when $a>\frac{l}{2} ; \max$ possible value $=\frac{\theta_{o} l}{2}$ when $a=l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-y=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{2 l}(l-a)^{2}$ at $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-\theta_{o} l}{2}$ when $a=0$ |
| 4c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{-3 E I a \theta_{o}}{l^{3}} \\ \theta_{A} & =-\theta_{o}\left(1-\frac{3 a}{2 l}\right) \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-3 E I \theta_{o}}{l}$ when $a=l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=\theta_{o} a\left(1-\frac{2 l}{3 a}\right)^{3 / 2}$ at $x=l\left(1-\frac{2 l}{3 a}\right)^{1 / 2}$ when $a \geq \frac{2}{3} l ;$ max possible value $=0.1926 \theta_{o} l$ at $x=0.577 l$ when $a=l$ (Note: There is no positive deflection if $a<\frac{2}{3} l$ ) |

$\operatorname{Max}-y=-\theta_{o} a\left(1-\frac{3 a}{2 l}+\frac{a^{3}}{2 l^{3}}\right)$ at $x=a ;$ max possible value $=-0.232 \theta_{o} l$ at $x=0.366 l$ when $a=0.366 l$

## TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)



| 5a. Left end free, right end fixed | $\begin{array}{ll} R_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A}=0 & y_{A}=-\Delta_{o} \\ R_{B}=0 & M_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}$ when $x<a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{array}{ll} R_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A}=0 & y_{A}=-\Delta_{o} \\ R_{B}=0 & M_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}$ when $x<a$ |
| 5c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{array}{ll} R_{A}=\frac{3 E I \Delta_{o}}{l^{3}} & M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A}=\frac{-3 \Delta_{o}}{2 l} & y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B}=-R_{A} & M_{B}=\frac{3 E I \Delta_{o}}{l^{2}} \\ \theta_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B} \quad \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=\frac{\Delta_{o}}{2 l^{3}}\left(2 l^{3}+a^{3}-3 l^{2} a\right)$ just right of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\Delta_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> Max $-y=\frac{-\Delta_{o} a}{2 l^{3}}\left(3 l^{2}-a^{2}\right)$ just left of $x=a$; max possible value $=-\Delta_{o}$ when $a=l$ |
| 5d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{array}{ll} R_{A}=\frac{12 E I \Delta_{o}}{l^{3}} & \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A}=\frac{-6 E I \Delta_{o}}{l^{2}} & y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B}=-R_{A} & M_{B}=-M_{A} \\ \theta_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{B} \quad \operatorname{Max}-M=M_{A}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\frac{-3 \Delta_{o}}{2 l}$ at $x=\frac{l}{2}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=\frac{\Delta_{o}}{l^{3}}\left(l^{3}+2 a^{3}-3 a^{2} l\right)$ just right of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\Delta_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-y=\frac{-\Delta_{o} a^{2}}{l^{3}}(3 l-2 a)$ just left of $x=a$; max possible value $=-\Delta_{o}$ when $a=l$ |
| 5e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{array}{ll} R_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0 \\ y_{A}=0 & \theta_{A}=\frac{-\Delta_{o}}{l} \\ R_{B}=0 & M_{B}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \theta_{B}=\frac{-\Delta_{o}}{l} \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+y=\frac{\Delta_{o}}{l}(l-a)$ just right of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\Delta_{o}$ when $a=0$ $\operatorname{Max}-y=\frac{-\Delta_{o} a}{l}$ just left of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=-\Delta_{o}$ when $a=l$ |
| 5f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{array}{ll} R_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A}=0 & y_{A}=-\Delta_{o} \\ R_{B}=0 & M_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}$ when $x<a$ |

## TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)



## TABLE 8.1 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for elastic straight beams (Continued)

| 6d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =\frac{-6 E I a \gamma}{t l^{3}}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)(l-a) \\ M_{A} & =\frac{E I \gamma}{t l^{2}}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)(l-a)(3 a-l) \\ \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-E I \gamma}{t l^{2}}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)(l-a)(3 a+l) \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{E I \gamma}{3 t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ when $a=\frac{2}{3} l$ <br> (Note: There is no positive moment if $a<\frac{l}{3}$ ) <br> Max $-M=M_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-4 E I \gamma}{3 t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ when $a=\frac{l}{3}$ <br> Max $+y=\frac{2 M_{A}^{2}}{3 R_{A}^{2} E I}$ at $x=\frac{l}{3 a}(3 l-a) ;$ max possible value $=0.01617 \frac{\gamma l^{2}}{t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ at $x=0.565 l$ <br> when $a=0.767 l \quad\left(\right.$ Note: There is no positive deflection if $\left.a<\frac{l}{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 e . Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma}{2 t l}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)(l-a)^{2} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{\gamma}{2 t l}\left(T_{2}-T_{l}\right)\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $M=0$ everywhere <br> $\operatorname{Max}+\theta=\theta_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{\gamma l}{2 t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-\theta=\theta_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-\gamma l}{2 t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=\frac{-\gamma}{8 t l^{2}}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-\gamma l^{2}}{8 t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ at $x=\frac{l}{2}$ when $a=0$ |
| 6f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma}{2 t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)(l-a)^{2} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{\gamma}{l}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)(l-a) \end{aligned}$ | $M=0 \text { everywhere }$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{\gamma l}{t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ when $a=0$ <br> Max $y=y_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-\gamma l^{2}}{2 t}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)$ when $a=0$ |

## TABLE 8.2 Reaction and deflection formulas for in-plane loading of elastic frames

NOTATION: $W=\operatorname{load}$ (force); $w=$ unit load (force per unit length); $M_{o}=$ applied couple (force-length); $\theta_{o}=$ externally created concentrated angular displacement (radians); $\Delta_{o}=$ externally created concentrated lateral displacement (length); $T-T_{o}=$ uniform temperature rise (degrees); $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}=$ temperature on outside and inside, respectively (degrees). $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ are the horizontal end reactions at the left and right, respectively, and are positive to the left; $V_{A}$ and $V_{B}$ are the vertical end reactions at the left and right, respectively, and are positive upwards; $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are the reaction moments at the left and right, respectively, and are positive clockwise. $I_{1}, I_{2}$, and $I_{3}$ are the respective area moments of inertia for bending in the plane of the frame for the three members (length to the fourth); $E_{1}, E_{2}$, and $E_{3}$ are the respective moduli of elasticity (force per unit area); $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$, and $\gamma_{3}$ are the respective temperature coefficients of expansions (unit strain per degree)

General reaction and deformation expressions for cases $1-4$, right end pinned in all four cases


## Deformation equations:

$$
\text { Horizontal deflection at } A=\delta_{H A}=A_{H H} H_{A}+A_{H M} M_{A}-L P_{H}
$$

$$
\text { Angular rotation at } A=\psi_{A}=A_{M H} H_{A}+A_{M M} M_{A}-L P_{M}
$$

$$
\text { where } A_{H H}=\frac{l_{1}^{3}}{3 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{I_{2}^{3}}{3 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}}{3 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{1}^{2}+l_{1} l_{2}+l_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
A_{H M}=A_{M H}=\frac{l_{1}^{2}}{2 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(2 l_{1}+l_{2}\right)
$$

$$
A_{M M}=\frac{l_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{3}}{3 E_{3} I_{3}}
$$

and where $L P_{H}$ and $L P_{M}$ are loading terms given below for several types of load
(Note: $V_{A}, V_{B}$, and $H_{B}$ are to be evaluated from equilibrium equations after calculating $H_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ )

| 1. Left end pinned, right end pinned | Since $\delta_{H A}=0$ and $M_{A}=0$, $H_{A}=\frac{L P_{H}}{A_{H H}} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{A}=A_{M H} H_{A}-L P_{M}$ <br> The loading terms are as follows. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reference no., loading | Loading terms |
| 1a. Concentrated load on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =\frac{W a}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left[3 l_{1} a-2 l_{1} l_{3}-l_{2} l_{3}-\frac{a^{2}}{l_{3}}\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =\frac{W a}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(3 a-2 l_{3}-\frac{a^{2}}{l_{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.2 Reaction and deflection formulas for in-plane loading of elastic frames (Continued)

| 1b. Distributed load on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =\frac{-w_{a} l_{3}^{3}}{24 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)-\frac{\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right) l_{3}^{3}}{360 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(7 l_{1}+8 l_{2}\right) \\ L P_{M} & =\frac{-7 w_{a} l_{3}^{3}}{72 E_{3} I_{3}}-\frac{11\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right) l_{3}^{3}}{180 E_{3} I_{3}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1c. Concentrated moment on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =\frac{M_{o}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left[6 l_{1} a-2 l_{1} l_{3}-l_{2} l_{3}-\frac{3 a^{2}}{l_{3}}\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =\frac{M_{o}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(4 a-2 l_{3}-\frac{3 a^{2}}{l_{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1d. Concentrated angular displacement on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =\theta_{o}\left[l_{1}-\frac{a}{l_{3}}\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =\theta_{o}\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{a}{l_{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1e. Concentrated laternal displacement on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & \left.=\frac{-\Delta_{o}\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)}{l_{3}} \quad \text { (Note: } \Delta_{o} \text { could also be an increase in the length } l_{1} \text { or a decrease in the length } l_{2}\right) \\ L P_{M} & =\frac{-\Delta_{o}}{l_{3}}\end{aligned}$ |
| 1f. Concentrated load on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=W\left(A_{H H}-a A_{H M}+\frac{a^{3}}{6 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \\ & L P_{M}=W\left(A_{M H}-a A_{M M}+\frac{a^{2}}{2 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1g. Distributed load on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =w_{a}\left(A_{H H} l_{1}-A_{H M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l_{1}^{4}}{24 E_{1} I_{1}}\right)+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left(A_{H H} \frac{l_{1}}{2}-A_{H M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{3}+\frac{l_{1}^{4}}{30 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \\ L P_{M} & =w_{a}\left[\frac{l_{1}^{3}}{6 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{1} l_{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)\right]+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left[\frac{l_{1}^{3}}{24 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{1} l_{3}}{36 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(2 l_{1}+3 l_{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 1h. Concentrated moment on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =M_{o}\left(\frac{a^{2}}{2 E_{1} I_{1}}-A_{H M}\right) \\ L P_{M} & =M_{o}\left(\frac{a}{E_{1} I_{1}}-A_{M M}\right) \end{aligned}$ |


| Reference no., loading | Loading terms |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1i. Concentrated angular displacement on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\theta_{o}(a) \\ & L P_{M}=\theta_{o}(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1j. Concentrated lateral displacement on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\Delta_{o}(1) \quad\left(\text { Note: } \Delta_{o} \text { could also be a decrease in the length } l_{3}\right) \\ & L P_{M}=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1k. Concentrated load on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =W\left[\frac{1}{6 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(3 l_{2} a^{2}-2 l_{2}^{3}-a^{3}\right)-\frac{l_{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{2}-a\right)\left(l_{1}+2 l_{2}\right)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =W\left[\frac{-l_{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{2}-a\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| 11. Distributed load on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =w_{a}\left[\frac{-5 l_{2}^{4}}{24 E_{2} I_{2}}-\frac{l_{2}^{2} l_{3}}{12 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{1}+2 l_{2}\right)\right]+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left[\frac{-3 l_{2}^{4}}{40 E_{2} I_{2}}-\frac{l_{2}^{2} l_{3}}{36 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{1}+2 l_{2}\right)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =w_{a}\left(\frac{-l_{2}^{2} l_{3}}{12 E_{3} I_{3}}\right)+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left(\frac{-l_{2}^{2} l_{3}}{36 E_{3} I_{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 m . Concentrated moment on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =M_{o}\left[\frac{a}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(2 l_{2}-a\right)+\frac{l_{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{1}+2 l_{2}\right)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =M_{o} \frac{l_{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1n. Concentrated angular displacement on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =\theta_{o}\left(l_{2}-a\right) \\ L P_{M} & =0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1p. Concentrated lateral displacement on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\Delta_{o}(-1) \quad\left(\text { Note: } \Delta_{o} \text { could also be an increase in the length } l_{3}\right) \\ & L P_{M}=0 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.2 Reaction and deflection formulas for in-plane loading of elastic frames (Continued)

| 1q. Uniform temperature rise: <br> $T=$ uniform temperature <br> $T_{o}=$ unloaded temperature | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\left(T-T_{o}\right)\left[\gamma_{3} l_{3}-\frac{\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)}{l_{3}}\left(\gamma_{1} l_{1}-\gamma_{2} l_{2}\right)\right] \\ & L P_{M}=\left(T-T_{o}\right)\left[\frac{-1}{l_{3}}\left(\gamma_{1} l_{1}-\gamma_{2} l_{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\gamma=\text { temperature coefficient of expansion (unit strain/degree) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1r. Uniform temperature differential from outside to inside. Average temperature is $T_{o}$ | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)}{2}\left[\frac{\gamma_{1} l_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}}+\frac{\gamma_{2} l_{2}^{2}}{t_{2}}+\frac{\gamma_{3} l_{3}\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right)}{t_{3}}\right] \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)}{2}\left(\frac{4 \gamma_{1} l_{1}}{t_{1}}+\frac{\gamma_{3} l_{3}}{3 t_{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $t_{1}, t_{2}$, and $t_{3}$ are beam thicknesses from inside to outside |
| 2. Left and guided horizontally, right end pinned | Since $\psi_{A}=0$ and $H_{A}=0$ <br> Use the loading terms for cases 1 a to 1 r | $M_{A}=\frac{L P_{M}}{A_{M M}} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{H A}=A_{H M} M_{A}-L P_{H}$ |


| 3. Left end roller supported along the horizontal, right end pinned |  | Since $H_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ are both zero, this is <br> Use the loading terms for cases 1 a to 1 r | tatically determinate case $\delta_{H A}=-L P_{H} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{A}=-L P_{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Left end fixed, right end pinned |  | Since $\delta_{H A}=0$ and $\psi_{A}=0$, <br> Use the loading terms for cases 1 a to 1 r | $H_{A}=\frac{A_{M M} L P_{H}-A_{H M} L P_{M}}{A_{H H} A_{M M}-\left(A_{H M}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{A}=\frac{A_{H H} L P_{M}-A_{H M} L P_{H}}{A_{H H} A_{M M}-\left(A_{H M}\right)^{2}}$ |

## TABLE 8.2 Reaction and deflection formulas for in-plane loading of elastic frames (Continued)

General reaction and deformation expressions for cases 5-12, right end fixed in all eight cases:


Deformation equations.
Horizontal deflection at $A=\delta_{H A}=C_{H H} H_{A}+C_{H V} V_{A}+C_{H M} M_{A}-L F_{H}$
Vertical deflection at $A=\delta_{V A}=C_{V H} H_{A}+C_{V V} V_{A}+C_{V M} M_{A}-L F_{V}$
Angular rotation at $A=\psi_{A}=C_{M H} H_{A}+C_{M V} V_{A}+C_{M M} M_{A}-L F_{M}$
where $C_{H H}=\frac{l_{1}^{3}}{3 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{1}^{3}-\left(l_{1}-l_{2}\right)^{3}}{3 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{1}^{2} l_{3}}{E_{3} l_{3}}$
$C_{H V}=C_{V H}=\frac{l_{2} l_{3}}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)+\frac{l_{1} l_{3}^{2}}{2 E_{3} I_{3}}$
$C_{H M}=C_{M H}=\frac{l_{1}^{2}}{2 E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{2}}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)+\frac{l_{1} l_{3}}{E_{3} I_{3}}$
$C_{V V}=\frac{l_{2} l_{3}^{2}}{E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}^{3}}{3 E_{3} I_{3}}$
$C_{V M}=C_{M V}=\frac{l_{2} l_{3}}{E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}^{2}}{2 E_{3} I_{3}}$
$C_{M M}=\frac{l_{1}}{E_{1} I_{1}}+\frac{l_{2}}{E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}}{E_{3} l_{3}}$
and where $L F_{H}, L F_{V}$, and $L F_{M}$ are loading terms given below for several types of load
(Note: If desired, $H_{B}, V_{B}$, and $M_{B}$ are to be evaluated from equilibrium equations after calculating $H_{A}, V_{A}$, and $M_{A}$ )
5. Left end fixed right end fixed


Since $\delta_{H A}=0, \delta_{V A}=0$, and $\psi_{A}=0$, these three equations are solved simultaneously for $H_{A}, V_{A}$, and $M_{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{H H} H_{A}+C_{H V} V_{A}+C_{H M} M_{A}=L F_{H} \\
& C_{V H} H_{A}+C_{V V} V_{A}+C_{V M} M_{A}=L F_{V} \\
& C_{M H} H_{A}+C_{M V} V_{A}+C_{M M} M_{A}=L F_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

The loading terms are given below.

Loading terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H} & =W\left[\frac{l_{2}}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)\left(l_{3}-a\right)+\frac{l_{1}}{2 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{3}-a\right)^{2}\right] \\
L F_{V} & =W\left(C_{V V}-a C_{V M}+\frac{a^{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\right) \\
L F_{M} & =W\left[\frac{l_{2}}{E_{2} I_{2}}\left(l_{3}-a\right)+\frac{1}{2 E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{3}-a\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

5a. Concentrated load on the horizontal
member


| 5b. Distributed load on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =w_{a}\left[\frac{l_{2} l_{3}^{2}}{4 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)+\frac{l_{1} l_{3}^{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\right]+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left[\frac{l_{2} l_{3}^{2}}{12 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)+\frac{l_{1} l_{3}^{3}}{24 E_{3} I_{3}}\right] \\ L F_{V} & =w_{a}\left(\frac{l_{2} l_{3}^{3}}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}^{4}}{8 E_{3} I_{3}}\right)+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left(\frac{l_{2} l_{3}^{3}}{6 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}^{4}}{30 E_{3} I_{3}}\right) \\ L F_{M} & =w_{a}\left(\frac{l_{2} l_{3}^{2}}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}^{3}}{6 E_{3} I_{3}}\right)+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left(\frac{l_{2} l_{3}^{2}}{6 E_{2} I_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}^{3}}{24 E_{3} I_{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5c. Concentrated moment on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =M_{o}\left[\frac{-l_{2}}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)-\frac{l_{1}}{E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{3}-a\right)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =M_{o}\left(-C_{V M}+\frac{a^{2}}{2 E_{3} I_{3}}\right) \\ L F_{M} & =M_{o}\left[\frac{-l_{2}}{E_{2} I_{2}}-\frac{1}{E_{3} I_{3}}\left(l_{3}-a\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5d. Concentrated angular displacement on the horizontal member | $\begin{gathered} L F_{H}=\theta_{o}\left(l_{1}\right) \\ L F_{V}=\theta_{o}(a) \\ L F_{M}=\theta_{o}(1) \end{gathered}$ | $\sim$ |
| 5e. Concentrated lateral displacement on the horizontal member | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=0 \\ & L F_{V}=\Delta_{o}(1) \\ & L F_{M}=0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5f. Concentrated load on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=W\left(C_{H H}-a C_{H M}+\frac{a^{3}}{6 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \\ & L F_{V}=W\left(C_{V H}-a C_{V M}\right) \\ & L F_{M}=W\left(C_{M H}-a C_{M M}+\frac{a^{2}}{2 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5 g . Distributed load on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=w_{a}\left(C_{H H} l_{1}-C_{H M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l_{1}^{4}}{24 E_{1} I_{1}}\right)+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left(C_{H H} \frac{l_{1}}{2}-C_{H M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{3}+\frac{l_{1}^{4}}{30 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \\ & L F_{V}=w_{a}\left(C_{V H} l_{1}-C_{V M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left(C_{V H} \frac{l_{1}}{2}-C_{V M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{3}\right) \\ & L F_{M}=w_{a}\left(C_{M H} l_{1}-C_{M M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{l_{1}^{3}}{6 E_{1} I_{1}}\right)+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left(C_{M H} \frac{l_{1}}{2}-C_{M M} \frac{l_{1}^{2}}{3}+\frac{l_{1}^{3}}{8 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |

TABLE 8.2 Reaction and deflection formulas for in-plane loading of elastic frames (Continued)

| Reference no., loading | Loading terms |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5 h$. Concentrated moment on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =M_{o}\left(-C_{H M}+\frac{a^{2}}{2 E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \\ L F_{V} & =M_{o}\left(-C_{V M}\right) \\ L F_{M} & =M_{o}\left(-C_{M M}+\frac{a}{E_{1} I_{1}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5i. Concentrated angular displacement on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\theta_{o}(a) \\ L F_{V} & =0 \\ L F_{M} & =\theta_{o}(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5j. Concentrated lateral displacement on the left vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\Delta_{o}(1) \\ L F_{V} & =0 \\ L F_{M} & =0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 k . Concentrated load on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\frac{W}{6 E_{2} I_{2}}\left[3 l_{1}\left(l_{2}-a\right)^{2}-2 l_{2}^{3}-a^{3}+3 a l_{2}^{2}\right] \\ L F_{V} & =\frac{W}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left[l_{3}\left(l_{2}-a\right)^{2}\right] \\ L F_{M} & =\frac{W}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(l_{2}-a\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5l. Distributed load on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =w_{a}\left[\frac{l_{2}^{3}}{24 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(4 l_{1}-3 l_{2}\right)\right]+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right)\left[\frac{l_{2}^{3}}{120 E_{2} I_{2}}\left(5 l_{1}-4 l_{2}\right)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =w_{a} \frac{l_{2}^{3} l_{3}}{6 E_{2} I_{2}}+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right) \frac{l_{2}^{3} l_{3}}{24 E_{2} I_{2}} \\ L f_{M} & =w_{a} \frac{l_{2}^{3}}{6 E_{2} I_{2}}+\left(w_{b}-w_{a}\right) \frac{l_{2}^{3}}{24 E_{2} I_{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 m . Concentrated moment on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E_{2} I_{2}}\left[-2 l_{1}\left(l_{2}-a\right)-a^{2}+l_{2}^{2}\right] \\ L F_{V} & =\frac{M_{o}}{E_{2} I_{2}}\left[-l_{3}\left(l_{2}-a\right)\right] \\ L F_{M} & =\frac{M_{o}}{E_{2} I_{2}}\left[-\left(l_{2}-a\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.2 Reaction and deflection formulas for in-plane loading of elastic frames (Continued)

| 5n. Concentrated angular displacement on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\theta_{o}\left(l_{1}-a\right) \\ L F_{V} & =\theta_{o}\left(l_{3}\right) \\ L F_{M} & =\theta_{o}(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5p. Concentrated lateral displacement on the right vertical member | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=\Delta_{o}(-1) \quad\left(\text { Note: } \Delta_{\mathrm{o}} \text { could also be an increase in the length } l_{3}\right) \\ & L F_{V}=0 \\ & L F_{M}=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5q. Uniform temperature rise: <br> $T=$ uniform temperature <br> $T_{o}=$ unloaded temperature | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=\left(T-T_{o}\right)\left(-\gamma_{3} l_{3}\right) \quad \gamma=\text { temperature coefficient of expansion (inches/inch/degree) } \\ & L F_{V}=\left(T-T_{o}\right)\left(\gamma_{1} l_{1}-\gamma_{2} l_{2}\right) \\ & L F_{M}=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5r. Uniform temperature differential from outside to inside; average temperature is $T_{o}$ | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left[\frac{l_{1}^{2} \gamma_{1}}{2 t_{1}}+\frac{l_{2} \gamma_{2}}{2 t_{2}}\left(2 l_{1}-l_{2}\right)+\frac{l_{1} l_{3} \gamma_{3}}{t_{3}}\right] \\ L F_{V} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left(\frac{l_{2} l_{3} \gamma_{2}}{t_{2}}+\frac{l_{3}^{2} \gamma_{3}}{2 t_{3}}\right) \quad t_{1}, t_{2}, \text { and } t_{3} \text { are beam thicknesses from inside to outside } \\ L F_{M} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\left(\frac{l_{1} \gamma_{1}}{t_{1}}+\frac{l_{2} \gamma_{2}}{t_{2}}+\frac{l_{3} \gamma_{3}}{t_{3}}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Left end pinned, right end fixed | Since $\delta_{H A}=0, \delta_{V A}=0$ and $M_{A}=0$, $\begin{aligned} H_{A} & =\frac{L F_{H} C_{V V}-L F_{V} C_{H V}}{C_{H H} C_{V V}-\left(C_{H V}\right)^{2}} \quad V_{A}=\frac{L F_{V} C_{H H}-L F_{H} C_{H V}}{C_{H H} C_{V V}-\left(C_{H V}\right)^{2}} \\ \psi_{A} & =C_{M H} H_{A}+C_{M V} V_{A}-L F_{M} \end{aligned}$ <br> Use the loading terms for cases $5 a$ to $5 r$ |
| 7. Left end guided horizontally, right end fixed | Since $\delta_{V A}=0, \psi_{A}=0$ and $H_{A}=0$, $\begin{aligned} V_{A} & =\frac{L F_{V} C_{M M}-L F_{M} C_{V M}}{C_{V V} C_{M M}-\left(C_{V M}\right)^{2}} \quad M_{A}=\frac{L F_{M} C_{V V}-L F_{V} C_{V M}}{C_{V V} C_{M M}-\left(C_{V M}\right)^{2}} \\ \delta_{H A} & =C_{H V} V_{A}+C_{H M} M_{A}-L F_{H} \end{aligned}$ <br> Use the loading terms for cases $5 a$ to $5 r$ |

8. Left end guided vertically,
right end fixed

TABLE 8.3 Numerical values for functions used in Table 8.5

| $\beta x$ | $F_{1}$ | $F_{2}$ | $F_{3}$ | $F_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 1.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 0.10 | 0.99998 | 0.20000 | 0.01000 | 0.00067 |
| 0.20 | 0.99973 | 0.39998 | 0.04000 | 0.00533 |
| 0.30 | 0.99865 | 0.59984 | 0.08999 | 0.01800 |
| 0.40 | 0.99573 | 0.79932 | 0.15995 | 0.04266 |
| 0.50 | 0.98958 | 0.99792 | 0.24983 | 0.08331 |
| 0.60 | 0.97841 | 1.19482 | 0.35948 | 0.14391 |
| 0.70 | 0.96001 | 1.38880 | 0.48869 | 0.22841 |
| 0.80 | 0.93180 | 1.57817 | 0.63709 | 0.34067 |
| 0.90 | 0.89082 | 1.76067 | 0.80410 | 0.48448 |
| 1.00 | 0.83373 | 1.93342 | 0.98890 | 0.66349 |
| 1.10 | 0.75683 | 2.09284 | 1.19034 | 0.88115 |
| 1.20 | 0.65611 | 2.23457 | 1.40688 | 1.14064 |
| 1.30 | 0.52722 | 2.35341 | 1.63649 | 1.44478 |
| 1.40 | 0.36558 | 2.44327 | 1.87659 | 1.79593 |
| 1.50 | 0.16640 | 2.49714 | 2.12395 | 2.19590 |
| 1.60 | -0.07526 | 2.50700 | 2.37456 | 2.64573 |
| 1.70 | -0.36441 | 2.46387 | 2.62358 | 3.14562 |
| 1.80 | -0.70602 | 2.35774 | 2.86523 | 3.69467 |
| 1.90 | -1.10492 | 2.17764 | 3.09266 | 4.29076 |
| 2.00 | $-1.56563$ | 1.91165 | 3.29789 | 4.93026 |
| 2.10 | -2.09224 | 1.54699 | 3.47170 | 5.60783 |
| 2.20 | -2.68822 | 1.07013 | 3.60355 | 6.31615 |
| 2.30 | -3.35618 | 0.46690 | 3.68152 | 7.04566 |
| 2.40 | -4.09766 | -0.27725 | 3.69224 | 7.78428 |
| 2.50 | -4.91284 | -1.17708 | 3.62088 | 8.51709 |
| 2.60 | -5.80028 | -2.24721 | 3.45114 | 9.22607 |
| 2.70 | -6.75655 | -3.50179 | 3.16529 | 9.88981 |
| 2.80 | -7.77591 | -4.95404 | 2.74420 | 10.48317 |
| 2.90 | -8.84988 | -6.61580 | 2.16749 | 10.97711 |
| 3.00 | -9.96691 | -8.49687 | 1.41372 | 11.33837 |
| 3.20 | -12.26569 | -12.94222 | -0.71484 | 11.50778 |
| 3.40 | -14.50075 | -18.30128 | -3.82427 | 10.63569 |
| 3.60 | -16.42214 | -24.50142 | -8.09169 | 8.29386 |
| 3.80 | -17.68744 | -31.35198 | -13.66854 | 3.98752 |
| 4.00 | -17.84985 | -38.50482 | -20.65308 | -2.82906 |
| 4.20 | -16.35052 | -45.41080 | -29.05456 | -12.72446 |
| 4.40 | -12.51815 | -51.27463 | -38.74857 | -26.24587 |
| 4.60 | -5.57927 | -55.01147 | -49.42334 | -43.85518 |
| 4.80 | 5.31638 | -55.21063 | -60.51809 | -65.84195 |
| 5.00 | 21.05056 | -50.11308 | -71.15526 | -92.21037 |
| 5.20 | 42.46583 | -37.61210 | -80.07047 | -122.53858 |
| 5.40 | 70.26397 | -15.28815 | -85.54576 | -155.81036 |
| 5.60 | 104.86818 | 19.50856 | -85.35442 | -190.22206 |
| 5.80 | 146.24469 | 69.51236 | -76.72824 | -222.97166 |
| 6.00 | 193.68136 | 137.31651 | -56.36178 | -250.04146 |

TABLE 8.4 Numerical values for denominators used in Table 8.5

| $\beta l$ | $C_{11}$ | $C_{12}$ | $C_{13}$ | $C_{14}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 |
| 0.10 | 0.00007 | 0.20000 | 0.00133 | 0.02000 |
| 0.20 | 0.00107 | 0.40009 | 0.01067 | 0.08001 |
| 0.30 | 0.00540 | 0.60065 | 0.03601 | 0.18006 |
| 0.40 | 0.01707 | 0.80273 | 0.08538 | 0.32036 |
| 0.50 | 0.04169 | 1.00834 | 0.16687 | 0.50139 |
| 0.60 | 0.08651 | 1.22075 | 0.28871 | 0.72415 |
| 0.70 | 0.16043 | 1.44488 | 0.45943 | 0.99047 |
| 0.80 | 0.27413 | 1.68757 | 0.68800 | 1.30333 |
| 0.90 | 0.44014 | 1.95801 | 0.98416 | 1.66734 |
| 1.00 | 0.67302 | 2.26808 | 1.35878 | 2.08917 |
| 1.10 | 0.98970 | 2.63280 | 1.82430 | 2.57820 |
| 1.20 | 1.40978 | 3.07085 | 2.39538 | 3.14717 |
| 1.30 | 1.95606 | 3.60512 | 3.08962 | 3.81295 |
| 1.40 | 2.65525 | 4.26345 | 3.92847 | 4.59748 |
| 1.50 | 3.53884 | 5.07950 | 4.93838 | 5.52883 |
| 1.60 | 4.64418 | 6.09376 | 6.15213 | 6.64247 |
| 1.70 | 6.01597 | 7.35491 | 7.61045 | 7.98277 |
| 1.80 | 7.70801 | 8.92147 | 9.36399 | 9.60477 |
| 1.90 | 9.78541 | 10.86378 | 11.47563 | 11.57637 |
| 2.00 | 12.32730 | 13.26656 | 14.02336 | 13.98094 |
| 2.10 | 15.43020 | 16.23205 | 17.10362 | 16.92046 |
| 2.20 | 19.21212 | 19.88385 | 20.83545 | 20.51946 |
| 2.30 | 23.81752 | 24.37172 | 25.36541 | 24.92967 |
| 2.40 | 29.42341 | 29.87747 | 30.87363 | 30.33592 |
| 2.50 | 36.24681 | 36.62215 | 37.58107 | 36.96315 |
| 2.60 | 44.55370 | 44.87496 | 45.75841 | 45.08519 |
| 2.70 | 54.67008 | 54.96410 | 55.73686 | 55.03539 |
| 2.80 | 66.99532 | 67.29005 | 67.92132 | 67.21975 |
| 2.90 | 82.01842 | 82.34184 | 82.80645 | 82.13290 |
| 3.00 | 100.33792 | 100.71688 | 100.99630 | 100.37775 |
| 3.20 | 149.95828 | 150.51913 | 150.40258 | 149.96510 |
| 3.40 | 223.89682 | 224.70862 | 224.21451 | 224.02742 |
| 3.60 | 334.16210 | 335.25438 | 334.46072 | 334.55375 |
| 3.80 | 498.67478 | 500.03286 | 499.06494 | 499.42352 |
| 4.00 | 744.16690 | 745.73416 | 744.74480 | 745.31240 |
| 4.20 | 1110.50726 | 1112.19410 | 1111.33950 | 1112.02655 |
| 4.40 | 1657.15569 | 1658.85362 | 1658.26871 | 1658.96679 |
| 4.60 | 2472.79511 | 2474.39393 | 2474.17104 | 2474.76996 |
| 4.80 | 3689.70336 | 3691.10851 | 3691.28284 | 3691.68805 |
| 5.00 | 5505.19766 | 5506.34516 | 5506.88918 | 5507.03673 |
| 5.20 | 8213.62683 | 8214.49339 | 8215.32122 | 8215.18781 |
| 5.40 | 12254.10422 | 12254.71090 | 12255.69184 | 12255.29854 |
| 5.60 | 18281.71463 | 18282.12354 | 18283.10271 | 18282.51163 |
| 5.80 | 27273.73722 | 27274.04166 | 27274.86449 | 27274.16893 |
| 6.00 | 40688.12376 | 40688.43354 | 40688.97011 | 40688.27990 |

## TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations

NOTATION: $W=$ load (force); $w=$ unit load (force per unit length); $M_{o}=$ applied couple (force-length); $\theta_{o}=$ externally created concentrated angular displacement (radians); $\Delta_{o}=$ externally created concentrated lateral displacement (length); $\gamma=$ temperature coefficient of expansion (unit strain per degree); $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}=$ temperatures on top and bottom surfaces, respectively (degrees). $R_{A}$ and $R_{B}$ are the vertical end reactions at the left and right, respectively, and are positive upward. $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are the reaction end moments at the left and right, respectively, and all moments are positive

 Note that $M_{A}$ and $R_{A}$ are reactions, not applied loads. They exist only when necessary end restraints are provided.

 $\cos \beta\langle x-a\rangle$, and $\sin \beta\langle x-a\rangle$ are also defined as having a value of zero if $x<a$.
$F_{1}=\cosh \beta x \cos \beta x$
$F_{2}=\cosh \beta x \sin \beta x+\sinh \beta x \cos \beta x$
$F_{3}=\sinh \beta x \sin \beta_{x}$
$F_{4}=\cosh \beta x \sin \beta x-\sinh \beta x \cos \beta x$
$C_{1}=\cosh \beta l \cos \beta l$
$C_{2}=\cosh \beta l \sin \beta l+\sinh \beta l \cos \beta l$
$C_{3}=\sinh \beta l \sin \beta l$
$C_{4}=\cosh \beta l \sin \beta l-\sinh \beta l \cos \beta l$
$C_{12}=\cosh \beta l \sinh \beta l+\cos \beta l \sin \beta l$
$C_{13}=\cosh \beta l \sinh \beta l-\cos \beta l \sin \beta l$
$C_{a 1}=\cosh \beta(l-a) \cos \beta(l-a)$
$C_{a 2}=\cosh \beta(l-a) \sin \beta(l-a)+\sinh \beta(l-a) \cos \beta(l-a)$
$C_{a 3}=\sinh \beta(l-a) \sin \beta(l-a)$
$C_{a 4}=\cosh \beta(l-a) \sin \beta(l-a)-\sinh \beta(l-a) \cos \beta(l-a)$
$C_{a 5}=1-C_{a 1}$
$C_{a 6}=2 \beta(l-a)-C_{a 2}$
$F_{a 1}=\langle x-a\rangle \cosh \beta\langle x-a\rangle \cos \beta\langle x-a\rangle$
$F_{a 2}=\cosh \beta\langle x-a\rangle \sin \beta\langle x-a\rangle+\sinh \beta\langle x-a\rangle \cos \beta\langle x-a\rangle$
$F_{a 3}=\sinh \beta\langle x-a\rangle \sin \beta\langle x-a\rangle$
$F_{a 4}=\cosh \beta\langle x-a\rangle \sin \beta\langle x-a\rangle-\sinh \beta\langle x-a\rangle \cos \beta\langle x-a\rangle$
$F_{a 5}=\langle x-a\rangle^{0}-F_{a 1}$
$F_{a 6}=2 \beta(x-a)\langle x-a\rangle^{0}-F_{a 2}$

Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}-W F_{a 1}$

If $\beta l>6$, see Table 8.6
Expressions for $R_{A}, M_{A}, \theta_{A}$, and $y_{A}$ are found below for several combinations of end restraints

1. Concentrated intermediate load


Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}-\frac{W}{2 \beta} F_{a 2}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}-\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{a 3}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}-\frac{W}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{a 4}$

TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

|  | Right <br> end$\quad$ Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left end $\stackrel{\otimes}{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}-2 C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 1}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{12}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-W}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-W}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 4}+C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{2+C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}-2 C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-W}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{14}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-W}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{1+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{W}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 \beta} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{W}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-2 C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =W \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =W \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 3}}{1+C_{11}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 1}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{W}{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{14}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{W}{4 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =W \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =W \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 2}}{2+C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{\beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 1}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =W \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}+C_{2} C_{a 1}}{C_{12}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{\beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =W \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =W \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{W}{\beta} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

## 2. Partial uniformly distributed load



Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}-\frac{w}{2 \beta} F_{a 2}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}-\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} F_{a 3}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}-\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{a 4}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}-\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} F_{a 5}$

If $\beta l>6$, see Table 8.6
Expressions for $R_{A}, M_{A}, \theta_{A}$, and $y_{A}$ are found below for several combinations of end restraints

|  | Right end $\quad$ Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left end <br>  | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}-2 C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{3} C_{a 5}}{C_{13}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 5}+C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}+C_{4} C_{a 5}}{2+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 5}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{14}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w}{8 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{3} C_{a 5}}{1+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 3}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}+C_{4} C_{a 5}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{4}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta} \frac{2 C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{1+C_{11}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 5}}{C_{14}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-2 C_{1} C_{a 5}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{4 E I \beta^{3}} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{\beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{2+C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 4}+C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{\beta} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{1} C_{a 5}}{C_{13}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{\beta} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 5}}{C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{2}} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

3. Partial uniformly increasing load


Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}-\frac{w F_{a 3}}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a)}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}-\frac{w F_{a 4}}{4 \beta^{3}(l-a)}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}-\frac{w F_{a 5}}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a)}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}-\frac{w F_{a 6}}{8 E I \beta^{5}(l-a)}$
If $\beta l>6$, see Table 8.6
Expressions for $R_{A}, M_{A}, \theta_{A}$, and $y_{A}$ are found below for several combinations of end restraints

|  | Right <br> end$\quad$ Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 4}-2 C_{3} C_{a 3}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a) C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{4} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 4}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{5}(l-a) C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 3}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a) C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w\left(C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{3} C_{a 5}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{5}(l-a) C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 4}+C_{3} C_{a 6}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a) C_{13}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w\left(C_{2} C_{a 4}+C_{4} C_{a 6}\right)}{8 E I \beta^{5}(l-a) C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(2 C_{1} C_{a 5}+C_{4} C_{a 6}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a)\left(2+C_{11}\right)} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 6}-C_{2} C_{a 5}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{5}(l-a)\left(2+C_{11}\right)} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { す } \\ & \text { 0 } \\ & \text { z } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 4}-2 C_{3} C_{a 3}\right)}{4 \beta^{3}(l-a) C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w\left(2 C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 4}\right)}{8 E I \beta^{5}(l-a) C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 3}\right)}{4 \beta^{3}(l-a) C_{14}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w\left(C_{2} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 5}\right)}{8 E I \beta^{5}(l-a) C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 4}+C_{3} C_{a 6}\right)}{4 \beta^{3}(l-a)\left(1+C_{11}\right)} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 6}-C_{3} C_{a 4}\right)}{8 E I \beta^{5}(l-a)\left(1+C_{11}\right)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(2 C_{1} C_{a 5}+C_{4} C_{a 6}\right)}{4 \beta^{3}(l-a) C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 6}-2 C_{3} C_{a 5}\right)}{8 E I \beta^{5}(l-a) C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 3}\right)}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a) C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 3}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a) C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{3} C_{a 5}\right)}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a)\left(1+C_{11}\right)} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 3}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a)\left(1+C_{11}\right)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 4}+C_{4} C_{a 6}\right)}{4 \beta^{2}(l-a) C_{14}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 6}-C_{4} C_{a 4}\right)}{8 E I \beta^{4}(l-a) C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{1} C_{a 6}\right)}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a) C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{3} C_{a 6}-C_{4} C_{a 5}\right)}{4 E I \beta^{4}(l-a) C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(2 C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 4}\right)}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a)\left(2+C_{11}\right)} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 3}\right)}{2 \beta^{3}(l-a)\left(2+C_{11}\right)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{4} C_{a 5}+C_{2} C_{a 3}\right)}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a) C_{12}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{1} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 3}\right)}{2 \beta^{3}(l-a) C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{1} C_{a 6}\right)}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a) C_{13}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{2} C_{a 6}-C_{4} C_{a 4}\right)}{4 \beta^{3}(l-a) C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w\left(2 C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{2} C_{a 6}\right)}{2 \beta^{2}(l-a) C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w\left(C_{3} C_{a 6}-C_{4} C_{a 5}\right)}{2 \beta^{3}(l-a) C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

4. Concentrated intermediate moment


Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}-M_{o} \beta F_{a 4}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}+M_{o} F_{a 1}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}+\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta} F_{a 2}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}+\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{a 3}$

If $\beta l>6$, see Table 8.6
Expressions for $R_{A}, M_{A}, \theta_{A}$, and $y_{A}$ are found below for several combinations of end restraints

|  | Right end $\quad$ Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left end巽 | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{E I \beta} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}+C_{2} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 E I \beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{E I \beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}+C_{2} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{E I \beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{2+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { च } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{14}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{4 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 3}}{1+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}-C_{1} C_{a 3}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} \beta \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 E I \beta} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} \beta \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{1+C_{11}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 E I \beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{14}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 E I \beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}-2 C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 E I \beta} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ت} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { 臬 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} 2 \beta \frac{C_{4} C_{a 1}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{2+C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{2} C_{a 4}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} \beta \frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} 2 \beta \frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}-C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} 2 \beta \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

5. Externally created concentrated angular displacement


If $\beta l>6$, see Table 8.6
Expressions for $R_{A}, M_{A}, \theta_{A}$, and $y_{A}$ are found below for several combinations of end restraint

|  | Right <br> end <br> Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left end : | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\theta_{o} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-2 C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{\theta_{o}}{\beta} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=-\theta_{o} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{\theta_{o}}{\beta} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}-C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\theta_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{\theta_{o}}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=-\theta_{o} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 2}}{2+C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{\beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 1}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { 2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\theta_{o} E I \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-2 C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-\theta_{o}}{2 \beta} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-\theta_{o} E I \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{14}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{\theta_{o}}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 1}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\theta_{o} E I \beta \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{1+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-\theta_{o}}{2 \beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-\theta_{o} E I \beta \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{\theta_{o}}{2 \beta} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 1}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\theta_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 1}}{1+C_{11}} \\ \theta_{A} & =-\theta_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 3}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\theta_{o} E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{14}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-\theta_{o}}{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\theta_{o} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 1}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \text { 首 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{2+C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{12}} \\ M_{A} & =-\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \\ M_{A} & =-\theta_{o} E I \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ & R_{A}=\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}-2 C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}} \\ & M_{A}=\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta \frac{C_{4} C_{a 1}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |

Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}-\theta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{a 3}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}-\theta_{o} E I \beta F_{a 4}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}+\theta_{o} F_{a 1}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}+\frac{\theta_{0}}{2 \beta} F_{a 2}$

## TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

6. Externally created concentrated lateral displacement


Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}-\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{a 2}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}-\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{a 3}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}-\Delta_{o} \beta F_{a 4}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}+\Delta_{o} F_{\alpha 1}$

If $\beta l>6$, see Table 8.6
Expressions for $R_{A}, M_{A}, \theta_{A}$, and $y_{A}$ are found below for several combinations of end restraints

|  | Right <br> end$\quad$ Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\Delta_{o} 2 \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\Delta_{o} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}-2 C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\Delta_{o} \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \\ & y_{A}=-\Delta_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\Delta_{o} 2 \beta \frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \\ & y_{A}=\Delta_{o} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 1}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\Delta_{0} 2 \beta \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{2+C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=-\Delta_{o} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{2} C_{a 4}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { す } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \tilde{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\Delta_{o} E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{14}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-\Delta_{o}}{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 1}}{1+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 3}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{3} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\Delta_{o} \beta \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{1+C_{11}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\Delta_{o} \beta \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{14}} \\ \theta_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \beta \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}+2 C_{1} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \beta \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ & R_{A}=\Delta_{o} 4 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 2}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{2+C_{11}} \\ & M_{A}=\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 4}+C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \\ M_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 4 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}+C_{1} C_{a 1}}{C_{13}} \\ M_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} 4 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}+C_{2} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.5 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for finite-length beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 7. Uniform temperature differential from } & \text { Transverse shear }=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}+\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t} \gamma E I \beta F_{4} \\
\text { top to bottom } & \text { Bending moment }=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}-\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t} \gamma E I\left(F_{1}-1\right) \\
& \text { Slope }=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}-\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{2 t \beta} \gamma F_{2} \\
\text { Deflection }=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}-\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{2 t \beta^{2}} \gamma F_{3}
\end{array}
$$

If $\beta l>6$, see Table 8.6
Expressions for $R_{A}, M_{A}, \theta_{A}$, and $y_{A}$ are found below for several combinations of end restraints

|  | Right <br> end$\quad$ Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left end异 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{\beta t} \frac{C_{1} C_{2}+C_{3} C_{4}-C_{2}}{C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta^{2} t} \frac{C_{4}^{2}+2 C_{1} C_{3}-2 C_{3}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta t} \frac{C_{2}^{2}+C_{4}^{2}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta^{2} t} \frac{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{\beta t} \frac{C_{1}^{2}+C_{3}-C_{4}}{C_{13}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{\beta t} \frac{C_{1} C_{2}+C_{3} C_{4}-C_{2}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta^{2} t} \frac{C_{1} C_{2}+C_{3} C_{1}}{2+C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta^{2} t} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{3}-C_{2}^{2}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 己 } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \text { 2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \frac{C_{1} C_{2}+C_{3} C_{4}-C_{2}}{C_{12}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta^{2} t} \frac{C_{4}}{C_{12}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \\ y_{A} & =0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \frac{C_{1}^{2}+C_{3}^{2}-C_{1}}{1+C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta^{2} t} \frac{C_{3}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \\ y_{A} & =0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma \beta E I}{t} \frac{2 C_{1} C_{3}+C_{4}^{2}-2 C_{3}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta t} \frac{2 C_{1}^{2}+C_{2} C_{4}-2 C_{1}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma \beta E I}{t} \frac{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}{1+C_{11}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta t} \frac{C_{1} C_{2}+C_{3} C_{4}}{1+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma \beta E I}{t} \frac{C_{1} C_{2}+C_{3} C_{4}-C_{2}}{C_{14}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta t} \frac{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}+C_{4}}{C_{14}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma \beta E I}{t} \frac{C_{2}^{2}-2 C_{1} C_{3}}{C_{13}} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma}{2 \beta t} \frac{2 C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma 2 \beta E I}{t} \frac{-C_{4}}{2+C_{11}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \frac{2 C_{1}^{2}+C_{2} C_{4}-2 C_{1}}{2+C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma \beta E I}{t} \frac{-2 C_{3}}{C_{13}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \frac{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}+C_{4}}{C_{13}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \gamma E I}{t} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.6 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for semi-infinite beams on elastic foundations

 affected by the loading. Note that $M_{A}$ and $R_{A}$ are reactions, not applied loads.

$k_{o}=$ foundation modulus (unit stress per unit deflection); $b_{o}=$ beam width; and $\beta=\left(b_{o} k_{o} / 4 E I\right)^{1 / 4}$. (Note: See page 131 for a definition of $\langle x-a\rangle^{n}$.)
$F_{1}=\cosh \beta x \cos \beta x$
$A_{1}=0.5 e^{-\beta a} \cos \beta a$
$A_{2}=0.5 e^{-\beta a}(\sin \beta a-\cos \beta a)$
$F_{2}=\cosh \beta x \sin \beta x+\sinh \beta x \cos \beta x$
$A_{3}=-0.5 e^{-\beta a} \sin \beta a$
$A_{4}=0.5 e^{-\beta a}(\sin \beta a+\cos \beta a)$
$F_{3}=\sinh \beta x \sin \beta x$
$F_{4}=\cosh \beta x \sin \beta x-\sinh \beta x \cos \beta x$
$B_{1}=0.5 e^{-\beta b} \cos \beta b$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2}=0.5 e^{-\beta b}(\sin \beta b-\cos \beta b) \\
& B_{3}=-0.5 e^{-\beta b} \sin \beta b
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{b 1}=\langle x-b\rangle^{0} \cosh \beta\langle x-b\rangle \cos \beta\langle x-b\rangle \\
& F_{b 2}=\cosh \beta\langle x-b\rangle \sin \beta\langle x-b\rangle+\sinh \langle\beta x-b\rangle \cos \beta\langle x-b\rangle \\
& F_{b 3}=\sinh \beta\langle x-b\rangle \sin \beta\langle x-b\rangle \\
& \left.F_{b 4}=\cosh \beta\langle x-b\rangle \sin \beta-b\right\rangle-\sinh \beta\langle x-b\rangle \cos \beta\langle x-b\rangle \\
& F_{b 5}=\langle x-b\rangle^{0}-F_{b 1} \\
& F_{b 6}=2 \beta(x-b)\langle x-b\rangle^{0}-F_{b 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$F_{a 5}=\langle x-a\rangle^{0}-F_{a 1}$
$F_{a 6}=2 \beta(x-a)\langle x-a\rangle^{0}-F_{a 2}$
Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{3} F_{2}-\theta_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-M_{A} \beta F_{4}+L T_{V}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}-y_{A} 2 E I \beta^{2} F_{3}-\theta_{A} E I \beta F_{4}+L T_{M}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-y_{A} \beta F_{4}+L T_{\theta}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{2 \beta} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{4}+L T_{y}$


| Left end restraint <br> Loading, reference no. | Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed | Loading terms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Concentrated intermediate load (if $\beta a>3$, see case 10) | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{-W}{E I \beta^{2}} A_{2} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-W}{E I \beta^{3}} A_{1} \\ & \text { (if } a=0, \text { see case } 8 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-W}{\beta} A_{2} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-W}{2 E I \beta^{3}} A_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =2 W A_{1} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{W}{E I \beta^{2}} A_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =2 W A_{4} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{2 W}{\beta} A_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-W F_{a 1} \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-W}{2 \beta} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{\theta} & =\frac{-W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{a 3} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-W}{4 E I \beta^{3}} F_{a 4} \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.6 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for semi-infinite beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

|  | Free | Guided | Simply supported | Fixed | Loading terms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Uniformly distributed load from $a$ to $b$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-w}{E I \beta^{3}}\left(B_{3}-A_{3}\right) \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w}{2 E I \beta^{4}}\left(B_{2}-A_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-w}{\beta^{2}}\left(B_{3}-A_{3}\right) \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{4}}\left(B_{1}-A_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{\beta}\left(B_{2}-A_{2}\right) \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{3}}\left(B_{4}-A_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{-2 w}{\beta}\left(B_{1}-A_{1}\right) \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{\beta^{2}}\left(B_{4}-A_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =\frac{-w}{2 \beta}\left(F_{a 2}-F_{b 2}\right) \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-w}{2 \beta^{2}}\left(F_{a 3}-F_{b 3}\right) \\ L T_{\theta} & =\frac{-w}{4 E I \beta^{3}}\left(F_{a 4}-F_{b 4}\right) \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-w}{4 E I \beta^{4}}\left(F_{a 5}-F_{b 5}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Uniform increasing load from $a$ to $b$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{4}}\left(\frac{B_{4}-A_{4}}{b-a}-2 \beta B_{3}\right) \\ y_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{5}}\left(\frac{B_{3}-A_{3}}{b-a}-\beta B_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{B_{4}-A_{4}}{b-a}-2 \beta B_{3}\right) \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w}{4 E I \beta^{5}}\left(\frac{B_{2}-A_{2}}{b-a}-2 \beta B_{1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{-w}{\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{B_{3}-A_{3}}{b-a}-\beta B_{2}\right) \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{w}{2 E I \beta^{4}}\left(\frac{B_{1}-A_{1}}{b-a}+\beta B_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w}{\beta^{2}}\left(\frac{B_{2}-A_{2}}{b-a}-2 \beta B_{1}\right) \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w}{\beta^{3}}\left(\frac{B_{1}-A_{1}}{b-a}+\beta B_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =\frac{-w}{2 \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{F_{a 3}-F_{b 3}}{b-a}-\beta F_{b 2}\right) \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-w}{4 \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{F_{a 4}-F_{b 4}}{b-a}-2 \beta F_{b 3}\right) \\ L T_{\theta} & =\frac{-w}{4 E I \beta^{4}}\left(\frac{F_{a 5}-F_{b 5}}{b-a}-\beta F_{b 4}\right) \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-w}{8 E I \beta^{5}}\left(\frac{F_{a 6}-F_{b 6}}{b-a}-2 \beta F_{b 5}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. Concentrated intermediate moment (if $\beta a>3$, see case 11) | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-2 M_{o}}{E I \beta} A_{1} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{E I \beta^{2}} A_{4} \end{aligned}$ <br> (if $a=0$, see case 9 ) | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-2 M_{o} A_{1} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{E I \beta^{2}} A_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-2 M_{o} \beta A_{4} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{E I \beta} A_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =4 M_{o} \beta A_{3} \\ M_{A} & =2 M_{o} A_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-M_{o} \beta F_{a 4} \\ L T_{M} & =M_{o} F_{a 1} \\ L T_{\theta} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{a 3} \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Externally created concentrated angular displacement | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =-2 \theta_{o} A_{4} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-2 \theta_{o}}{\beta} A_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-2 \theta_{o} E I \beta A_{4} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{\theta_{o}}{\beta} A_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =4 \theta_{o} E I \beta^{2} A_{3} \\ \theta_{A} & =-2 \theta_{o} A_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rlr} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-4 \theta_{o} E I \beta^{2} A_{2} \\ M_{A} & =-4 \theta_{o} E I \beta A_{1} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-2 \theta_{o} E I \beta^{2} F_{a 3} \\ L T_{M} & =-\theta_{o} E I \beta F_{a 4} \\ L T_{\theta} & =\theta_{o} F_{a 1} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{\theta_{o}}{2 \beta} F_{a 2} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.6 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for semi-infinite beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

| 6. Externally created concentrated lateral displacement | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =4 \Delta_{o} \beta A_{3} \\ y_{A} & =2 \Delta_{o} A_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =4 \Delta_{o} E I \beta^{2} A_{3} \\ y_{A} & =-2 \Delta_{o} A_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-4 \Delta_{o} E I \beta^{3} A_{2} \\ \theta_{A} & =-2 \Delta_{o} \beta A_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =8 \Delta_{o} E I \beta^{3} A_{1} \\ M_{A} & =-4 \Delta_{o} E I \beta^{2} A_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-2 \Delta_{o} E I \beta^{3} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{M} & =-2 \Delta_{o} E I \beta^{2} F_{a 3} \\ L T_{\theta} & =-\Delta_{o} \beta F_{a 4} \\ L T_{y} & =\Delta_{o} F_{a 1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Uniform temperature differential from top to bottom | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t \beta} \gamma \\ y_{A} & =-\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{2 t \beta^{2}} \gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t} \gamma E I \\ y_{A} & =0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t} \gamma E I \beta \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{2 t \beta} \gamma \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t} \gamma E I \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t} \gamma E I \beta F_{4} \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{t} \gamma E I\left(1-F_{1}\right) \\ L T_{\theta} & =-\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{2 t \beta} \gamma F_{2} \\ L T_{y} & =-\frac{T_{1}-T_{2}}{2 t \beta^{2}} \gamma F_{3} \end{aligned}$ |

Simple loads on semi-infinite and on infinite beams on elastic foundations

| Loading, reference no. | Shear, moment, and deformation equations | Selected maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Concentrated end load on a semi-infinite beam, left end free | $\begin{aligned} V & =-W e^{-\beta x}(\cos \beta x-\sin \beta x) \\ M & =-\frac{W}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} \sin \beta x \\ \theta & =\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} e^{-\beta x}(\cos \beta x+\sin \beta x) \\ y & =-\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{3}} e^{-\beta x} \cos \beta x \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} V=-W$ at $x=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=-0.3224 \frac{W}{\beta} \quad$ at $x=\frac{\pi}{4 \beta}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\frac{W}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \quad$ at $x=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=\frac{-W}{2 E I \beta^{3}} \quad$ at $x=0$ |
| 9. Concentrated end moment on a semi-infinite beam, left end free | $\begin{aligned} V & =-2 M_{o} \beta e^{-\beta x} \sin \beta x \\ M & =M_{o} e^{-\beta x}(\cos \beta x+\sin \beta x) \\ \theta & =-\frac{M_{o}}{E I \beta} e^{-\beta x} \cos \beta x \\ y & =-\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} e^{-\beta x}(\sin \beta x-\cos \beta x) \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} V=-0.6448 M_{o} \beta \quad$ at $x=\frac{\pi}{4 \beta}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{o} \quad$ at $x=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=-\frac{M_{o}}{E I \beta} \quad$ at $x=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=\frac{M_{o}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} \quad$ at $x=0$ |

## TABLE 8.6 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for semi-infinite beams on elastic foundations (Continued)

| Loading, reference no. | Shear, moment, and deformation equations | Selected maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. Concentrated load on an infinite beam | $\begin{aligned} V & =-\frac{W}{2} e^{-\beta x} \cos \beta x \\ M & =\frac{W}{4 \beta} e^{-\beta x}(\cos \beta x-\sin \beta x) \\ \theta & =\frac{W}{4 E I \beta^{2}} e^{-\beta x} \sin \beta x \\ y & =-\frac{W}{8 E I \beta^{3}} e^{-\beta x}(\cos \beta x+\sin \beta x) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Max} V=-\frac{W}{2} & \text { at } x=0 \\ \operatorname{Max} M=\frac{W}{4 \beta} & \text { at } x=0 \\ \operatorname{Max} \theta=0.0806 \frac{W}{E I \beta^{2}} & \text { at } x=\frac{\pi}{4 \beta} \\ \operatorname{Max} y=-\frac{W}{8 E I \beta^{3}} & \text { at } x=0 \end{array}$ |
| 11. Concentrated moment on an infinite beam | $\begin{aligned} V & =-\frac{M_{o} \beta}{2} e^{-\beta x}(\cos \beta x+\sin \beta x) \\ M & =\frac{M_{o}}{2} e^{-\beta x} \cos \beta x \\ \theta & =-\frac{M_{o}}{4 E I \beta} e^{-\beta x}(\cos \beta x-\sin \beta x) \\ y & =-\frac{M_{o}}{4 E I \beta^{2}} e^{-\beta x} \sin \beta x \end{aligned}$ | Max $V=-\frac{M_{o} \beta}{2} \quad$ at $x=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=\frac{M_{o}}{2} \quad$ at $x=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=-\frac{M_{o}}{4 E I \beta} \quad$ at $x=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=-0.0806 \frac{M_{o}}{E I \beta^{2}} \quad$ at $x=\frac{\pi}{4 \beta}$ |

TABLE 8.7(a) Reaction and deflection coefficients for beams under simultaneous axial and transverse loading: cantilver end support

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Coefficient listed for | Axial compressive load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  | Axial tensile load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| 1a. Conc. load | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0163 \\ & 1.0169 \\ & 1.0136 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0684 \\ & 1.0713 \\ & 1.0570 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1686 \\ & 1.1757 \\ & 1.1402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3455 \\ & 1.3604 \\ & 1.2870 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6722 \\ & 1.7016 \\ & 1.5574 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9092 \\ & 0.9054 \\ & 0.9242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7152 \\ & 0.7039 \\ & 0.7616 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3885 \\ & 0.3671 \\ & 0.4820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1407 \\ & 0.1204 \\ & 0.2498 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0410 \\ & 0.0312 \\ & 0.1250 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0153 \\ & 1.0195 \\ & 1.0085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0646 \\ & 1.0821 \\ & 1.0355 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1589 \\ & 1.2026 \\ & 1.0869 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3256 \\ & 1.4163 \\ & 1.1767 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6328 \\ & 1.8126 \\ & 1.3402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9142 \\ & 0.8914 \\ & 0.9524 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7306 \\ & 0.6617 \\ & 0.8478 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4180 \\ & 0.2887 \\ & 0.6517 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1700 \\ & 0.0506 \\ & 0.4333 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0566 \\ & 0.0022 \\ & 0.2454 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0158 \\ & 1.0183 \\ & 1.0102 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0665 \\ & 1.0771 \\ & 1.0427 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1638 \\ & 1.1900 \\ & 1.1047 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3357 \\ & 1.3901 \\ & 1.2137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6527 \\ & 1.7604 \\ & 1.4132 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9117 \\ & 0.8980 \\ & 0.9430 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7228 \\ & 0.6812 \\ & 0.8193 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4031 \\ & 0.3243 \\ & 0.5969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1552 \\ & 0.0800 \\ & 0.3792 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0488 \\ & 0.0117 \\ & 0.2188 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1050 \\ & 1.0198 \\ & 1.0059 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0629 \\ & 1.0835 \\ & 1.0248 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1548 \\ & 1.2062 \\ & 1.0606 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3171 \\ & 1.4239 \\ & 1.1229 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6161 \\ & 1.8278 \\ & 1.2357 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9164 \\ & 0.8896 \\ & 0.9666 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7373 \\ & 0.6562 \\ & 0.8925 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4314 \\ & 0.2794 \\ & 0.7484 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1851 \\ & 0.0447 \\ & 0.5682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0667 \\ & 0.0015 \\ & 0.3773 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a. Uniformly increasing | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0155 \\ & 1.0190 \\ & 1.0081 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.0652 \\ & 1.0799 \\ & 1.0341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1604 \\ & 1.1972 \\ & 1.0836 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3287 \\ & 1.4051 \\ & 1.1701 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6389 \\ & 1.7902 \\ & 1.3278 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9135 \\ & 0.8942 \\ & 0.9543 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7283 \\ & 0.6700 \\ & 0.8543 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4137 \\ & 0.3039 \\ & 0.6691 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1662 \\ & 0.0629 \\ & 0.4682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0552 \\ & 0.0057 \\ & 0.2930 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0147 \\ & 1.0200 \\ & 1.0046 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0619 \\ & 1.0843 \\ & 1.0191 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1523 \\ & 1.2080 \\ & 1.0467 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3118 \\ & 1.4277 \\ & 1.0944 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6056 \\ & 1.8355 \\ & 1.1806 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9178 \\ & 0.8887 \\ & 0.9742 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7415 \\ & 0.6535 \\ & 0.9166 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4400 \\ & 0.2748 \\ & 0.8020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1951 \\ & 0.0419 \\ & 0.6489 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0740 \\ & 0.0012 \\ & 0.4670 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a. Uniformly decreasing | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0159 \\ & 1.0181 \\ & 1.0112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0670 \\ & 1.0761 \\ & 1.0469 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1650 \\ & 1.1876 \\ & 1.1153 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3382 \\ & 1.3851 \\ & 1.2355 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6578 \\ & 1.7505 \\ & 1.4559 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9110 \\ & 0.8992 \\ & 0.9374 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7208 \\ & 0.6850 \\ & 0.8018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3992 \\ & 0.3311 \\ & 0.5609 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1512 \\ & 0.0857 \\ & 0.3348 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0465 \\ & 0.0136 \\ & 0.1817 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | 1.0150 <br> 1.0198 <br> 1.0066 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0633 \\ & 1.0833 \\ & 1.0276 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1557 <br> 1.2056 <br> 1.0676 | 1.3189 1.4226 <br> 1.1372 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6197 \\ & 1.8253 \\ & 1.2632 \end{aligned}$ | 0.9159 <br> 0.8899 <br> 0.9628 | 0.7358 0.6571 0.8804 | 0.4284 <br> 0.2809 <br> 0.7215 | 0.1816 <br> 0.0456 <br> 0.5279 |  |
| 3a. Conc. moment | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | 1.0169 <br> 1.0136 <br> 1.0203 | 1.0713 <br> 1.0570 <br> 1.0857 | 1.1757 <br> 1.1402 <br> 1.2116 | 1.3604 1.2870 <br> 1.4353 | 1.7016 <br> 1.5574 <br> 1.8508 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9054 \\ & 0.9242 \\ & 0.8868 \end{aligned}$ | 0.7039 <br> 0.7616 <br> 0.6481 | 0.3671 <br> 0.4820 <br> 0.2658 | 0.1204 <br> 0.2498 <br> 0.0366 | 0.0312 <br> 0.1250 <br> 0.0007 |
|  | 0.5 | $\begin{gathered} y_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0161 \\ & 1.0186 \\ & 1.0152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0677 \\ & 1.0785 \\ & 1.0641 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1668 <br> 1.1935 <br> 1.1575 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3418 \\ & 1.3974 \\ & 1.3220 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6646 \\ & 1.7747 \\ & 1.6242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9101 \\ & 0.8961 \\ & 0.9147 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7180 \\ & 0.6754 \\ & 0.7308 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3932 \\ & 0.3124 \\ & 0.4102 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1437 \\ & 0.0664 \\ & 0.1378 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0409 \\ & 0.0046 \\ & 0.0183 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.7(b) Reaction and deflection coefficients for beams under simultaneous axial and transverse loading: simply supported ends

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Coefficient listed for | Axial compressive load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  | Axial tensile load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 |
| 1e. Conc. load | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ \theta_{B} \\ M_{l / 4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0167 \\ & 1.0144 \\ & 1.0185 \\ & 1.0101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0702 \\ & 1.0605 \\ & 1.0779 \\ & 1.0425 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1729 \\ & 1.1485 \\ & 1.1923 \\ & 1.1039 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3546 \\ & 1.3031 \\ & 1.3958 \\ & 1.2104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6902 \\ & 1.5863 \\ & 1.7744 \\ & 1.4025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9069 \\ & 0.9193 \\ & 0.8972 \\ & 0.9427 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7082 \\ & 0.7447 \\ & 0.6805 \\ & 0.8158 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3751 \\ & 0.4376 \\ & 0.3311 \\ & 0.5752 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1273 \\ & 0.1756 \\ & 0.0990 \\ & 0.3272 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0596 \\ & 0.0889 \\ & 0.0444 \\ & 0.2217 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0163 \\ & 1.0169 \\ & 1.0136 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0684 \\ & 1.0713 \\ & 1.0570 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1686 \\ & 1.1757 \\ & 1.1402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3455 \\ & 1.3604 \\ & 1.2870 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6722 \\ & 1.7016 \\ & 1.5574 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9092 \\ & 0.9054 \\ & 0.9242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7152 \\ & 0.7039 \\ & 0.7616 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3885 \\ & 0.3671 \\ & 0.4820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1407 \\ & 0.1204 \\ & 0.2498 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0694 \\ & 0.0553 \\ & 0.1667 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2e. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0165 \\ & 1.0163 \\ & 1.0169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0696 \\ & 1.0684 \\ & 1.0713 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1714 \\ & 1.1686 \\ & 1.1757 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3515 \\ & 1.3455 \\ & 1.3604 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6839 \\ & 1.6722 \\ & 1.7016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9077 \\ & 0.9092 \\ & 0.9054 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7107 \\ & 0.7152 \\ & 0.7039 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3797 \\ & 0.3885 \\ & 0.3671 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1319 \\ & 0.1407 \\ & 0.1204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0630 \\ & 0.0694 \\ & 0.0553 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{1 / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ \theta_{B} \\ M_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0165 \\ & 1.0180 \\ & 1.0149 \\ & 1.0169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0696 \\ & 1.0759 \\ & 1.0626 \\ & 1.0713 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1714 \\ & 1.1873 \\ & 1.1540 \\ & 1.1757 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3515 \\ & 1.3851 \\ & 1.3147 \\ & 1.3604 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6839 \\ & 1.7524 \\ & 1.6099 \\ & 1.7016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9077 \\ & 0.8997 \\ & 0.9166 \\ & 0.9054 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7107 \\ & 0.6875 \\ & 0.7368 \\ & 0.7039 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3797 \\ & 0.3418 \\ & 0.4248 \\ & 0.3671 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1319 \\ & 0.1053 \\ & 0.1682 \\ & 0.1204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0630 \\ & 0.0475 \\ & 0.0865 \\ & 0.0553 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2e. Uniformly increasing | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ \theta_{B} \\ M_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0165 \\ & 1.0172 \\ & 1.0155 \\ & 1.0169 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0696 \\ & 1.0722 \\ & 1.0651 \\ & 1.0713 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1714 \\ & 1.1781 \\ & 1.1603 \\ & 1.1757 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3515 \\ & 1.3656 \\ & 1.3280 \\ & 1.3604 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6839 \\ & 1.7127 \\ & 1.6368 \\ & 1.7016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9077 \\ & 0.9044 \\ & 0.9134 \\ & 0.9054 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7107 \\ & 0.7011 \\ & 0.7276 \\ & 0.7039 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3797 \\ & 0.3643 \\ & 0.4097 \\ & 0.3671 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1319 \\ & 0.1214 \\ & 0.1575 \\ & 0.1204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0630 \\ & 0.0570 \\ & 0.0803 \\ & 0.0553 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ \theta_{B} \\ M_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0167 \\ & 1.0184 \\ & 1.0140 \\ & 1.0183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0702 \\ & 1.0776 \\ & 1.0588 \\ & 1.0771 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1729 \\ & 1.1915 \\ & 1.1445 \\ & 1.1900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3545 \\ & 1.3942 \\ & 1.2948 \\ & 1.3901 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6899 \\ & 1.7710 \\ & 1.5702 \\ & 1.7604 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9069 \\ & 0.8976 \\ & 0.9215 \\ & 0.8980 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7084 \\ & 0.6816 \\ & 0.7516 \\ & 0.6812 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3754 \\ & 0.3329 \\ & 0.4521 \\ & 0.3243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1278 \\ & 0.1002 \\ & 0.1936 \\ & 0.0800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0601 \\ & 0.0450 \\ & 0.1048 \\ & 0.0270 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3e. Conc. moment | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ \theta_{B} \end{gathered}$ | 1.0169 <br> 1.0108 <br> 1.0190 | 1.0713 <br> 1.0454 <br> 1.0801 | 1.1757 <br> 1.1114 <br> 1.1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3604 \\ & 1.2266 \\ & 1.4078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7016 \\ & 1.4365 \\ & 1.7993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9054 \\ & 0.9391 \\ & 0.8945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7039 \\ & 0.8060 \\ & 0.6728 \end{aligned}$ | 0.3671 <br> 0.5630 <br> 0.3200 | 0.1204 <br> 0.3281 <br> 0.0932 | 0.0553 <br> 0.2292 <br> 0.0417 |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ \theta_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0161 \\ & 1.0202 \\ & 1.0173 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0677 \\ & 1.0852 \\ & 1.0728 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1668 1.2102 1.1795 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3418 \\ & 1.4318 \\ & 1.3682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6646 \\ & 1.8424 \\ & 1.7174 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9101 \\ & 0.8873 \\ & 0.9035 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7180 \\ & 0.6485 \\ & 0.6982 \end{aligned}$ | 0.3932 <br> 0.2595 <br> 0.3571 | 0.1437 <br> 0.0113 <br> 0.1131 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0704 \\ -0.0244 \\ 0.0512 \end{array}$ |

TABLE 8.7(c) Reaction and deflection coefficients for beams under simultaneous axial and transverse loading: left end simply supported, right end fixed

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Coefficient listed for | Axial compressive load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  | Axial tensile load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 |
| 1c. Conc. load | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0190 \\ & 1.0172 \\ & 1.0172 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0804 \\ & 1.0726 \\ & 1.0728 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2005 \\ & 1.1803 \\ & 1.1818 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4195 \\ & 1.3753 \\ & 1.3812 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8478 \\ & 1.7530 \\ & 1.7729 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9507 \\ & 0.9553 \\ & 0.9554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8275 \\ & 0.8429 \\ & 0.8443 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5417 \\ & 0.5762 \\ & 0.588 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2225 \\ & 0.2576 \\ & 0.3018 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1108 \\ & 0.1338 \\ & 0.1940 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0170 \\ & 1.0199 \\ & 1.1037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0719 \\ & 1.0842 \\ & 1.0579 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1786 \\ & 1.2101 \\ & 1.1432 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3718 \\ & 1.4406 \\ & 1.2963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7458 \\ & 1.8933 \\ & 1.5890 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9557 \\ & 0.9485 \\ & 0.9642 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8444 \\ & 0.8202 \\ & 0.8733 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5802 \\ & 0.5255 \\ & 0.6520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2647 \\ & 0.2066 \\ & 0.3670 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1416 \\ & 0.1005 \\ & 0.2412 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2c. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{l / 2} \\ & \theta_{A} \\ & M_{B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0176 \\ & 1.0183 \\ & 1.0122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0742 \\ & 1.0776 \\ & 1.0515 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.1846 \\ & 1.1933 \\ & 1.1273 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.3848 \\ & 1.4042 \\ & 1.2635 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7736 \\ & 1.8162 \\ & 1.5243 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9543 \\ & 0.9524 \\ & 0.9681 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8397 \\ & 0.8334 \\ & 0.8874 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5694 \\ & 0.5561 \\ & 0.6900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2524 \\ & 0.2413 \\ & 0.4287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1323 \\ & 0.1263 \\ & 0.3033 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{l / 2} \\ & \theta_{A} \\ & M_{B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0163 \\ & 1.0202 \\ & 1.0091 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0689 \\ & 1.0856 \\ & 1.0383 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1709 \\ & 1.2139 \\ & 1.0940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3549 \\ & 1.4496 \\ & 1.1920 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7094 \\ & 1.9147 \\ & 1.3744 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9575 \\ & 0.9477 \\ & 0.9760 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8502 \\ & 0.8179 \\ & 0.9141 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5932 \\ & 0.5224 \\ & 0.7545 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2778 \\ & 0.2087 \\ & 0.5126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1505 \\ & 0.1048 \\ & 0.3774 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2c. Uniformly increasing | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0170 \\ & 1.0192 \\ & 1.0105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0719 \\ & 1.0814 \\ & 1.0440 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1785 \\ & 1.2030 \\ & 1.1084 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3716 \\ & 1.4255 \\ & 1.2230 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7453 \\ & 1.8619 \\ & 1.4399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.9557 \\ & 0.9502 \\ & 0.9726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8444 \\ & 0.8259 \\ & 0.9028 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5799 \\ & 0.5394 \\ & 0.7277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2637 \\ & 0.2237 \\ & 0.4799 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1405 \\ & 0.1136 \\ & 0.3504 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0160 \\ & 1.0202 \\ & 1.0071 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0674 \\ & 1.0855 \\ & 1.0298 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1669 \\ & 1.2138 \\ & 1.0726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3463 \\ & 1.4499 \\ & 1.1473 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6911 \\ & 1.9165 \\ & 1.2843 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9584 \\ & 0.9478 \\ & 0.9813 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8533 \\ & 0.8183 \\ & 0.9325 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6003 \\ & 0.5245 \\ & 0.8029 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2860 \\ & 0.2141 \\ & 0.5900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1571 \\ & 0.1105 \\ & 0.4573 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2c. Uniformly decreasing | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0180 \\ & 1.0177 \\ & 1.0142 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0762 \\ & 1.0751 \\ & 1.0600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1895 \\ & 1.1868 \\ & 1.1489 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3957 \\ & 1.3900 \\ & 1.3098 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7968 \\ & 1.7857 \\ & 1.6207 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9532 \\ & 0.9539 \\ & 0.9630 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8359 \\ & 0.8383 \\ & 0.8698 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5608 \\ & 0.5673 \\ & 0.6470 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2431 \\ & 0.2531 \\ & 0.3701 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.1256 \\ & 0.1347 \\ & 0.2495 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0165 \\ & 1.0202 \\ & 1.0104 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0695 \\ & 1.0856 \\ & 1.0439 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1725 \\ & 1.2139 \\ & 1.1078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3584 \\ & 1.4495 \\ & 1.2208 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7169 \\ & 1.9140 \\ & 1.4327 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9571 \\ & 0.9477 \\ & 0.9726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8490 \\ & 0.8177 \\ & 0.9023 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5902 \\ & 0.5216 \\ & 0.7232 \end{aligned}$ | 0.2743 <br> 0.2066 <br> 0.4625 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1477 \\ & 0.1026 \\ & 0.3257 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3c. Conc. moment | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0199 \\ & 1.0122 \\ & 1.0183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0842 \\ & 1.0515 \\ & 1.0779 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2101 \\ & 1.1273 \\ & 1.1949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4406 \\ & 1.2635 \\ & 1.4105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8933 \\ & 1.5243 \\ & 1.8379 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9485 \\ & 0.9681 \\ & 0.9525 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8202 \\ & 0.8874 \\ & 0.8348 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5255 \\ & 0.6900 \\ & 0.5684 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2066 \\ & 0.4287 \\ & 0.2842 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1005 \\ & 0.3030 \\ & 0.1704 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ \theta_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02455 \\ & 1.0168 \\ & 0.9861 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1041 \\ & 1.0707 \\ & 0.9391 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2613 \\ & 1.1750 \\ & 0.8392 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5528 \\ & 1.3618 \\ & 0.6354 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1347 \\ & 1.7186 \\ & 0.1828 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9368 \\ & 0.9562 \\ & 1.0346 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7812 \\ & 0.8452 \\ & 1.1098 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4387 \\ & 0.5760 \\ & 1.1951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11755 \\ & 0.2437 \\ & 0.9753 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0390 \\ & 0.1176 \\ & 0.7055 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.7(d) Reaction and deflection coefficients for beams under simultaneous axial and transverse loading: fixed ends

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Coefficient listed for | Axial compressive load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  | Axial tensile load, $k l=\sqrt{P l^{2} / E I}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 12.0 |
| 1d. Conc. load | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{l / 2} \\ & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \\ & M_{B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0163 \\ & 1.0007 \\ & 1.0088 \\ & 1.0143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0684 \\ & 1.0027 \\ & 1.0366 \\ & 1.0603 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1686 \\ & 1.0064 \\ & 1.0885 \\ & 1.1498 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3455 \\ & 1.0121 \\ & 1.1766 \\ & 1.3117 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6722 \\ & 1.0205 \\ & 1.3298 \\ & 1.6204 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9756 \\ & 0.9990 \\ & 0.9867 \\ & 0.9787 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9092 \\ & 0.9960 \\ & 0.9499 \\ & 0.9213 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7152 \\ & 0.9859 \\ & 0.8350 \\ & 0.7583 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3885 \\ & 0.9613 \\ & 0.6008 \\ & 0.4984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2228 \\ & 0.9423 \\ & 0.4416 \\ & 0.3645 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ M_{A} \\ M_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0163 \\ & 1.0136 \\ & 1.0136 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0684 \\ & 1.0570 \\ & 1.0570 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1686 \\ & 1.1402 \\ & 1.1402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3455 \\ & 1.2870 \\ & 1.2870 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6722 \\ & 1.5574 \\ & 1.5574 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9756 \\ & 0.9797 \\ & 0.9797 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9092 \\ & 0.9242 \\ & 0.9242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7152 \\ & 0.7616 \\ & 0.7616 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3885 \\ & 0.4820 \\ & 0.4820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2228 \\ & 0.3317 \\ & 0.3317 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2d. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ M_{A} \\ M_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0163 \\ & 1.0108 \\ & 1.0190 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0684 \\ & 1.0454 \\ & 1.0801 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1686 \\ & 1.1114 \\ & 1.1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3455 \\ & 1.2266 \\ & 1.4078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6722 \\ & 1.4365 \\ & 1.7993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9756 \\ & 0.9837 \\ & 0.9716 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9092 \\ & 0.9391 \\ & 0.8945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7152 \\ & 0.8060 \\ & 0.6728 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3885 \\ & 0.5630 \\ & 0.3200 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2228 \\ & 0.4167 \\ & 0.1617 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{l / 2} \\ & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \\ & M_{B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0146 \\ & 0.9982 \\ & 1.0141 \\ & 1.0093 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0667 \\ & 0.9927 \\ & 1.0595 \\ & 1.0390 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1667 \\ & 0.9828 \\ & 1.1473 \\ & 1.0950 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3434 \\ & 0.9677 \\ & 1.3045 \\ & 1.1913 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6696 \\ & 0.9453 \\ & 1.5999 \\ & 1.3622 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9741 \\ & 1.0027 \\ & 0.9789 \\ & 0.9859 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9077 \\ & 1.0106 \\ & 0.9217 \\ & 0.9470 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7141 \\ & 1.0375 \\ & 0.7571 \\ & 0.8282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3879 \\ & 1.1033 \\ & 0.4868 \\ & 0.5976 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2224 \\ & 1.1551 \\ & 0.3459 \\ & 0.4488 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2d. Uniformly increasing | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{l / 2} \\ & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \\ & M_{B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0163 \\ & 0.9995 \\ & 1.0124 \\ & 1.0098 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0684 \\ & 0.9979 \\ & 1.0521 \\ & 1.0410 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1686 \\ & 0.9951 \\ & 1.1282 \\ & 1.1001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3455 \\ & 0.9908 \\ & 1.2627 \\ & 1.2026 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6722 \\ & 0.9845 \\ & 1.5107 \\ & 1.3870 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9756 \\ & 1.0008 \\ & 0.9814 \\ & 0.9853 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9092 \\ & 1.0030 \\ & 0.9307 \\ & 0.9447 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7152 \\ & 1.0108 \\ & 0.7818 \\ & 0.8221 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3885 \\ & 1.0303 \\ & 0.5218 \\ & 0.5904 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2228 \\ & 1.0463 \\ & 0.3750 \\ & 0.4445 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{l / 2} \\ & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \\ & M_{B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0161 \\ & 0.9969 \\ & 1.0141 \\ & 1.0075 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0679 \\ & 0.9875 \\ & 1.0595 \\ & 1.0312 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1672 \\ & 0.9707 \\ & 1.1476 \\ & 1.0755 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3427 \\ & 0.9449 \\ & 1.3063 \\ & 1.1507 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6667 \\ & 0.9070 \\ & 1.6076 \\ & 1.2819 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9758 \\ & 1.0047 \\ & 0.9790 \\ & 0.9887 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9099 \\ & 1.0182 \\ & 0.9222 \\ & 0.9573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7174 \\ & 1.0648 \\ & 0.7602 \\ & 0.8594 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3927 \\ & 1.1815 \\ & 0.4995 \\ & 0.6582 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2274 \\ & 1.2778 \\ & 0.3647 \\ & 0.5168 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3d. Conc. moment | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} y_{l / 2} \\ R_{A} \\ M_{A} \\ M_{B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0169 \\ & 0.9993 \\ & 1.0291 \\ & 1.0151 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0713 \\ & 0.9972 \\ & 1.1227 \\ & 1.0635 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1757 \\ & 0.9932 \\ & 1.3025 \\ & 1.1571 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3604 \\ & 0.9867 \\ & 1.6203 \\ & 1.3244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7016 \\ & 0.9763 \\ & 2.2055 \\ & 1.6380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9746 \\ & 1.0010 \\ & 0.9563 \\ & 0.9775 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9054 \\ & 1.0038 \\ & 0.8376 \\ & 0.9164 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7039 \\ & 1.0122 \\ & 0.4941 \\ & 0.7404 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3671 \\ 1.0217 \\ -0.0440 \\ 0.4517 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2001 \\ 1.0134 \\ -0.2412 \\ 0.3035 \end{array}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{l / 2} \\ & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0054 \\ & 1.0027 \\ & 1.0081 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0220 \\ & 1.0110 \\ & 1.0331 \end{aligned}$ | 1.0515 <br> 1.0260 <br> 1.0779 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0969 \\ & 1.0492 \\ & 1.1477 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1641 \\ & 1.0842 \\ & 1.2525 \end{aligned}$ | $0.9918$ <br> 0.9959 <br> 0.9877 | 0.9681 <br> 0.9842 <br> 0.9525 | 0.8874 0.9449 0.8348 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6900 \\ & 0.8561 \\ & 0.5684 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5346 \\ & 0.7960 \\ & 0.3881 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading
 cases $1 \mathrm{~b}-6 \mathrm{~b}$ and $1 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{e} ; K_{1}=1$; for cases $1 \mathrm{c}-6 \mathrm{c}, K_{1}=2.046$; and for cases $1 \mathrm{~d}-6 \mathrm{~d}, K_{1}=4$.
 function $\sin k\langle x-a\rangle$ is also defined as having a value of zero if $x<a$

| $F_{1}=\cos k x$ | $F_{a 1}=\langle x-a\rangle^{0} \cos k(x-a)$ | $C_{1}=\cos k l$ | $C_{a 1} \cos k(l-a)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $F_{2}=\sin k x$ | $F_{a 2}=\sin k\langle x-a\rangle$ | $C_{2}=\sin k l$ | $C_{a 2}=\sin k(l-a)$ |
| $F_{3}=1-\cos k x$ | $F_{a 3}=\langle x-a\rangle^{0}[1-\cos k(x-a)]$ | $C_{3}=1-\cos k l$ | $C_{a 3}=1-\cos k(l-a)$ |
| $F_{4}=k x-\sin k x$ | $F_{a 4}=k\langle x-a\rangle-\sin k\langle x-a\rangle$ | $C_{4}=k l-\sin k l$ | $C_{a 4}=k(l-a)-\sin k(l-a)$ |
|  | $F_{a 5}=\frac{k^{2}}{2}\langle x-a\rangle^{2}-F_{a 3}$ |  | $C_{a 5}=\frac{k^{2}}{2}(l-a)^{2}-C_{a 3}$ |
|  | $F_{a 6}=\frac{k^{3}}{6}\langle x-a\rangle^{3}-F_{a 4}$ |  | $C_{a 6}=\frac{k^{3}}{6}(l-a)^{3}-C_{a 4}$ |

(Note: $M_{A}$ and $R_{A}$ as well as $M_{B}$ and $R_{B}$ are reactions, not applied loads. They exist only when the necessary end restraints are provided.)


| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad \theta_{A}=\frac{W}{P} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-W}{k P} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{1}} \\ & R_{B}=W \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ & M_{B}=\frac{-W}{k} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}+C_{1} C_{a 2}}{C_{1}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } M=M_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-W}{k} \tan k l \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{W}{P} \frac{1-\cos k l}{\cos k l} \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \max \text { possible value }=\frac{-W}{k P}(\tan k l-k l) \text { when } a=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=\frac{W}{k} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{2}} \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-W}{k P} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{2}} \\ R_{B} & =W \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-W}{k} \frac{\cos k a-\cos k l}{\sin k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Max}+M=M_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{W}{k} \tan \frac{k l}{2} \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-W}{k} \tan \frac{k l}{2} \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-W}{k P}\left(2 \tan \frac{k l}{2}-k l\right) \text { when } a=0 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =W \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-W}{P} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =W-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-W}{k} \frac{k l \sin k a-k a \sin k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B} ;$ max possible value occurs when $a=\frac{1}{k} \cos ^{-1} \frac{\sin k l}{k l}$ If $a=l / 2$ (transverse center load), then $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =W \frac{\sin k l-\sin \frac{k l}{2}-\frac{k l}{2} \cos k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ M_{B} & =-W l \frac{\sin \frac{k l}{2}\left(1-\cos \frac{k l}{2}\right)}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =W \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-W}{k} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{B} & =W-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =M_{A}+R_{A} l-W(l-a) \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{A}$ if $a<\frac{l}{2}$ <br> If $a=\frac{l}{2}$ (transverse center load), then $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=R_{B}=\frac{W}{2} \quad M_{B}=M_{A}=\frac{-W}{2 k} \tan \frac{k l}{4} \\ & \operatorname{Max}+M=\frac{W}{2 k} \tan \frac{k l}{4} \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=\frac{-W}{k P}\left(\tan \frac{k l}{4}-\frac{k l}{4}\right) \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =\frac{W}{l}(l-a) \quad M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-W}{P}\left[\frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\sin k l}-\frac{l-a}{l}\right] \\ R_{B} & =W \frac{a}{l} \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{W}{P}\left(\frac{\sin k a}{\sin k l}-\frac{a}{l}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } M=\frac{W \sin k(l-a)}{k \sin k l} \sin k a \quad \text { at } x=a \text { if } \frac{l}{2}<a<\frac{\pi}{2 k} \\ & \text { Max } M=\frac{W \sin k(l-a)}{k \sin k l} \quad \text { at } x=\frac{\pi}{2 k} \text { if } a>\frac{\pi}{2 k} \text { and } a>\frac{l}{2} ; \\ & \quad \max \text { possible value of } M=\frac{W}{2 k} \tan \frac{k l}{2} \text { at } x=a \text { when } a=\frac{l}{2} \\ & \text { Max } \theta=\theta_{B} \text { if } a>\frac{l}{2} ; \text { max possible value occurs when } a=\frac{1}{k} \cos ^{-1} \frac{\sin k l}{k l} \\ & \text { Max } y \text { occurs at } x=\frac{1}{k} \cos ^{-1} \frac{(l-a) \sin k l}{l \sin k(l-a)} \text { if } a>\frac{l}{2} ; \\ & \quad \max \text { possible value }=\frac{-W}{2 k P}\left(\tan \frac{k l}{2}-\frac{k l}{2}\right) \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \text { when } a=\frac{l}{2} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading

 (Continued)1f. Left end guided, right end

simply supported $|$| $R_{A}=0 \quad \theta_{A}=0$ |
| :--- |
| $M_{A}=\frac{W}{k} \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\cos k l}$ |
| $y_{A}=\frac{-W}{k p}\left[\frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\cos k l}-k(l-a)\right]$ |
| $R_{B}=W \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0$ |
| $\theta_{B}=\frac{W}{P}\left(\frac{\cos k a}{\cos k l}-1\right)$ |

$\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A} ; \quad \max$ possible value $=\frac{W}{k} \tan k l$ when $a=0$
$\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{W}{P} \frac{1-\cos k l}{\cos k l}$ when $a=0$
$\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \max$ possible value $=\frac{-W}{k P}(\tan k l-k l)$ when $a=0$
 distributed lateral load


Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-M_{A} k F_{2}-\theta_{A} P F_{1}-\frac{w_{a}}{k} F_{a 2}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2}(l-a)} F_{a 3}$
Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\theta_{A} P}{k} F_{2}-\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}} F_{a 3}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} F_{a 4}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A} k}{P} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{P} F_{3}-\frac{w_{a}}{k P} F_{a 4}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2} P(l-a)} F_{a 5}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{k} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{P} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{k P} F_{4}-\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2} P} F_{a 5}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3} P(l-a)} F_{a 6}$

End restraints
reference no.

2a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever)

$\quad$ Boundary values
$R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad$
$\theta_{A}=\frac{w_{a}}{k P} \frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{1}}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2} P(l-a)} \frac{C_{a 5}}{C_{1}}$
$y_{A}=\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} P} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{1} C_{a 5}}{C_{1}}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3} P(l-a)} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{1} C_{a 6}}{C_{1}}$
$R_{B}=\frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a) \quad \theta_{B}=0$
$M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}+C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}+C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{1}}$
$y_{B}=0 \quad$

If $a=0$ and $w_{\alpha}=w_{l}$ (uniform load on entire span), then
$\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}}\left(1+k l \tan k l-\frac{1}{\cos k l}\right)$
$\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{w_{a}}{k P}\left(\frac{k l}{\cos k l}-\tan k l\right)$
$\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} P}\left(1+k l \tan k l-\frac{k^{2} l^{2}}{2}-\frac{1}{\cos k l}\right)$
If $a=0$ and $w_{\alpha}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then
$\operatorname{Max} M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{l}}{k^{2}}\left(1+\frac{k l}{2} \tan k l-\frac{\tan k l}{k l}\right)$
$\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{w_{l}}{k P}\left(\frac{1}{k l}+\frac{k l}{2 \cos k l}-\frac{1}{k l \cos k l}\right)$
$\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{l}}{k^{2} P}\left(1+\frac{k l}{2} \tan k l-\frac{k^{2} l^{2}}{6}-\frac{\tan k l}{k l}\right)$

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{2}}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{a 5}}{C_{2}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} P} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 5}}{C_{2}}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3} P(l-a)} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{2} C_{a 6}}{C_{2}} \\ R_{B} & =\frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a) \quad \theta_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{2}}-\frac{\left(w_{1}-w_{a}\right)}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{1} C_{a 5}}{C_{2}} \\ y_{B} & =0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=w_{l}$ (uniform load on entire span), then <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Max}+M & =M_{A} \end{aligned}=\frac{w_{l}}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{k l}{2 \sin k l}-\frac{\tan (k l / 2)}{k l}\right) .$ |
| 2c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} M_{A}= & 0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A}= & \frac{w_{a}}{k} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{1} C_{a 5}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2}(l-a)} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{1} C_{a 6}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ \theta_{A}= & \frac{-w_{a}}{k P}\left[\frac{C_{4} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 5}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}\right]-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2} P(l-a)} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 6}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ R_{B}= & \frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a)-R_{A} \\ M_{B}= & \frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-k l C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}+C_{a 3}\right) \\ & -\frac{\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{k^{3}(l-a)}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 6}-k l C_{2} C_{a 5}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}+C_{a 4}\right) \\ \theta_{B}= & 0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=w_{l}$ (uniform load on entire span), then $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Max} \theta & =\theta_{A}=\frac{-w_{a}}{k P} \frac{4-2 k l \sin k l-\left(2-k^{2} l^{2} / 2\right)(1+\cos k l)}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ \operatorname{Max}-M & =M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a} l}{k} \frac{\tan k l[\tan (k l / 2)-k l / 2]}{\tan k l-k l} \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w_{a}}{k} \frac{k l \sin k l-1+\left(1-k^{2} l^{2} / 2\right) \cos k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \end{aligned}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}=\frac{-w_{l} l}{6 P} \frac{2 k l+k l \cos k l-3 \sin k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ \operatorname{Max}-M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{l}}{k^{2}} \frac{\left(l-k^{2} l^{2} / 3\right) \tan k l-k l}{\tan k l-k l} \\ R_{A}=\frac{w_{l} l}{6}\left(\frac{2 \tan k l}{\tan k l-k l}-\frac{6}{k^{2} l^{2}}+1\right) \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| 2d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{w_{a}}{k} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 5}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2}(l-a)} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{2} C_{a 6}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 5}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 6}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =\frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a)-R_{A} \\ M_{B} & =M_{A}+R_{A} l-\frac{w_{a}}{2}(l-a)^{2}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{6}(l-a)^{2} \\ \theta_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=w_{l}$ (uniform load on entire span), then $\begin{array}{rlr} \operatorname{Max}-M & =M_{A}=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}}\left[1-\frac{k l / 2}{\tan (k l / 2)}\right] \\ \operatorname{Max}+M & =\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}}\left[\frac{k l / 2}{\sin (k l / 2)}-1\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \operatorname{Max} y & =\frac{-w_{a} l}{2 k P}\left(\tan \frac{k l}{4}-\frac{k l}{4}\right) & \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ R_{A}=R_{B} & =\frac{w_{a} l}{2} & \end{array}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max }-M=M_{B}=\frac{-w_{l}}{k^{2}}\left[1-\frac{(k l / 2) \sin k l-k^{2} l^{2} / 6-\left(k^{2} l^{2} / 3\right) \cos k l}{2-2 \cos k l-k l \sin k l}\right] \\ & M_{A}=\frac{w_{l} l}{6 k} \frac{3 \sin k l-k l(2+\cos k l)}{2-2 \cos k l-k l \sin k l} \\ & R_{A}=\frac{w_{l}}{k^{2} l}\left(\frac{k^{2} l^{2}}{6} \frac{3-3 \cos k l-k l \sin k l}{2-2 \cos k l-k l \sin k l}-1\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} M_{A}= & 0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A}= & \frac{w_{a}}{2 l}(l-a)^{2}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{6 l}(l-a)^{2} \\ \theta_{A}= & \frac{-w_{a}}{k P}\left[\frac{1-\cos k(l-a)}{\sin k l}-\frac{k}{2 l}(l-a)^{2}\right] \\ & \quad-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k P}\left[\frac{k(l-a)-\sin k(l-a)}{k(l-a) \sin k l}-\frac{k}{6 l}(l-a)^{2}\right] \\ R_{B}= & \frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a)-R_{A} \\ M_{B}= & 0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B}= & \frac{w_{a}}{k P}\left[\frac{\cos k a-\cos k l}{\sin k l}-\frac{k\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{2 l}\right] \\ & +\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2} P(l-a)}\left[\frac{k^{2}}{6 l}\left(3 a l^{2}-2 l^{3}-a^{3}\right)+1\right. \\ & \left.-\frac{\sin k a+k(l-a) \cos k l}{\sin k l}\right] \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=w_{l}$ (uniform load on entire span), then $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Max}+M & =\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\cos (k l / 2)}-1\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \operatorname{Max} \theta & =\theta_{B}=-\theta_{A}=\frac{w_{a}}{k P}\left(\tan \frac{k l}{2}-\frac{k l}{2}\right) \\ \operatorname{Max} y & =\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} P}\left[\frac{1}{\cos (k l / 2)}-\frac{k^{2} l^{2}}{8}-1\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $\begin{aligned} M & =\frac{w_{l}}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{\sin k x}{\sin k l}-\frac{x}{l}\right) ; \max M \text { occurs at } x=\frac{1}{k} \cos ^{-1} \frac{\sin k l}{k l} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-w_{l}}{k P}\left(\frac{1}{\sin k l}-\frac{1}{k l}-\frac{k l}{6}\right) \\ \operatorname{Max} \theta & =\theta_{B}=\frac{w_{l}}{k P}\left(\frac{1}{k l}-\frac{k l}{3}-\frac{1}{\tan k l}\right) \\ y & =\frac{-w_{l}}{k^{2} P}\left[\frac{\sin k x}{\sin k l}-\frac{x}{l}-\frac{k^{2} x}{6 l}\left(l^{2}-x^{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A}= & 0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A}= & \frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{1}} \\ y_{A}= & \frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} P}\left[\frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}-\frac{k^{2}}{2}(l-a)^{2}\right] \\ & -\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3} P(l-a)}\left[\frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{1}}-\frac{k^{3}}{6}(l-a)^{3}\right] \\ R_{B}= & \frac{w_{a}+w_{l}}{2}(l-a) \quad M_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B}= & \frac{w_{a}}{k P}\left[\frac{\sin k l-\sin k a}{\cos k l}-k(l-a)\right] \\ & +\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2} P(l-a)}\left[\frac{k(l-a) \sin k l-\cos k a}{\cos k l}-\frac{k^{2}(l-a)^{2}}{2}+1\right] \\ y_{B}= & 0 \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=w_{l}$ (uniform load on entire span), then <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\cos k l}-1\right)$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B}=\frac{w_{a}}{k P}(\tan k l-k l)$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} p}\left(\frac{1}{\cos k l}-1-\frac{k^{2} l^{2}}{2}\right)$ <br> If $a=0$ and $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Max} M=M_{A}=\frac{w_{l}}{k^{3} l} \frac{k l-\sin k l}{\cos k l} \\ & \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B}=\frac{w_{l}}{k^{2} P l}\left(1-\frac{k^{2} l^{2}}{2}-\frac{1-k l \sin k l}{\cos k l}\right) \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=y_{A}=\frac{-w_{l}}{k^{2} P}\left(\frac{k l-\sin k l}{k l \cos k l}-\frac{k^{2} l^{2}}{6}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Axial compressive load plus concentrated intermediate moment <br> Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-M_{A} k F_{2}-\theta_{A} P F_{1}-M_{o} k F_{a 2}$ <br> Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\theta_{A} P}{k} F_{2}+M_{o} F_{a 1}$ <br> Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A} k}{P} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{P} F_{3}+\frac{M_{o} k}{P} F_{a 2}$ <br> Deflection $=y=y_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{k} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{P} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{k P} F_{4}+\frac{M_{o}}{P} F_{a 3}$ |  |  |
| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| 3a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o} k}{P} \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{P}\left(\frac{\cos k a}{\cos k l}-1\right) \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =M_{o} \frac{\cos k a}{\cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } M=M_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{M_{o}}{\cos k l} \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-M_{o} k}{P} \tan k l \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{M_{o}}{P}\left(\frac{1}{\cos k l}-1\right) \text { when } a=0 \end{aligned}$ |


| 3b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\sin k l} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{P}\left[\frac{\sin k(l-a)+\sin k a}{\sin k l}-1\right] \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =M_{o} \frac{\sin k a}{\sin k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{B} ;$ max possible value $=M_{o}$ when $a=l$ <br> Max $-M=M_{A} ;$ max possible value $=-M_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}=\frac{-M_{o} k \sin k(l-a)}{P} \frac{\sin k l}{}$ at $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-M_{o} k}{2 P} \tan \frac{k l}{2}$ when $a=\frac{l}{2}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \quad \max$ possible value $=\frac{M_{o}}{P}\left[\frac{1}{\cos (k l / 2)}-1\right]$ when $a=\frac{l}{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} k \frac{\cos k a-\cos k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o} k}{P} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =M_{o} \frac{\sin k l-k l \cos k a}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (concentrated end moment), then $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =-M_{o} k \frac{1-\cos k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o} k}{P} \frac{2-2 \cos k l-k l \sin k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ M_{B} & =-M_{o} \frac{k l-\sin k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \end{aligned}$ |
| 3d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-M_{o} k \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =R_{A} l+M_{A}+M_{o} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=l / 2$ (concentrated center moment), then $\begin{aligned} & R_{A}=-M_{o} k \frac{[1 / \cos (k l / 2)]-1}{2 \tan (k l / 2)-k l} \\ & M_{A}=-M_{o} \frac{1-\cos k l-k l \sin (k l / 2)}{2-2 \cos k l-k l \sin k l} \end{aligned}$ <br> At the center, $y=0$ and $\theta=\left(\frac{-M_{o} k}{2 P}\right)\left(\frac{2-2 \cos \frac{k l}{2}-\frac{k l}{2} \sin \frac{k l}{2}}{\sin \frac{k l}{2}-\frac{k l}{2} \cos \frac{k l}{2}}\right)$ |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{l} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{P l}\left[\frac{k l \cos k(l-a)}{\sin k l}-1\right] \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{M_{o}}{P l}\left(\frac{k l \cos k a}{\sin k l}-1\right) \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (concentrated moment at the left end), then $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{P l}\left(1-\frac{k l}{\tan k l}\right) \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{M_{o}}{P l}\left(\frac{k l}{\sin k l}-1\right) \\ M & =M_{o} \cos k x\left(1-\frac{\tan k x}{\tan k l}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> If $a=l / 2$ (concentrated moment at the center), then $\theta_{A}=\theta_{B}=\frac{M_{o}}{P l}\left[\frac{k l}{2 \sin (k l / 2)}-1\right] \quad$ and $\quad y=0$ at the center |
| 3f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{\cos k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{P}\left[\frac{\cos k(l-a)}{\cos k l}-1\right] \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{-M_{o} k \sin k a}{P} \frac{\cos k l}{} \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=M_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-M_{o}}{\cos k l}$ when $a=l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-M_{o} k}{P} \tan k l$ when $a=l$ <br> Max $y=y_{a} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{M_{o}}{P}\left(\frac{1}{\cos k l}-1\right)$ when $a=l$ |


| 4. Axial compressive load plus externally <br> created concentrated angular displacement | Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-M_{A} k F_{2}-\theta_{A} P F_{1}-\theta_{o} P F_{a 1}$ <br> Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\theta_{A} P}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k} F_{a 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| 4a. Left end free, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =-\theta_{o} \frac{\cos k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{\theta_{o}}{k} \frac{\sin k a}{\cos k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{\sin k a}{\cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max } M=M_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k} \tan k l \text { when } a=l \\ & \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{\cos k l} \text { when } a=l \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{\theta_{o}}{k} \tan k l \text { when } a=l \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{\cos k(l-a)}{\sin k l} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{\theta_{o}}{k} \frac{\cos k(l-a)-\cos k a}{\sin k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{\cos k a}{\sin k l} \end{aligned}$ | Max $-M=M_{B}$ if $a<\frac{l}{2} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k \sin k l}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{A}$ if $a>\frac{l}{2}$; max possible value $=\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k \sin k l}$ when $a=l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=y_{A} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{\theta_{o}}{k} \tan \frac{k l}{2}$ when $a=l$ <br> Max $-y$ occurs at $x=a$; max possible value $=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{k} \tan \frac{k l}{2}$ at $x=0$ when $a=0$ |
| 4c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-\theta_{o} P \frac{\sin k a}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ \theta_{A} & =-\theta_{o} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad M_{B}=R_{A} l \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =-\theta_{o} P \frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad M_{B}=M_{A}+R_{A} l \end{aligned}$ |  |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{array}{rlr} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 & R_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =-\theta_{o} \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\sin k l} \\ M_{B} & =0 \quad y_{B}=0 & R_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\theta_{o} \frac{\sin k a}{\sin k l} & \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{Max} M=\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{\sin k(l-a) \sin k a}{\sin k l}$ at $x=a$; max possible value $=\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k \cos (k l / 2)}$ when $a=\frac{l}{2}$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A}$ if $a<l / 2 ;$ max possible value $=-\theta_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> Max $y=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{k} \frac{\sin k(l-a) \sin k a}{\sin k l}$ at; $x=a$; max possible value $=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{k \cos (k l / 2)}$ when $a=\frac{l}{2}$ |
| 4f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-\theta_{o}}{k} \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\theta_{o} \frac{\cos k a}{\cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Max} M=M_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k} \tan k l \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B} ; \max \text { possible value }=\frac{\theta_{o}}{\cos k l} \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \max \text { possible value }=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{k} \tan k l \text { when } a=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Axial compressive load plus externally <br> created concentrated lateral displacement Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-M_{A} k F_{2}-\theta_{A} P F_{1}+\Delta_{o} P k F_{a 2}$ <br> Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\theta_{A} P}{k} F_{2}-\Delta_{o} P F_{a 1}$  <br> Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A} k}{P} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{P} F_{3}-\Delta_{o} k F_{a 2}$  <br> Deflection $=y=y_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{k} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{P} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{k P} F_{4}+\Delta_{o} F_{a 1}$  |  |  |
| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| 5a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\Delta_{o} k \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ y_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \frac{\cos k a}{\cos k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ M_{B} & =-\Delta_{o} P \frac{\cos k a}{\cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | Max $M=M_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-\Delta_{o} P}{\cos k l}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{A} ; \quad$ max possible value $=\Delta_{o} k \tan k l$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \max$ possible value $=\frac{-\Delta_{o}}{\cos k l}$ when $a=0$ |

5. Axial compressive load plus externally Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-M_{A} k F_{2}-\theta_{A} P F_{1}+\Delta_{o} P k F_{a 2}$


Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\theta_{A} P}{k} F_{2}-\Delta_{o} P F_{a 1}$
Slope $=\theta=\theta_{A} F_{1}+\frac{M_{A} k}{P} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{P} F_{3}-\Delta_{o} k F_{a 2}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}}{k} F_{2}+\frac{M_{A}}{P} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{k P} F_{4}+\Delta_{o} F_{a 1}$

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| 5b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\Delta_{o} P \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\sin k l} \\ y_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \frac{\sin k(l-a)+\sin k a}{\sin k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad M_{B}=-\Delta_{o} P \frac{\sin k a}{\sin k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Max}+M & =M_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\Delta_{o} P \text { when } a=0 \\ \text { Max }-M & =M_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=-\Delta_{o} P \text { when } a=l \\ \operatorname{Max} y & =y_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-\Delta_{o}}{\cos (k l / 2)} \text { when } a=\frac{l}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} P k \frac{\cos k a}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ \theta_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} k \frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad M_{B}=R_{A} l \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\Delta_{o} P k \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}+C_{2} C_{a 1}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ M_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} P \frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad M_{B}=M_{A}+R_{A} l \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} k \frac{\cos k(l-a)}{\sin k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =-\Delta_{o} k \frac{\cos k a}{\sin k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=\Delta_{o} P \frac{\sin k a}{\sin k l} \cos k(l-a)$ at $x$ just left of $a ;$ max possible value $=\Delta_{o} P$ when $a=l$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-M=-\Delta_{o} P \frac{\cos k a}{\sin k l} \sin k(l-a)$ at $x$ just right of $a$; max possible value $=-\Delta_{o} P$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}+y=\Delta_{o} \frac{\cos k a}{\sin k l} \sin k(l-a)$ at $x$ just right of $a$; max possible value $=\Delta_{o}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max}-y=-\Delta_{o} \frac{\sin k a}{\sin k l} \cos k(l-a)$ at $x$ just left of $a$; max possible value $=-\Delta_{o}$ when $a=l$ |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\Delta_{o} P \frac{\cos k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ y_{A} & =-\Delta_{o} \frac{\cos k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \theta_{B}=\Delta_{o} k \frac{\sin k a}{\cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \text { Max } M & =M_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{\Delta_{o} P}{\cos k l} \text { when } a=l \\ \text { Max } \theta & =\theta_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=\Delta_{o} k \tan k l \text { when } a=l \\ \text { Max } y & =y_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-\Delta_{o}}{\cos k l} \text { when } a=l \end{aligned}$ |


| 6. Axial compressive load plus a uniform <br> temperature variation from top to bottom <br> in the portion from $a$ to $l ; t$ is the <br> thickness of the beam | Transverse shear $=V=R_{A} F_{1}-M_{A} k F_{2}-\theta_{A} P F_{1}-\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k t} F_{a 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bending moment $=M=M_{A} F_{1}+\frac{R_{A}}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\theta_{A} P}{k} F_{2}-\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) E I}{t} F_{a 3}$ |  |


| End restraints, <br> reference no. | Boundary values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6a. Left end free, right end <br> fixed | $R_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0$ <br> $\theta_{A}=\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \frac{\sin k(l-a)}{\cos k l}$ <br> $y_{A}=\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k^{2} t}\left(\frac{\cos k a}{\cos k l}-1\right)$ <br> $R_{B}=0 \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0$$\quad M_{B}=P y_{A}$ |$\quad$| Selected maximum values of moments and deformations |
| :---: |

TABLE 8.8 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial compression and transverse loading (Continued)

| 6c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} M_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k t} \frac{\cos k a-\cos k l}{\sin k l-k l \cos k l} \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad M_{B}=R_{A} l \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (temperature variation over entire span), then |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ R_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k t} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ M_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) E I}{t} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ R_{B} & =-R_{A} \quad \theta_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad M_{B}=M_{A}+R_{A} l \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (temperature variation over entire span), then $R_{A}=R_{B}=0$ <br> $M=\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) E I}{t}$ everywhere in the span $\theta=0 \quad$ and $\quad y=0$ everywhere in the span |
| 6e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \frac{1-\cos k(l-a)}{\sin k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \frac{\cos k a-\cos k l}{\sin k l} \end{aligned}$ | Max $y$ occurs at $x=\frac{1}{k} \tan ^{-1} \frac{1-\cos k l \cos k a}{\sin k l}$; <br> $\max$ possible value $=\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k^{2} t}\left[\frac{1}{\cos (k l / 2)}-1\right]$ at $x=\frac{l}{2}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} M=P(\max y)$ <br> $\operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \tan \frac{k l}{2}$ when $a=0$ |
| 6f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} R_{A} & =0 \quad \theta_{A}=0 \\ M_{A} & =\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) E I}{t} \frac{1-\cos k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k^{2} t} \frac{1-\cos k(l-a)}{\cos k l} \\ R_{B} & =0 \quad M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \frac{\sin k l-\sin k a}{\cos k l} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Max} M=M_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) E I}{t}\left(\frac{1}{\cos k l}-1\right) \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} y=y_{A} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k^{2} t}\left(\frac{1}{\cos k l}-1\right) \text { when } a=0 \\ & \operatorname{Max} \theta=\theta_{B} ; \text { max possible value }=\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \tan k l \text { when } a=0 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.9 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial tension and transverse loading

NOTATION: $P=$ axial tensile load (force); all other notation is the same as that for Table 8.1; see Table 8.8 for loading details.
 function $\sinh k\langle x-a\rangle$ is also defined as having a value of zero if $x<a$


| Left end guided, right end fixed (b) | $M_{A}$ | $\frac{W}{k} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}$ | $\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{2}}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{a 5}}{C_{2}}$ | $-M_{o} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}$ | $\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{C_{a 1}}{C_{2}}$ | $-\Delta_{o} P \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k^{2} t} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $y_{A}$ | $\frac{-W}{k P}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 4}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} P}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 5}\right) \\ & \quad+\frac{-\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{k^{3} P(l-a)}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 5}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 6}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{M_{o}}{P}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 3}\right)$ | $\frac{\theta_{o}}{k}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 2}\right)$ | $\Delta_{o}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 1}\right)$ | $\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k^{2} t}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 3}\right)$ |
| Left end simply supported, right end fixed (c) | $R_{A}$ | $W \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{w_{a}}{k} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{1} C_{a 5}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ & \quad+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2}(l-a)} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{1} C_{a 6}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \end{aligned}$ | $-M_{o} k \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}-C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $-\theta_{o} P \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}-C_{1} C_{a 2}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $\Delta_{o} k P \frac{C_{1} C_{a 1}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k t} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}-C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ |
|  | $\theta_{A}$ | $\frac{-W}{P} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-w_{a}}{k P} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 5}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \\ & \quad+\frac{-\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{k^{2} P(l-a)} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 6}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{-M_{o} k}{P} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $-\theta_{o} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $\Delta_{o} k \frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}-C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{2} C_{3}-C_{1} C_{4}}$ |
| Left end fixed, right end fixed (d) | $R_{A}$ | $W \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{w_{a}}{k} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 5}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ & \quad+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2}(l-a)} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{2} C_{a 6}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \end{aligned}$ | $-M_{o} k \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $-\theta_{o} P \frac{C_{3} C_{a 1}-C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $\Delta_{o} P k \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k t} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ |
|  | $M_{A}$ | $\frac{-W}{k} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 5}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \\ & \quad+\frac{-\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{4} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 6}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}} \end{aligned}$ | $-M_{o} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $\Delta_{o} P \frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}-C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k^{2} t} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{3}^{2}-C_{2} C_{4}}$ |
| Left end simply supported, right end simply supported (e) | $R_{A}$ | $\frac{W}{l}(l-a)$ | $\frac{w_{a}}{2 l}(l-a)^{2}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{6 l}(l-a)^{2}$ | $\frac{-M_{o}}{l}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $\theta_{A}$ | $\frac{-W}{P k l}\left(\frac{C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 4}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-w_{a}}{P k}\left[\frac{k(l-a)^{2}}{2 l}-\frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}\right] \\ & \quad+\frac{-\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{P k^{2}(l-a)}\left[\frac{k^{2}(l-a)^{3}}{6 l}-\frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{M_{o} k}{P}\left(\frac{1}{k l}-\frac{C_{a 1}}{C_{2}}\right)$ | $-\theta_{o} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}$ | $-\Delta_{o} k \frac{C_{a 1}}{C_{2}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}$ |

TABLE 8.9 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for beams under simultaneous axial tension and transverse loading (Continued)

|  |  | Case 1, Concentrated lateral load | Case 2, Distributed lateral load | Case 3, Concentrated moment | Case 4, Concentrated angular displacement | Case 5, Concentrated lateral displacement | Case 6, Uniform temperature variation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left end guided, right end simply supported (f) | $M_{A}$ | $\frac{W}{k} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{1}}$ | $\frac{w_{a}}{k^{2}} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}+\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} \frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{1}}$ | $-M_{o} \frac{C_{a 1}}{C_{1}}$ | $\frac{-\theta_{o} P}{k} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{1}}$ | $-\Delta_{o} P \frac{C_{a 1}}{C_{1}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k^{2} t} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}$ |
|  | $y_{A}$ | $\frac{-W}{P k}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 4}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2} P}\left[\frac{k^{2}(l-a)^{2}}{2}-\frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}\right] \\ & \quad+\frac{-\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{k^{3} P(l-a)}\left[\frac{k^{3}(l-a)^{3}}{6}-\frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{1}}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{m_{o}}{P}\left(1-\frac{C_{a 1}}{C_{1}}\right)$ | $\frac{-\theta_{o}}{k} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{1}}$ | $-\Delta_{o} \frac{C_{a 1}}{C_{1}}$ | $\frac{-\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k^{2} t} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}$ |
| Load terms for all end restraints (a)-(f) | $L T_{V}$ | $-W F_{a 1}$ | $\frac{-w_{a}}{k} F_{a 2}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{2}(l-a)} F_{a 3}$ | $M_{o} k F_{a 2}$ | $\theta_{o} P F_{a 1}$ | $\Delta P k F_{a 2}$ | $\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k t} F_{a 2}$ |
|  | $L T_{M}$ | $\frac{-W}{k} F_{a 2}$ | $\frac{-w_{a}}{k^{2}} F_{a 3}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{k^{3}(l-a)} F_{a 4}$ | $M_{o} F_{a 1}$ | $\frac{\theta_{o} P}{k} F_{a 2}$ | $\triangle_{o} P F_{a 1}$ | $\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right) P}{k^{2} t} F_{a 3}$ |
|  | $L T_{\theta}$ | $\frac{-W}{P} F_{a 3}$ | $\frac{-w_{a}}{P k} F_{a 4}-\frac{\left(w_{l}-w_{a}\right)}{P k^{2}(l-a)} F_{a 5}$ | $\frac{M_{o} k}{P} F_{a 2}$ | $\theta_{o} F_{a 1}$ | $\Delta_{o} k F_{a 2}$ | $\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k t} F_{a 2}$ |
|  | $L T_{y}$ | $\frac{-W}{P k} F_{a 4}$ | $\frac{-w_{a}}{P k^{2}} F_{a 5}-\frac{w_{l}-w_{a}}{P k^{3}(l-a)} F_{a 6}$ | $\frac{M_{o}}{P} F_{a 3}$ | $\frac{\theta_{o}}{k} F_{a 2}$ | $\Delta_{o} F_{a 1}$ | $\frac{\gamma\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)}{k^{2} t} F_{a 3}$ |

TABLE 8.10 Beams restrained against horizontal displacement at the ends

| Case no., manner of loading and support | Formulas to solve for $y_{\text {max }}$ and $P$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Ends pinned to rigid supports, concentrated center load $W$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }+\frac{A}{4 I} y_{\max }^{3}=\frac{2 W l^{3}}{\pi^{4} E I} \quad\left(\text { Solve for } y_{\max }\right) \\ & P=\frac{\pi^{2} E A}{4 l^{2}} y_{\max }^{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> Use case 1e from Table $8.7(b)$ or Table 8.9 to determine maximum slopes and moments after solving for $P$ |
| 2. Ends fixed to rigid supports, concentrated center load $W$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }+\frac{A}{16 I} y_{\max }^{3}=\frac{W l^{3}}{2 \pi^{4} E I} \quad\left(\text { Solve for } y_{\max }\right) \\ & P=\frac{\pi^{2} E A}{4 l^{2}} y_{\max }^{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> Use case 1 d from Table $8.7(d)$ or Table 8.9 to determine maximum slopes and moments after solving for $P$ |
| 3. Ends pinned to rigid supports, uniformly distributed transverse load $w$ on entire span | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }+\frac{A}{4 I} y_{\max }^{3}=\frac{5 w l^{4}}{4 \pi^{4} E I} \quad\left(\text { Solve for } y_{\max }\right) \\ & P=\frac{\pi^{2} E A}{4 l^{2}} y_{\max }^{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> Use case 2 e from Table $8.7(b)$ or Table 8.9 to determine maximum slopes and moments after solving for $P$ |
| 4. Ends fixed to rigid supports, uniformly distributed transverse load $w$ on entire span | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }+\frac{A}{16 I} y_{\max }^{3}=\frac{w l^{4}}{4 \pi^{4} E I} \quad\left(\text { Solve for } y_{\max }\right) \\ & P=\frac{\pi^{2} E A}{4 l^{2}} y_{\max }^{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> Use case 2 d from Table $8.7(d)$ or Table 8.9 to determine maximum slopes and moments after solving for $P$ |
| 5. Same as case 1, except beam is perfectly flexible like a cable or chain and has an unstretched length $l$ | $\tan \theta-\sin \theta=\frac{W}{2 E A} \text { or if } \theta<12^{\circ}, \theta=\left(\frac{W}{E A}\right)^{1 / 3}$ |
| 6. Same as case 3, except beam is perfectly flexible like a cable or chain and has an unstretched length $l$ |  |

TABLE 8.11(a) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams
Moments of inertia vary as $(1+K x / l)^{n}$, where $n=1.0$

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Multiplier listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| 1a | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.525 \\ & 2.262 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.636 \\ & 1.545 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.579 \\ & 0.614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.321 \\ & 0.359 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.171 \\ & 0.201 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.663 \\ & 2.498 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.682 \\ & 1.631 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.563 \\ & 0.578 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.303 \\ & 0.317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.159 \\ & 0.168 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.898 \\ & 2.811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.755 \\ & 1.731 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.543 \\ & 0.548 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.284 \\ & 0.289 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.146 \\ & 0.149 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.289 \\ & 3.261 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.858 \\ & 1.851 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.521 \\ & 0.522 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.266 \\ & 0.267 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.135 \\ & 0.135 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1c | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.055 \\ & 1.492 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.028 \\ & 1.256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.972 \\ & 0.744 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.946 \\ & 0.514 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.926 \\ & 0.330 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.148 \\ & 1.740 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.073 \\ & 1.365 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.936 \\ & 0.682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.887 \\ & 0.435 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.852 \\ & 0.261 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1d | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.046 \\ & 1.137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.026 \\ & 1.077 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.968 \\ & 0.905 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.932 \\ & 0.797 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.895 \\ & 0.686 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.163 \\ & 1.326 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.085 \\ & 1.171 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.915 \\ & 0.829 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.837 \\ & 0.674 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.771 \\ & 0.542 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 e | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A} \\ & y_{l / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.396 \\ & 1.563 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.220 \\ & 1.301 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.760 \\ & 0.703 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.531 \\ & 0.452 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.342 \\ & 0.268 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A} \\ & y_{l / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.524 \\ & 1.665 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.282 \\ & 1.349 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.718 \\ & 0.674 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.476 \\ & 0.416 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.293 \\ & 0.239 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.711 \\ & 2.525 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.695 \\ & 1.636 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.561 \\ & 0.579 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.302 \\ & 0.321 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.158 \\ & 0.171 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.864 \\ & 2.745 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.742 \\ & 1.708 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.547 \\ & 0.556 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.289 \\ & 0.296 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.149 \\ & 0.154 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.091 \\ & 3.029 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.806 \\ & 1.790 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.532 \\ & 0.535 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.275 \\ & 0.278 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.140 \\ & 0.142 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.435 \\ & 3.415 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.890 \\ & 1.886 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.516 \\ & 0.516 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.262 \\ & 0.263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.132 \\ & 0.133 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2c. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.074 \\ & 1.663 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.036 \\ & 1.326 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.968 \\ & 0.710 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.941 \\ & 0.473 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.922 \\ & 0.296 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.224 \\ & 1.942 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.104 \\ & 1.438 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.917 \\ & 0.653 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.858 \\ & 0.403 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.818 \\ & 0.237 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.11(a) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in <br> Table 8.1 | Load <br> location $a / l$ | Multiplier <br> listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $R_{A}$ | 1.089 | 1.046 | 0.954 | 0.911 | 0.872 |
|  | 0 | $M_{A}$ | 1.267 | 1.137 | 0.863 | 0.733 | 0.615 |
|  |  | 0.50 | $R_{A}$ | 1.267 | 1.130 | 0.886 | 0.791 |

TABLE 8.11(a) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in <br> Table 8.1 | Load <br> location $a / l$ | Multiplier <br> listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8.11(b) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams
Moments of inertia vary as $(1+K x / l)^{n}$, where $n=2.0$

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Multiplier listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| 1a | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.729 \\ & 2.455 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.667 \\ & 1.577 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.589 \\ & 0.626 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.341 \\ & 0.386 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.194 \\ & 0.235 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.872 \\ & 2.708 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.713 \\ & 1.663 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.572 \\ & 0.588 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.320 \\ & 0.338 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.176 \\ & 0.190 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.105 \\ & 3.025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.783 \\ & 1.761 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.549 \\ & 0.555 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.296 \\ & 0.301 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.157 \\ & 0.161 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.460 \\ & 3.437 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.877 \\ & 1.872 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.525 \\ & 0.526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.272 \\ & 0.273 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.140 \\ & 0.140 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1c | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.052 \\ & 1.588 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.028 \\ & 1.278 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.970 \\ & 0.759 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.938 \\ & 0.559 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.905 \\ & 0.398 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.138 \\ & 1.867 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.070 \\ & 1.390 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.932 \\ & 0.695 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.867 \\ & 0.468 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.807 \\ & 0.306 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1d | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.049 \\ & 1.155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.027 \\ & 1.082 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.969 \\ & 0.909 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.934 \\ & 0.813 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.895 \\ & 0.713 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.169 \\ & 1.358 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.086 \\ & 1.177 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.914 \\ & 0.833 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.831 \\ & 0.681 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.753 \\ & 0.548 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 e | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{A} \\ y_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.509 \\ & 1.716 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.246 \\ & 1.334 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.778 \\ & 0.721 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.586 \\ & 0.501 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.428 \\ & 0.334 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{A} \\ y_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.668 \\ & 1.840 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.313 \\ & 1.385 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.737 \\ & 0.692 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.525 \\ & 0.460 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.363 \\ & 0.294 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.916 \\ & 2.729 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.724 \\ & 1.667 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.569 \\ & 0.589 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.318 \\ & 0.341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.174 \\ & 0.194 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.067 \\ & 2.954 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.770 \\ & 1.737 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.554 \\ & 0.563 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.301 \\ & 0.311 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.161 \\ & 0.169 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.282 \\ & 3.226 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.830 \\ & 1.816 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.537 \\ & 0.540 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.283 \\ & 0.287 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.148 \\ & 0.150 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.580 \\ & 3.564 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.906 \\ & 1.902 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.518 \\ & 0.519 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.266 \\ & 0.267 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.136 \\ & 0.136 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2c. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.068 \\ & 1.774 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.035 \\ & 1.349 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.965 \\ & 0.723 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.932 \\ & 0.510 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.899 \\ & 0.351 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.203 \\ & 2.076 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.098 \\ & 1.463 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.910 \\ & 0.664 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.831 \\ & 0.430 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.761 \\ & 0.271 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.11(b) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in <br> Table 8.1 | Load <br> location $a / l$ | Multiplier <br> listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $R_{A}$ | 1.091 | 1.046 | 0.954 | 0.909 | 0.865 |
|  | 0 | $M_{A}$ | 1.290 | 1.142 | 0.866 | 0.741 | 0.628 |
|  |  | 0.50 | $R_{A}$ | 1.267 | 1.129 | 0.833 | 0.779 |

TABLE 8.11(b) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in <br> Table 8.1 | Load <br> location $a / l$ | Multiplier <br> listed for | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8.11(c) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams
Moments of inertia vary as $(1+K x / l)^{n}$, where $n=3.0$

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Multiplier listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| 1a | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.796 \\ & 2.520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.677 \\ & 1.587 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.593 \\ & 0.630 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.349 \\ & 0.397 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.204 \\ & 0.250 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.939 \\ & 2.777 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.722 \\ & 1.674 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.575 \\ & 0.592 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.327 \\ & 0.346 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.184 \\ & 0.200 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.169 \\ & 3.092 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.791 \\ & 1.770 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.551 \\ & 0.558 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.300 \\ & 0.307 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.162 \\ & 0.167 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.509 \\ & 3.488 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.883 \\ & 1.878 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.526 \\ & 0.527 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.274 \\ & 0.275 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.142 \\ & 0.143 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1c | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.051 \\ & 1.626 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.027 \\ & 1.286 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.969 \\ & 0.764 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.936 \\ & 0.573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.899 \\ & 0.422 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.134 \\ & 1.916 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.068 \\ & 1.399 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.930 \\ & 0.700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.860 \\ & 0.480 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.791 \\ & 0.322 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1d | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.050 \\ & 1.161 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.027 \\ & 1.084 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.969 \\ & 0.911 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.934 \\ & 0.818 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.895 \\ & 0.724 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.171 \\ & 1.378 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.086 \\ & 1.179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.914 \\ & 0.834 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.829 \\ & 0.684 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.748 \\ & 0.553 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 e | 0.25 | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{A} \\ y_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.554 \\ & 1.774 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.256 \\ & 1.346 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.784 \\ & 0.728 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.605 \\ & 0.519 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.460 \\ & 0.362 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} \theta_{A} \\ y_{l / 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.723 \\ & 1.907 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.324 \\ & 1.397 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.743 \\ & 0.699 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.543 \\ & 0.477 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.391 \\ & 0.318 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.981 \\ & 2.796 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.734 \\ & 1.677 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.572 \\ & 0.593 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.324 \\ & 0.349 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.182 \\ & 0.204 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.130 \\ & 3.020 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.779 \\ & 1.747 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.556 \\ & 0.566 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.306 \\ & 0.317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.167 \\ & 0.176 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.338 \\ & 3.285 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.837 \\ & 1.823 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.538 \\ & 0.542 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.287 \\ & 0.291 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.151 \\ & 0.154 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.620 \\ & 3.606 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.911 \\ & 1.097 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.519 \\ & 0.520 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.268 \\ & 0.269 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.137 \\ & 0.138 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2c. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.066 \\ & 1.817 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.034 \\ & 1.357 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.965 \\ & 0.727 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.928 \\ & 0.522 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.891 \\ & 0.370 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} R_{A} \\ \theta_{A} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.194 \\ & 2.125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.096 \\ & 1.471 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.908 \\ & 0.668 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.821 \\ & 0.439 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.741 \\ & 0.284 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.11(c) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in <br> Table 8.1 | Load <br> location $a / l$ | Multiplier <br> listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $R_{A}$ | 1.092 | 1.046 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 |

TABLE 8.11(c) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in <br> Table 8.1 | Load <br> location $a / l$ | Multiplier <br> listed for | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 8.11(d) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams
Moments of inertia vary as $(1+K x / l)^{n}$, where $n=4.0$

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Multiplier listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| 1a | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.828 \\ & 2.552 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.682 \\ & 1.593 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.595 \\ & 0.632 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.354 \\ & 0.402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.210 \\ & 0.258 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.971 \\ & 2.811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.727 \\ & 1.679 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.576 \\ & 0.593 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.330 \\ & 0.350 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.188 \\ & 0.206 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.200 \\ & 3.124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.796 \\ & 1.774 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.553 \\ & 0.559 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.303 \\ & 0.310 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.165 \\ & 0.170 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.532 \\ & 3.511 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.886 \\ & 1.881 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.527 \\ & 0.528 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.276 \\ & 0.277 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.143 \\ & 0.144 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1c | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.051 \\ & 1.646 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.027 \\ & 1.290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.969 \\ & 0.767 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.935 \\ & 0.581 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.896 \\ & 0.434 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.131 \\ & 1.941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.068 \\ & 1.404 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.929 \\ & 0.702 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.857 \\ & 0.485 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.784 \\ & 0.331 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1d | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.051 \\ & 1.164 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.027 \\ & 1.085 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.969 \\ & 0.912 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.935 \\ & 0.821 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.896 \\ & 0.730 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.172 \\ & 1.373 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.086 \\ & 1.180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.914 \\ & 0.835 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.828 \\ & 0.686 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.746 \\ & 0.556 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 e | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A} \\ & y_{l / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.578 \\ & 1.805 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.260 \\ & 1.351 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.787 \\ & 0.731 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.615 \\ & 0.528 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.476 \\ & 0.376 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A} \\ & y_{l / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.752 \\ & 1.941 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.329 \\ & 1.404 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.746 \\ & 0.702 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.552 \\ & 0.485 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.406 \\ & 0.331 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2a. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.013 \\ & 2.828 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.738 \\ & 1.682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.573 \\ & 0.595 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.328 \\ & 0.354 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.187 \\ & 0.210 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.161 \\ & 3.052 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.783 \\ & 1.751 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.558 \\ & 0.568 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.309 \\ & 0.320 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.170 \\ & 0.180 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.365 \\ & 3.314 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.841 \\ & 1.827 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.539 \\ & 0.543 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.289 \\ & 0.293 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.154 \\ & 0.157 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.75 | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.639 \\ & 3.625 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.913 \\ & 1.910 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.520 \\ & 0.521 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.269 \\ & 0.270 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.138 \\ & 0.139 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2c. Uniform load | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.065 \\ & 1.839 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.034 \\ & 1.361 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.964 \\ & 0.729 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.927 \\ & 0.528 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.888 \\ & 0.380 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.190 \\ & 2.151 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.095 \\ & 1.476 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.907 \\ & 0.670 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.817 \\ & 0.443 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.731 \\ & 0.290 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 8.11(d) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in <br> Table 8.1 | Load <br> location $a / l$ | Multiplier <br> listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $R_{A}$ | 1.092 | 1.046 | 0.954 | 0.908 | 0.862 |
|  |  | $M_{A}$ | 1.301 | 1.145 | 0.867 | 0.747 | 0.639 |
|  |  | 0.50 | $R_{A}$ | 1.266 | 1.128 | 0.882 | 0.774 |

TABLE 8.11(d) Reaction and deflection coefficients for tapered beams (Continued)

| Case no. in Table 8.1 | Load location $a / l$ | Multiplier listed for | $I_{B} / I_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.50 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 |
| 3 c | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.902 \\ & 1.414 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.947 \\ & 1.189 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.063 \\ & 0.841 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.138 \\ & 0.707 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.227 \\ & 0.595 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & \theta_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.023 \\ & 1.275 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.015 \\ & 1.161 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.976 \\ & 0.823 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.944 \\ & 0.652 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.904 \\ & 0.499 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3d | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.780 \\ & 1.716 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.887 \\ & 1.354 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.117 \\ & 0.665 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.234 \\ & 0.359 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.347 \\ & 0.092 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & R_{A} \\ & M_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.971 \\ & 0.902 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.993 \\ & 0.976 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.993 \\ & 0.979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.971 \\ & 0.922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.935 \\ & 0.839 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 e | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A} \\ & y_{l / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.414 \\ & 1.752 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.189 \\ & 1.329 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.841 \\ & 0.746 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.707 \\ & 0.552 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.595 \\ & 0.406 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 0.25 | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A} \\ & y_{l / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.903 \\ & 1.927 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.396 \\ & 1.401 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.697 \\ & 0.700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.470 \\ & 0.480 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.304 \\ & 0.321 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 8.12 Position of flexural center $Q$ for different sections

| Form of section | Position of $Q$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Any narrow section symmetrical about the $x$ axis; centroid at $x=0, y=0$ | $e=\frac{1+3 v}{1+v} \frac{\int x t^{3} d x}{\int t^{3} d x}$ <br> For narrow triangle (with $v=0.25$ ), $e=0.187 a \quad$ (Refs. 32 and 52) |
| 2. Beam composed of $n$ elements of any form, connected of separate, with common neutral axis (e.g., multiple-spar airplane wing) | $e=\frac{E_{2} I_{2} x_{2}+E_{3} I_{3} x_{3}+\cdots+E_{n} I_{n} x_{n}}{E_{1} I_{1}+E_{2} I_{2}+E_{3} I_{3}+\cdots+E_{n} I_{n}}$ <br> where $I_{1}, I_{2}$, etc., are moments of inertia of the several elements about the $X$ axis (that is, $Q$ is at the centroid of the products $E I$ for the several elements) |
| 3. Semicircular area | $e=\frac{8}{15 \pi} \frac{3+4 v}{1+v} R \quad(Q$ is to right of centroid) $\quad$ (Refs. 1 and 64) <br> For any sector of solid or hollow circular area, see Ref. 32 |
| 4. Angle | Leg $1=$ rectangle $w_{1} h_{1}$; leg $2=$ rectangle $w_{2} h_{2}$ <br> $I_{1}=$ moment of inertia of leg 1 about $Y_{1} \quad$ (central axis) <br> $I_{2}=$ moment of inertia of leg about $Y_{2} \quad$ (central axis) <br> $e_{y}=\frac{h_{1}}{2} \frac{I_{1}}{I_{1}+I_{2}} \quad$ (for $e_{x}$ use $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ central axes) <br> (Ref. 31) <br> If $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are small, $e_{x}=e_{y}=0$ (practically) and $Q$ is at 0 |
| 5. Channel | $e=h \frac{I_{x y}}{I_{x}}$ |


6. T

$e=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) \frac{1}{1+d_{1}^{3} t_{1} / d_{2}^{3} t_{2}}$
For a T-beam of ordinary proportions, $Q$ may be assumed to be at 0

## TABLE 8.12 Position of flexural center $Q$ for different sections (Continued)

| 7. $I$ with unequal flanges and thin web | $e=b \frac{I_{2}}{I_{1}+I_{2}}$ <br> where $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, respectively, denote moments of inertia about $X$ axis of flanges 1 and 2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8. Hollow thin-walled triangular section | $\frac{e}{h}=\frac{1}{2 \tan \theta\left(1+t \sin \theta / t_{h}\right)}$ |
| 9. Hollow thin-walled section bounded by a circular arc and a straight edge <br> Note: Expressions are valid for $0<\theta<\pi$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=t R^{3}\left(\theta-\sin \theta \cos \theta+\frac{2 t_{h}}{3 t} \sin ^{3} \theta\right) \\ & \frac{e}{R}=\frac{2 t_{h} R^{3} \sin \theta\left(\cos \theta+t / t_{h}\right)}{I_{x}\left(\sin \theta+t_{h} \theta / t\right)}\left(\sin \theta-\theta \cos \theta+\frac{t_{h} \theta}{3 t} \sin ^{2} \theta\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> If $\theta=\pi / 2$ $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{t R^{3}}{2}\left(\pi+\frac{4 t_{h}}{3 t}\right) \\ & \frac{e}{R}=\frac{4\left(6+\pi t_{h} / t\right)}{\left(2+\pi t_{h} / t\right)\left(3 \pi+4 t_{h} / t\right)} \end{aligned}$ |
| 10. Hollow thin-walled rectangular section | $\begin{aligned} I_{x} & =\frac{h^{3}}{12}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}\right)+\frac{t_{1} b h^{2}}{2} \\ \frac{e}{b} & =\frac{b h^{2}}{12 I_{x}}\left[9 t_{1}+\frac{t_{3} h}{b}-\frac{12\left(b+t_{1} h / t_{3}\right)}{2 b / t_{1}+h / t_{3}+h / t_{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$ |

11. For thin-walled sections, such as lipped channels, hat sections, and sectors of circular tubes, see Table 9.2. The position of the flexural centers and shear centers coincide.

## TABLE 8.13 Collapse loads with plastic hinge locations for straight beams

nOtATION: $M_{p}=$ fully plastic bending moment (force-length); $x_{h}=$ position of a plastic hinge (length); $W_{c}=$ concentrated load necessary to produce plastic collapse of the beam (force); $w_{c}=$ unit load necessary to produce plastic collapse of the beam (force per unit length); $M_{o c}=$ applied couple necessary to produce plastic collapse (force-length). The fully plastic bending moment $M_{p}$ is the product of the yield strength of the matieral $\sigma_{y s}$ and the plastic section modulus $Z$ found in Table A. 1 for the given cross sections

| Reference no., end restraints |
| :--- |
| 1a. Left end free, right end <br> fixed (cantilever) |
| $W_{c}=\frac{M_{p}}{l-a}$ <br> $x_{h}=l$ |

TABLE 8.13 Collapse loads with plastic hinge locations for straight beams (Continued)

| Reference no., end restraints | Collapse loads with plastic hinge locations |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | If $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{2 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad x_{h}=l$ <br> If $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $w_{l c}=\frac{6 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad x_{h}=l$ <br> If $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{3 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad x_{h}=l$ |
| 2b. Left end guided, right end fixed | If $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{4 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad x_{h 1}=a \quad x_{h 2}=l$ <br> If $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $w_{l c}=\frac{12 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad x_{h 1}=a \quad x_{h 2}=l$ <br> If $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{6 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad x_{h 1}=a \quad x_{h 2}=l$ |
| 2c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | If $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{2 M_{p}\left(l+x_{h 1}\right)}{\left(l-x_{h 1}\right)\left(l x_{h_{1}}-a^{2}\right)}$ <br> where $x_{h 1}=\left[2\left(l^{2}+a^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}-l \quad x_{h 2}=l$ <br> If $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then$w_{l c}=\frac{6 K_{1} M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad x_{h 1}=K_{2} l \quad x_{h 2}=l$$a / l$ 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 <br> $K_{1}$ 4.000 3.324 2.838 2.481 2.211 <br> $K_{2}$ 0.500 0.545 0.616 0.713 0.838 <br> If $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then $\begin{array}{l\|lllll} w_{a c}=\frac{6 K_{3} M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} & x_{h 1}=K_{4} l & x_{h 2}=l \\ \hline a / l & 0 & 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.8 \\ K_{3} & 3.596 & 2.227 & 1.627 & 1.310 & 1.122 \\ K_{4} & 0.347 & 0.387 & 0.490 & 0.634 & 0.808 \end{array}$ |

## table 8.13 Collapse loads with plastic hinge locations for straight beams (Continued)

| Reference no., end restraints | Collapse loads with plastic hinge locations |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | If $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{16 M_{p} l^{2}}{\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad x_{h 1}=0 \quad x_{h 2}=\frac{l^{2}+a^{2}}{2 l} \quad x_{h 3}=l$ <br> If $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $\begin{aligned} & w_{l c}=\frac{12 M_{p}(l-a)}{\left(l-x_{h 2}\right)\left(x_{h 2}^{2}-3 a x_{h 2}+l x_{h 2}+a^{3} / l\right)} \\ & x_{h 1}=0 \quad x_{h 2}=a+\left(a^{2}-a l+\frac{l^{2}}{3}-\frac{a^{3}}{3 l}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad x_{h 3}=l \end{aligned}$ <br> If $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then $\begin{aligned} w_{a c} & =\frac{12 M_{p}(l-a)}{\left(l-x_{h 2}\right)\left(2 l x_{h 2}-3 a^{2}-x_{h 2}^{2}+2 a^{3} / l\right)} \\ x_{h 1} & =0 \quad x_{h 2}=l-\left(\frac{l^{2}}{3}-a^{2}+\frac{2 a^{3}}{3 l}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad x_{h 3}=l \end{aligned}$ |
| 2e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | If $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{8 M_{p} l^{2}}{\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2}} \quad x_{h}=\frac{l^{2}+a^{2}}{2 l}$ <br> If $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $\begin{aligned} w_{l c} & =\frac{6 M_{p}(l-a)}{\left(l-x_{h}\right)\left(x_{h}^{2}-3 a x_{h}+l x_{h}+a^{3} / l\right)} \\ x_{h} & =a+\left(a^{2}-a l+\frac{l^{2}}{3}-\frac{a^{3}}{3 l}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ <br> If $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then $\begin{aligned} w_{a c} & =\frac{6 M_{p}(l-a)}{\left(l-x_{h}\right)\left(2 l x_{h}-3 a^{2}-x_{h}^{2}+2 a^{3} / l\right)} \\ x_{h} & =l-\left(\frac{l^{2}}{3}-a^{2}+\frac{2 a^{3}}{3 l}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | If $w_{l}=w_{a}$ (uniform load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{2 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad 0 \leqslant x_{h} \leqslant a$ <br> If $w_{a}=0$ (uniformly increasing load), then $w_{l c}=\frac{6 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad 0 \leqslant x_{h} \leqslant a$ <br> If $w_{l}=0$ (uniformly decreasing load), then $w_{a c}=\frac{3 M_{p}}{(l-a)^{2}} \quad 0 \leqslant x_{h} \leqslant a$ |

TABLE 8.13 Collapse loads with plastic hinge locations for straight beams
(Continued)

| Reference no., end restraints | Collapse loads with plastic hinge locations |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3a. Left end free, right end fixed (cantilever) | $\begin{aligned} & M_{o c}=M_{p} \\ & a<x_{h}<l \end{aligned}$ |
| 3b. Left end guided, right end fixed | $\begin{aligned} & M_{o c}=2 M_{p} \\ & 0<x_{h 1}<a \quad a<x_{h 2}<l \end{aligned}$ |
| 3c. Left end simply supported, right end fixed | If $l / 3 \leqslant a \leqslant l$, then $M_{o c}=2 M_{p}$ and two plastic hinges form, one on each side of and adjacent to the loading $M_{o}$ If $0 \leqslant a \leqslant l / 3$, then $M_{o c}=\frac{M_{p}(l+a)}{l-a}$ <br> $x_{h 1}=a$ just to the right of the loading $M_{o} \quad x_{h 2}=l$ |
| 3d. Left end fixed, right end fixed | $M_{o c}=2 M_{p}$ and two plastic hinges form, one on each side of and adjacent to the loading $M_{o}$ <br> If $0<a<l / 2$, then a third hinge forms at the right end <br> If $l / 2<a<l$, then the third hinge forms at the left end <br> If $a=l / 2$, two hinges form at any two locations on one side of the load and one at any location on the other side |
| 3e. Left end simply supported, right end simply supported | If $0 \leqslant a<l / 2$, then $M_{o c}=\frac{M_{p} l}{l-a}$ <br> $x_{h}=a$ just to the right of the loading $M_{o}$ <br> If $l / 2<a \leqslant l$, then $M_{o c}=\frac{M_{p} l}{a}$ <br> $x_{h}=a$ just to the right of the loading $M_{o}$ <br> If $a=l / 2$, then $M_{o c}=2 M_{p}$ <br> and two plastic hinges form, one on each side of and adjacent to the loading $M_{o}$ |
| 3f. Left end guided, right end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & M_{o c}=M_{p} \\ & 0<x_{h}<a \end{aligned}$ |
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# Chapter 9 

## Curved Beams

### 9.1 Bending in the Plane of the Curve

In a straight beam having either a constant cross section or a cross section which changes gradually along the length of the beam, the neutral surface is defined as the longitudinal surface of zero fiber stress when the member is subjected to pure bending. It contains the neutral axis of every section, and these neutral axes pass through the centroids of the respective sections. In this section on bending in the plane of the curve, the use of the many formulas is restricted to those members for which that axis passing through the centroid of a given section and directed normal to the plane of bending of the member is a principal axis. The one exception to this requirement is for a condition equivalent to the beam being constrained to remain in its original plane of curvature such as by frictionless external guides.

To determine the stresses and deformations in curved beams satisfying the restrictions given above, one first identifies several cross sections and then locates the centroids of each. From these centroidal locations the curved centroidal surface can be defined. For bending in the plane of the curve there will be at each section (1) a force $N$ normal to the cross section and taken to act through the centroid, (2) a shear force $V$ parallel to the cross section in a radial direction, and (3) a bending couple $M$ in the plane of the curve. In addition there will be radial stresses $\sigma_{r}$ in the curved beam to establish equilibrium. These internal loadings are shown in Fig. 9.1(a), and the stresses and deformations due to each will be evaluated.

Circumferential normal stresses due to pure bending. When a curved beam is bent in the plane of initial curvature, plane sections remain plane, but because of the different lengths of fibers on the inner and outer portions of the beam, the distribution of unit strain, and therefore stress, is not linear. The neutral axis does not pass through the
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centroid of the section and Eqs. (8.1-1) and (8.1-2) do not apply. The error involved in their use is slight as long as the radius of curvature is more than about eight times the depth of the beam. At that curvature the errors in the maximum stresses are in the range of 4 to $5 \%$. The errors created by using the straight-beam formulas become large for sharp curvatures as shown in Table 9.1, which gives formulas and selected numerical data for curved beams of several cross sections and for varying degrees of curvature. In part the formulas and tabulated coefficients are taken from the University of Illinois Circular by Wilson and Quereau (Ref. 1) with modifications suggested by Neugebauer (Ref. 28). For cross sections not included in Table 9.1 and for determining circumferential stresses at locations other than the extreme fibers, one can find formulas in texts on advanced mechanics of materials, for example, Refs. 29 and 36.

The circumferential normal stress $\sigma_{\theta}$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\theta}=\frac{M y}{A e r} \tag{9.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the applied bending moment, $A$ is the area of the cross section, $e$ is the distance from the centroidal axis to the neutral axis, and $y$ and $r$ locate the radial position of the desired stress from the neutral axis and the center of the curvature, respectively. See Fig. 9.1(b).

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=R-r_{n}=R-\frac{A}{\int_{\text {area }} d A / r} \quad \text { for } \frac{R}{d}<8 \tag{9.1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (9.1-1) and (9.1-2) are based on derivations that neglect the contribution of radial normal stress to the circumferential strain. This assumption does not cause appreciable error for curved beams of compact cross section for which the radial normal stresses are small, and it leads to acceptable answers for beams having thin webs where, although the radial stresses are higher, they occur in regions of the cross section where the circumferential bending stresses are small. The use of the equations in Table 9.1 and of Eqs. (9.1-1) and (9.1-2) is limited to values of $R / d>0.6$ where, for a rectangular cross section, a comparison of this mechanics-of-materials solution [Eq. (9.1-1)] to the solution using the theory of elasticity shows the mechanics of materials solution to indicate stresses approximately $10 \%$ too large.

While in theory the curved-beam formula for circumferential bending stress, Eq. (9.1-1), could be used for beams of very large radii of curvature, one should not use the expression for $e$ from Eq. (9.1-2) for cases where $R / d$, the ratio of the radius of the curvature $R$ to the depth of the cross section, exceeds 8 . The calculation for $e$ would have to be done with careful attention to precision on a computer or calculator to get an accurate answer. Instead one should use the following approximate expression for $e$ which becomes very accurate for large values of $R / d$. See Ref. 29.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \approx \frac{I_{c}}{R A} \quad \text { for } \frac{R}{d}>8 \tag{9.1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{c}$ is the area moment of inertia of the cross section about the centroidal axis. Using this expression for $e$ and letting $R$ approach infinity leads to the usual straight-beam formula for bending stress.

For complex sections where the table or Eq. (9.1-3) are inappropriate, a numerical technique that provides excellent accuracy can be employed. This technique is illustrated on pp. 318-321 of Ref. 36.

In summary, use Eq. (9.1-1) with $e$ from Eq. (9.1-2) for $0.6<R / d<8$. Use Eq. (9.1-1) with $e$ from Eq. (9.1-3) for those curved beams for which $R / d>8$ and where errors of less than 4 to $5 \%$ are desired, or use straight-beam formulas if larger errors are acceptable or if $R / d \gg 8$.

Circumferential normal stresses due to hoop tension $\boldsymbol{N}(\boldsymbol{M}=\mathbf{0})$. The normal force $N$ was chosen to act through the centroid of the cross section, so a constant normal stress $N / A$ would satisfy equilibrium. Solutions carried out for rectangular cross sections using the theory of elasticity show essentially a constant normal stress with higher values on a thin layer of material on the inside of the curved section and lower values on a thin layer of material on the outside of the section. In most engineering applications the stresses due to the moment $M$ are much
larger than those due to $N$, so the assumption of uniform stress due to $N$ is reasonable.

Shear stress due to the radial shear force V. Although Eq. (8.1-2) does not apply to curved beams, Eq. (8.1-13), used as for a straight beam, gives the maximum shear stress with sufficient accuracy in most instances. Again an analysis for a rectangular cross section carried out using the theory of elasticity shows that the peak shear stress in a curved beam occurs not at the centroidal axis as it does for a straight beam but toward the inside surface of the beam. For a very sharply curved beam, $R / d=0.7$, the peak shear stress was $2.04 V / A$ at a position one-third of the way from the inner surface to the centroid. For a sharply curved beam, $R / d=1.5$, the peak shear stress was $1.56 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$ at a position $80 \%$ of the way from the inner surface to the centroid. These values can be compared to a peak shear stress of $1.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{A}$ at the centroid for a straight beam of rectangular cross section.

If a mechanics-of-materials solution for the shear stress in a curved beam is desired, the element in Fig. 9.2(b) can be used and moments taken about the center of curvature. Using the normal stress distribution $\sigma_{\theta}=N / A+M y / A e R$, one can find the shear stress expression to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r \theta}=\frac{V(R-e)}{t_{r} A e r^{2}}\left(R A_{r}-Q_{r}\right) \tag{9.1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{r}$ is the thickness of the section normal to the plane of curvature at the radial position $r$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{r}=\int_{b}^{r} d A_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{r}=\int_{b}^{r} r_{1} d A_{1} \tag{9.1-5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Equation (9.1-4) gives conservative answers for the peak values of shear stress in rectangular sections when compared to elasticity solutions. The locations of peak shear stress are the same in both analyses, and the error in magnitude is about $1 \%$.

Radial stresses due to moment $\boldsymbol{M}$ and normal force $\boldsymbol{N}$. Owing to the radial components of the fiber stresses, radial stresses are present in a curved beam; these are tensile when the bending moment tends to straighten the beam and compressive under the reverse condition. A mechanics-of-materials solution may be developed by summing radial forces and summing forces perpendicular to the radius using the element in Fig. 9.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}=\frac{R-e}{t_{r} A e r}\left[(M-N R)\left(\int_{b}^{r} \frac{d A_{1}}{r_{1}}-\frac{A_{r}}{R-e}\right)+\frac{N}{r}\left(R A_{r}-Q_{r}\right)\right] \tag{9.1-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (9.1-6) is as accurate for radial stress as is Eq. (9.1-4) for shear stress when used for a rectangular cross section and compared to an elasticity solution. However, the complexity of Eq. (9.1-6) coupled with the fact that the stresses due to $N$ are generally smaller than those due to $M$ leads to the usual practice of omitting the terms involving $N$. This leads to the equation for radial stress found in many texts, such as Refs. 29 and 36.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}=\frac{R-e}{t_{r} A e r} M\left(\int_{b}^{r} \frac{d A_{1}}{r_{1}}-\frac{A_{r}}{R-e}\right) \tag{9.1-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again care must be taken when using Eqs. (9.1-4), (9.1-6), and (9.1-7) to use an accurate value for $e$ as explained above in the discussion following Eq. (9.1-3).

Radial stress is usually not a major consideration in compact sections for it is smaller than the circumferential stress and is low where the circumferential stresses are large. However, in flanged sections with thin webs the radial stress may be large at the junction of the flange and web, and the circumferential stress is also large at this position. This can lead to excessive shear stress and the possible yielding if the radial and circumferential stresses are of opposite sign. A large compressive radial stress in a thin web may also lead to a buckling of the web. Corrections for curved-beam formulas for sections having thin flanges are discussed in the next paragraph but corrections are also needed if a section has a thin web and very thick flanges. Under these conditions the individual flanges tend to rotate about their own neutral axes and larger radial and shear stresses are developed. Broughton et al. discuss this configuration in Ref. 31.

## EXAMPLES

1. The sharply curved beam with an elliptical cross section shown in Fig. 9.3(a) has been used in a machine and has carried satisfactorily a bending moment of $2\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{mm}$. All dimensions on the figures and in the calculations are given in millimeters. A redesign does not provide as much space for this part, and a decision has been made to salvage the existing stock of this part by machining 10 mm from the inside. The question has been asked as to what maximum moment the modified part can carry without exceeding the peak stress in the original installation.

Solution. First compute the maximum stress in the original section by using case 6 of Table 9.1. $R=100, c=50, R / c=2, A=\pi(50)(20)=3142$, $e / c=$ $0.5\left[2-\left(2^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\right]=0.1340, e=6.70$, and $r_{n}=100-6.7=93.3$. Using these values the stress $\sigma_{i}$ can be found as

$$
\sigma_{i}=\frac{M y}{A e r}=\frac{2\left(10^{6}\right)(93.3-50)}{3142(6.7)(50)}=82.3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}
$$

Alternatively one can find $\sigma_{i}$ from $\sigma_{i}=k_{i} M c / I_{x}$, where $k_{i}$ is found to be 1.616 in the table of values from case 6

$$
\sigma_{i}=\frac{(1.616)(2)\left(10^{6}\right)(50)}{\pi(20)(50)^{3} / 4}=82.3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}
$$

Next consider the same section with 10 mm machined from the inner edge as shown in Fig. 9.3(b). Use case 9 of Table 9.1 with the initial calculations based on the equivalent modified circular section shown in Fig. 9.3(c). For this configuration $\alpha=\cos ^{-1}(-40 / 50)=2.498 \mathrm{rad}\left(143.1^{\circ}\right), \sin \alpha=0.6, \cos \alpha=-0.8$, $R_{x}=100, a=50, a / c=1.179, c=42.418, R=102.418$, and $R / c=2.415$. In this problem $R_{x}>a$, so by using the appropriate expression from case 9 one obtains $e / c=0.131$ and $e=5.548 . R, c$, and $e$ have the same values for the machined ellipse, Fig. 9.3(b), and from case 18 of Table A. 1 the area is found to be $A=20(50)(\alpha-\sin \alpha \cos \alpha)=2978$. Now the maximum stress on the inner surface can be found and set equal to $82.3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i} & =82.3=\frac{M y}{\text { Aer }}=\frac{M(102.42-5.548-60)}{2978(5.548)(60)} \\
82.3 & =37.19\left(10^{6}\right) M, \quad M=2.21\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

One might not expect this increase in $M$ unless consideration is given to the machining away of a stress concentration. Be careful, however, to note that,
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although removing the material reduced the peak stress in this case, the part will undergo greater deflections under the same moment that it carried before.
2. A curved beam with a cross section shown in Fig. 9.4 is subjected to a bending moment of $10^{7} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{mm}$ in the plane of the curve and to a normal load of $80,000 \mathrm{~N}$ in tension. The center of the circular portion of the cross section has a radius of curvature of 160 mm . All dimensions are given and used in the formulas in millimeters. The circumferential stresses in the inner and outer fibers are desired.

Solution. This section can be modeled as a summation of three sections: (1) a solid circular section, (2) a negative (materials removed) segment of a circle, and (3) a solid rectangular section. The section properties are evaluated in the order listed above and the results summed for the composite section.

Section 1. Use case 6 of Table 9.1. $R=160, b=200, c=100, R / c=1.6$, $\int d A / r=200\left[1.6-\left(1.6^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\right]=220.54$, and $A=\pi\left(100^{2}\right)=31,416$.
Section 2. Use case 9 of Table 9.1. $a=\pi / 6\left(30^{\circ}\right), R_{x}=160, a=100$, $R_{x} / a=1.6, a / c=18.55, c=5.391, R=252.0, \int d A / r=3.595$, and from case 20 of Table A.1, $A=905.9$.

Section 3. Use case 1 of Table 9.1. $R=160+100 \cos 30^{\circ}+25=271.6$, $b=100, \quad c=25, \quad R / c=10.864, \quad A=5000, \quad \int d A / r=100 \ln (11.864 / 9.864)=$ 18.462 .

For the composite section; $A=31,416-905.9+5000=35,510, \quad R=$ $[31,416(160)-905.9(252)+5000(272.6)] / 35,510=173.37, c=113.37, \int d A / r=$ $220.54-3.595+18.462=235.4, r_{n}=A /\left(\int d A / r\right)=35,510 / 235.4=150.85, e=$ $R-r_{n}=22.52$.

Using these data the stresses on the inside and outside are found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i} & =\frac{M y}{A e r}+\frac{N}{A}=\frac{10^{7}(150.85-60)}{35,510(22.52)(60)}+\frac{80,000}{35,510} \\
& =18.93+2.25=21.18 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} \\
\sigma_{o} & =\frac{10^{7}(150.85-296.6)}{35,510(22.52)(296.6)}+\frac{80,000}{35,510} \\
& =-6.14+2.25=-3.89 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Curved beams with wide flanges. In reinforcing rings for large pipes, airplane fuselages, and ship hulls, the combination of a curved sheet and attached web or stiffener forms a curved beam with wide flanges.

Formulas for the effective width of a flange in such a curved beam are given in Ref. 9 and are as follows.

When the flange is indefinitely wide (e.g., the inner flange of a pipestiffener ring), the effective width is

$$
b^{\prime}=1.56 \sqrt{R t}
$$

where $b^{\prime}$ is the total width assumed effective, $R$ is the mean radius of curvature of the flange, and $t$ is the thickness of the flange.

When the flange has a definite unsupported width $b$ (gross width less web thickness), the ratio of effective to actual width $b^{\prime} / b$ is a function of $q b$, where

$$
q=\sqrt[4]{\frac{3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{R^{2} t^{2}}}
$$

Corresponding values of $q b$ and $b^{\prime} / b$ are as follows:

| $q b$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b^{\prime} / b$ | 0.980 | 0.850 | 0.610 | 0.470 | 0.380 | 0.328 | 0.273 | 0.244 | 0.217 | 0.200 | 0.182 |

For the curved beam each flange should be considered as replaced by one of corresponding effective width $b^{\prime}$, and all calculations for direct, bending, and shear stresses, including corrections for curvature, should be based on this transformed section.

Bleich (Ref. 10) has shown that under a straightening moment where the curvature is decreased, the radial components of the fiber stresses in the flanges bend both flanges radially away from the web, thus producing tension in the fillet between flange and web in a direction normal to both the circumferential and radial normal stresses discussed in the previous section. Similarly, a moment which increases the curvature causes both flanges to bend radially toward the web and produce compressive stresses in the fillet between flange and web. The nominal values of these transverse bending stresses $\sigma^{\prime}$ in the fillet, without any correction for the stress concentration at the fillet, are given by $\left|\sigma^{\prime}\right|=\left|\beta \sigma_{m}\right|$, where $\sigma_{m}$ is the circumferential bending stress at the midthickness of the flange. This is less than the maximum value found in Table 9.1 and can be calculated by using Eq. (9.1-1). See the first example problem. The value of the coefficient $\beta$ depends upon the ratio $c^{2} / R t$, where $c$ is the actual unsupported projecting width of the flange to either side of the web and $R$ and $t$ have the same meaning they did in the expressions for $b^{\prime}$ and $q$. Values of $\beta$ may be found from the following table; they were taken from Ref. 10 , where values of $b^{\prime}$ are also tabulated.

| $c^{2} / R t=0$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta=0$ | 0.297 | 0.580 | 0.836 | 1.056 | 1.238 | 1.382 | 1.577 |
| $c^{2} / R t=1$ | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $\beta=1.677$ | 1.721 | 1.732 | 1.732 | 1.707 | 1.671 | 1.680 | 1.700 |

Derivations of expressions for $b^{\prime} / b$ and for $\beta$ are also found in Ref. 29. Small differences in the values given in various references are due to compensations for secondary effects. The values given here are conservative.
In a similar way, the radial components of the circumferential normal stresses distort thin tubular cross sections of curved beams. This distortion affects both the stresses and deformations and is discussed in the next section.

U-shaped members. A U-shaped member having a semicircular inner boundary and a rectangular outer boundary is sometimes used as a punch or riveter frame. Such a member can usually be analyzed as a curved beam having a concentric outer boundary, but when the back thickness is large, a more accurate analysis may be necessary. In Ref. 11 are presented the results of a photoelastic stress analysis of such members in which the effects of variations in the several dimensions were determined. See case 23, Table 17.1

Deflections. If a sharply curved beam is only a small portion of a larger structure, the contribution to deflection made by the curved portion can best be calculated by using the stresses at the inner and outer surfaces to calculate strains and the strains then used to determine the rotations of the plane sections. If the structure is made up primarily of a sharply curved beam or a combination of such beams, then refer to the next section.

### 9.2 Deflection of Curved Beams

Deflections of curved beams can generally be found most easily by applying an energy method such as Castigliano's second theorem. One such expression is given by Eq. (8.1-7). The proper expression to use for the complementary energy depends upon the degree of curvature in the beam.

Deflection of curved beams of large radius. If for a curved beam the radius of curvature is large enough such that Eqs. (8.1-1) and (8.1-2) are acceptable, i.e., the radius of curvature is greater than 10 times the depth, then the stress distribution across the depth of the beam is very nearly linear and the complementary energy of flexure is given
with sufficient accuracy by Eq. (8.1-3). If, in addition, the angular span is great enough such that deformations due to axial stress from the normal force $N$ and the shear stresses due to transverse shear $V$ can be neglected, deflections can be obtained by applying Eqs. (8.1-3) and (8.1-7) and rotations by Eq. (8.1-8). The following example shows how this is done.

## EXAMPLE

Figure 9.5 represents a slender uniform bar curved to form the quadrant of a circle; it is fixed at the lower end and at the upper end is loaded by a vertical force $V$, a horizontal force $H$, and a couple $M_{0}$. It is desired to find the vertical deflection $\delta_{y}$, the horizontal deflection $\delta_{x}$, and the rotation $\theta$ of the upper end.

Solution. According to Castigliano's second theorem, $\delta_{y}=\partial U / \partial V, \delta_{x}=$ $\partial U / \partial H$, and $\theta=\partial U / \partial M_{0}$. Denoting the angular position of any section by $x$, it is evident that the moment there is $M=V R \sin x+H R(1-\cos x)+M_{0}$. Disregarding shear and axial stress, and replacing $d s$ by $R d x$, we have [Eq. (8.1-3)]

$$
U=U_{f}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{\left[V R \sin x+H R(1-\cos x)+M_{0}\right]^{2} R d x}{2 E I}
$$

Instead of integrating this and then carrying out the partial differentiations, we will differentiate first and then integrate, and for convenience suppress the constant term EI until all computations are completed. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{y} & =\frac{\partial U}{\partial V} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left[V R \sin x+H R(1-\cos x)+M_{0}\right](R \sin x) R d x \\
& =V R^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} x-\frac{1}{2} \sin x \cos x\right)-H R^{3}\left(\cos x+\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2} x\right)-\left.M_{0} R^{2} \cos x\right|_{0} ^{\pi / 2} \\
& =\frac{(\pi / 4) V R^{3}+\frac{1}{2} H R^{3}+M_{0} R^{2}}{E I}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{x} & =\frac{\partial U}{\partial H} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left[V R \sin x+H R(1-\cos x)+M_{0}\right] R(1-\cos x) R d x \\
& =V R^{3}\left(-\cos x-\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2} x\right)+H R^{3}\left(\frac{3}{2} x-2 \sin x+\frac{1}{2} \sin x \cos x\right)+\left.M_{0} R^{2}(x-\sin x)\right|_{0} ^{\pi / 2} \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{2} V R^{3}+\left(\frac{3}{4} \pi-2\right) H R^{3}+(\pi / 2-1) M_{0} R^{2}}{E I} \\
\theta & =\frac{\partial U}{\partial M_{0}} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left[V R \sin x+H R(1-\cos x)+M_{0}\right] R d x \\
& =-V R^{2} \cos x+H R^{2}(x-\sin x)+\left.M_{0} R x\right|_{0} ^{\pi / 2} \\
& =\frac{V R^{2}+(\pi / 2-1) H R^{2}+(\pi / 2) M_{0} R}{E I}
\end{aligned}
$$

The deflection produced by any one load or any combination of two loads is found by setting the other load or loads equal to zero; thus, $V$ alone would produce $\delta_{x}=\frac{1}{2} V R^{3} / E I$, and $M$ alone would produce $\delta_{y}=M_{0} R^{2} / E I$. In this example all results are positive, indicating that $\delta_{x}$ is in the direction of $H, \delta_{y}$ in the direction of $V$, and $\theta$ in the direction of $M_{0}$.

Distortion of tubular sections. In curved beams of thin tubular section, the distortion of the cross section produced by the radial components of the fiber stresses reduces both the strength and stiffness. If the beam curvature is not so sharp as to make Eqs. (8.1-1) and (8.1-4) inapplicable, the effect of this distortion of the section can be taken into account as follows.

In calculating deflection of curved beams of hollow circular section, replace $I$ by $K I$, where

$$
K=1-\frac{9}{10+12\left(t R / a^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

(Here $R=$ the radius of curvature of the beam axis, $a=$ the outer radius of tube section, and $t=$ the thickness of tube wall.) In calculating the maximum bending stress in curved beams of hollow circular section, use the formulas

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{M a}{I} \frac{2}{3 K \sqrt{3 \beta}} \quad \text { at } y=\frac{a}{\sqrt{3 \beta}} \quad \text { if } \frac{t R}{a^{2}}<1.472
$$

or

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{M a}{I} \frac{1-\beta}{K} \quad \text { at } y=a \quad \text { if } \frac{t R}{a^{2}}>1.472
$$

where

$$
\beta=\frac{6}{5+6\left(t R / a^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

and $y$ is measured from the neutral axis. Torsional stresses and deflections are unchanged.

In calculating deflection or stress in curved beams of hollow square section and uniform wall thickness, replace $I$ by

$$
\frac{1+0.0270 n}{1+0.0656 n} I
$$

where $n=b^{4} / R^{2} t^{2}$. (Here $R=$ the radius of curvature of the beam axis, $b=$ the length of the side of the square section, and $t=$ the thickness of the section wall.)

The preceding formulas for circular sections are from von Kármán (Ref. 4); the formulas for square sections are from Timoshenko (Ref. 5), who also gives formulas for rectangular sections.

Extensive analyses have been made for thin-walled pipe elbows with sharp curvatures for which the equations given above do not apply directly. Loadings may be in-plane, out-of-plane, or in various combinations (Ref. 8). Internal pressure increases and external pressure decreases pipe-bend stiffness. To determine ultimate load capacities of pipe bends or similar thin shells, elastic-plastic analyses, studies of the several modes of instability, and the stabilizing effects of flanges and the piping attached to the elbows are some of the many subjects presented in published works. Bushnell (Ref. 7) included an extensive list of references. Using numerical results from computer codes, graphs of stress indices and flexibility factors provide design data (Refs. 7, 19, and 34).

Deflection of curved beams of small radius. For a sharply curved beam, i.e., the radius of curvature is less than 10 times the depth, the stress distribution is not linear across the depth. The expression for the complementary energy of flexure is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{f}=\int \frac{M^{2}}{2 A E e R} R d x=\int \frac{M^{2}}{2 A E e} d x \tag{9.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the cross-sectional area, $E$ is the modulus of elasticity, and $e$ is the distance from the centroidal axis to the neutral axis as given in Table 9.1. The differential change in angle $d x$ is the same as is used in
the previous example. See Fig. 9.1. Also keep clearly in mind that the bending in the plane of the curvature must be about a principal axis or the restraints described in the first paragraph of Sec. 9.1 must be present.

For all cross sections the value of the product $A e R$ approaches the value of the moment of inertia $I$ when the radius of curvature becomes greater than 10 times the depth. This is seen clearly in the following table where values of the ratio $A e R / I$ are given for several sections and curvatures.

| Case <br> no. |  | $R / d$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section | 1 | 3 | 5 | 10 |  |
|  | Solid rectangle | 1.077 | 1.008 | 1.003 | 1.001 |  |
| 2 | Solid circle | 1.072 | 1.007 | 1.003 | 1.001 |  |
| 5 | Triangle (base inward) | 0.927 | 0.950 | 0.976 | 0.988 |  |
| 6 | Triangle (base outward) | 1.268 | 1.054 | 1.030 | 1.014 |  |

For curved beams of large radius the effect on deflections of the shear stresses due to $V$ and the circumferential normal stresses due to $N$ were small unless the length was small. For sharply curved beams the effects of these stresses must be considered. Only the effects of the radial stresses $\sigma_{r}$ will be neglected. The expression for the complementary energy including all but the radial stresses is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{f}=\int \frac{M^{2}}{2 A E e} d x+\int \frac{F V^{2} R}{2 A G} d x+\int \frac{N^{2} R}{2 A E} d x-\int \frac{M N}{A E} d x \tag{9.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all the quantities are defined in the notation at the top of Table 9.2.

The last term, hereafter referred to as the coupling term, involves the complementary energy developed from coupling the strains from the bending moment $M$ and the normal force $N$. A positive bending moment $M$ produces a negative strain at the position of the centroidal axis in a curved beam, and the resultant normal force $N$ passes through the centroid. Reasons have been given for and against including the coupling term in attempts to improve the accuracy of calculated deformations (see Refs. 3 and 29). Ken Tepper, Ref. 30, called attention to the importance of the coupling term for sharply curved beams. The equations in Tables 9.2 and 9.3 have been modified and now include the effect of the coupling term. With this change, the formulas given in Tables 9.2 and 9.3 for the indeterminate reactions and for the deformations are no longer limited to thin rings and arches
but can be used as well for thick rings and arches. As before, for thin rings and arches $\alpha$ and $\beta$ can be set to zero with little error.

To summarize this discussion and its application to the formulas in Tables 9.2 and 9.3 , one can place a given curved beam into one of three categories: a thin ring, a moderately thick ring, and a very thick or sharply curved ring. The boundaries between these categories depend upon the $R / d$ ratio and the shape of the cross section. Reference to the preceding tabulation of the ratio $A e R / I$ will be helpful.

For thin rings the effect of normal stress due to $N$ and shear stress due to $V$ can be neglected; i.e., set $\alpha$ and $\beta$ equal to zero. For moderately thick rings and arches use the equations as they are given in Tables 9.2 and 9.3. For thick rings and arches replace the moment of inertia $I$ with the product $A e R$ in all equations including those for $\alpha$ and $\beta$. To illustrate the accuracy of this approach, the previous example problem will be repeated but for a thick ring of rectangular cross section. The rectangular cross section was chosen because a solution can be obtained by using the theory of elasticity with which to compare and evaluate the results.

## EXAMPLE

Figure 9.6 represents a thick uniform bar of rectangular cross section having a curved centroidal surface of radius $R$. It is fixed at the lower end, and the upper end is loaded by a vertical force $V$, a horizontal force $H$, and a couple $M_{o}$. It is desired to find the vertical deflection $\delta_{y}$, the horizontal deflection $\delta_{x}$, and the rotation $\theta$ of the upper end. Note that the deflections $\delta_{y}$ and $\delta_{x}$ are the values at the free end and at the radial position $R$ at which the load $H$ is applied.
First Solution. Again Castigliano's theorem will be used. First find the moment, shear, and axial force at the angular position $x$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{x} & =V R \sin x+H R(1-\cos x)+M_{o} \\
V_{x} & =V \cos x+H \sin x \\
N_{x} & =-H \cos x+V \sin x
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the beam is to be treated as a thick beam the expression for complementary energy is given by

$$
U+\int \frac{M_{x}^{2}}{2 A E e} d x+\int \frac{F V_{x}^{2} R}{2 A G} d x+\int \frac{N_{x}^{2} R}{2 A E} d x-\int \frac{M_{x} N_{x}}{A E} d x
$$


(a)

(b)

The deflections can now be calculated

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{y}= & \frac{\partial U}{\partial V}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{M_{x}}{A E e}(R \sin x) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{F V_{x} R}{A G}(\cos x) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{N_{x} R}{A E}(\sin x) d x \\
& -\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{M_{x}}{A E}(\sin x) d x-\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{N_{x}}{A E}(R \sin x) d x \\
= & \frac{(\pi / 4) V R^{3}+0.5 H R^{3}+M_{o} R^{2}}{E A e R}+\frac{0.5 R(\pi V / 2+H)[2 F(1+v)-1]-M_{o}}{A E} \\
\delta_{x}= & \frac{\partial U}{\partial H}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{M_{x} R}{A E e}(1-\cos x) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{F V_{x} R}{A G}(\sin x) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{N_{x} R}{A E}(-\cos x) d x \\
& -\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{M_{x}}{A E}(-\cos x) d x-\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{N_{x} R}{A E}(1-\cos x) d x \\
= & \frac{0.5 V R^{3}+(3 \pi / 4-2) H R^{3}+(\pi / 2-1) M_{o} R^{2}}{E A e R} \\
& +\frac{0.5 V R[2 F(1+v)-1]+(\pi / 4) H R[2 F(1+v)+8 / \pi-1]+M_{o}}{E A} \\
\theta= & \frac{\partial U}{\partial M_{o}}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{M_{x}}{A E e}(1) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{F V_{x} R}{A G}(0) d x+\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{N_{x} R}{A E}(0) d x-\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{M_{x}}{A E}(0) d x \\
& -\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{N_{x}}{A E}(1) d x \\
= & \frac{V R^{2}+(\pi / 2-1) H R^{2}+(\pi / 2) M_{o} R}{E A e R}+\frac{H-V}{A E}
\end{aligned}
$$

There is no need to reduce these expressions further in order to make a numerical calculation, but it is of interest here to compare to the solutions in the previous example. Therefore, let $\alpha=e / R$ and $\beta=F E e / G R=2 F(1+v) / R$ as defined previously

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{y}= & \frac{(\pi / 4) V R^{3}(1-\alpha+\beta)+0.5 H R^{3}(1-\alpha+\beta)+M_{o} R^{2}(1-\alpha)}{E A e R} \\
\delta_{x}= & \frac{0.5 V R^{3}(1-\alpha+\beta)+H R^{3}[(3 \pi / 4-2)+(2-\pi / 4) \alpha+(\pi / 4) \beta]}{E A e R} \\
& +\frac{M_{o} R^{2}(\pi / 2-1+\alpha)}{E A e R} \\
\theta= & \frac{V R^{2}(1-\alpha)+H R^{2}(\pi / 2-1+\alpha)+(\pi / 2) M_{o} R}{E A e R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Up to this point in the derivation, the cross section has not been specified. For a rectangular cross section having an outer radius $a$ and an inner radius $b$ and of thickness $t$ normal to the surface shown in Fig. 9.6(b), the following substitutions can be made in the deformation equations. Let $v=0.3$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R=\frac{a+b}{2}, \quad A=(a-b) t, & F=1.2 \quad \text { (see Sec. 8.10) } \\
\alpha=\frac{e}{R}=1-\frac{2(a-b)}{(a+b) \ln (a / b)}, & \beta=3.12 \alpha
\end{array}
$$

In the following table the value of $a / b$ is varied from 1.1 , where $R / d=10.5$, a thin beam, to $a / b=5.0$, where $R / d=0.75$, a very thick beam. Three sets of numerical values are compared. The first set consists of the three deformations $\delta_{y}, \delta_{x}$, and $\theta$ evaluated from the equations just derived and due to the vertical load $V$. The second set consists of the same deformations due to the same loading but evaluated by applying the equations for a thin curved beam from the first example. The third set consists of the same deformations due to the same loading but evaluated by applying the theory of elasticity. See Ref. 2. The abbreviation MM in parentheses identifies the values from the mechanics-ofmaterials solutions and the abbreviation EL similarly identifies those found from the theory of elasticity.

|  |  | From thick-beam theory |  |  | From thin-beam theory |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\delta_{y}(\mathrm{MM})}{\delta_{y}(\mathrm{EL})}$ | $\frac{\delta_{x}(\mathrm{MM})}{\delta_{x}(\mathrm{EL})}$ | $\frac{\theta(\mathrm{MM})}{\theta(\mathrm{EL})}$ | $\frac{\delta_{y}(\mathrm{MM})}{\delta_{y}(\mathrm{EL})}$ | $\frac{\delta_{x}(\mathrm{MM})}{\delta_{x}(\mathrm{EL})}$ |
| $a / b$ | $R / d$ | $\frac{\theta(\mathrm{MM})}{\theta(\mathrm{EL})}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | 10.5 | 0.9996 | 0.9990 | 0.9999 | 0.9986 | 0.9980 | 1.0012 |
| 1.3 | 3.83 | 0.9974 | 0.9925 | 0.9991 | 0.9900 | 0.9852 | 1.0094 |
| 1.5 | 2.50 | 0.9944 | 0.9836 | 0.9976 | 0.9773 | 0.9967 | 1.0223 |
| 1.8 | 1.75 | 0.9903 | 0.9703 | 0.9944 | 0.9564 | 0.9371 | 1.0462 |
| 2.0 | 1.50 | 0.9884 | 0.9630 | 0.9916 | 0.9431 | 0.9189 | 1.0635 |
| 3.0 | 1.00 | 0.9900 | 0.9485 | 0.9729 | 0.8958 | 0.8583 | 1.1513 |
| 4.0 | 0.83 | 1.0083 | 0.9575 | 0.9511 | 0.8749 | 0.8345 | 1.2304 |
| 5.0 | 0.75 | 1.0230 | 0.9763 | 0.9298 | 0.8687 | 0.8290 | 1.2997 |

If reasonable errors can be tolerated, the strength-of-materials solutions are very acceptable when proper recognition of thick and thin beams is given.

Second Solution. Table 9.3 is designed to enable one to take any angular span $2 \theta$ and any single load or combination of loads and find the necessary indeterminate reactions and the desired deflections. To demonstrate this use of Table 9.3 in this example the deflection $\delta_{x}$ will be found due to a load $H$. Use of case 12 d , with load terms from case 5 d and with $\theta=\pi / 4$ and $\phi=\pi / 4$. Both load terms $L F_{H}$ and $L F_{V}$ are needed since the desired deflection $\delta_{x}$ is not in the direction of either of the deflections given in the table. Let $c=m=$ $s=n=0.7071$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H} & =H\left\{\frac{\pi}{2} 0.7071+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left[\frac{\pi}{2} 0.7071-0.7071^{3}(2)\right]-k_{2} 2(0.7071)\right\} \\
L F_{V} & =H\left\{-\frac{\pi}{2} 0.7071-\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left[\frac{\pi}{2} 0.7071+0.7071^{3}(2)\right]+k_{2} 4\left(0.7071^{3}\right)\right\} \\
\delta_{x} & =\left(\delta_{V A}-\delta_{H A}\right) 0.7071=\frac{-R^{3}}{E A e R}\left(L F_{V}-L F_{H}\right) 0.7071 \\
& =\frac{-R^{3} H}{E A e R}\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{k_{1}}{2} \frac{\pi}{2}+2 k_{2}\right)=\frac{R^{3} H}{E A e R}\left[\frac{3 \pi}{4}-2+\left(2-\frac{\pi}{4}\right) \alpha+\frac{\pi}{4} \beta\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression for $\delta_{x}$ is the same as the one derived directly from Castigliano's theorem. For angular spans of 90 or $180^{\circ}$ the direct derivation is not difficult, but for odd-angle spans the use of the equations in Table 9.3 is recommended.


Figure 9.7
The use of the equations in Table 9.3 is also recommended when deflections are desired at positions other than the load point. For example, assume the deflections of the midspan of this arch are desired when it is loaded with the end load $H$ as shown in Fig. 9.7(a). To do this, isolate the span from $B$ to $C$ and find the loads $H_{B}, V_{B}$, and $M_{B}$ which act at point $B$. This gives $H_{B}=V_{B}=0.7071 H$ and $M_{B}=H R(1-0.7071)$. Now, superpose cases 12 c , 12 d , and 12 n using these loads and $\theta=\phi=\pi / 8$. In a problem with neither end fixed, a rigid-body motion may have to be superposed to satisfy the boundary conditions.

Deflection of curved beams of variable cross section and/or radius. None of the tabulated formulas applies when either the cross section or the radius of curvature varies along the span. The use of Eqs. (9.2-1) and (9.2-2), or of comparable expressions for thin curved beams, with numerical integration carried out for a finite number of elements along the span provides an effective means of solving these problems. This is best shown by example.

## EXAMPLE

A rectangular beam of constant thickness and a depth varying linearly along the length is bent such that the centroidal surface follows the curve $x=0.25 y^{2}$ as shown in Fig. 9.8. The vertical deflection at the loaded end is desired. To keep the use of specific dimensions to a minimum let the depth of the curved beam at the fixed end $=1.0$, the thickness $=0.5$, and the horizontal location of the load $P=1.0$. The beam will be subdivided into eight segments, each spanning 0.25 units in the $y$ direction. Normally a constant length along the span is used, but using constant $\Delta y$ gives shorter spans where moments are larger and curvatures are sharper. The numerical calculations are also easier. Use will be made of the following expressions in order to provide the tabulated

information from which the needed summation can be found. Note that $y_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ are used here as the $y$ and $x$ positions of the midlength of each segment

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=0.25 y^{2}, \quad \frac{d x}{d y}=0.5 y, \quad \frac{d^{2} x}{d^{2} y}=0.5, \quad \Delta l=\Delta y\left(1+x_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
R=\frac{\left[1+(d x / d y)^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}{d^{2} x / d^{2} y} \\
\frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{2}{\ln [(R / c+1) /(R / c-1)]}
\end{gathered}
$$

[see Eq. (9.1-1) and case 1 of Table 9.1] or

$$
\frac{e}{c}=\frac{I_{c}}{R A c}=\frac{t(2 c)^{3}}{12\left(R t 2 c^{2}\right)}=\frac{c}{3 R} \quad \text { for } \frac{R}{2 c}>8
$$

[see Eq. (9.1-3)].
The desired vertical deflection of the loaded end can be determined from Castigliano's theorem, using Eq. (9.2-2) for $U_{f}$ in summation form rather than integral form. This reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & =\frac{\delta U}{\delta P}=\frac{P}{E} \sum\left[\frac{(M / P)^{2}}{e R}+F\left(\frac{V}{P}\right)^{2} 2(1+v)+\left(\frac{N}{P}\right)^{2}-2 \frac{M}{P} \frac{N}{P}\right] \frac{\Delta l}{A} \\
& =\frac{P}{E} \sum \frac{\Delta l}{A}[B]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $[B]$ and $[B] \Delta l / A$ are the last two columns in the following table. The internal forces and moments can be determined from equilibrium equations as

$$
\frac{M}{P}=-\left(1-x_{i}\right), \quad \theta_{i}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{d x}{d y}, \quad V=P \sin \theta_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad N=-P \cos \theta_{i}
$$

In the evaluation of the above equations for this problem, $F=1.2$ and $v=0.3$. In the table below one must fill in the first five columns in order to find the total length of the beam before the midsegment depth 2 c can be found and the table completed.

| Element <br> no. | $y_{i}$ | $x_{i}$ | $R$ | $\Delta l$ | $c$ | $R / c$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.125 | 0.004 | 2.012 | 0.251 | 0.481 | 4.183 |
| 2 | 0.375 | 0.035 | 2.106 | 0.254 | 0.442 | 4.761 |
| 3 | 0.625 | 0.098 | 2.300 | 0.262 | 0.403 | 5.707 |
| 4 | 0.875 | 0.191 | 2.601 | 0.273 | 0.362 | 7.180 |
| 5 | 1.125 | 0.316 | 3.020 | 0.287 | 0.320 | 9.451 |
| 6 | 1.375 | 0.473 | 3.574 | 0.303 | 0.275 | 13.019 |
| 7 | 1.625 | 0.660 | 4.278 | 0.322 | 0.227 | 18.860 |
| 8 | 1.875 | 0.879 | 5.151 | $\underline{0.343}$ | 0.176 | 29.243 |
|  |  |  |  | 2.295 |  |  |


| Element <br> no. | $e / c$ | $M / P$ | $V / P$ | $N / P$ | $[B]$ | $[B] \frac{\Delta l}{A}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.0809 | -0.996 | 0.062 | -0.998 | 11.695 | 6.092 |
| 2 | 0.0709 | -0.965 | 0.184 | -0.983 | 13.269 | 7.627 |
| 3 | 0.0589 | -0.902 | 0.298 | -0.954 | 14.370 | 9.431 |
| 4 | 0.0467 | -0.809 | 0.401 | -0.916 | 14.737 | 11.101 |
| 5 | 0.0354 | -0.684 | 0.490 | -0.872 | 14.007 | 12.569 |
| 6 | 0.0256 | -0.527 | 0.567 | -0.824 | 11.856 | 13.105 |
| 7 | 0.0177 | -0.340 | 0.631 | -0.776 | 8.049 | 11.431 |
| 8 | 0.0114 | -0.121 | 0.684 | -0.730 | 3.232 | 6.290 |

Therefore, the deflection at the load and in the direction of the load is $77.56 P / E$ in whatever units are chosen as long as the depth at the fixed end is unity. If one maintains the same length-to-depth ratio and the same shape, the deflection can be expressed as $\delta=77.56 P /\left(E 2 t_{o}\right)$, where $t_{o}$ is the constant thickness of the beam.
Michael Plesha (Ref. 33) provided a finite-element solution for this configuration and obtained for the load point a vertically downward deflection of 72.4 units and a horizontal deflection of 88.3 units. The 22 elements he used were nine-node, quadratic displacement, Lagrange elements. The reader is invited to apply a horizontal dummy load and verify the horizontal deflection.

### 9.3 Circular Rings and Arches

In large pipelines, tanks, aircraft, and submarines the circular ring is an important structural element, and for correct design it is often necessary to calculate the stresses and deflections produced in such a ring under various conditions of loading and support. The circular arch of uniform section is often employed in buildings, bridges, and machinery.

Rings. A closed circular ring may be regarded as a statically indeterminate beam and analyzed as such by the use of Castigliano's second theorem. In Table 9.2 are given formulas thus derived for the bending moments, tensions, shears, horizontal and vertical deflections, and rotations of the load point in the plane of the ring for various loads and supports. By superposition, these formulas can be combined so as to cover almost any condition of loading and support likely to occur.

The ring formulas are based on the following assumptions: (1) The ring is of uniform cross section and has symmetry about the plane of curvature. An exception to this requirement of symmetry can be made if moment restraints are provided to prevent rotation of each cross section out of its plane of curvature. Lacking the plane of symmetry and any external constraints, out-of-plane deformations will accompany in-plane loading. Meck, in Ref. 21, derives expressions concern-
ing the coupling of in-plane and out-of-plane deformations of circular rings of arbitrary compact cross section and resulting instabilities. (2) All loadings are applied at the radial position of the centroid of the cross section. For thin rings this is of little concern, but for radially thick rings a concentrated load acting in other than a radial direction and not at the centroidal radius must be replaced by a statically equivalent load at the centroidal radius and a couple. For case 15 , where the loading is due to gravity or a constant linear acceleration, and for case 21, where the loading is due to rotation around an axis normal to the plane of the ring, the proper distribution of loading through the cross section is accounted for in the formulas. (3) It is nowhere stressed beyond the elastic limit. (4) It is not so severely deformed as to lose its essentially circular shape. (5) Its deflection is due primarily to bending, but for thicker rings the deflections due to deformations caused by axial tension or compression in the ring and/or by transverse shear stresses in the ring may be included. To include these effects, we can evaluate first the coefficients $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the axial stress deformation factor, and the transverse shear deformation factor, and then the constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Such corrections are more often necessary when composite or sandwich construction is employed. If no axial or shear stress corrections are desired, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are set equal to zero and the values of $k$ are set equal to unity. (6) In the case of pipes acting as beams between widely spaced supports, the distribution of shear stress across the section of the pipe is in accordance with Eq. (8.1-2), and the direction of the resultant shear stress at any point of the cross section is tangential.
Note carefully the deformations given regarding the point or points of loading as compared with the deformations of the horizontal and vertical diameters. For many of the cases listed, the numerical values of load and deflection coefficients have been given for several positions of the loading. These coefficients do not include the effect of axial and shear deformation.

No account has been taken in Table 9.2 of the effect of radial stresses in the vicinity of the concentrated loads. These stresses and the local deformations they create can have a significant effect on overall ring deformations and peak stresses. In case 1 a reference is made to Sec. 14.3 in which thick-walled rollers or rings are loaded on the outer ends of a diameter. The stresses and deflections given here are different from those predicted by the equations in case 1 . If a concentrated load is used only for purposes of superposition, as is often the case, there is no cause for concern, but if an actual applied load is concentrated over a small region and the ring is sharply curved with thick walls, then one must be aware of the possible errors.

## EXAMPLES

1. A pipe with a diameter of 13 ft and thickness of $\frac{1}{2}$ in is supported at intervals of 44 ft by rings, each ring being supported at the extremities of its horizontal diameter by vertical reactions acting at the centroids of the ring sections. It is required to determine the bending moments in a ring at the bottom, sides, and top, and the maximum bending moment when the pipe is filled with water.

Solution. We use the formulas for cases 4 and 20 of Table 9.2. Taking the weight of the water as $62.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ and the weight of the shell as $20.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, the total weight $W$ of 44 ft of pipe carried by one ring is found to be $401,100 \mathrm{lb}$. Therefore, for case $20, W=401,100 \mathrm{lb}$; and for case $4, W=250,550 \mathrm{lb}$ and $\theta=\pi / 2$. Assume a thin ring, $\alpha=\beta=0$.
At bottom:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =M_{C}=0.2387(401,100)(6.5)(12)-0.50(200,550)(78) \\
& =7.468\left(10^{6}\right)-7.822\left(10^{6}\right)=-354,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

At top:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =M_{A}=0.0796(401,100)(78)-0.1366(200,550)(78)=354,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
N & =N_{A}=0.2387(401,100)-0.3183(200,500)=31,900 \mathrm{lb} \\
V & =V_{A}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

At sides:

$$
M=M_{A}-N_{A} R(1-u)+V_{A} R z+L T_{M}
$$

where for $\quad x=\pi / 2, \quad u=0, \quad z=1, \quad$ and $\quad L T_{M}=(W R / \pi)(1-u-x z / 2)=$ $[401,100(78) / \pi](1-\pi / 4)=2.137\left(10^{6}\right)$ for case 20 , and $L T_{M}=0$ for case 4 since $z-s=0$. Therefore

$$
M=354,000-31,900(78)(1-0)+0+2.137\left(10^{6}\right)=2800 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

The value of 2800 lb -in is due to the small differences in large numbers used in the superposition. An exact solution would give zero for this value. It is apparent that at least four digits must be carried.

To determine the location of maximum bending moment let $0<x<\pi / 2$ and examine the expression for $M$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =M_{A}-N_{A} R(1-\cos x)+\frac{W R}{\pi}\left(1-\cos x-\frac{x \sin x}{2}\right) \\
\frac{d M}{d x} & =-N_{A} R \sin x+\frac{W R}{\pi} \sin x-\frac{W R}{2 \pi} \sin x-\frac{W R x}{2 \pi} \cos x \\
& =31,950 R \sin x-63,800 R x \cos x
\end{aligned}
$$

At $x=x_{1}$, let $d M / d x=0$ or $\sin x_{1}=2 x_{1} \cos x_{1}$, which yields $x_{1}=$ $66.8^{\circ}(1.166 \mathrm{rad})$. At $x=x_{1}=66.8^{\circ}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =354,00-31,900(78)(1-0.394)+\frac{401,100(78)}{\pi}\left[1-0.394-\frac{1.166(0.919)}{2}\right] \\
& =-455,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \quad(\text { max negative moment })
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, at $x=113.2^{\circ}, M=455,000 \mathrm{lb}$-in (max positive moment).
By applying the supporting reactions outside the center line of the ring at a distance $a$ from the centroid of the section, side couples that are each equal to $W a / 2$ would be introduced. The effect of these, found by the formulas for case 3 , would be to reduce the maximum moments, and it can be shown that the optimum condition obtains when $a=0.04 R$.
2. The pipe of Example 1 rests on soft ground, with which it is in contact over $150^{\circ}$ of its circumference at the bottom. The supporting pressure of the soil may be assumed to be radial and uniform. It is required to determine the bending moment at the top and bottom and at the surface of the soil. Also the bending stresses at these locations and the change in the horizontal diameter must be determined.

Solution. A section of pipe 1 in long is considered. The loading may be considered as a combination of cases 12,15 , and 16 . Owing to the weight of the pipe (case $15, w=0.1416 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ ), and letting $K_{T}=k_{1}=k_{2}=1$, and $\alpha=\beta=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{A} & =\frac{0.1416(78)^{2}}{2}=430 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
N_{A} & =\frac{0.1416(78)}{2}=5.52 \mathrm{lb} \\
V_{A} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and at $x=180-\frac{150}{2}=105^{\circ}=1.833 \mathrm{rad}$,

$$
L T_{M}=-0.1416\left(78^{2}\right)[1.833(0.966)-0.259-1]=-440 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{105^{\circ}} & =430-5.52(78)(1+0.259)-440=-552 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
M_{C} & =1.5(0.1416)(78)=1292 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Owing to the weight of contained water (case 16, $\rho=0.0361 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{A} & =\frac{0.0361\left(78^{3}\right)}{4}=4283 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} \\
N_{A} & =\frac{0.0361\left(78^{2}\right)(3)}{4}=164.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
V_{A} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and at $x=105^{\circ}$,

$$
L T_{M}=0.0361\left(78^{3}\right)\left[1+0.259-\frac{1.833(0.966)}{2}\right]=6400 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{105^{\circ}} & =4283-164.7(78)(1+0.259)+6400=-5490 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} \\
M_{C} & =\frac{0.0361\left(78^{3}\right)(3)}{4}=12,850 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Owing to earth pressure and the reversed reaction (case $12, \theta=105^{\circ}$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 w R \sin \theta & =2 \pi R(0.1416)+0.0361 \pi R^{2}=759 \mathrm{lb} \quad(w=5.04 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}) \\
M_{A} & =\frac{-5.04\left(78^{2}\right)}{\pi}[0.966+(\pi-1.833)(-0.259)-1(\pi-1.833-0.966)] \\
& =-2777 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb} \\
N_{A} & =\frac{-5.04(78)}{\pi}[0.966+(\pi-1.833)(-0.259)]=-78.5 \mathrm{lb} \\
V_{A} & =0 \\
L T_{M} & =0 \\
M_{105^{\circ}} & =-2777+78.5(78)(1.259)=4930 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
M_{C} & =-5.04\left(78^{2}\right) \frac{1.833(1-0.259)}{\pi}=-13,260 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for the 1 in section of pipe

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{A} & =430+4283-2777=1936 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
\sigma_{A} & =\frac{6 M_{A}}{t^{2}}=46,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
M_{105^{\circ}} & =-552-5490+4930=-1112 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
\sigma_{105^{\circ}} & =26,700 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
M_{C} & =1292+12,850-13,260=882 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
\sigma_{C} & =21,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The change in the horizontal diameter is found similarly by superimposing the three cases. For $E$ use $30\left(10^{6}\right) /\left(1-0.285^{2}\right)=32.65\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, since a plate is being bent instead of a narrow beam (see page 169). For $I$ use the moment of inertia of a 1 -in-wide piece, 0.5 in thick:

$$
I=\frac{1}{12}(1)\left(0.5^{3}\right)=0.0104 \mathrm{in}^{4}, \quad E I=340,000{\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}^{2}}^{2}
$$

From case 12:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta D_{H} & =\frac{-5.04\left(78^{4}\right)}{340,000}\left[\frac{(\pi-1.833)(-0.259)+0.966}{2}-\frac{2}{\pi}(\pi-1.833-0.966)\right] \\
& =\frac{-5.04(78)^{4}}{340,000}(0.0954)=-52.37 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

From case 15:

$$
\Delta D_{H}=\frac{0.4292(0.1416) 78^{4}}{340,000}=6.616 \mathrm{in}
$$

From case 16:

$$
\Delta D_{H}=\frac{0.2146(0.0361) 78^{5}}{340,000}=65.79 \mathrm{in}
$$

The total change in the horizontal diameter is 20 in . It must be understood at this point that the anwers are somewhat in error since this large a deflection does violate the assumption that the loaded ring is very nearly circular. This was expected when the stresses were found to be so large in such a thin pipe.

Arches. Table 9.3 gives formulas for end reactions and end deformations for circular arches of constant radius of curvature and constant cross section under 18 different loadings and with 14 combinations of end conditions. The corrections for axial stress and transverse shear are accomplished as they were in Table 9.2 by the use of the constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Once the indeterminate reactions are known, the bending moments, axial loads, and transverse shear forces can be found from equilibrium equations. If deformations are desired for points away from the ends, the unit-load method [Eq. (8.1-6)] can be used or the arch can be divided at the position where the deformations are desired and either portion analyzed again by the formulas in Table 9.3. Several examples illustrate this last approach. Note that in many instances the answer depends upon the difference of similar large terms, and so appropriate attention to accuracy must be given.

## EXAMPLES

1. A $W T 4 \times 6.5$ structural steel T-beam is formed in the plane of its web into a circular arch of 50 -in radius spanning a total angle of $120^{\circ}$. The right end is fixed, and the left end has a pin which is constrained to follow a horizontal slot in the support. The load is applied through a vertical bar welded to the beam, as shown in Fig. 9.9. Calculate the movement of the pin at the left end, the maximum bending stress, and the rotation of the bar at the point of attachment to the arch.

Solution. The following material and cross-sectional properties may be used for this beam. $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, G=12\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, I_{x}=2.90 \mathrm{in}^{4}, A=1.92 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, flange thickness $=0.254 \mathrm{in}$, and web thickness $=0.230 \mathrm{in}$. The loading on the


Figure 9.9
arch can be replaced by a concentrated moment of $8000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$ and a horizontal force of 1000 lb at a position indicated by $\phi=20^{\circ}$ ( 0.349 rad ). $R=50 \mathrm{in}$ and $\theta=60^{\circ}$ (1.047 rad). For these loads and boundary conditions, cases 9 b and 9 n of Table 9.3 can be used.

Since the radius of 50 in is only a little more than 10 times the depth of 4 in, corrections for axial load and shear will be considered. The axial-stress deformation factor $\alpha=I / A R^{2}=2.9 / 1.92\left(50^{2}\right)=0.0006$. The transverse-shear deformation factor $\beta=F E I / G A R^{2}$, where $F$ will be approximated here by using $F=1$ and $A=$ web area $=4(0.23)=0.92$. This gives $\beta=1(30)\left(10^{6}\right)$ $(2.90) / 12\left(10^{6}\right)(0.92)\left(50^{2}\right)=0.003$. The small values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ indicate that bending governs the deformations, and so the effect of axial load and transverse shear will be neglected. Note that $s=\sin 60^{\circ}, c=\cos 60^{\circ}, n=\sin 20^{\circ}$, and $m=\cos 20^{\circ}$.

For case 9b,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H}= & 1000\left[\frac{1.0472+0.3491}{2}\left(1+2 \cos 20^{\circ} \cos 60^{\circ}\right)-\frac{\sin 60^{\circ} \cos 60^{\circ}}{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\sin 20^{\circ} \cos 20^{\circ}}{2}-\cos 20^{\circ} \sin 60^{\circ}-\sin 20^{\circ} \cos 60^{\circ}\right] \\
= & 1000(-0.00785)=-7.85 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
L F_{V}=1000(-0.1867)=-186.7 \mathrm{lb} \text { and } L F_{M}=1000(-0.1040)=-104.0 \mathrm{lb}
$$

For the case $9 n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L F_{H}=\frac{8000}{50}(-0.5099)=-81.59 \mathrm{lb} \\
& L F_{V}=\frac{8000}{50}(-1.6489)=-263.8 \mathrm{lb} \\
& L F_{M}=\frac{8000}{50}(-1.396)=-223.4 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{V V}=1.0472+2(1.0472) \sin ^{2} 60^{\circ}-\sin 60^{\circ} \cos 60^{\circ}=2.1850 \mathrm{lb} \\
& B_{H V}=0.5931 \mathrm{lb} \\
& B_{M V}=1.8138 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{A} & =-\frac{186.7}{2.1850}-\frac{263.8}{2.1850}=-85.47-120.74=-206.2 \mathrm{lb} \\
\delta_{H A} & =\frac{50^{3}}{30\left(10^{6}\right)(2.9)}[0.5931(-206.2)+7.85+81.59]=-0.0472 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for the bending moment can now be obtained by an equilibrium equation for a position located by an angle $x$ measured from the left end:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{x}= & V_{A} R[\sin \theta-\sin (\theta-x)]+8000\langle x-(\theta-\phi)\rangle^{0} \\
& -1000 R[\cos (\theta-x)-\cos \phi]\langle x-(\theta-\phi)\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

At $x=40^{\circ}-\quad M_{x}=-206.2(50)\left[\sin 60^{\circ}-\sin \left(60^{\circ}-40^{\circ}\right)\right]=-5403 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
At $x=40^{\circ}+\quad M_{x}=-5403+8000=2597 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
At $x=60^{\circ} \quad M_{x}=-206.2(50)(0.866)+8000-1000(50)(1-0.940)$
$=-3944 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
At $x=120^{\circ} \quad M_{x}=12,130 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$
The maximum bending stress is therefore

$$
\sigma=\frac{12,130(4-1.03)}{2.9}=12,420 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

To obtain the rotation of the arch at the point of attachment of the bar, we first calculate the loads on the portion to the right of the loading and then establish an equivalent symmetric arch (see Fig. 9.10). Now from cases 12a, 12 b , and 12 n , where $\theta=\phi=40^{\circ}$ ( 0.698 rad ), we can determine the load terms:

For case 12a $\quad L F_{M}=-148[2(0.698)(0.643)]=-133 \mathrm{lb}$
For case $12 \mathrm{~b} \quad L F_{M}=1010[0.643+0.643-2(0.698)(0.766)]=218 \mathrm{lb}$
For case $12 \mathrm{n} \quad L F_{M}=\frac{2597}{50}(-0.698-0.698)=-72.5 \mathrm{lb}$
Therefore, the rotation at the load is

$$
\psi_{A}=\frac{-50^{2}}{30\left(10^{6}\right)(2.9)}(-133+218-72.5)=-0.00036 \mathrm{rad}
$$

We would not expect the rotation to be in the opposite direction to the applied moment, but a careful examination of the problem shows that the point on the arch where the bar is fastened moves to the right 0.0128 in . Therefore, the net motion in the direction of the $1000-\mathrm{lb}$ load on the end of the 8 -in bar is 0.0099 in , and so the applied load does indeed do positive work on the system.
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2. The deep circular arch of titanium alloy has a triangular cross section and spans $120^{\circ}$ as shown in Fig. 9.11. It is driven by the central load $P$ to produce an acceleration of 40 g . The tensile stress at $A$ and the deformations at the extreme ends are required. All dimensions given and used in the formulas are in centimeters.

Solution. This is a statically determinate problem, so the use of information from Table 9.3 is needed only to obtain the deformations. Superposing the central load and the forces needed to produce the acceleration on the total span can be accomplished readily by using cases 3a and 3h. This solution, however, will provide only the horizontal and rotational deformations of the ends. Using the symmetry one can also superpose the loadings from cases 12 h and 12 i on the left half of the arch and obtain all three deformations. Performing both calculations provides a useful check. All dimensions are given in centimeters and used with expressions from Table 9.1, case 5, to obtain the needed factors for this section. Thus, $b=10, d=30, A=150, c=10, R=30, R / c=3$, $e / c=0.155, e=1.55$ and for the peak stresses, $k_{i}=1.368$ and $k_{o}=0.697$. The titanium alloy has a modulus of elasticity of $117 \mathrm{GPa}\left[11.7\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right]$, a Poisson's ratio of 0.33 , and a mass density of $4470 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, or $0.00447 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. One $g$ of acceleration is $9.81 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$, and 1 cm of arc length at the centroidal radius of 30 cm will have a volume of $150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and a mass of 0.6705 kg . This gives a loading parallel to the driving force $P$ of $0.6705(40)(9.81)=263 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$ of centroidal arc length. Since this is a very sharply curved beam, $R / d=1$, one must recognize that the resultant load of $263 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$ does not act through the centroid of the cross-sectional area but instead acts through the mass center of the differential length. The radius to this point is given as $R_{c g}$ and is found from the expression $R_{c g} / R=1+I / A R^{2}$, where $I$ is the area moment of inertia about the centroidal axis of the cross section. Therefore, $R_{c g} / R=1+\left(b d^{3} / 36\right) /$ $(b d / 2) R^{2}=1.056$. Again due to the sharp curvature the axial- and shear-stress contributions to deformation must be considered. From the introduction to Table 9.3 we find that $\alpha=h / R=0.0517$ and $\beta=2 F(1+v) h / R=0.1650$, where $F=1.2$ for a triangular cross section as given in Sec. 8.10. Therefore, $k_{1}=1-\alpha+\beta=1.1133$, and $k_{2}=1-\alpha=0.9483$.

For a first solution use the full span and superpose cases 3 a and 3 h . To obtain the load terms $L P_{H}$ and $L P_{M}$ use cases 1 a and 1 h .

For case 1a, $W=-263(30)(2 \pi / 3)=-16,525 \mathrm{~N}, \theta=60^{\circ}, \phi=0^{\circ}, s=0.866$, $c=0.500, n=0$, and $m=1.000$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L P_{H}= & -16,525\left[\frac{\pi}{3}(0.866)(0.5)-0+\frac{1.1133}{2}\left(0.5^{2}-1.0^{2}\right)\right. \\
& +0.9483(0.5)(0.5-1.0)] \\
= & -16,525(-0.2011)=3323 \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $L P_{M}=3575 \mathrm{~N}$.

For case $1 h, w=263 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}, R=30, R_{c g} / R=1.056, \theta=60^{\circ}, s=0.866$, and $c=0.5000$.

$$
L P_{H}=263(30)(-0.2365)=-1866 \mathrm{~N}
$$

and

$$
L P_{M}=263(30)(-0.2634)=-2078 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Returning now to case 3 where $M_{A}$ and $H_{A}$ are zero, one finds that $V_{A}=0$ by superposing the loadings. To obtain $\delta_{H A}$ and $\psi_{A}$ we superpose cases a and h and substitute $A h R$ for $I$ because of the sharp curvature

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{H A 1} & =-30^{3} \frac{3323-1866}{11.7\left(10^{6}\right)(150)(1.55)(30)}=-482\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{cm} \\
\psi_{A 1} & =-30^{2} \frac{3575-2078}{8.161\left(10^{10}\right)}=-16.5\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for the second and more complete solution, use will be made of cases 12 h and 12 i . The left half spans $60^{\circ}$, so $\theta=30^{\circ}, s=0.5000$, and $c=0.8660$. In this solution the central symmetry axis of the left half being used is inclined at $30^{\circ}$ to the gravitational loading of $263 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$. Therefore, for case $5 \mathrm{~h}, w=$ $263 \cos 30^{\circ}=227.8 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H}= & 227.8(30)\left\{\frac{1.1133}{2}\left[2\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)\left(0.866^{2}\right)-\frac{\pi}{6}-0.5(0.866)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+0.9483(1.056+1)\left[\frac{\pi}{6}-0.5(0.866)\right]+1.056(2)(0.866)\left(\frac{\pi}{6} 0.866-0.5\right)\right\} \\
= & 227.8(30)(-0.00383)=-26.2 \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly
$L F_{V}=227.8(30)(0.2209)=1510 \mathrm{~N}$ and $L F_{M}=227.8(30)(0.01867)=1276 \mathrm{~N}$
For case $5 \mathrm{i}, w=-263 \sin 30^{\circ}=-131.5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$ and again $\theta=30^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H} & =-131.5(30)(0.0310)=-122.3 \mathrm{~N} \\
L F_{V} & =-131.5(30)(-0.05185)=204.5 \mathrm{~N} \\
L F_{M} & =-131.5(30)(-0.05639)=222.5 \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using case 12 and superposition of the loadings gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{H A 2} & =-30^{3} \frac{-26.2-122.3}{8.161\left(10^{10}\right)}=49.1\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{cm} \\
\delta_{V A 2} & =-30^{3} \frac{1510+204.5}{8.161\left(10^{10}\right)}=-567\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{cm} \\
\psi_{A 2} & =-30^{2} \frac{1276+222.5}{8.161\left(10^{10}\right)}=-16.5\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

Although the values of $\psi_{A}$ from the two solutions check, one further step is needed to check the horizontal and vertical deflections of the free ends. In the
last solution the reference axes are tilted at $30^{\circ}$. Therefore, the horizontal and vertical deflections of the left end are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{H A}=\delta_{H A 2}(0.866)+\delta_{V A 2}(0.5)=-241\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{cm} \\
& \delta_{V A}=\delta_{H A 2}(-0.5)+\delta_{V A 2}(0.866)=-516\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again the horizontal deflection of -0.000241 cm for the left half of the arch checks well with the value of -0.000482 cm for the entire arch. With the two displacements of the centroid and the rotation of the end cross section now known, one can easily find the displacements of any other point on the end cross section.

To find the tensile stress at point $A$ we need the bending moment at the center of the arch. This can be found by integration as

$$
M=\int_{\pi / 6}^{\pi / 2}-263 R d \theta\left(R_{c g} \cos \theta\right)=-\left.263 R R_{c g} \sin \theta\right|_{\pi / 6} ^{\pi / 2}=-125,000 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{cm}
$$

Using the data from Table 9.1, the stress in the outer fiber at the point $A$ is given by

$$
\sigma_{A}=\frac{k_{o} M c}{I}=\frac{0.697(125,000)(20)}{10\left(30^{3}\right) / 36}=232 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}
$$

### 9.4 Elliptical Rings

For an elliptical ring of semiaxes $a$ and $b$, under equal and opposite forces $W$ (Fig. 9.12), the bending moment $M_{1}$ at the extremities of the major axis is given by $M_{1}=K_{1} W a$, and for equal and opposite outward forces applied at the ends of the minor axis, the moment $M_{1}$ at the ends of the major axis is given by $M_{1}=-K_{2} W a$, where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are coefficients which depend on the ratio $a / b$ and have the following values:

| $a / b$ |
| :--- |
| $K_{1}$ |$|$| 1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K_{2}$ | 0.318 | 0.295 | 0.274 | 0.255 | 0.240 | 0.227 | 0.216 |
|  | 0.186 | 0.191 | 0.195 | 0.199 | 0.203 | 0.206 | 0.208 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $a / b$ | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| $K_{1}$ | 0.195 | 0.185 | 0.175 | 0.167 | 0.161 | 0.155 | 0.150 |
| $K_{2}$ | 0.211 | 0.213 | 0.215 | 0.217 | 0.219 | 0.220 | 0.222 |

Burke (Ref. 6) gives charts by which the moments and tensions in elliptical rings under various conditions of concentrated loading can be found; the preceding values of $K$ were taken from these charts.

Timoshenko (Ref. 13) gives an analysis of an elliptical ring (or other ring with two axes of symmetry) under the action of a uniform


Figure 9.12
outward pressure, which would apply to a tube of elliptical section under internal pressure. For this case $M=K p a^{2}$, where $M$ is the bending moment at a section a distance $x$ along the ring from the end of the minor axis, $p$ is the outward normal pressure per linear inch, and $K$ is a coefficient that depends on the ratios $b / a$ and $x / S$, where $S$ is one-quarter of the perimeter of the ring. Values of $K$ are given in the following table; $M$ is positive when it produces tension at the inner surface of the ring:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x / S$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | -0.172 | -0.156 | -0.140 | -0.115 | -0.085 | -0.045 |
| 0.1 | -0.167 | -0.152 | -0.135 | -0.112 | -0.082 | -0.044 |
| 0.2 | -0.150 | -0.136 | -0.120 | -0.098 | -0.070 | -0.038 |
| 0.4 | -0.085 | -0.073 | -0.060 | -0.046 | -0.030 | -0.015 |
| 0.6 | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.028 | 0.022 | 0.015 |
| 0.7 | 0.086 | 0.090 | 0.082 | 0.068 | 0.050 | 0.022 |
| 0.8 | 0.160 | 0.150 | 0.130 | 0.105 | 0.075 | 0.038 |
| 0.9 | 0.240 | 0.198 | 0.167 | 0.130 | 0.090 | 0.046 |
| 1.0 | 0.282 | 0.218 | 0.180 | 0.140 | 0.095 | 0.050 |

Values of $M$ calculated by the preceding coefficients are correct only for a ring of uniform moment of inertia $I$; if $I$ is not uniform, then a correction $\Delta M$ must be added. This correction is given by

$$
\Delta M=\frac{-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{M}{I} d x}{\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d x}{I}}
$$

The integrals can be evaluated graphically. Reference 12 gives charts for the calculation of moments in elliptical rings under uniform radial loading; the preceding values of $K$ were taken from these charts.

### 9.5 Curved Beams Loaded Normal to Plane of Curvature

This type of beam usually presents a statically indeterminate problem, the degree of indeterminacy depending upon the manner of loading and support. Both bending and twisting occur, and it is necessary to distinguish between an analysis that is applicable to compact or flangeless sections (circular, rectangular, etc.) in which torsion does not produce secondary bending and one that is applicable to flanged sections (I-beams, channels, etc.) in which torsion may be accompanied by such secondary bending (see Sec. 10.3). It is also necessary to distinguish among three types of constraints that may or may not occur at the supports, namely: (1) the beam is prevented from sloping, its horizontal axis held horizontal by a bending couple; (2) the beam is prevented from rolling, its vertical axis held vertical by a twisting couple; and (3) in the case of a flanged section, the flanges are prevented from turning about their vertical axes by horizontal secondary bending couples. These types of constraints will be designated here as (1) fixed as to slope, (2) fixed as to roll, and (3) flanges fixed.

Compact sections. Table 9.4 treats the curved beam of uniform cross section under concentrated and distributed loads normal to the plane of curvature, out-of-plane concentrated bending moments, and concentrated and distributed torques. Expressions are given for transverse shear, bending moment, twisting moment, deflection, bending slope, and roll slope for 10 combinations of end conditions. To keep the presentation to a reasonable size, use is made of the singularity functions discussed in detail previously and an extensive list of constants and functions is given. In previous tables the representative functional values have been given, but in Table 9.4 the value of $\beta$ depends upon both bending and torsional properties, and so a useful set of tabular values would be too large to present. The curved beam or ring of circular cross section is so common, however, that numerical coefficients are given in the table for $\beta=1.3$ which will apply to a solid or hollow circular cross section of material for which Poisson's ratio is 0.3 .

Levy (Ref. 14) has treated the closed circular ring of arbitrary compact cross section for six loading cases. These cases have been chosen to permit apropriate superposition in order to solve a large number of problems, and both isolated and distributed out-of-plane loads are discussed. Hogan (Ref. 18) presents similar loadings and supports. In a similar way the information in Table 9.4 can be used by appropriate superposition to solve most out-of-plane loading problems on closed rings of compact cross section if strict attention is given to
the symmetry and boundary conditions involved. Several simple examples of this reasoning are described in the following three cases.

1. If a closed circular ring is supported on any number of equally spaced simple supports (two or more) and if identical loading on each span is symmetrically placed relative to the center of the span, then each span can be treated by boundary condition f of Table 9.4. This boundary condition has both ends with no deflection or slope, although they are free to roll as needed.
2. If a closed circular ring is supported on any even number of equally spaced simple supports and if the loading on any span is antisymmetrically placed relative to the center line of each span and symmetrically placed relative to each support, then boundary condition f can be applied to each full span. This problem can also be solved by applying boundary condition $g$ to each half span. Boundary condition g has one end simply supported and slopeguided and the other end simply supported and roll-guided.
3. If a closed circular ring is supported on any even number of equally spaced simple supports (four or more) and if each span is symmetrically loaded relative to the center of the span with adjacent spans similarly loaded in opposite directions, then boundary condition i can be applied to each span. This boundary condition has both ends simply supported and roll-guided.

Once any indeterminate reaction forces and moments have been found and the indeterminate internal reactions found at at least one location in the ring, all desired internal bending moment, torques, and transverse shears can be found by equilibrium equations. If a large number of such calculations need be made, one should consider using a theorem published in 1922 by Biezeno. For details of this theorem see Ref. 32. A brief illustration of this work for loads normal to the plane of the ring is given in Ref. 29.

A treatment of curved beams on elastic foundations is beyond the scope of this book. See Ref. 20.

The following examples illustrate the applications of the formulas in Table 9.4 to both curved beams and closed rings with out-of-plane loads.

## EXAMPLES

1. A piece of 8 -in standard pipe is used to carry water across a passageway 40 ft wide. The pipe must come out of a wall normal to the surface and enter normal to a parallel wall at a position 16.56 ft down the passageway at the same elevation. To accomplish this a decision was made to bend the pipe into two opposite arcs of 28.28 - ft radius with a total angle of $45^{\circ}$ in each arc. If it is assumed that both ends are rigidly held by the walls, determine the maximum
combined stress in the pipe due to its own weight and the weight of a full pipe of water.
Solution. An 8-in standard pipe has the following properties: $A=8.4 \mathrm{in}^{2}$, $I=72.5 \mathrm{in}^{4}, \quad w=2.38 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, \quad E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \quad v=0.3, \quad J=145 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, $\mathrm{OD}=8.625 \mathrm{in}, \mathrm{ID}=7.981 \mathrm{in}$, and $t=0.322 \mathrm{in}$. The weight of water in a $1-\mathrm{in}$ length of pipe is 1.81 lb . Owing to the symmetry of loading it is apparent that at the center of the span where the two arcs meet there is neither slope nor roll. An examination of Table 9.4 reveals that a curved beam that is fixed at the right end and roll- and slope-guided at the left end is not included among the 10 cases. Therefore, a solution will be carried out by considering a beam that is fixed at the right end and free at the left end with a uniformly distributed load over the entire span and both a concentrated moment and a concentrated torque on the left end. (These conditions are covered in cases $2 \mathrm{a}, 3 \mathrm{a}$, and 4a.)

Since the pipe is round, $J=2 I$; and since $G=E / 2(1+v), \beta=1.3$. Also note that for all three cases $\phi=45^{\circ}$ and $\theta=0^{\circ}$. For these conditions, numerical values of the coefficients are tabulated and the following expressions for the deformations and moments can be written directly from superposition of the three cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{A} & =0.3058 \frac{M_{o} R^{2}}{E I}-0.0590 \frac{T_{o} R^{2}}{E I}-0.0469 \frac{(2.38+1.81) R^{4}}{E I} \\
\Theta_{A} & =-0.8282 \frac{M_{o} R}{E I}-0.0750 \frac{T_{o} R}{E I}+0.0762 \frac{4.19 R^{3}}{E I} \\
\psi_{A} & =0.0750 \frac{M_{o} R}{E I}+0.9782 \frac{T_{o} R}{E I}+0.0267 \frac{4.19 R^{3}}{E I} \\
V_{B} & =0+0-4.19 R(0.7854) \\
M_{B} & =0.7071 M_{o}-0.7071 T_{o}-0.2929(4.19) R^{2} \\
T_{B} & =0.7071 M_{o}+0.7071 T_{o}-0.0783(4.19) R^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since both $\Theta_{A}$ and $\psi_{A}$ are zero and $R=28.28(12)=339.4 \mathrm{in}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=-0.8282 M_{o}-0.0750 T_{o}+36,780 \\
& 0=0.0750 M_{o}+0.9782 T_{o}+12,888
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving these two equations gives $M_{o}=45,920 \mathrm{lb}$-in and $T_{o}=-16,700 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{A}=-0.40 \mathrm{in}, \quad \quad M_{B}=-97,100 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
& T_{B}=-17,000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}, \quad V_{B}=-1120 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum combined stress would be at the top of the pipe at the wall where $\sigma=M c / I=97,100(4.3125) / 72.5=5575 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $\tau=\operatorname{Tr} / J=17,100$ $(4.3125) / 145=509 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{5775}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{5775}{2}\right)^{2}+509^{2}}=5819 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

2. A hollow steel rectangular beam 4 in wide, 8 in deep, and with 0.1 -in wall thickness extends over a loading dock to be used as a crane rail. It is fixed to a
warehouse wall at one end and is simply supported on a post at the other. The beam is curved in a horizontal plane with a radius of 15 ft and covers a total angular span of $60^{\circ}$. Calculate the torsional and bending stresses at the wall when a load of 3000 lb is $20^{\circ}$ out from the wall. Neglect the weight of the beam.

Solution. The beam has the following properties: $R=180 \mathrm{in} ; \phi=$ $60^{\circ}(\pi / 3 \mathrm{rad}) ; \quad \theta=40^{\circ} ; \quad \phi-\theta=20^{\circ}(\pi / 9 \mathrm{rad}) ; \quad I=\frac{1}{12}\left[4\left(8^{3}\right)-3.8\left(7.8^{3}\right)\right]=20.39 \mathrm{in}^{4}$; $K=2\left(0.1^{2}\right)\left(7.9^{2}\right)\left(3.9^{2}\right) /\left[8(0.1)+4(0.1)-2\left(0.1^{2}\right)\right]=16.09 \mathrm{in}^{4} \quad($ see Table 10.1, case 16$) ; E=30\left(10^{6}\right) ; G=12\left(10^{6}\right)$; and $\beta=30\left(10^{6}\right)(20.39) / 12\left(10^{6}\right)(16.09)=$ 3.168. Equations for a curved beam that is fixed at one end and simply supported at the other with a concentrated load are found in Table 9.4, case 1 b . To obtain the bending and twisting moments at the wall requires first the evaluation of the end reaction $V_{A}$, which, in turn, requires the following constants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3} & =-3.168\left(\frac{\pi}{3}-\sin 60^{\circ}\right)-\frac{1+3.168}{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3} \cos 60^{\circ}-\sin 60^{\circ}\right)=0.1397 \\
C_{a 3} & =-3.168\left(\frac{\pi}{9}-\sin 20^{\circ}\right)-C_{a 2}=0.006867
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{6}=C_{1}=0.3060, & C_{a 6}=C_{a 1}=0.05775 \\
C_{9}=C_{2}=-0.7136, & C_{a 9}=C_{a 2}=-0.02919
\end{array}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{A} & =3000 \frac{-0.02919\left(1-\cos 60^{\circ}\right)-0.05775 \sin 60^{\circ}+0.006867}{-0.7136\left(1-\cos 60^{\circ}\right)-0.3060 \sin 60^{\circ}+0.1397}=359.3 \mathrm{lb} \\
M_{B} & =359.3(180)\left(\sin 60^{\circ}\right)-3000(180)\left(\sin 20^{\circ}\right)=-128,700 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
T_{B} & =359.3(180)\left(1-\cos 60^{\circ}\right)-3000(180)\left(1-\cos 20^{\circ}\right)=-230 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the wall,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma=\frac{M c}{I}=\frac{128,700(4)}{20.39}=25,240 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \tau=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{V A^{\prime} \bar{y}}{I b}=\frac{(3000-359.3)[4(4)(2)-3.9(3.8)(1.95)]}{20.39(0.2)}=2008 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\frac{T}{2 t(a-t)(b-t)}=\frac{230}{2(0.1)(7.9)(3.9)}=37.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\text { (due to transverse shear) }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { (due to torsion) }
\end{aligned}
$$

3. A solid round aluminum bar is in the form of a horizontal closed circular ring of 100 -in radius resting on three equally spaced simple supports. A load of 1000 lb is placed midway between two supports, as shown in Fig. 9.13( $\alpha$ ). Calculate the deflection under this load if the bar is of such diameter as to make the maximum normal stress due to combined bending and torsion equal to $20,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Let $E=10\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.3$.

Solution. The reactions $R_{B}, R_{C}$, and $R_{D}$ are statically determinate, and a solution yields $R_{B}=-333.3 \mathrm{lb}$ and $R_{C}=R_{D}=666.7 \mathrm{lb}$. The internal bending

(a)

(b)
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and twisting moments are statically indeterminate, and so an energy solution would be appropriate. However, there are several ways that Table 9.4 can be used by superimposing various loadings. The method to be described here is probably the most straightforward.

Consider the equivalent loading shown in Fig. 9.13(b), where $R_{B}=$ -333.3 lb and $R_{A}=-1000 \mathrm{lb}$. The only difference is in the point of zero deflection. Owing to the symmetry of loading, one-half of the ring can be considered slope-guided at both ends, points $A$ and $B$. Case 1 f gives tabulated values of the necessary coefficients for $\phi=180^{\circ}$ and $\theta=60^{\circ}$. We can now solve for the following values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{A} & =-666.7(0.75)=-500 \mathrm{lb} \\
M_{A} & =-666.7(100)(-0.5774)=38,490 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{-666.7\left(100^{2}\right)}{E I}(-0.2722)=\frac{1.815\left(10^{6}\right)}{E I} \\
T_{A} & =0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \Theta_{A}=0 \\
M_{B} & =-666.7(100)(-0.2887)=19,250 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} \\
M_{60^{\circ}} & =-666.7(100)(0.3608)=-24,050 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equations for $M$ and $T$ can now be examined to determine the location of the maximum combined stress:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{x} & =-50,000 \sin x+38,490 \cos x+66,667 \sin \left(x-60^{\circ}\right)\left\langle x-60^{\circ}\right\rangle^{0} \\
T_{x} & =-50,000(1-\cos x)+38,490 \sin x+66,667\left[1-\cos \left(x-60^{\circ}\right)\right]\left\langle x-60^{\circ}\right\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

A careful examination of the expression for $M$ shows no maximum values except at the ends and at the position of the load The torque, however, has a maximum value of $13,100 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb}$ at $x=37.59^{\circ}$ and a minimum value of $-8790 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb}$ at $x=130.9^{\circ}$. At these same locations the bending moments are zero. At the position of the load, the torque $T=8330 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$. Nowhere is the combined stress larger than the bending stress at point $A$. Therefore,

$$
\sigma_{A}=20,000=\frac{M_{A} c}{I}=\frac{38,490 d / 2}{(\pi / 64) d^{4}}=\frac{392,000}{d^{3}}
$$

which gives

$$
d=2.70 \mathrm{in} \quad \text { and } \quad I=2.609 \mathrm{in}^{4}
$$

To obtain the deflection under the $1000-\mathrm{lb}$ load in the original problem, first we must find the deflection at the position of the load of 666.7 lb in Fig. 9.13(b). At $x=60^{\circ}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{x}= & 0+0+\frac{1.815\left(10^{6}\right)(100)}{10\left(10^{6}\right) 2.609}\left(1-\cos 60^{\circ}\right)+\frac{38,490\left(100^{2}\right)}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(2.609)} F_{1} \\
& +0+\frac{-500\left(100^{3}\right)}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(2.609)} F_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
F_{1}=\frac{1+1.3}{2} \frac{\pi}{3} \sin 60^{\circ}-1.3\left(1-\cos 60^{\circ}\right)=0.3029 \quad \text { and } \quad F_{3}=0.1583
$$

Therefore,

$$
y_{60}=3.478+5.796-3.033=6.24 \mathrm{in}
$$

If the entire ring were now rotated as a rigid body about point $B$ in order to lower points $C$ and $D$ by 6.24 in , point $A$ would be lowered a distance of $6.24(2) /\left(1+\cos 60^{\circ}\right)=8.32$ in, which is the downward deflection of the $1000-\mathrm{lb}$ load.

The use of a fictitious support, as was done in this problem at point $A$, is generalized for asymmeric loadings, both in-plane and out-of-plane, by Barber in Ref. 35.

Flanged sections. The formulas in Table 9.4 for flangeless or compact sections apply also to flanged sections when the ends are fixed as to slope only or when fixed as to slope and roll but not as to flange bending and if the loads are distributed or applied only at the ends. If the flanges are fixed or if concentrated loads are applied within the span, the additional torsional stiffness contributed by the bending resistance of the flanges [warping restraint (see Sec. 10.3)] may appreciably affect the value and distribution of twisting and bending moments. The flange stresses caused by the secondary bending or warping may exceed the primary bending stresses. References 15 to 17 and 22 show methods of solution and give some numerical solutions for simple concentrated loads on curved I-beams with both ends fixed completely. Brookhart (Ref. 22) also includes results for additional boundary conditions and uniformly distributed loads. Results are compared with cases where the warping restraint was not considered.

Dabrowski (Ref. 23) gives a thorough presentation of the theory of curved thin-walled beams and works out many examples including multispan beams and beams with open cross sections, closed cross sections, and cross sections which contain both open and closed elements; an extensive bibliography is included. Vlasov (Ref. 27) also gives a very thorough derivation and discusses, among many other topics, vibrations, stability, laterally braced beams of open cross
section, and thermal stresses. He also examines the corrections necessary to account for shear deformation in flanges being warped. Verden (Ref. 24) is primarily concerned with multispan curved beams and works out many examples. Sawko and Cope (Ref. 25) and Meyer (Ref. 26) apply finite-element analysis to curved box girder bridges.

### 9.6 Tables

## TABLE 9.1 Formulas for curved beams subjected to bending in the plane of the curve

NOTATION: $R=$ radius of curvature measured to centroid of section; $c=$ distance from centroidal axis to extreme fiber on concave side of beam; $A=\operatorname{area}$ of section; $e=$ distance from centroidal axis to neutral axis measured toward center of curvature; $I=$ moment of inertia of cross section about centroidal axis perpendicular to plane of curvature; and $k_{i}=\sigma_{i} / \sigma$ and $k_{o}=\sigma_{o} / \sigma$ where $\sigma_{i}=$ actual stress in exteme fiber on concave side, $\sigma_{o}=$ actual stress in extreme fiber on convex side, and $\sigma=$ fictitious unit stress in corresponding fiber as computed by ordinary flexure formula for a straight beam

| Form and dimensions of cross section, reference no. | Formulas | Values of $\frac{e}{c}, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ for various values of $\frac{R}{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Solid rectangular section | $\begin{aligned} \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{2}{\ln \frac{R / c+1}{R / c-1}} & \begin{array}{l} \text { (Note }: e / c, k_{i}, \text { and } k_{o} \\ \text { are independent of } \\ \text { the width } b) \end{array} \\ k_{i}=\frac{1}{3 e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} & \int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=b \frac{R / c+1}{R / c-1} \\ k_{o}=\frac{1}{3 e / c} \frac{1+e / c}{R / c+1} & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{c} & =1.20 \\ \frac{e}{c} & =0.366 \\ k_{i} & =2.888 \\ k_{o} & =0.566 \end{aligned}$ | 1.40 <br> 0.284 <br> 2.103 $0.628$ | 1.60 <br> 0.236 <br> 1.798 <br> 0.671 | 1.80 <br> 0.204 <br> 1.631 <br> 0.704 | 2.00 <br> 0.180 <br> 1.523 <br> 0.730 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \\ & 0.1150 \\ & 1.288 \\ & 0.810 \end{aligned}$ | 4.00 <br> 0.085 <br> 1.200 <br> 0.853 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.00 \\ & 0.056 \\ & 1.124 \\ & 0.898 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00 \\ & 0.042 \\ & 1.090 \\ & 0.922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.00 \\ & 0.033 \\ & 1.071 \\ & 0.937 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Trapezoidal section | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{c}=\frac{3\left(1+b_{1} / b\right)}{1+2 b_{1} / b}, \quad \frac{c_{1}}{c}=\frac{d}{c}-1 \\ & \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(1+b_{1} / b\right)(d / c)^{2}}{\left[\frac{R}{c}+\frac{c_{1}}{c}-\frac{b_{1}}{b}\left(\frac{R}{c}-1\right)\right] \ln \left(\frac{R / c+c_{1} / c}{R / c-1}\right)-\left(1-\frac{b_{1}}{b}\right) \frac{d}{c}} \\ & k_{i}=\frac{1}{2 e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} \frac{1+4 b_{1} / b+\left(b_{1} / b\right)^{2}}{\left(1+2 b_{1} / b\right)^{2}} \\ & k_{o}=\frac{c_{1} / c}{2 e / c} \frac{c_{1} / c+h / c}{R / c+c_{1} / c} \frac{1+4 b_{1} / b+\left(b_{1} / b\right)^{2}}{\left(2+b_{1} / b\right)^{2}} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: while $e / c, k_{i}, k_{o}$ depend upon the width ratio $b_{1} / b$, they are independent of the width $b$ ) | $\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{c} & =1.20 \\ \frac{e}{c} & =0.40 \\ k_{i} & =3.01 \\ k_{o} & =0.54 \end{aligned}$ | 1.40 <br> 0.318 <br> 2.183 <br> 0.605 | 1.60 <br> 0.267 <br> 1.859 <br> 0.648 | $\begin{array}{r} \quad(\mathrm{W} \\ 1.80 \\ 7 \\ 0.232 \\ 1.681 \\ 0.681 \end{array}$ | When $b$ 2.00 <br> 2.206 <br> 11.56 <br> 0.707 | $\begin{gathered} \left.b_{1} / b=\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 3.00 \\ 60.134 \\ 71.314 \\ 0.7900 \end{gathered}$ | 4.00 <br> 0.100 <br> 1.219 <br> 0.836 | 6.00 <br> 0.067 <br> 1.137 <br> 0.885 | 8.00 <br> 0.050 <br> 1.100 <br> 0.911 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.00 \\ & 0.040 \\ & 1.078 \\ & 0.927 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 9.1 Formulas for curved beams subjected to bending in the plane of the curve (Continued)

| 3. Triangular section, base inward | $\begin{aligned} \frac{e}{c} & =\frac{R}{c}-\frac{4.5}{\left(\frac{R}{c}+2\right) \ln \left(\frac{R / c+2}{R / c-1}\right)-3}, \quad c=\frac{d}{3} \\ k_{i} & =\frac{1}{2 e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} \quad \int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=b\left[\left(\frac{R}{3 c}+\frac{2}{3}\right) \ln \left(\frac{R / c+2}{R / c-1}\right)-1\right] \\ k_{o} & =\frac{1}{4 e / c} \frac{2+e / c}{R / c+2} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ are independent of the width $b$ ) | $\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{c} & =1.20 \\ \frac{e}{c} & =0.434 \\ k_{i} & =3.265 \\ k_{o} & =0.438 \end{aligned}$ | 1.40 $0.348$ <br> 2.345 $0.497$ | 1.60 <br> 0.296 <br> 1.984 <br> 0.539 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 0.259 \\ & 1.784 \\ & 0.573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 0.232 \\ & 1.656 \\ & 0.601 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \\ & 0.155 \\ & 1.368 \\ & 0.697 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.00 \\ & 0.117 \\ & 1.258 \\ & 0.754 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.00 \\ & 0.079 \\ & 1.163 \\ & 0.821 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00 \\ & 0.060 \\ & 1.120 \\ & 0.859 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.00 \\ & 0.048 \\ & 1.095 \\ & 0.883 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Triangular section, base outward | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{1.125}{1.5-\left(\frac{R}{c}-1\right) \ln \frac{R / c+0.5}{R / c-1}}, \quad c=\frac{2 d}{3} \\ & k_{i}=\frac{1}{8 e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} \\ & k_{o}=\frac{1}{4 e / c} \frac{2 e / c+1}{2 R / c+1} \int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=b_{1}\left[1-\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{R}{c}-1\right) \ln \frac{R / c+0.5}{R / c-1}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ are independent of the width $b_{1}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{c} & =1.20 \\ \frac{e}{c} & =0.151 \\ k_{i} & =3.527 \\ k_{o} & =0.636 \end{aligned}$ | 1.40 <br> 0.117 <br> 2.362 $0.695$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \\ & 0.097 \\ & 1.947 \\ & 0.735 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 0.083 \\ & 1.730 \\ & 0.765 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 0.073 \\ & 1.595 \\ & 0.788 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \\ & 0.045 \\ & 1.313 \\ & 0.857 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.00 \\ & 0.033 \\ & 1.213 \\ & 0.892 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.00 \\ & 0.022 \\ & 1.130 \\ & 0.927 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00 \\ & 0.016 \\ & 1.094 \\ & 0.945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.00 \\ & 0.013 \\ & 1.074 \\ & 0.956 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Diamond | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{1}{\frac{R}{c} \ln \left[1-\left(\frac{c}{R}\right)^{2}\right]+\ln \frac{R / c+1}{R / c-1}} \\ & k_{i}=\frac{1}{6 e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} \\ & k_{o}=\frac{1}{6 e / c} \frac{1+e / c}{R / c+1} \\ & \int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=b\left[\frac{R}{c} \ln \left[1-\left(\frac{c}{R}\right)^{2}\right]+\ln \frac{R / c+1}{R / c-1}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ are independent of the width $b$ ) | $\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{c} & =1.200 \\ \frac{e}{c} & =0.175 \\ k_{i} & =3.942 \\ k_{o} & =0.510 \end{aligned}$ | 1.400 <br> 0.138 <br> 2.599 <br> 0.572 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.600 \\ & 0.116 \\ & 2.118 \\ & 0.617 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.800 \\ & 0.100 \\ & 1.866 \\ & 0.652 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.000 \\ & 0.089 \\ & 1.709 \\ & 0.681 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.000 \\ & 0.057 \\ & 1.377 \\ & 0.772 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.000 \\ & 0.042 \\ & 1.258 \\ & 0.822 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.000 \\ & 0.028 \\ & 1.159 \\ & 0.875 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.000 \\ & 0.021 \\ & 1.115 \\ & 0.904 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.000 \\ 0.017 \\ 1.090 \\ 0.922 \end{array}$ |


| Form and dimensions of cross section, reference no. | Formulas | Values of $\frac{e}{c}, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ for various values of $\frac{R}{c}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Solid circular or elliptical section | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{e}{c}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{R}{c}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{c}\right)^{2}-1}\right] \\ & k_{i}=\frac{1}{4 e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} \\ & k_{o}=\frac{1}{4 e / c} \frac{1+e / c}{R / c+1}, \quad \int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=\pi b\left[\frac{R}{c}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{c}\right)^{2}-1}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ are independent of the width $b$ ) | $\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{c} & =1.20 \\ \frac{e}{c} & =0.268 \\ k_{i} & =3.408 \\ k_{o} & =0.537 \end{aligned}$ | $1.40$ $0.210$ <br> 2.350 <br> 0.600 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60 \\ & 0.176 \\ & 1.957 \\ & 0.644 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.80 \\ & 0.152 \\ & 1.748 \\ & 0.678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \\ & 0.134 \\ & 1.616 \\ & 0.705 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.00 \\ & 0.086 \\ & 1.332 \\ & 0.791 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.00 \\ & 0.064 \\ & 1.229 \\ & 0.837 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.00 \\ & 0.042 \\ & 1.142 \\ & 0.887 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.00 \\ & 0.031 \\ & 1.103 \\ & 0.913 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.000 \\ 0.025 \\ 1.080 \\ 0.929 \end{gathered}$ |
| 7. Solid semicircle or semiellipse, base inward | $R=R_{x}+c, \quad \frac{d}{c}=\frac{3 \pi}{4}$ <br> (Note: $e / c, k_{i}$ and $k_{o}$ are independent of the width $b$ ) $\begin{aligned} & k_{i}=\frac{0.3879}{e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} \\ & k_{o}=\frac{0.2860}{e / c} \frac{e / c+1.3562}{R / c+1.3562} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{c} & =1.200 \\ \frac{e}{c} & =0.388 \\ k_{i} & =3.056 \\ k_{o} & =0.503 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.400 \\ & 0.305 \\ & 2.208 \\ & 0.565 \end{aligned}$ | 1.600 <br> 0.256 <br> 1.878 <br> 0.609 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.800 \\ & 0.222 \\ & 1.696 \\ & 0.643 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.000 \\ & 0.197 \\ & 1.579 \\ & 0.671 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.000 \\ & 0.128 \\ & 1.321 \\ & 0.761 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.000 \\ & 0.096 \\ & 1.224 \\ & 0.811 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.000 \\ & 0.064 \\ & 1.140 \\ & 0.867 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.000 \\ & 0.048 \\ & 1.102 \\ & 0.897 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.000 \\ 0.038 \\ 1.080 \\ 0.916 \end{array}$ |

## (Note: For a semicircle,

 $b / 2=d$ )For $R_{x} \geqslant d: R / c \geqslant 3.356$ and
$\int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=\frac{\pi R_{x} b}{2 d}-b-\frac{b}{d} \sqrt{R_{x}^{2}-d^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\sin ^{-1} \frac{d}{R_{x}}\right)$
$\frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{(d / c)^{2} / 2}{\frac{R}{c}-2.5-\sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{c}-1\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{2}}\left(1-\frac{2}{\pi} \sin ^{-1} \frac{d / c}{R / c-1}\right)}$
For $R_{x}<d: R / c<3.356$ and
$\int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=\frac{\pi R_{x} b}{2 d}-b+\frac{b}{d} \sqrt{d^{2}-R_{x}^{2}} \ln \frac{d+\sqrt{d^{2}-R_{x}^{2}}}{R_{x}}$
$\frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{(d / c)^{2} / 2}{\frac{R}{c}-2.5+\frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{R}{c}-1\right)^{2}} \ln \frac{d / c+\sqrt{(d / c)^{2}-(R / c-1)^{2}}}{R / c-1}}$
8. Solid semicircle or semiellipse, base outward
(Note: for a semicircle, $b / 2=d$ )

$R=R_{x}-c_{1}, \quad \frac{d}{c}=\frac{3 \pi}{3 \pi-4}, \quad \frac{c_{1}}{c}=\frac{4}{3 \pi-4}$
(Note: $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ are independent of the width $b$ )
$\int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=\frac{\pi R_{x} b}{2 d}+b-\frac{b}{d} \sqrt{R_{x}^{2}-d^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\sin ^{-1} \frac{d}{R_{x}}\right)$
$\frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{(d / c)^{2} / 2}{\frac{R}{c}+\frac{10}{3 \pi-4}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{c}+\frac{c_{1}}{c}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{2}}\left(1+\frac{2}{\pi} \sin ^{-1} \frac{d / c}{R / c+c_{1} / c}\right)}$
$k_{i}=\frac{0.2109}{e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1}$
$k_{o}=\frac{0.2860}{e / c} \frac{e / c+0.7374}{R / c+0.7374}$
$\frac{R}{c}=1.2001 .4001 .6001 .8002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0008 .00010 .000$
$\frac{e}{c}=\begin{array}{llllllllll}0.244 & 0.189 & 0.157 & 0.135 & 0.118 & 0.075 & 0.055 & 0.036 & 0.027 & 0.021\end{array}$
$k_{i}=3.264 \quad 2.2621 .892 \quad 1.6951 .5711 .3061 .2101 .1301 .0941 .073$
$k_{o}=\begin{array}{llllllllll}0.593 & 0.656 & 0.698 & 0.730 & 0.755 & 0.832 & 0.871 & 0.912 & 0.933 & 0.946\end{array}$


Note: $R, c, e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ are all independent of the width of the segment provided all horizontal elements of the segment change width proportionately. Therefore to use these expressions for a segment of an ellipse, find the circle which has the same radial dimensions $R_{x}, r_{i}$, and $d$ and evaluate $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ which have the same values for both the circle and ellipse. To find $d A / r$ for the ellipse, multiply the value of $d A / r$ for the circle by the ratio of the horizontal to vertical semiaxes for the ellipse. See the example.


## TABLE 9.1 Formulas for curved beams subjected to bending in the plane of the curve (Continued)



Note: $R, c, e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{0}$ are all independent of the width of the segment provided all horizontal elements of the segment change width proportionately. To use these expressions for a segment of an ellipse, refer to the explanation in case 9
$R=R_{x}+c-a$
$\frac{a}{c}=\frac{3 \alpha-3 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{3 \alpha-3 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha-2 \sin ^{3} \alpha}, \quad \frac{c_{1}}{c}=\frac{3 \sin \alpha-3 \alpha \cos \alpha-\sin ^{3} \alpha}{3 \alpha-3 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha-2 \sin ^{3} \alpha}$
$k_{i}=\frac{I}{A c^{2}} \frac{1}{e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1}$
where expressions for $I$ and $A$ are found in Table A.1, case 19
$k_{o}=\frac{I}{A c^{2}} \frac{1}{(e / c)\left(c_{1} / c\right)} \frac{e / c+c_{1} / c}{R / c+c_{1} / c}$
For $\alpha=60^{\circ}$ :
$\frac{R}{c}=1.2001 .4001 .6001 .8002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0008 .000 \quad 10.000$
$\frac{e}{c}=0.2350 .1810 .1500 .1290 .1130 .0710 .0520 .0340 .025 \quad 0.020$
$k_{i}=3.241 \quad 2.247 \quad 1.881 \quad 1.686 \quad 1.5631 .3011 .2071 .1271 .092 \begin{array}{llllll}1.072\end{array}$
$k_{o}=0.5980 .6610 .7030 .7350 .7600 .836$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\text {area }} \frac{d A}{r}=2 R_{x} \alpha+2 a \sin \alpha-2 \sqrt{R_{x}^{2}-a^{2}}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\sin ^{-1} \frac{a-R_{x} \cos \alpha}{R_{x}-a \cos \alpha}\right) \\
& \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{(\alpha-\sin \alpha \cos \alpha) a / c}{\frac{2 \alpha R_{x}}{a}+2 \sin \alpha-2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{R_{x}}{a}\right)^{2}-1}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\sin ^{-1} \frac{1-\left(R_{x} / a\right) \cos \alpha}{R_{x} / a-\cos \alpha}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\alpha=30^{\circ}$ :
$\frac{R}{c}=1.2001 .4001 .6001 .8002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0008 .00010 .000$
$\frac{e}{c}=\begin{array}{llllllllll}0.230 & 0.177 & 0.146 & 0.125 & 0.110 & 0.069 & 0.051 & 0.033 & 0.025 & 0.020\end{array}$
$k_{i}=3.232 \quad 2.241 \quad 1.8761 .6821 .5601 .2991 .2051 .1261 .0911 .072$
$k_{o}=\begin{array}{llllllllll}0.601 & 0.663 & 0.706 & 0.737 & 0.763 & 0.838 & 0.876 & 0.916 & 0.936 & 0.948\end{array}$

$$
1.80
$$

| Form and dimensions of cross section, reference no. | Formulas | Values of $\frac{e}{c}, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ for various values of $\frac{R}{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. Hollow elliptical section <br> 12a. Inner and outer perimeters are ellipses, wall thickness is not constant | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\left(b_{1} / b\right)\left(c_{1} / c\right)\right]}{\frac{R}{c}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{c}\right)^{2}-1}-\frac{b_{1} / b}{c_{1} / c}\left[\frac{R}{c}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{R}{c}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{c_{1}}{c}\right)^{2}}\right]} \\ & k_{i}=\frac{1}{4 e / c} \frac{1-e / c}{R / c-1} \frac{1-\left(b_{1} / b\right)\left(c_{1} / c\right)^{3}}{1-\left(b_{1} / b\right)\left(c_{1} / c\right)} \\ & k_{o}=\frac{1}{4 e / c} \frac{1+e / c}{R / c+1} \frac{1-\left(b_{1} / b\right)\left(c_{1} / c\right)^{3}}{1-\left(b_{1} / b\right)\left(c_{1} / c\right)} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: While $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ depend upon the width ratio $b_{1} / b$, they are independent of the width $b$ ) | (When $b_{1} / b=\frac{3}{5}, c_{1} / c=\frac{4}{5}$ ) |
| 12b. Constant wall thickness, midthickness perimeter is an ellipse (shown dashed) | There is no closed-form solution for this case, so numerical solutions were run for the ranges $1.2<R / c<5 ; 0<t<t_{\text {max }} ; 0.2<p / q<5$. Results are expressed below in terms of the solutions for case 12a for which $c=p+t / 2, c_{1}=p-t / 2, b=2 q+t$, and $b_{1}=2 q-t$. <br> $\frac{e}{c}=K_{1}\left(\frac{e}{c}\right.$ from case 12a),$\quad k_{i}=K_{2}\left(k_{i}\right.$ from case 12 a$)$ $k_{o}=K_{3}\left(k_{o} \text { from case } 12 \mathrm{a}\right)$ |  |

where $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and $K_{3}$ are given in the following table and are essentially independent of $t$ and $R / c$.

| $p / q$ | 0.200 | 0.333 | 0.500 | 0.625 | 1.000 | 1.600 | 2.000 | 3.000 | 4.000 | 5.000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $K_{1}$ | 0.965 | 0.985 | 0.995 | 0.998 | 1.000 | 1.002 | 1.007 | 1.027 | 1.051 |  |
| $K_{2}$ | 1.017 | 1.005 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.998 | 0.992 |  |
| $K_{3}$ | 0.982 | 0.992 | 0.998 | 0.999 | 1.000 | 1.002 | 1.004 | 1.014 | 1.024 | 1.073 |

Note: There is a limit on the maximum wall thickness allowed in this case. Cusps will form on the inner perimeter at the ends of the major axis if this maximum is exceeded. If $p / q \leqslant 1$, then $t_{\max }=2 p^{2} / q$. If $p / q \geqslant 1$, then $t_{\max }=2 q^{2} / p$

## TABLE 9.1 Formulas for curved beams subjected to bending in the plane of the curve (Continued)


or hollow rectangular section


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{c}=\frac{2\left[b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{1} / b\right)(t / d)\right]}{b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{1} / b\right)(t / d)^{2}}, \quad \frac{c_{1}}{c}=\frac{d}{c}-1 \\
& \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{(d / c)\left[b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{1} / b\right)(t / d)\right]}{\frac{b_{1}}{b} \ln \frac{d / c+R / c-1}{(d / c)(t / d)+R / c-1}+\ln \frac{(d / c)(t / d)+R / c-1}{R / c-1}} \\
& k_{i}=\frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}(R / c-1)} \frac{1-e / c}{e / c} \\
& \text { where } \frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{1} / b\right)(t / d)^{3}}{b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{1} / b\right)(t / d)}\right]-1 \\
& k_{o}=\frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}(e / c)} \frac{d / c+e / c-1}{R / c+d / c-1} \frac{1}{d / c-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note: While $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ depend upon the width ratio $b_{1} / b$, they are independent of the width $b$ )

$$
\frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}=\frac{2\left[t / c+(1-t / c)\left(b_{1} / b\right)\right]}{\ln \frac{R / c^{2}+(R / c+1)(t / c)-1}{(R / c)^{2}-(R / c-1)(t / c)-1}+\frac{b_{1}}{b} \ln \frac{R / c-t / c+1}{R / c+t / c-1}}
$$

$$
k_{i}=\frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}(R / c-1)} \frac{1-e / c}{e / c}
$$

$$
\text { where } \frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}}=\frac{1}{3} \frac{1-\left(1-b_{1} / b\right)(1-t / c)^{3}}{1-\left(1-b_{1} / b\right)(1-t / c)}
$$

$$
k_{o}=\frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}(R / c+1)} \frac{1+e / c}{e / c}
$$

(Note: While $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ depend upon the width ratio $b_{1} / b$, they are independent of the width $b$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{R}{c}=1.2001 .4001 .6001 .8002 .0003 .0004 .0006 .0008 .00010 .000 \\
& \frac{e}{c}=\begin{array}{llllllllll}
0.502 & 0.419 & 0.366 & 0.328 & 0.297 & 0.207 & 0.160 & 0.111 & 0.085 & 0.069
\end{array} \\
& k_{i}=3.6332 .538 \quad 2.1121 .8791 .7311 .4031 .2811 .1761 .1281 .101 \\
& k_{o}=0.5830 .6340 .6700 .6970 .7190 .7910 .8320 .8790 .905 \quad 0.922
\end{aligned}
$$

| Form and dimensions of cross section, reference no. | Formulas | Values of $\frac{e}{c}, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ for various values of $\frac{R}{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. Unsymmetrical I-beam section | $\begin{aligned} & A=b d\left[b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{2} / b\right)(t / d)-\left(b_{1} / b-b_{2} / b\right)\left(1-t_{1} / d\right)\right] \\ & \frac{d}{c}=\frac{2 A / b d}{\left(b_{1} / b-b_{2} / b\right)\left(2-t_{1} / d\right)\left(t_{1} / d\right)+\left(1-b_{2} / b\right)(t / d)^{2}+b_{2} / b} \\ & \frac{e}{c}=\frac{R}{c}-\frac{(A / b d)(d / c)}{\ln \frac{R / c+t / c-1}{R / c-1}+\frac{b_{2}}{b} \ln \frac{R / c+c_{1} / c-t_{1} / c}{R / c+t / c-1}+\frac{b_{1}}{b} \ln \frac{R / c+c_{1} / c}{R / c+c_{1} / c-t_{1} / c}} \\ & k_{i}=\frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}(R / c-1)} \frac{1-e / c}{e / c} \\ & \text { where } \frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{2} / b\right)(t / d)^{3}-\left(b_{1} / b-b_{2} / b\right)\left(1-t_{1} / d\right)^{3}}{b_{1} / b+\left(1-b_{2} / b\right)(t / d)-\left(b_{1} / b-b_{2} / b\right)\left(1-t_{1} / d\right)}\right]-1 \\ & k_{o}=\frac{I_{c}}{A c^{2}(e / c)} \frac{d / c+e / c-1}{R / c+d / c-1} \frac{1}{d / c-1} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: While $e / c, k_{i}$, and $k_{o}$ depend upon the width ratios $b_{1} / b$ and $b_{2} / b$, they are independent of the width $b$ ) | (When $b_{1} / b=\frac{2}{3}, b_{2} / b=\frac{1}{6}, t_{1} / d=\frac{1}{6}, t / d=\frac{1}{3}$ ) $\begin{array}{rl} \frac{R}{c} & =1.20 \\ 1.40 & 1.60 \end{array} 1.80$ |

## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings

NOTATION: $W=$ load (force); $w$ and $v=$ unit loads (force per unit of circumferential length); $\rho=$ unit weight of contained liquid (force per unit volume); $M_{o}=$ applied couple (force-length). $M_{A}, M_{B}, M_{C}$, and $M$ are internal moments at $A, B, C$, and $x$, respectively, positive as shown. $N_{A}, N, V_{A}$, and $V$ are internal forces, positive as shown. $E=$ modulus of elasticity (force per unit area); $v=$ Poisson's ratio; $A=$ cross-sectional area (length squared); $R=$ radius to the centroid of the cross section (length); $I=$ area moment of inertia of ring cross section about the principal axis perpendicular to the plane of the ring (length ${ }^{4}$ ). [Note that for a pipe or cylinder, a representative segment of unit axial length may be used by replacing $E I$ by $E t^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)$ ] $e=$ positive distance measured radially inward from the centroidal axis of the cross section to the neutral axis of pure bending (see Sec. 9.1). $\theta, x$, and $\phi$ are angles (radians) and are limited to the range zero to $\pi$ for all cases except 18 and $19 ; s=\sin \theta, c=\cos \theta$, $z=\sin x, u=\cos x, n=\sin \phi$, and $m=\cos \phi$.
$\Delta D_{V}$ and $\Delta D_{H}$ are changes in the vertical and horizontal diameters, respectively, and an increase is positive. $\Delta L$ is the change in the lower half of the vertical diameter or the vertical motion relative to point $C$ of a line connecting points $B$ and $D$ on the ring. Similarly $\Delta L_{W}$ is the vertical motion relative to point $C$ of a horizontal line connecting the load points on the ring. $\Delta L_{W H}$ is the change in length of a horizontal line connecting the load points on the ring. $\psi$ is the angular rotation (radians) of the load point in the plane of the ring and is positive in the direction of positive $\theta$. For the distributed loadings the load points just referred to are the points where the distributed loading starts, i.e., the position located by the angle $\theta$. The reference to points $A, B$, and $C$ and to the diameters refer to positions on a circle of radius $R$ passing through the centroids of the several sections; i.e., diameter $=2 R$. It is important to consider this when dealing with thick rings. Similarly, all concentrated and distributed loadings are assumed to be applied at the radial position of the centroid with the exception of the cases where the ring is loaded by its own weight or by dynamic loading, cases 15 and 21 . In these two cases the actual radial distribution of load is considered. If the loading is on the outer or inner surfaces of thick rings, an equivalent loading at the centroidal radius $R$ must be used. See the examples to determine how this might be accomplished.
The hoop-stress deformation factor is $\alpha=I / A R^{2}$ for thin rings or $\alpha=e / R$ for thick rings. The transverse (radial) shear deformation factor is $\beta=F E I / G A R^{2}$ for thin rings or $\beta=2 F(1+v) e / R$ for thick rings, where $G$ is the shear modulus of elasticity and $F$ is a shape factor for the cross section (see Sec. 8.10). The following constants are defined to simplify the expressions whcih follow. Note that these constants are unity if no correction for hoop stress or shear stress is necessary or desired for use with thin rings. $k_{1}=1-\alpha+\beta, k_{2}=1-\alpha$.


General formulas for moment, hoop load, and radial shear

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M=M_{A}-N_{A} R(1-u)+V_{A} R z+L T_{M} \\
& N=N_{A} u+V_{A} z+L T_{N} \\
& V=-N_{A} z+V_{A} u+L T_{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L T_{M}, L T_{N}$, and $L T_{V}$ are load terms given below for several types of load.
Note: Due to symmetry in most of the cases presented, the loads beyond $180^{\circ}$ are not included in the load terms. Only for cases 16,17 , and 19 should the equations for $M, N$, and $V$ be used beyond $180^{\circ}$.

[^18]
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## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)


$L T_{M}=-W R \sin (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$ $L T_{N}=-W \sin (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$ $L T_{V}=-W \cos (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{A}=\frac{-W R}{\pi}\left[s(\pi-\theta)-k_{2}(1+c)\right] \\
& M_{C}=\frac{-W R}{\pi}\left[s \theta-k_{2}(1+c)\right] \\
& N_{A}=\frac{-W}{\pi} s(\pi-\theta) \\
& V_{A}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta D_{H}= \begin{cases}\frac{-W R^{3}}{E I}\left[k_{1}\left(\frac{\theta s}{2}-c\right)+2 k_{2} c-\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(1+c)}{\pi}\right] & \text { if } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{-W R^{3}}{E I}\left[\frac{k_{1} s(\pi-\theta)}{2}-\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(1+c)}{\pi}\right] & \text { if } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}\end{cases}
$$

$$
\Delta D_{V}=\frac{W R^{3}}{E I}\left[\frac{k_{1}(s-\pi c+\theta c)}{2}-k_{2} s+\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(1+c)}{\pi}\right]
$$

$$
\Delta L=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{W R^{3}}{2 E I}\left[k_{1}\left(\frac{\theta s}{\pi}-\frac{\pi c}{2}\right)-k_{2}(1-c)+\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(1+c)}{\pi}\right] \quad \text { if } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\frac{W R^{3}}{2 E I}\left[k_{1}\left(\frac{\theta s}{\pi}-\pi c+\theta c\right)+k_{2}(1+c-2 s)\right. \\
\left.+\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(1+c)}{\pi}\right] \quad \text { if } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\Delta L_{W}=\frac{W R^{3}}{E I}\left\{k_{1} \frac{s-s^{3}(1-\theta / \pi)-c(\pi-\theta)}{2}+k_{2}\left[\frac{\theta s(1+c)}{\pi}-s\right]\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{k_{2}^{2}(1+c)^{2}}{\pi}\right\}
$$

$$
\Delta L_{W H}=\frac{-W R^{3}}{E I \pi}\left[k_{1} s(\pi-\theta)(\theta-s c)+2 \theta c k_{2}(1+c)-2 s k_{2}^{2}(1+c)\right]
$$

$$
\Delta \psi=\frac{W R^{2}}{E I \pi}\left[\pi s^{2}-\theta\left(1+c+s^{2}\right)\right] k_{2}
$$

$\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{C} \quad$ if $\theta \leqslant 60^{\circ}$
Max $+M$ occurs at the load if $\theta>60^{\circ}$ where

$$
M_{\theta}=\frac{W R}{\pi}\left[k_{2}(1+c)-s c(\pi-\theta)\right]
$$

$\operatorname{Max}-M= \begin{cases}M_{C} & \text { if } \theta \geqslant 90^{\circ} \\ M_{A} & \text { if } 60^{\circ} \leqslant \theta \leqslant 90^{\circ}\end{cases}$
Max - $M$ occurs at an angular

$$
\text { position } x_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{-\pi c}{\theta s} \text { if } \theta \leqslant 60^{\circ}
$$

$$
\text { If } \alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} W R, N=K_{N} W, \Delta D=K_{\Delta D} W R^{3} / E I,
$$

$$
\Delta \psi=K_{\Delta \psi} W R^{2} / E I, \text { etc. }
$$

| $\theta$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $150^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{M_{A}}$ | 0.1773 | -0.0999 | -0.1817 | -0.1295 | -0.0407 |
| $K_{N_{A}}$ | -0.4167 | -0.5774 | -0.5000 | -0.2887 | -0.0833 |
| $K_{M_{C}}$ | 0.5106 | 0.1888 | -0.1817 | -0.4182 | -0.3740 |
| $K_{M_{\theta}}$ | 0.2331 | 0.1888 | 0.3183 | 0.3035 | 0.1148 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{H}}$ | 0.1910 | 0.0015 | -0.1488 | -0.1351 | -0.0456 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{V}}$ | -0.1957 | -0.0017 | 0.1366 | 0.1471 | 0.0620 |
| $K_{\Delta L}$ | -0.1115 | -0.0209 | 0.0683 | 0.0936 | 0.0447 |
| $K_{\Delta L_{W}}$ | -0.1718 | -0.0239 | 0.0683 | 0.0888 | 0.0278 |
| $K_{\Delta L_{W H}}$ | 0.0176 | -0.0276 | -0.1488 | -0.1206 | -0.0182 |
| $K_{\Delta \psi}$ | -0.1027 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0833 | -0.0700 |

TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)


## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)

7. Ring under any number of equal radial forces equally spaced

$\left(\right.$ Note: $\left.\theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
$L T_{M}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{2}(z-s)^{2}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{N}=-w R z(z-s)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{V}=-w R u(z-s)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$

For $0<x<\theta \quad M=\frac{W R\left(u / s-k_{2} / \theta\right)}{2} \quad N=\frac{W u}{2 s} \quad V=\frac{-W z}{2 s}$
$\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{A}=\frac{W R\left(1 / s-k_{2} / \theta\right)}{2} \quad \operatorname{Max}-M=\frac{-W R}{2}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{\theta}-\frac{c}{s}\right)$ at each load position
Radial displacement at each load point $=\Delta R_{B}=\frac{W R^{3}}{E I}\left[\frac{k_{1}(\theta-s c)}{4 s^{2}}+\frac{k_{2} c}{2 s}-\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{2 \theta}\right]$
Radial displacement at $x=0,2 \theta, \ldots=\Delta R_{A}=\frac{-W R^{3}}{E I}\left[\frac{k_{1}(s-\theta c)}{4 s^{2}}-\frac{k_{2}}{2 s}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{2 \theta}\right]$
If $\alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} W R, \Delta R=K_{\Delta R} W R^{3} / E I$
If $\alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} W R, \Delta R=K_{\Delta R} W R^{3} / E I$

| $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{M_{A}}$ | 0.02199 | 0.04507 | 0.07049 | 0.09989 | 0.18169 |
| $K_{M_{B}}$ | -0.04383 | -0.08890 | -0.13662 | -0.18879 | -0.31831 |
| $K_{\Delta R_{B}}$ | 0.00020 | 0.00168 | 0.00608 | 0.01594 | 0.07439 |
| $K_{\Delta R_{A}}$ | -0.00018 | -0.00148 | -0.00539 | -0.01426 | -0.06831 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{A}=\frac{w R^{2}}{2 \pi}\left[\pi\left(s^{2}-0.5\right)-\frac{s c-\theta}{2}-s^{2}\left(\theta+\frac{2 s}{3}\right)-k_{2}(2 s+s c-\pi+\theta)\right] & \text { Max }+M \text { occurs at an angular position } x_{1} \text { where } x_{1}>\theta, x_{1}>123.1^{\circ}, \text { and } \\
M_{C}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{2 \pi}\left[\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{s c}{2}-\frac{\theta}{2}+\theta s^{2}-\frac{2 s^{3}}{3}+k_{2}(2 s+s c-\pi+\theta)\right] & \tan x_{1}+\frac{3 \pi\left(s-\sin x_{1}\right)}{s^{3}}=0 \\
& \operatorname{Max}-M=M_{C} \\
& \text { If } \alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} w R^{2}, N=K_{N} w R, \Delta D=K_{\Delta D} w R^{4} / E I, \text { etc. }
\end{array}
$$

$$
N_{A}=\frac{-w R s^{3}}{3 \pi}
$$

$$
V_{A}=0
$$

$$
\Delta D_{H}=\frac{-w R^{4}}{2 E I \pi}\left[\frac{k_{1} \pi s^{3}}{3}+k_{2}\left(\pi-2 \pi s^{2}-\theta+2 \theta s^{2}+s c\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+2 k_{2}^{2}(2 s+s c-\pi+\theta)\right]
$$

$$
\Delta D_{V}=\frac{w R^{4}}{2 E I \pi}\left[k_{1} \pi\left(\pi s-\theta s-\frac{2}{3}-c+\frac{c^{3}}{3}\right)-k_{2}\left(\pi c^{2}+s c-\theta+2 \theta s^{2}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.-2 k_{2}^{2}(2 s+s c-\pi+\theta)\right]
$$

$$
\Delta L=\frac{w R^{4}}{4 E I \pi}\left[\pi(\pi-\theta) \frac{2 s^{2}-1}{2}-\frac{\pi s c}{2}-2 \pi k_{1}\left(\frac{2}{3}-\pi s+c+\theta s-\frac{c^{3}}{3}+\frac{s^{3}}{3 \pi}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.-k_{2}\left(s c+\pi-\theta+2 \theta s^{2}+2 s \pi-\pi^{2}+\pi \theta+\pi s c\right)-2 k_{2}^{2}(2 s+s c-\pi+\theta)\right]
$$

| $\theta$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $135^{\circ}$ | $150^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{M_{A}}$ | -0.0494 | -0.0329 | -0.0182 | -0.0065 |
| $K_{N_{A}}$ | -0.1061 | -0.0689 | -0.0375 | -0.0133 |
| $K_{M_{C}}$ | -0.3372 | -0.2700 | -0.1932 | -0.1050 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{H}}$ | -0.0533 | -0.0362 | -0.0204 | -0.0074 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{V}}$ | 0.0655 | 0.0464 | 0.0276 | 0.0108 |
| $K_{\Delta L}$ | 0.0448 | 0.0325 | 0.0198 | 0.0080 |


(Note: $\theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ )
$L T_{M}=\frac{w R^{2}}{6 s}(z-s)^{3}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{N}=\frac{w R z}{s^{2}}(z-s)^{2}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{V}=\frac{w R u}{2 s}(z-s)^{2}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$

Formulas for moments, loads, and deformations and some selected numerical values
$M_{A}=\frac{w R^{2}}{36 \pi s}\left\{(\pi-\theta)\left(6 s^{3}-9 s\right)-3 s^{4}+8+8 c-5 s^{2} c-6 k_{2}\left[3 s(s-\pi+\theta)+s^{2} c+2+2 c\right]\right\}$
$M_{C}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{36 \pi s}\left\{9 s(\pi-\theta)+6 \theta s^{3}-3 s^{4}-8-8 c+5 s^{2} c+6 k_{2}\left[3 s(s-\pi+\theta)+s^{2} c+2+2 c\right]\right\}$
$N_{A}=\frac{-w R s^{3}}{12 \pi}$
$V_{A}=0$
$\Delta D_{H}=\frac{-w R^{4}}{18 E I \pi}\left\{\frac{3 k_{1} \pi s^{3}}{4}-k_{2}\left[(\pi-\theta)\left(6 s^{2}-9\right)+\frac{8(1+c)}{s}-5 s c\right]+6 k_{2}^{2}\left[s c+\frac{2(1+c)}{s}-3(\pi-\theta-s)\right]\right\}$
$\Delta D_{V}=\frac{w R^{4}}{18 E I \pi}\left\{18 \pi k_{1}\left[\left(\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{16 s}\right)(\pi-\theta)-\frac{13 c}{48}-\frac{s^{2} c}{24}-\frac{1}{3}\right]+k_{2}\left[(\pi-\theta)\left(3 s^{2}-9\right)-3 s^{2} \theta+\frac{8(1+c)}{s}-5 s c\right]-6 k_{2}^{2}\left[s c+\frac{2(1+c)}{s}-3(\pi-\theta-s)\right]\right\}$
$\Delta L=\frac{w R^{4}}{E I}\left[(\pi-\theta)\left(\frac{s^{2}}{12}-\frac{1}{8}\right)+\frac{1+c}{9 s}-\frac{5 s c}{72}+k_{1} \frac{(\pi-\theta)(12 s+3 / s)-13 c-2 s^{2} c-16-2 s^{3} / \pi}{48}\right.$

$$
\left.-k_{2} \frac{(1+c)\left(2 \pi-\frac{8}{3}\right) / s-3(\pi-\theta)(\pi-1)+2 \theta s^{2}+3 \pi s+s c\left(\pi+\frac{5}{3}\right)}{12 \pi}-k_{2}^{2} \frac{3(s-\pi+\theta)+2(1+c) s+s c}{6 \pi}\right]
$$

$\operatorname{Max}+M$ occurs at an angular position $x_{1}$ where $x_{1}>\theta, x_{1}>131.1^{\circ}$, and $\tan x_{1}+\frac{6 \pi\left(s-\sin x_{1}\right)^{2}}{s^{4}}=0$
$\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{C}$
If $\alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} w R^{2}, N=K_{N} w R, \Delta D=K_{\Delta D} w R^{4} / E I$, etc.

| $\theta$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $135^{\circ}$ | $150^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{M_{A}}$ | -0.0127 | -0.0084 | -0.0046 | -0.0016 |
| $K_{N_{A}}$ | -0.0265 | -0.0172 | -0.0094 | -0.0033 |
| $K_{M_{C}}$ | -0.1263 | -0.0989 | -0.0692 | -0.0367 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{H}}$ | -0.0141 | -0.0093 | -0.0052 | -0.0019 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{V}}$ | 0.0185 | 0.0127 | 0.0074 | 0.0028 |
| $K_{\Delta L}$ | 0.0131 | 0.0092 | 0.0054 | 0.0021 |

## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& L T_{M}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{2}(c-u)^{2}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} \\
& L T_{N}=w R u(c-u)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} \\
& L T_{V}=-w R z(c-u)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{A}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{4 \pi}\left[(\pi-\theta)\left(4 c+2 s^{2}-1\right)+s\left(4-\frac{4 s^{2}}{3}-c\right)-2 k_{2}(\pi-\theta+s c)\right] \\
& M_{C}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{4 \pi}\left[3 \pi+\theta+4 \theta c-2 \theta s^{2}-4 s-s c+\frac{4 s^{3}}{3}-2 k_{2}(\pi-\theta+s c)\right] \\
& N_{A}=\frac{-w R}{\pi}\left(\pi c+s-\theta c-\frac{s^{3}}{3}\right) \\
& V_{A}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta D_{H}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{-w R^{4}}{6 E I \pi}\left[\pi k_{1}\left(s^{3}+3 \theta c+4-3 s\right)+3 k_{2}\left(\pi-\theta+2 \theta c^{2}-s c\right)-6 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta+s c)\right] & \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\frac{-w R^{4}}{2 E I \pi}\left\{\pi k_{1}\left[c(\pi-\theta)+s-\frac{s^{3}}{3}\right]+k_{2}\left[(\pi-\theta)\left(2 s^{2}-1\right)-s c\right]-2 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta+s c)\right\} & \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\Delta D_{V}=\frac{w R^{4}}{3 E I \pi}\left\{\pi k_{1}\left(2-c^{3}+3 c\right)+3 k_{2}\left[2 \theta s^{2}-\theta+s c-\pi\left(1+2 c+s^{2}\right)\right]+6 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta+s c)\right\}
$$

$$
\Delta L=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{w R^{4}}{12 E I \pi}\left[1.5 \pi\left(\theta-2 \theta s^{2}-s c\right)+2 k_{1}\left(2 \pi+s^{3}+3 \theta c-3 s\right)+3 k_{2}\left(s c+\theta \pi+2 \theta s^{2}-3 \pi-\theta-\pi s c\right)+6 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta+s c)\right] & \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\frac{w R^{4}}{12 E I \pi}\left\{1.5 \pi\left[(\pi-\theta)\left(1-2 s^{2}\right)+s c\right]+2 k_{1}\left(2 \pi+s^{3}+3 \theta c-3 s-\pi c^{3}\right)+3 k_{2}\left[(\pi+1)(\pi-\theta+s c)+2 \theta s^{2}-4 \pi(1+c)\right]+6 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta+s c)\right\} & \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\operatorname{Max}+M$ occurs at an angular position $x_{1}$ where $x_{1}>\theta, x_{1}>90^{\circ}$, and $x_{1}=\cos ^{-1} \frac{s^{3} / 3+\theta c-s}{\pi}$
$\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{C}$
If $\alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} w R^{2}, N=K_{N} w R, \Delta D=K_{\Delta D} w R^{4} / E I$, etc.

| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $120^{\circ}$ | $135^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{M_{A}}$ | -0.2500 | -0.2434 | -0.2235 | -0.1867 | -0.0872 | -0.0185 | -0.0052 | -0.00076 |
| $K_{N_{A}}$ | -1.0000 | -0.8676 | -0.7179 | -0.5401 | -0.2122 | -0.0401 | -0.0108 | -0.00155 |
| $K_{M_{C}}$ | -0.2500 | -0.2492 | -0.2448 | -0.2315 | -0.1628 | -0.0633 | -0.0265 | -0.00663 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{H}}$ | -0.1667 | -0.1658 | -0.1610 | -0.1470 | -0.0833 | -0.0197 | -0.0057 | -0.00086 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{V}}$ | 0.1667 | 0.1655 | 0.1596 | 0.1443 | 0.0833 | 0.0224 | 0.0071 | 0.00118 |
| $K_{\Delta L}$ | 0.0833 | 0.0830 | 0.0812 | 0.0756 | 0.0486 | 0.0147 | 0.0049 | 0.00086 |

TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)
Reference no., loading, and load terms
Formulas for moments, loads, and deformations and some selected numerical values 11.

$L T_{M}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{6(1+c)}(c-u)^{3}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{N}=\frac{w R u}{2(1+c)}(c-u)^{2}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{V}=\frac{-w R z}{2(1+c)}(c-u)^{2}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{A}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{(1+c) \pi}\left[(\pi-\theta) \frac{3+12 c^{2}+2 c+4 c s^{2}}{24}-\frac{3 s^{3} c-3 s-5 s^{3}}{36}+\frac{5 s c}{8}-k_{2}\left(\frac{\pi c}{2}-\frac{\theta c}{2}+\frac{s^{3}}{3}+\frac{s c^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \\
& M_{C}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{(1+c) \pi}\left[(\pi-\theta) \frac{-3-12 c^{2}+2 c+4 c s^{2}}{24}+\frac{\pi(1+c)^{3}}{6}+\frac{3 s^{3} c+3 s+5 s^{3}}{36}-\frac{5 s c}{8}-k_{2}\left(\frac{\pi c}{2}-\frac{\theta c}{2}+\frac{s^{3}}{3}+\frac{s c^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \\
& N_{A}=\frac{-w R}{(1+c) \pi}\left[(\pi-\theta) \frac{1+4 c^{2}}{8}+\frac{5 s c}{8}-\frac{s^{3} c}{12}\right] \\
& V_{A}=0 \\
& \Delta D_{H}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{-w R^{4}}{E I(1+c) \pi}\left\{\pi k_{1}\left(\frac{\theta+4 \theta c^{2}-5 s c}{16}+\frac{s^{3} c+16 c}{24}\right)-k_{2}\left(\frac{5 s c^{2}+3 \theta c+6 \theta s^{2} c-8 s}{18}-\frac{\pi c}{2}\right)-k_{2}^{2}\left[c(\pi-\theta)+\frac{2 s^{3}}{3}+s c^{2}\right]\right\} \quad \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\frac{-w R^{4}}{E I(1+c) \pi}\left\{\pi k_{1}\left[(\pi-\theta) \frac{1+4 c^{2}}{16}+\frac{5 s c}{16}-\frac{s^{3} c}{24}\right]-k_{2}\left[\frac{5 s c^{2}-8 s}{18}-(\pi-\theta) \frac{c+2 s^{2} c}{6}\right]-k_{2}^{2}\left[c(\pi-\theta)+s-\frac{s^{3}}{3}\right]\right\} \quad \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Delta D_{V}=\frac{w R^{4}}{E I(1+c)}\left\{k_{1}\left[\frac{(1+c)^{2}}{6}-\frac{s^{4}}{24}\right]+k_{2}\left(\frac{5 s c^{2}+3 \theta c+6 \theta s^{2} c-8 s}{18 \pi}+\frac{s^{2}}{2}+\frac{c^{3}}{6}-c-\frac{2}{3}\right)+k_{2}^{2} \frac{c(\pi-\theta)+s-s^{3} / 3}{\pi}\right\} \\
& \Delta L=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\frac{w R^{4}}{E I(1+c)}\left\{\frac{3 s+5 s^{3}+6 \theta c^{3}-9 \theta c-16}{72}+k_{1}\left(\frac{c}{3}+\frac{1}{16}+\frac{12 \theta c^{2}+3 \theta+2 s^{3} c-15 s c}{48 \pi}\right)\right. \\
\left.+k_{2}\left[\frac{1-s^{3}}{6}-\frac{c(3+s c-\theta)}{4}+\frac{3 \theta c+6 \theta s^{2} c-3 s-5 s^{3}}{36 \pi}\right]+k_{2}^{2} \frac{c(\pi-\theta) / 2+s c^{2} / 2+s^{3} / 3}{\pi}\right\} \quad \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\frac{w R^{4}}{E I(1+c)}\left\{\frac{-(\pi-\theta) c\left(1+2 s^{2}\right)}{24}-\frac{s c^{2}}{24}-\frac{s^{3}}{9}+k_{1}\left(\frac{c}{3}+\frac{1}{16}-\frac{c^{4}}{24}+\frac{12 \theta c^{2}+3 \theta+2 s^{3} c-15 s c}{48 \pi}\right)\right. \\
\left.+k_{2}\left[\frac{2 s-2+s c^{2}}{12}+\frac{c(\pi-\theta-3-2 c)}{4}+\frac{3 \theta c+6 \theta s^{2} c-3 s-5 s^{3}}{36 \pi}\right]+k_{2}^{2} \frac{3 c(\pi-\theta)+2 s+s c^{2}}{6 \pi}\right\} \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Max}+M$ occurs at an angular position $x_{1}$ where $x_{1}>\theta, x_{1}>96.8^{\circ}$, and $x_{1}=\arccos \left\{c-\left[\left(c^{2}+0.25\right)\left(1-\frac{\theta}{\pi}\right)+\frac{s c\left(5-2 s^{2} / 3\right)}{4 \pi}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}$
$\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{C}$
If $\alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} w R^{2}, N=K_{N} w R, \Delta D=K_{\Delta D} w R^{4} / E I$, etc.

| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $120^{\circ}$ | $135^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{M_{A}}$ | -0.1042 | -0.0939 | -0.0808 | -0.0635 | -0.0271 | -0.0055 | -0.0015 | -0.00022 |
| $K_{N_{A}}$ | -0.3125 | -0.2679 | -0.2191 | -0.1628 | -0.0625 | -0.0116 | -0.0031 | -0.00045 |
| $K_{M_{C}}$ | -0.1458 | -0.1384 | -0.1282 | -0.1129 | -0.0688 | -0.0239 | -0.0096 | -0.00232 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{H}}$ | -0.8333 | -0.0774 | -0.0693 | -0.0575 | -0.0274 | -0.0059 | -0.0017 | -0.00025 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{V}}$ | 0.0833 | 0.0774 | 0.0694 | 0.0579 | 0.0291 | 0.0071 | 0.0022 | 0.00035 |
| $K_{\Delta L}$ | 0.0451 | 0.0424 | 0.0387 | 0.0332 | 0.0180 | 0.0048 | 0.0015 | 0.00026 |

## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)


$L T_{M}=-w R^{2}[1-\cos (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{N}=-w R[1-\cos (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
$L T_{V}=-w R \sin (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
M_{A}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{\pi}\left[s+\pi c-\theta c-k_{2}(\pi-\theta-s)\right] \\
M_{C}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{\pi}\left[\pi-s+\theta c-k_{2}(\pi-\theta-s)\right] \\
N_{A}=\frac{-w R}{\pi}(s+\pi c-\theta c) \\
V_{A}=0 \\
\Delta D_{H}= \begin{cases}\frac{-w R^{4}}{E I}\left[\frac{k_{1}(s+\theta c)}{2}+2 k_{2}(1-s)-\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta-s)}{\pi}\right] & \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\frac{-w R^{4}}{E I}\left[\frac{k_{1}(s+\pi c-\theta c)}{2}-\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta-s)}{\pi}\right] & \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}\end{cases} \\
\Delta D_{V}=\frac{w R^{4}}{E I}\left[\frac{k_{1} s(\pi-\theta)}{2}-k_{2}(1+c)+\frac{2 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta-s)}{\pi}\right] \quad \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2}
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l}
\frac{w R^{4}}{2 E I \pi}\left[k_{1}\left(\frac{\pi^{2} s}{2}-s+\theta c\right)+k_{2} \pi(\theta-s-2)+2 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta-s)\right] \\
\Delta L=\left\{\frac{w R^{4}}{2 E I \pi}\left[k_{1}\left(\pi^{2} s-\pi \theta s-\pi c-s+\theta c\right)+k_{2} \pi(\pi-\theta-s-2-2 c)+2 k_{2}^{2}(\pi-\theta-s)\right] \quad \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}\right.
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}
\text { Max }+M \text { occurs at an angular position } x_{1} \text { where } x_{1}>\theta, x_{1}>90^{\circ}, \text { and } x_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{-\pi s}{s-\theta c} \\
\text { Max }-M=M_{C} & \beta=\beta=M=K_{M} w R^{2}, N=K_{N} w R, \Delta D=K_{\Delta D} w R^{4} / E I, \text { etc. }
\end{array}
$$

| $\theta$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $150^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{M_{A}}$ | -0.2067 | -0.2180 | -0.1366 | -0.0513 | -0.0073 |
| $K_{N_{A}}$ | -0.8808 | -0.6090 | -0.3183 | -0.1090 | -0.0148 |
| $K_{M_{C}}$ | -0.3110 | -0.5000 | -0.5000 | -0.3333 | -0.1117 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{H}}$ | -0.1284 | -0.1808 | -0.1366 | -0.0559 | -0.0083 |
| $K_{\Delta D_{V}}$ | 0.1368 | 0.1889 | 0.1488 | 0.0688 | 0.0120 |
| $K_{\Delta L}$ | 0.0713 | 0.1073 | 0.0933 | 0.0472 | 0.0088 |

TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)



The radial pressure $w_{x}$ varies with $(x-\theta)^{2}$ from 0 at $x=\theta$ to $w$ at $x=\pi$
$L T_{M}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{(\pi-\theta)^{2}}\left[(x-\theta)^{2}-2\right.$

$$
+2 u c+2 z s]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}
$$

$$
L T_{N}=\frac{-w R}{(\pi-\theta)^{2}}\left[(x-\theta)^{2}-2\right.
$$

$+2 u c+2 z s]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$

$$
L T_{V}=\frac{-2 w R}{(\pi-\theta)^{2}}(x-\theta-z c+u s)
$$

$$
\times\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}
$$

Max $+M$ occurs at an angular position $x_{1}$
where $x_{1}>\theta, x_{1}>108.6^{\circ}$, and $x_{1}$ is found from
$\left(x_{1}-\theta+s \cos x_{1}\right)+(3 s-2 \pi+2 \theta-\theta c) \sin x_{1}=0$ $\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{C}$
$M_{C}=\frac{-w R^{2}}{\pi(\pi-\theta)^{2}}\left\{2 \theta(2-c)+6 s-6 \pi+\pi(\pi-\theta)^{2}\right.$

$$
\left.+k_{2}\left[2(\pi-\theta-s)-\frac{(\pi-\theta)^{3}}{3}\right]\right\}
$$

$$
\left.\left.-\frac{(\pi-\theta)^{3}}{3}\right]\right\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Max}-M=M_{C}
$$

$N_{A}=\frac{-w R}{\pi(\pi-\theta)^{2}}[2(\pi-\theta)(2-c)-6 s]$
$V_{A}=0$
$\Delta D_{H}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{-w R^{4}}{E I(\pi-\theta)^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left.k_{1}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{4}-6-\theta^{2} s+3 s-3 \theta c+\frac{3 \pi}{2}+c+\theta s-2 \theta\right)+k_{2}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}-4+4 s-2 \theta \pi+2 \theta^{2}\right)-2 k_{2}^{2} \frac{(\pi-\theta)^{3}-6(\pi-\theta-s)}{3 \pi}\right\} \quad \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{-w R^{4}}{E I(\pi-\theta)^{2}}\left\{k_{1}[(2-c)(\pi-\theta)-3 s]-2 k_{2}^{2} \frac{(\pi-\theta)^{3}-6(\pi-\theta-s)}{3 \pi}\right\}\end{array} \quad \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}\right.\end{array}\right.$
$\Delta D_{V}=\frac{w R^{4}}{E I(\pi-\theta)^{2}}\left\{k_{1}[2+2 c-s(\pi-\theta)]+k_{2}\left(2+2 c-(\pi-\theta)^{2}\right]+2 k_{2}^{2} \frac{(\pi-\theta)^{3}-6(\pi-\theta-s)}{3 \pi}\right\}$

## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)



## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)

16. Unit axial segment of pipe filled with liquid of weight per unit volume $\rho$ and supported at the base

$L T_{M}=\rho R^{3}\left(1-u-\frac{x z}{2}\right)$
$L T_{N}=\rho R^{2}\left(1-u-\frac{x z}{2}\right)$
$L T_{V}=\rho R^{2}\left(\frac{z}{2}-\frac{x u}{2}\right)$
17. Unit axial segment of pipe partly
filled with liquid of weight per unit volume $\rho$ and supported at the base


$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{A}=\rho R^{3}\left(0.75-\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right) \\
& M_{C}=\rho R^{3}\left(1.25-\frac{k_{2}}{2}\right) \\
& N_{A}=0.75 \rho R^{2} \\
& V_{A}=0 \\
& \Delta D_{H}=\frac{\rho R^{5} 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E t^{3}}\left[\frac{k_{1} \pi}{4}+k_{2}\left(2-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-k_{2}^{2}\right] \\
& \Delta D_{V}=\frac{-\rho R^{5} 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E t^{3}}\left(\frac{k_{1} \pi^{2}}{8}-2 k_{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \Delta L=\frac{-\rho R^{5} 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E t^{3}}\left[\frac{k_{1} 3 \pi^{2}}{32}-k_{2}\left(0.5+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Max}+M=M_{C} \\
& \operatorname{Max}-M=-\rho R^{3}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{2}-0.1796\right) \quad \text { at } x=105.23^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { If } \alpha=\beta=0
$$

$$
\Delta D_{H}=0.2146 \frac{\rho R^{5} 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E t^{3}}
$$

$$
\Delta D_{V}=-0.2337 \frac{\rho R^{5} 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E t^{3}}
$$

$$
\Delta L=-0.1399 \frac{\rho R^{5} 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E t^{3}}
$$

Note: For this case and case 17,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha & =\frac{t^{2}}{12 R^{2}\left(1-v^{2}\right)} \\
\beta & =\frac{t^{2}}{6 R^{2}(1-v)} \text { where } t=\text { pipe wall thickness }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: see case 16 for expressions for $\alpha$ and $\beta$
$M_{A}=\frac{\rho R^{3}}{4 \pi}\left\{2 \theta s^{2}+3 s c-3 \theta+\pi+2 \pi c^{2}+2 k_{2}[s c-2 s+(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)]\right\}$
$N_{A}=\frac{\rho R^{2}}{4 \pi}\left[3 s c+(\pi-\theta)\left(1+2 c^{2}\right)\right]$
$V_{A}=0$
$\Delta D_{H}= \begin{cases}\frac{\rho R^{5} 3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 E t^{3} \pi}\left\{k_{1} \pi\left(s c+2 \pi-3 \theta+2 \theta c^{2}\right)+8 k_{2} \pi\left(2 c-s c-\frac{\pi}{2}+\theta\right)+8 k_{2}^{2}[(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)+s c-2 s]\right\} & \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{\rho R^{5} 3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 E t^{3} \pi}\left\{k_{1} \pi\left[(\pi-\theta)\left(1+2 c^{2}\right)+3 s c\right]+8 k_{2}^{2}[(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)+s c-2 s]\right\} \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}\end{cases}$
$\Delta D_{V}=\frac{-\rho R^{5} 3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 E t^{3} \pi}\left\{k_{1} \pi\left[s^{2}+(\pi-\theta)(\pi-\theta+2 s c)\right]-4 k_{2} \pi(1+c)^{2}-8 k_{2}^{2}[(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)+s c-2 s]\right\}$

## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)

| Reference no., loading, and load terms | Formulas for moments, loads, and deformations and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. Continued $\begin{aligned} L T_{M}= & \frac{\rho R^{3}}{2}[2 c-z(x-\theta+s c) \\ & \left.-u\left(1+c^{2}\right)\right]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta L=\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{-\rho R^{5} 3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 E t^{3} \pi}\left\{k_{1}\left[2 \theta c^{2}+\theta-3 s c+\pi^{2}\left(s c-\theta+\frac{3 \pi}{4}\right)\right]+2 k_{2} \pi[2+2 \theta c-2 s-4 c-\pi+\theta-s c]-4 k_{2}^{2}[(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)+s c-2 s]\right\} \quad \text { for } \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{-\rho R^{5} 3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 E t^{3} \pi}\left\{k_{1}\left[2 \theta c^{2}+\theta-3 s c+\pi(\pi-\theta)(\pi-\theta+2 s c)-3 \pi c^{2}\right]+2 k_{2} \pi\left[2 s-2(1+c)^{2}-s c-(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)\right]-4 k_{2}^{2}[(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)+s c-2 s]\right\} \quad \text { for } \theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} L T_{N}=\frac{\rho R^{2}}{2}[2 c-z(x-\theta+s c) \\ \\ \left.-u\left(1+c^{2}\right)\right]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} \\ L T_{V}= \\ \frac{\rho R^{2}}{2}\left[z c^{2}-u(x-\theta+s c)\right] \\ \times\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{C}=\frac{\rho R^{3}}{4 \pi}\left\{4 \pi c+\pi+2 \theta c^{2}+\theta-3 s c+2 k_{2}[(\pi-\theta)(1-2 c)+s c-2 s]\right\}$ <br> Max $-M$ occurs at an angular position where $x_{1}>\theta, x_{1}>105.23^{\circ}$, and $x_{1}$ is found from $\left(\theta+2 \theta c^{2}-3 s c-\pi\right) \tan x_{1}+2 \pi\left(\theta-s c-x_{1}\right)=0$ <br> If $\alpha=\beta=0, M=K_{M} \rho R^{3}, N=K_{N} \rho R^{2}, \Delta D=K_{\Delta D} \rho R^{5} 12\left(1-v^{2}\right) / E t^{3}$, etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} L T_{V}=\frac{\rho R^{2}}{2}\left[z c^{2}-u(x-\theta+s c)\right] \\ \times\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $135^{\circ}$ | $150^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} K_{M_{A}} \\ K_{N_{A}} \\ K_{M_{C}} \\ K_{\Delta D_{H}} \\ K_{\Delta D_{V}} \\ K_{\Delta L} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2500 \\ 0.7500 \\ 0.7500 \\ 0.2146 \\ -0.2337 \\ -0.1399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2290 \\ 0.6242 \\ 0.7216 \\ 0.2027 \\ -0.2209 \\ -0.1333 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1935 \\ 0.4944 \\ 0.6619 \\ 0.1787 \\ -0.1955 \\ -0.1198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1466 \\ 0.3534 \\ 0.5649 \\ 0.1422 \\ -0.1573 \\ -0.0986 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0567 \\ 0.1250 \\ 0.3067 \\ 0.0597 \\ -0.0700 \\ -0.0465 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0104 \\ 0.0216 \\ 0.0921 \\ 0.0115 \\ -0.0150 \\ -0.0106 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0027 \\ 0.0056 \\ 0.0344 \\ 0.0031 \\ -0.0043 \\ -0.0031 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.00039 \\ 0.00079 \\ 0.00778 \\ 0.00044 \\ -0.00066 \\ -0.00050 \end{array}$ |  |

## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)



## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)

| $\frac{\text { Reference no., loading, and load terms }}{19 .}$ | Formulas for moments, loads, and deformations and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $M_{A}=\frac{-M_{o}}{2 \pi}\left(\pi-\theta-\frac{2 k_{2} s}{k_{1}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $v=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{0}}{2 \pi \mathrm{R}^{2}}$$\begin{aligned} & L T_{M}=\frac{-M_{o}}{2 \pi}(x-z)+M_{o}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} \\ & L T_{N}=\frac{M_{o} z}{2 \pi R} \\ & L T_{V}=\frac{-M_{o}}{2 \pi R}(1-u) \end{aligned}$ | $N_{A}=\frac{M_{o}}{\pi R}\left(\frac{k_{2} s}{k_{1}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $V_{A}=\frac{-M_{o}}{2 \pi R}\left(1+\frac{2 k_{2} c}{k_{1}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\operatorname{Max}+M=\frac{M_{o}}{2} \quad$ for $x$ just greater than $\theta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Max $-M=\frac{-M_{o}}{2}$ for $x$ just less than $\theta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | At $x=\theta+180^{\circ}, M=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other maxima are, for $\alpha=\beta=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $M\left\{\begin{aligned} -0.1090 M_{o} & \text { at } x=\theta+120^{\circ} \\ 0.1090 M_{0} & \text { at } x=\theta+240^{\circ} \end{aligned}\right.$ <br> If $\alpha=\beta=0, M=k_{M} M_{o}, N=K_{N} M_{o} / R, V=K_{V} M_{o} / R$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $135^{\circ}$ | $150^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
|  | $K_{M_{A}}$ | -0.5000 | -0.2575 | -0.1499 | -0.0577 | 0.0683 | 0.1090 | 0.1001 | 0.0758 | 0.0000 |
|  | $K_{N_{A}}$ | 0.0000 | 0.1592 | 0.2251 | 0.2757 | 0.3183 | 0.2757 | 0.2250 | 0.1592 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | -0.4775 | -0.4348 | -0.3842 | -0.3183 | -0.1592 | 0.0000 | 0.0659 | 0.1165 | 0.1592 |

## TABLE 9.2 Formulas for circular rings (Continued)

20. Bulkhead or supporting ring in pipe, supported at bottom and carrying total load $W$ transferred by tangential shear $v$ distributed as shown


$$
v=\frac{W \sin x}{\pi R}
$$

$L T_{M}=\frac{W R}{\pi}\left(1-u-\frac{x z}{2}\right)$
$L T_{N}=\frac{-W}{2 \pi} x z$
$L T_{V}=\frac{W}{2 \pi}(z-x u)$
for $0<x<180^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
M_{A}=\frac{W R}{2 \pi}\left(k_{2}-0.5\right) & M a x+M=M_{C} \\
M_{C}=\frac{W R}{2 \pi}\left(k_{2}+0.5\right) & M a x-M=\frac{-W R}{4 \pi}\left(3.2815-2 k_{2}\right) \quad \text { at } x=105.2^{\circ} \\
N_{A}=\frac{0.75 W}{\pi} & \text { If } \alpha=\beta=0, \\
V_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0.0796 W R \\
\Delta D_{H}=\frac{W R^{3}}{E I}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{4}-\frac{k_{2}}{2}+\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{\pi}\right) & V_{A}=0.2387 W \\
\Delta D_{V}=\frac{-W R^{3}}{E I}\left(\frac{k_{1} \pi}{8}-\frac{k_{2}^{2}}{\pi}\right) & \Delta D_{H}=0.0683 \frac{W R^{3}}{E I} \\
\Delta L=\frac{-W R^{3}}{4 E I \pi}\left[4+k_{1} \frac{3 \pi^{2}}{8}-k_{2}(\pi+2)-2 k_{2}^{2}\right] & \Delta D_{V}=-0.0744 \frac{W R^{3}}{E I} \\
\end{array}
$$

| Reference no., loading, and load terms | Formulas for moments, loads, and deformations and some selected numerical values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21. Ring rotating at angular rate $\omega \mathrm{rad} / s$ about an axis perpendicular to the plane of the ring. Note the requirement of symmetry of the cross section in Sec. 9.3. <br> $\delta \omega^{2} 2 \pi R R_{0} A$ <br> $\delta=$ mass density of ring material $\begin{aligned} & L T_{M}=\delta \omega^{2} A R^{3}\left\{\begin{array}{l} K_{T}(1-u) \\ \\ \left.-\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left[x z-K_{T}(1-u)\right]\right\} \\ L T_{N}=\delta \omega^{2} A R^{2}\left[K_{T}(1-u)-\frac{R_{o}}{R} x z\right] \end{array}\right. \\ & L T_{V}=\delta \omega^{2} A R^{2}\left[z K_{T}(2 u-1)-\frac{R_{o}}{R} x u\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & M_{A}=\delta \omega^{2} A R^{3}\left\{K_{T} \alpha+\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left[k_{2}-0.5-\frac{\left(K_{T}-1\right) \beta}{k_{1}}\right]\right\} \\ & \quad \text { where } K_{T}=1+\frac{I}{A R^{2}} \\ & M_{C}=\delta \omega^{2} A R^{3}\left\{K_{T} \alpha+\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left[k_{2}+0.5+\frac{\left(K_{T}-1\right) \beta}{k_{1}}\right]\right\} \\ & N_{A}=\delta \omega^{2} A R^{2}\left\{K_{T}+\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left[0.5+\left(K_{T}-1\right) \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right]\right\} \\ & V_{A}=0 \\ & \Delta D_{H}=\frac{\delta \omega^{2} R^{4}}{E e}\left[2 K_{T} k_{2} \alpha+\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left(\frac{k_{1} \pi}{2}-k_{2} \pi+2 k_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \\ & \Delta D_{V}=\frac{\delta \omega^{2} R^{4}}{E e}\left[2 K_{T} k_{2} \alpha-\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left(\frac{k_{1} \pi^{2}}{4}-2 k_{2}^{2}\right]\right. \\ & \Delta L=\frac{\delta \omega^{2} R^{4}}{E e}\left[K_{T} k_{2} \alpha-\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left(\frac{k_{1} 3 \pi^{2}}{16}+k_{2}-\frac{k_{2} \pi}{2}-k_{2}^{2}+K_{T} \alpha\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> Note: The constant $K_{T}$ accounts for the radial distribution of mass in the ring. | $\operatorname{Max}+M=M_{C}$ <br> Max $-M$ occurs at an angular position $x_{1}$ where $\frac{x_{1}}{\tan x_{1}}=-0.5+\frac{\left(K_{T}-1\right) \beta}{k_{1}}$ <br> For a thin ring where $K_{T} \approx 1$, $\operatorname{Max}-M=-\delta \omega^{2} A R^{3}\left[\frac{R_{o}}{R}\left(1.6408-k_{2}\right)-\alpha\right] \quad \text { at } x=105.23^{\circ}$ |

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches

nOTATION: $W=$ load (force); $w=$ unit load (force per unit of circumferential length); $M_{o}=$ applied couple (force-length). $\theta_{o}=$ externally created concentrated angular displacement (radians); $\Delta_{o}=$ externally created concentrated radial displacement; $T-T_{o}=$ uniform temperature rise (degrees); $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}=$ temperatures on outside and inside, respectively (degrees). $H_{A}$ and $H_{B}$ are the horizontal end reactions at the left and right, respectively, and are positive to the left; $V_{A}$ and $V_{B}$ are the vertical end reactions at the left and right ends, respectively, and are positive upward; $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are the reaction moments at the left and right, respectively, and are positive clockwise. $E=$ modulus of elasticity (force per unit area); $v=$ Poisson's ratio; $A$ is the cross-sectional area; $R$ is the radius ot the centroid of the cross section; $I=$ area moment of inertia of arch cross section about the principal axis perpendicular to the plane of the arch. [Note that for a wide curved plate or a sector of a cylinder, a representative segment of unit axial length may be used by replacing $E I$ by $E t^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)$.] $e$ is the positive distance measured radially inward from the centroidal axis of the cross section to the neutral axis of pure bending (see Sec. 9.1). $\theta$ (radians) is one-half of the total subtended angle of the arch and is limited to the range zero to $\pi$. For an angle $\theta$ close to zero, round-off errors may cause troubles; for an angle $\theta$ close to $\pi$, the possibility of static or elastic instability must be considered. Deformations have been assumed small enough so as to not affect the expressions for the internal bending moments, radial shear, and circumferential normal forces. Answers should be examined to be sure that such is the case before accepting them. $\phi$ (radians) is the angle measured counterclockwise from the midspan of the arch to the position of a concentrated load or the start of a distributed load. $s=\sin \theta, c=\cos \theta, n=\sin \phi$, and $m=\cos \phi \cdot \gamma=$ temperature coefficient of expansion.
The references to end points $A$ and $B$ refer to positions on a circle of radius $R$ passing through the centroids of the several sections. It is important to note this carefully when dealing with thick rings. Similarly, all concentrated and distributed loadings are assumed to be applied at the radial position of the centroid with the exception of cases $h$ and $i$ where the ring is loaded by its own weight or by a constant linear acceleration. In these two cases the actual radial distribution of load is considered. If the loading is on the outer or inner surfaces of thick rings, a statically equivalent loading at the centroidal radius $R$ must be used. See examples to determine how this might be accomplished.
The hoop-stress deformation factor is $\alpha=I / A R^{2}$ for thin rings or $\alpha=e / R$ for thick rings. The transverse- (radial-) shear deformation factor is $\beta=F E I / G A R^{2}$ for thin rings or $\beta=2 F(1+v) e / R$ for thick rings, where $G$ is the shear modulus of elasticity and $F$ is a shape factor for the cross section (see Sec. 8.10). The following constants are defined to simplify the expressions which follow. Note that these constants are unity if no correction for hoop stress or shear stress is necessary or desired for use with thin rings. $k_{1}=1-\alpha+\beta, k_{2}=1-\alpha$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { General reaction and expressions for cases 1-4; right end pinned in all four cases, no vertical motion at the left end } \\
& \text { Deformation equations: }
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note: If desired, $V_{A}, V_{B}$, and $H_{B}$ can be evaluated from equilibrium equations after calculating $H_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ )

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

1. Left end pinned, right end pinned


Since $\delta_{H A}=0$ and $M_{A}=0$

$$
H_{A}=\frac{L P_{H}}{A_{H H}} \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{R^{2}}{E I}\left(A_{M H} H_{A}-L P_{M}\right)
$$

The loading terms are given below.

| Reference no., loading | Loading terms and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. Concentrated vertical load | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =W\left[\theta s c-\phi n c+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(c^{2}-m^{2}\right)+k_{2} c(c-m)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =\frac{W}{2}\left[\phi n-\theta s+\frac{k_{1}}{2 s^{2}}(\theta n-\phi s+s n c-s n m)-k_{2}(c-m)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{\overline{L P_{H}}}{W}$ | -0.0143 | -0.0100 | -0.1715 | $-0.1105$ | -0.5000 | -0.2500 |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{M}}{W}$ | $-0.0639$ | $-0.0554$ | -0.2034 | -0.1690 | $-0.2854$ | -0.1978 |
| 1b. Concentrated horizontal load | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=W\left[\theta c^{2}+\phi m c+\frac{k_{1}}{2}(\theta+\phi-s c-n m)-k_{2} c(s+n)\right] \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{W}{2}\left[-\theta c-\phi m+\frac{k_{1}}{2 s^{2}}\left(\theta c-\theta m+s m^{2}-s c m\right)+k_{2}(s+n)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\overline{\frac{L P_{H}}{W}}$ | $0.0050$ | 0.0057 | 0.1359 | 0.1579 | 0.7854 | 0.9281 |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{M}}{W}$ | 0.0201 | 0.0222 | 0.1410 | 0.1582 | 0.3573 | 0.4232 |
| 1c. Concentrated radial load | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =W\left[\theta c(c n+s m)+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(\theta n+\phi n-s c n-s^{2} m\right)-k_{2} c(1+s n-c m)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =\frac{W}{2}\left[-\theta(c n+s m)+\frac{k_{1}}{2 s^{2}}(\theta c n-\phi s m)+k_{2}(1+s n-c m)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$$\begin{array}{ll} \theta & 30^{\circ} \end{array}$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{H}}{W}$ | -0.0143 | $-0.0082$ | -0.1715 | -0.0167 | -0.5000 | 0.4795 |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{M}}{W}$ | $-0.0639$ | $-0.0478$ | -0.2034 | -0.0672 | -0.2854 | 0.1594 |

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)



## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| Reference no., loading | Loading terms and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1g. Uniform horizontal load on right side only | $\begin{gathered} L P_{H}=\frac{w R}{12}\left[3 \theta c\left(1+2 c^{2}-4 c\right)+3 s c^{2}+2 k_{1}\left(2 s^{3}-3 \theta+3 s c\right)+6 k_{2} c(2 s-s c-\theta)\right] \\ L P_{M}=\frac{w R}{8}\left\{4 \theta c-2 \theta c^{2}-\theta-s c-\frac{k_{1}}{3 s^{2}}\left[s\left(2-3 c+c^{3}\right)-3 \theta(1-c)^{2}\right]\right. \\ \left.+2 k_{2}(\theta-2 s+s c)\right\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For } \alpha=\beta=0 \\ & \theta \\ & \frac{L P_{H}}{w R} \\ & \frac{L P_{M}}{w R} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.0004 \\ -0.0015 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 60^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.0389 \\ -0.0381 \end{gathered}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ -0.4521 -0.1906 |
| 1h. Vertical loading uniformly distributed along the circumference (by gravity or linear acceleration) <br> (Note: The full span is loaded) | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=w R\left\{2 \theta^{2} s c+\left(\frac{k_{1}}{2}+k_{2}\right)\left(2 \theta c^{2}-\theta-s c\right)+\frac{R_{c g}}{R}\left[k_{2}(\theta-s c)-2 c(s-\theta c)\right]\right\} \\ & L P_{M}=w R\left[\left(\frac{R_{c g}}{R}+k_{2}\right)(s-\theta c)-\theta^{2} s\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> where $R_{c g}$ is the radial distance to the center of mass for a differential length of the circumference for radially thicker arches. $R_{c g} / R=1+I_{c} /\left(A R^{2}\right) . I_{c}$ is the area moment of inertia about the centroidal axis of the cross section. For radially thin arches let $R_{c g}=R$. See the discussion on page 333 . | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ an $\begin{gathered} \frac{\theta}{\frac{L P_{H}}{w R}} \\ \frac{L P_{M}}{w R} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { or } R_{c g}=1 \\ 30^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.0094 \\ -0.0440 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 60^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.2135 \\ -0.2648 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 90^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.7854 \\ -0.4674 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1i. Horizontal loading uniformly distributed along the circumference (by gravity or linear acceleration) <br> (Note: The full span is loaded) | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=w R \theta\left[2 \theta c^{2}+k_{1}(\theta-s c)-2 k_{2} s c\right] \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{w R}{2 s}\left[-2 \theta^{2} s c+\frac{k_{1}}{2 s}\left(2 \theta^{2} c+\theta s+s^{2} c\right)+k_{2}\left(2 \theta s^{2}-\theta-s c\right)\right. \\ & \left.\quad-\frac{R_{c g}}{R}\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)(\theta+s c)\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> See case 1 h for a definition of the radius $R_{c g}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ and $\begin{gathered} \frac{\theta}{L P_{H}} \\ w R \\ \frac{L P_{M}}{w R} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { or } R_{c g}= \\ 30^{\circ} \\ \hline 0.0052 \\ 0.0209 \end{gathered}$ |  | $60^{\circ}$ 0.2846 0.2968 |  | $90^{\circ}$ 2.4674 1.1781 |
| 1j. Partial uniformly distributed radial loading | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=w R c\left[\theta(1-c m+s n)+\frac{k_{1}}{2 c}\left(s c m+c^{2} n-\theta m-\phi m\right)+k_{2}(s m+c n-\theta-\phi)\right] \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{w R}{2}\left[\theta(c m-1-s n)+\frac{k_{1}}{2 s^{2}}[\theta-\theta c m-\phi s n+s c-s m)+k_{2}(\theta+\phi-s m-c n)\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> If $\phi=\theta$ (the full span is loaded) $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =w R c\left[2 \theta s^{2}-k_{1}(\theta-s c)-2 k_{2}(\theta-s c)\right] \\ L P_{M} & =w R\left[-\theta s^{2}+k_{2}(\theta-s c)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ <br> $\theta$ <br> $\phi$ <br> $\frac{L P_{H}}{w R}$ <br> $\frac{L P_{M}}{w R}$$-0.0050$ | $15^{\circ}$ -0.0081 -0.0358 | $\begin{gathered} 0^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.1359 \\ -0.1410 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.1920 \\ -0.2215 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.7854 \\ -0.3573 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45^{\circ} \\ \hline-0.8330 \\ -0.4391 \end{gathered}$ |

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| 1k. Partial uniformly increasing distributed radial loading | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\frac{w R}{\theta+\phi}\left\{\theta c(\theta+\phi-c n-s m)+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left[n(s c-\theta-\phi)+s^{2} m+2 c-2 m\right]\right. \\ & \left.\quad+k_{2}\left[s c n+s^{2} m-\frac{c}{2}(\theta+\phi)^{2}+c-m\right]\right\} \end{aligned} \begin{array}{r} L P_{M}=\frac{w R}{\theta+\phi}\left\{\frac{\theta}{2}(c n+s m-\theta-\phi)+\frac{k_{1}}{4 s^{2}}[(\theta+\phi)(\theta+s c)+\phi s m-\theta c n\right. \\ \\ \left.\left.\quad-2 s^{2}-2 s n\right]+\frac{k_{2}}{4}\left[(\theta+\phi)^{2}+2 c m-2 s n-2\right]\right\} \end{array}$ <br> If $\phi=\theta$ (the full span is loaded) $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\frac{w R c}{\theta}\left[\theta(\theta-c s)-\frac{k_{1} s}{2 c}(\theta-s c)-k_{2}\left(\theta^{2}-s^{2}\right)\right] \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{w R}{2 \theta}\left[\theta s c-\theta^{2}+\frac{k_{1}}{2 s^{2}}\left(\theta^{2}+\theta s c-2 s^{2}\right)+k_{2}\left(\theta^{2}-s^{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ $\theta$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\overline{\frac{L P_{H}}{w R}}$ | $-0.0018$ | -0.0035 | -0.0518 | -0.0915 | -0.3183 | -0.5036 |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{M}}{w R}$ | $-0.0072$ | -0.0142 | -0.0516 | -0.0968 | -0.1366 | -0.2335 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Partial second-order increase in distributed radial loading | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\frac{w R c}{(\theta+\phi)^{2}}\left\{\theta(\theta+\phi)^{2}-2 \theta(1-c m+s n)+\frac{k_{1}}{c}[(\theta+\phi)(2 c+m)\right. \\ & \left.\quad-c(s m+c n)-2 n-2 s]+\frac{k_{2}}{3}\left[6(\theta+\phi-s m-c n)-(\theta+\phi)^{3}\right]\right\} \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{w R}{(\theta+\phi)^{2}}\left\{\theta(1-c m+s n)-\frac{\theta}{2}(\theta+\phi)^{2}\right. \\ & \\ & +\frac{k_{1}}{4 s^{2}}\left[(\theta+\phi)^{2}(\theta+s c)+2 s(\phi n+3 m-3 c)-4 s^{2}(\theta+\phi)-2 \theta(1-c m)\right] \\ & \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\overline{L P_{H}}{ }_{w R}$ | $-0.0010$ | -0.0019 | -0.0276 | -0.0532 | -0.1736 | -0.3149 |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{M}}{w R}$ | $-0.0037$ | $-0.0077$ | $-0.0269$ | $-0.0542$ | -0.0726 | -0.1388 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } \phi=\theta \text { (the full span is loaded) } \\ & \begin{array}{l} L P_{H}=\frac{w R}{6 \theta^{2}}\left[6 \theta^{3} c-6 \theta s^{2} c+3 k_{1}\left(3 \theta c-3 s+s^{3}\right)+2 k_{2}\left(3 \theta c-2 \theta^{3} c-3 s c^{2}\right)\right] \\ L P_{M}=\frac{w R}{2 \theta^{2}}\left[\theta s^{2}-\theta^{3}+k_{1} \frac{\theta}{2 s^{2}}\left(\theta^{2}+\theta s c-2 s^{2}\right)+k_{2}\left(s c-\theta+\frac{2 \theta^{3}}{3}\right)\right] \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| Reference no., loading | Loading terms and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 m . Partial uniformly distributed tangential loading | If $\phi=\theta$ (the full span is loaded)$\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =w R\left[2 \theta c^{2} s+k_{1} s(\theta-s c)-2 k_{2} c s^{2}\right] \\ L P_{M} & =w R\left[-\theta s c+\frac{k_{1}}{2 s^{2}}\left(2 s^{2}-\theta s c-\theta^{2}\right)+k_{2} s^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ <br> $\theta$ <br> $30^{\circ}$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\overline{\frac{L P_{H}}{w R}}$ | $0.0010$ | 0.0027 | 0.0543 | 0.1437 | 0.5000 | 1.1866 |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{M}}{w R}$ | $0.0037$ | $0.0112$ | 0.0540 | 0.1520 | 0.2146 | 0.5503 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1n. Concentrated couple | $L P_{H}=\frac{M_{o}}{R}\left(\phi c-k_{2} n\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \alpha= \\ \theta \end{gathered}$ | $\beta=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
| $1-\phi=1$ |  | $\frac{\overline{L P_{H} R}}{M_{o}}$ | $0.0000$ | -0.0321 | 0.0000 | -0.2382 | 0.0000 | $-0.7071$ |
|  |  | $\frac{L P_{M} R}{M_{o}}$ | 0.0434 | $-0.1216$ | 0.0839 | -0.2552 | 0.1073 | -0.4318 |
| 1p. Concentrated angular displacement | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\frac{\theta_{o} E I}{R^{2}}(m-c) \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{\theta_{o} E I}{R^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{2 s}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1q. Concentrated radial displacement | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=\frac{\Delta_{o} E I}{R^{3}} n \\ & L P_{M}=\frac{\Delta_{o} E I}{R^{3}}\left(-\frac{m}{2 s}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| 1r. Uniform temperature rise over that span to the right of point $Q$ | $\begin{aligned} & L P_{H}=-\left(T-T_{o}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{R^{2}}(s+n) \\ & L P_{M}=\left(T-T_{o}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{2 R^{2} s}(m-c) \end{aligned}$ <br> $T=$ uniform temperature <br> $T_{o}=$ unloaded temperature |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1s. Linear temperature differential through the thickness $t$ for that span to the right of point $Q$ | $\begin{aligned} L P_{H} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{R t}(n+s-\theta c-\phi c) \\ L P_{M} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{2 R t s}(\theta s+\phi s-m+c) \end{aligned}$ <br> where $t$ is the radial thickness and $T_{o}$, the unloaded temperature, is the temperature at the radius of the centroid |
| 2. Left end guided horizontally, right end pinned | Since $\psi_{A}=0$ and $H_{A}=0$ $M_{A}=\frac{L P_{M}}{A_{M M}} R \quad \text { and } \quad \delta_{H A}=\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(A_{H M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L P_{H}\right)$ <br> Use load terms given above for cases 1a-1s |

3. Left end roller supported in vertical direction only, right end pinned


Since both $M_{A}$ and $H_{A}$ are zero, this is a statically determinate case:
$\delta_{H A}=\frac{-R^{3}}{E I} L P_{H} \quad$ and $\quad \psi_{A}=\frac{-R^{2}}{E I} L P_{M}$
Use load terms given above for cases $1 \mathrm{a}-1$ s

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

4. Left end fixed, right end pinned

Since $\delta_{H A}=0$ and $\psi_{A}=0$,
$H_{A}=\frac{A_{M M} L P_{H}-A_{H M} L P_{M}}{A_{H H} A_{M M}-A_{H M}^{2}} \quad$ and $\quad \frac{M_{A}}{R}=\frac{A_{H H} L P_{M}-A_{H M} L P_{H}}{A_{H H} A_{M M}-A_{H M}^{2}}$
Use load terms given above for cases $1 \mathrm{a}-1 \mathrm{~s}$

General reaction and deformation expressions for cases 5-14, right end fixed in all 10 cases.

Deformation equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Horizontal deflection at } A=\delta_{H A}=\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(B_{H H} H_{A}+B_{H V} V_{A}+B_{H M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{H}\right) \\
& \text { Vertical deflection at } A=\delta_{V A}=\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(B_{V H} H_{A}+B_{V V} V_{A}+B_{V M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{V}\right) \\
& \text { Angular rotation at } A=\psi_{A}=\frac{R^{2}}{E I}\left(B_{M H} H_{A}+B_{M V} V_{A}+B_{M M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{H H}=2 \theta c^{2}+k_{1}(\theta-s c)-k_{2} 2 s c$
$B_{H V}=B_{V H}=-2 \theta s c+k_{2} 2 s^{2}$
$B_{H M}=B_{M H}=-2 \theta c+k_{2} 2 s$
$B_{V V}=2 \theta s^{2}+k_{1}(\theta+s c)-k_{2} 2 s c$
$B_{V M}=B_{M V}=2 \theta s$
$B_{M M}=2 \theta$
and where $L F_{H}, L F_{V}$, and $L F_{M}$ are loading terms given below for several types of load
(Note: If desired, $H_{B}, V_{B}$, and $M_{B}$ can be evaluated from equilibrium equations after calculating $H_{A}, V_{A}$, and $M_{A}$ )
5. Left end fixed, right end fixed $\quad$ Since $\delta_{H A}=0, \delta_{V A}=0, \psi_{A}=0$, these equations must be solved simultaneously for $H_{A}$, $V_{A}$, and $M_{A} / R$
 The loading terms are given in cases $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{~s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{H H} H_{A}+B_{H V} V_{A}+B_{H M} M_{A} / R=L F_{H} \\
& B_{V H} H_{A}+B_{V V} V_{A}+B_{V M} M_{A} / R=L F_{V} \\
& B_{M H} H_{A}+B_{M V} V_{A}+B_{M M} M_{A} / R=L F_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| Reference no., loading | Loading terms and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5a. Concentrated vertical load | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=W\left[-(\theta+\phi) c n+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(c^{2}-m^{2}\right)+k_{2}(1+s n-c m)\right] \\ & L F_{V}=W\left[(\theta+\phi) s n+\frac{k_{1}}{2}(\theta+\phi+s c+n m)-k_{2}(2 s c-s m+c n)\right] \\ & L F_{M}=W\left[(\theta+\phi) n+k_{2}(m-c)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha$ $\theta$ | $\beta=0$ |  |  |  |  | $0^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{\overline{L F_{H}}}{W}$ | 0.0090 | 0.0253 | 0.1250 | 0.3573 | 0.5000 | 1.4571 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{V}}{W}$ | 0.1123 | 0.2286 | 0.7401 | 1.5326 | 1.7854 | 3.8013 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{M}}{W}$ | 0.1340 | 0.3032 | 0.5000 | 1.1514 | 1.0000 | 2.3732 |
| 5b. Concentrated horizontal load | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=W\left[(\theta+\phi) m c+\frac{k_{1}}{2}(\theta+\phi-s c-n m)-k_{2}(s m+c n)\right] \\ & L F_{V}=W\left[-(\theta+\phi) s m+\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(c^{2}-m^{2}\right)+k_{2}\left(1-2 c^{2}+c m+s n\right)\right] \\ & L F_{M}=W\left[-(\theta+\phi) m+k_{2}(s+n)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ <br> $\theta$ $30^{\circ}$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{\overline{L F_{H}}}{W}$ | -0.0013 | 0.0011 | $-0.0353$ | 0.0326 | -0.2146 | 0.2210 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{V}}{W}$ | $-0.0208$ | $-0.0049$ | $-0.2819$ | -0.0621 | -1.0708 | -0.2090 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{M}}{W}$ | $-0.0236$ | 0.0002 | -0.1812 | 0.0057 | -0.5708 | 0.0410 |
| 5c. Concentrated radial load | $L F_{H}=W\left[\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(\theta n+\phi n-s c n-s^{2} m\right)+k_{2}(m-c)\right]$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$$\theta$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  |  | $0^{\circ}$ |
|  | $L F_{V}=W\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta m+\phi m+s c m+c^{2} n\right)+k_{2}\left(s+n-2 s c m-2 c^{2} n\right)\right]$ | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  | $L F_{M}=W\left[k_{2}(1+s n-c m)\right]$ | $\frac{L F_{H}}{W}$ | 0.0090 | 0.0248 | 0.1250 | 0.3257 | 0.5000 | 1.1866 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{V}}{W}$ | 0.1123 | 0.2196 | 0.7401 | 1.2962 | 1.7854 | 2.5401 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{M}}{W}$ | 0.1340 | 0.2929 | 0.5000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.7071 |

TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } \phi=\theta \text { (the full span is loaded) } \\
& L F_{H}=\frac{w R}{2}\left[\theta c\left(1-2 s^{2}\right)-s c^{2}-\frac{k_{1} 4 s^{3}}{3}+k_{2} 2\left(s^{3}+s-c \theta\right)\right] \\
& L F_{V}=w R\left[\frac{s}{2}\left(\theta s^{2}-\theta c^{2}+s c\right)+k_{1} s(\theta+s c)+k_{2} s(\theta-3 s c)\right] \\
& L F_{M}=w R\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta s^{2}-\theta c^{2}+s c\right)+k_{2}(\theta-s c)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| 5f. Uniform horizontal load on left side only | $L F_{H}=\frac{w R}{4}\left[\theta c\left(4 s^{2}-1\right)+s c^{2}+2 k_{1}\left(\theta-2 \theta c-s c+2 s c^{2}+\frac{2 s^{2}}{3}\right)+2 k_{2}\left(s c^{2}-s^{3}-\theta c\right)\right]$ | For $\alpha$ <br> $\theta$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{V}=\frac{w R}{4}\left\{-\theta s\left(4 s^{2}-1\right)-s^{2} c-\frac{2 k_{1}}{3}\left(1-3 c^{2}+2 c^{3}\right)+2 k_{2}\left[\theta s+2(1-c)\left(1-2 c^{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\ & L F_{M}=\frac{w R}{4}\left[-\theta\left(4 s^{2}-1\right)-s c+2 k_{2}(2 s-3 s c+\theta)\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{L F_{H}}{w R}$ | 0.0005 | 0.0541 | 0.6187 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{V}}{w R}$ | 0.0016 | 0.0729 | 0.4406 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{M}}{w R}$ | 0.0040 | 0.1083 | 0.6073 |
| 5g. Uniform horizontal load on right side only | $L F_{H}=\frac{w R}{4}\left[s c^{2}-\theta c+\frac{2 k_{1}}{3}\left(2 s^{3}+3 s c-3 \theta\right)+2 k_{2}(s-\theta c)\right]$ | $\text { For } \alpha$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{V}=\frac{w R}{4}\left[\theta s-s^{2} c+\frac{2 k_{1}}{3}\left(1-3 c^{2}+2 c^{3}\right)-2 k_{2}\left(2-4 c^{2}+2 c^{3}-\theta s\right)\right] \\ & L F_{M}=\frac{w R}{4}\left[\theta-s c+2 k_{2}(\theta-2 s+s c)\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\theta$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\overline{\frac{L F_{H}}{w R}}$ | 0.0000 | 0.0039 | 0.0479 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{V}}{w R}$ | 0.0009 | 0.0448 | 0.3448 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{M}}{w R}$ | 0.0010 | 0.0276 | 0.1781 |
| 5h. Vertical loading uniformly distributed along the circumference (by gravity or linear acceleration) <br> (Note: The full span is loaded) | $L F_{H}=w R\left[\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(2 \theta c^{2}-\theta-s c\right)+k_{2}\left(\frac{R_{c g}}{R}+1\right)(\theta-s c)+\frac{R_{c g}}{R} 2 c(\theta c-s)\right]$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$ and $R_{c g}=R$ |  |  |  |
|  | $L F_{V}=w R\left[k_{1} \theta(\theta+s c)+2 k_{2} s(s-2 \theta c)-\frac{R_{c g}}{\sim} 2 s(\theta c-s)\right]$ | $\theta$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $L \kappa_{V}=\omega \kappa\left[R_{1} \theta(\theta+s c)+2 R_{2} s(s-2 \theta c)-\frac{R^{2}}{R} 2 s(\theta c-s)\right]$ | $\underline{L F_{H}}$ | 0.0149 | 0.4076 | 2.3562 |
|  | $L F_{M}=2 w R\left(\frac{R_{c g}}{R}+k_{2}\right)(s-\theta c)$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{w R} \\ \frac{L F_{V}}{w R} \end{gathered}$ | $0.1405$ | 1.8294 | 6.4674 |
|  | See case 1 h for a definition of the radius $R_{c g}$ | $\frac{L F_{M}}{w R}$ | 0.1862 | 1.3697 | 4.0000 |


| Reference no., loading | Loading terms and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5i. Horizontal loading uniformly distributed along the circumference (by gravity or linear acceleration) <br> (Note: The full span is loaded) | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =w R\left[k_{1} \theta(\theta-s c)+\frac{R_{c g}}{R} 2 s\left(\theta c-k_{2} s\right)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =w R\left[\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(2 \theta c^{2}-\theta-s c\right)+\left(\frac{R_{c g}}{R}+1\right) k_{2}(s c-\theta)+\left(2 k_{2}-\frac{R_{c g}}{R}\right) 2 \theta s^{2}\right] \\ L F_{M} & =w R\left(k_{2}-\frac{R_{c g}}{R}\right) 2 \theta s \end{aligned}$ <br> See case 1 h for a definition of the radius $R_{c g}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \alpha \\ \theta \\ \frac{L F_{H}}{w R} \\ \frac{L F_{V}}{w R} \\ \frac{L F_{M}}{w R} \end{gathered}$ | $\beta=0$ and | $R_{c g}=R$ $30^{\circ}$ 0.0009 -0.0050 0.0000 |  | $60^{\circ}$ 0.0501 -0.1359 0.0000 |  | $90^{\circ}$ 0.4674 -0.7854 0.0000 |
| 5j. Partial uniformly distributed radial loading | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =w R\left[\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(s c m+c^{2} n-\theta m-\phi m\right)+k_{2}(s+n-\theta c-\phi c)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =w R\left[\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left(\theta n+\phi n+s c n+s^{2} m\right)+k_{2}\left(\theta s+\phi s-2 s c n+2 c^{2} m-c-m\right)\right] \\ L F_{M} & =w R\left[k_{2}(\theta+\phi-s m-c n)\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> If $\phi=\theta$ (the full span is loaded) $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =w R\left[k_{1} c(s c-\theta)+2 k_{2}(s-\theta c)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =w R\left[k_{1} s(\theta+s c)+2 k_{2} s(\theta-2 s c)\right] \\ L F_{M} & =w R\left[2 k_{2}(\theta-s c)\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { For } \alpha \\ \frac{\theta}{\phi} \\ \frac{L F_{H}}{w R} \\ \frac{L F_{V}}{w R} \\ \frac{L F_{M}}{w R} \end{gathered}$ | $=0$ $00^{\circ}$ 0.0013 0.0208 0.0236 | $15^{\circ}$ 0.0055 0.0639 0.0783 | $0^{\circ}$ 0.0353 0.2819 0.1812 | $30^{\circ}$ 0.1505 0.8200 0.5708 | $0^{\circ}$ 0.2146 1.0708 0.5708 | $80^{\circ}$ <br> $45^{\circ}$ <br> 0.8741 <br> 2.8357 <br> 1.6491 |
| 5 k . Partial uniformly increasing distributed radial loading | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=\frac{w R}{\theta+\phi}\left\{\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left[s c n-(\theta+\phi) n+2 c-m-c^{2} m\right]\right. \\ & \\ & \left.\quad+\frac{k_{2}}{2}[(\theta+\phi)(2 s-\theta c-\phi c)+2 c-2 m]\right\} \\ & L F_{V}=\frac{w R}{\theta+\phi}\left\{\frac{k_{1}}{2}\left[2 s+2 n-(\theta+\phi) m-s m c-c^{2} n\right)\right. \\ & \\ & \left.\quad+\frac{k_{2}}{2}\left[(\theta+\phi)(\theta s+\phi s-2 c)-2 s-2 n+4 s m c+4 c^{2} n\right]\right\} \\ & L F_{M}=\frac{w R}{\theta+\phi}\left\{\frac{k_{2}}{2}\left[(\theta+\phi)^{2}+2(c m-s n-1)\right]\right\} \end{aligned}$ <br> If $\phi=\theta$ (the full span is loaded) $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\frac{w R}{2 \theta}\left[k_{1} s(s c-\theta)+2 k_{2} \theta(s-\theta c)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =\frac{w R}{2 \theta}\left[k_{1}\left(2 s-s c^{2}-\theta c\right)+2 k_{2}\left(2 s c^{2}+s \theta^{2}-s-\theta c\right)\right] \\ L F_{M} & =\frac{w R}{2 \theta}\left[k_{2}\left(\theta^{2}-s^{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha$ <br> $\theta$ <br> $\phi$ <br> $\frac{L F_{H}}{w R}$ <br> $\frac{L F_{V}}{w R}$ <br> $\frac{L F_{M}}{w R}$ | $=0$ $00^{\circ}$ 0.0003 0.0054 0.0059 | $15^{\circ}$ 0.0012 0.0169 0.0198 | $0^{\circ}$ 0.0074 0.0737 0.0461 | $30^{\circ}$ 0.0330 0.2246 0.1488 | $0^{\circ}$ 0.0451 0.2854 0.1488 | $45^{\circ}$ 0.1963 0.8245 0.4536 |

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| 51. Partial second-order increase in distributed radial loading | $\left.\begin{array}{rl} L F_{H}=\frac{w R}{(\theta+\phi)^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{l} k_{1}\left[(\theta+\phi)(2 c+m)-2 s-2 n-c^{2} n-s c m\right] \end{array}\right. \\ & \left.\quad+\frac{k_{2}}{3}\left[3(\theta+\phi)(\theta s+\phi s+2 c)-6 s-6 n-c(\theta+\phi)^{3}\right]\right\} \end{array}\right\}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For } \alpha=\beta=0 \\ & \theta \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\overline{\frac{L F_{H}}{w R}}$ | 0.0001 | 0.0004 | 0.0025 | 0.0116 | 0.0155 | 0.0701 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{V}}{w R}$ | 0.0022 | 0.0070 | 0.0303 | 0.0947 | 0.1183 | 0.3579 |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{M}}{w R}$ | $0.0024$ | 0.0080 | 0.0186 | 0.0609 | 0.0609 | 0.1913 |
|  | If $\phi=\theta$ (the full span is loaded) $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\frac{w R}{2 \theta^{2}}\left[k_{1}\left(3 \theta c-3 s+s^{3}\right)+2 k_{2}\left(\theta c-s+s \theta^{2}-\frac{2 c \theta^{3}}{3}\right)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =\frac{w R}{2 \theta^{2}}\left[k_{1} s(\theta-s c)+2 k_{2}\left(2 s^{2} c-\theta s-c \theta^{2}+\frac{2 s \theta^{3}}{3}\right)\right] \\ L F_{M} & =\frac{w R}{\theta^{2}}\left[k_{2}\left(s c-\theta+\frac{2 \theta^{3}}{3}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 m . Partial uniformly distributed tangential loading | $\begin{array}{rlr} L F_{H}= & \frac{w R}{2}\left[(\theta+\phi)^{2} c+k_{1}\left(\theta n+\phi n-s c n-s^{2} m+2 m-2 c\right)+2 k_{2}(m-c-\theta s-\phi s)\right] \\ L F_{V}= & =\frac{w R}{2}\left[-(\theta+\phi)^{2} s+k_{1}\left(\theta m+\phi m+c^{2} n+\right.\right. & +s c m-2 s-2 n) \\ & \left.\quad+2 k_{2}\left(\theta c+\phi c+2 s^{2} n-n-2 s c m+s\right)\right] \\ L F_{M}= & =\frac{w R}{2}\left[-(\theta+\phi)^{2}+2 k_{2}(1+s n-c m)\right] \end{array}$ | For $\alpha=\beta=0$$\theta$ |  |  | $60^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\overline{L F_{H}}$ | -0.0001 | -0.0009 | $-0.0077$ | -0.0518 | -0.0708 | -0.4624 |
|  | If $\phi=\theta$ (the full span is loaded) $L F_{H}=w R\left[2 \theta^{2} c+k_{1} s(\theta-s c)-k_{2} 2 \theta s\right]$ | $\frac{L F_{V}}{w R}$ | $-0.0028$ | -0.0133 | -0.0772 | -0.3528 | -0.4483 | -1.9428 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} L F_{V} & =w R\left[-2 \theta^{2} s+k_{1}\left(\theta c-s-s^{3}\right)+2 k_{2}\left(\theta c+s-2 s c^{2}\right)\right] \\ L F_{M} & =w R\left(-2 \theta^{2}+k_{2} 2 s^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{L F_{M}}{w R}$ | $-0.0031$ | -0.0155 | -0.0483 | -0.2337 | -0.2337 | -1.0687 |

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

| Reference no., loading | Loading terms and some selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 n . Concentrated couple | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\frac{M_{o}}{R}\left[(\theta+\phi) c-k_{2}(s+n)\right] \\ L F_{V} & =\frac{M_{o}}{R}\left[-(\theta+\phi) s+k_{2}(c-m)\right] \\ L F_{M} & =\frac{M_{o}}{R}(-\theta-\phi) \end{aligned}$ | For $\alpha=$ <br> $\theta$ | $\beta=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{\overline{L F_{H} R}}{M_{o}}$ | $-0.0466$ | $-0.0786$ | -0.3424 | -0.5806 | $-1.0000$ | $-1.7071$ |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{V} R}{M_{o}}$ | $-0.3958$ | $-0.4926$ | $-1.4069$ | $-1.7264$ | $-2.5708$ | $-3.0633$ |
|  |  | $\frac{L F_{M} R}{M_{o}}$ | $-0.5236$ | $-0.7854$ | $-1.0472$ | $-1.5708$ | $-1.5708$ | $-2.3562$ |
| 5p. Concentrated angular displacement | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=\frac{\theta_{o} E I}{R^{2}}(m-c) \\ & L F_{V}=\frac{\theta_{o} E I}{R^{2}}(s-n) \\ & L F_{M}=\frac{\theta_{o} E I}{R^{2}}(1) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5q. Concentrated radial displacement | $\begin{aligned} L F_{H} & =\frac{\Delta_{o} E I}{R^{3}}(n) \\ L F_{V} & =\frac{\Delta_{o} E I}{R^{3}}(m) \\ L F_{M} & =0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5r. Uniform temperature rise over that span to the right of point $Q$ | $\begin{aligned} & L F_{H}=-\left(T-T_{o}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{R^{2}}(n+s) \\ & L F_{V}=\left(T-T_{o} \frac{\gamma E I}{R^{2}}(c-m)\right. \\ & L F_{M}=0 \\ & T=\text { uniform temperature } \\ & T_{o}=\text { unloaded temperature } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

5 s . Linear temperature differential through the thickness $t$ for that span to the right of point $Q$

6. Left end pinned, right end fixed


$$
\begin{aligned}
L F_{H} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{R t}(n+s-\theta c-\phi c) \\
L F_{V} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{R t}(m-c+\theta s+\phi s) \\
L F_{M} & =\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) \frac{\gamma E I}{R t}(\theta+\phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The temperature at the centroidal axis is the initial unloaded temperature

Since $\delta_{H A}=0, \delta_{V A}=0$, and $M_{A}=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{A} & =\frac{B_{V V} L F_{H}-B_{H V} L F_{V}}{B_{H H} B_{V V}-B_{H V}^{2}} \\
V_{A} & =\frac{B_{H H} L F_{V}-B_{H V} L F_{H}}{B_{H H} B_{V V}-B_{H V}^{2}} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{R^{2}}{E I}\left(B_{M H} H_{A}+B_{M V} V_{A}-L F_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Use load terms given above for cases $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{~s}$
7. Left end guided in horizontal $\quad$ Since $\delta_{V A}=0, \psi_{A}=0$, and $H_{A}=0$,
direction, right end fixed


$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{A} & =\frac{B_{M M} L F_{V}-B_{M V} L F_{M}}{B_{V V} B_{M M}-B_{M V}^{2}} \\
\frac{M_{A}}{R} & =\frac{B_{V V} L F_{M}-B_{M V} L F_{V}}{B_{V V} B_{M M}-B_{M V}^{2}} \\
\delta_{H A} & =\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(B_{H V} V_{A}+B_{H M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Use load terms given above for cases $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{~s}$
8. Left end guided in vertical $\quad$ Since $\delta_{H A}=0, \psi_{A}=0$, and $V_{A}=0$,


$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{A}=\frac{B_{M M} L F_{H}-B_{H M} L F_{M}}{B_{H H} B_{M M}-B_{H M}^{2}} \\
& \frac{M_{A}}{R}=\frac{B_{H H} L F_{M}-B_{H M} L F_{H}}{B_{H H} B_{M M}-B_{H M}^{2}} \\
& \delta_{V A}=\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(B_{V H} H_{A}+B_{V M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{V}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

9. Left end roller supported $\quad$ Since $\delta_{V A}=0, H_{A}=0$, and $M_{A}=0$,
in vertical direction only,

right end fixed | $V_{A}=\frac{L F_{V}}{B_{V V}}, \quad \delta_{H A}=\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(B_{H V} V_{A}-L F_{H}\right)$ |
| :--- |
| $\psi_{A}=\frac{R^{2}}{E I}\left(B_{M V} V_{A}-L F_{M}\right)$ |

| 10. Left end roller supported in | Since $\delta_{H A}=0, V_{A}=0$, and $M_{A}=0$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| horizontal direction only, |  |
| right end fixed |  |$\quad$| $H_{A}=\frac{L F_{H}}{B_{H H}}$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\psi_{A}=\frac{R^{2}}{E I}\left(B_{M H} H_{A}-L F_{M}\right)$ |

Use load terms given above for cases $5 \mathrm{a}-5$ s


$$
\text { Since } \psi_{A}=0, H_{A}=0, \text { and } V_{A}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{M_{A}}{R} & =\frac{L F_{M}}{B_{M M}}, \quad \delta_{H A}=\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(B_{H M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{H}\right) \\
\delta_{V A} & =\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left(B_{V M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{V}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Use load terms given above for cases $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{~s}$
12. Left end free, right end fixed Since $H_{A}=0, V_{A}=0$, and $M_{A}=0$, this is a statically determinate problem. The deflections at the free end are given by


$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{H A} & =\frac{-R^{3}}{E I} L F_{H}, \quad \delta_{V A}=\frac{-R^{3}}{E I} L F_{V} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{-R^{2}}{E I} L F_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 9.3 Reaction and deformation formulas for circular arches (Continued)

13. Left end guided along an Since there is no deflection perpendicular to the incline, the following three equations must be solved for $M_{A}, P_{A}$, and $\delta_{I}$ : inclined surface at angle $\zeta$, right end fixed


$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{I} \frac{E I \cos \zeta}{R^{3}} & =P_{A}\left(B_{H V} \cos \zeta-B_{H H} \sin \zeta\right)+B_{H M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{H} \\
\delta_{I} \frac{E I \sin \zeta}{R^{3}} & =P_{A}\left(B_{V V} \cos \zeta-B_{V H} \sin \zeta\right)+B_{V M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{V} \\
0 & =P_{A}\left(B_{M V} \cos \zeta-B_{M H} \sin \zeta\right)+B_{M M} \frac{M_{A}}{R}-L F_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use load terms given above for cases $5 \mathrm{a}-5 \mathrm{~s}$
14. Left end roller supported along an inclined surface at angle $\zeta$, right end fixed


Since there is no deflection perpendicular to the incline and $M_{A}=0$, the following equations give $P_{A}, \delta_{I}$, and $\psi_{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{A} & =\frac{L F_{V} \cos \zeta-L F_{H} \sin \zeta}{B_{H H} \sin ^{2} \zeta-2 B_{H V} \sin \zeta \cos \zeta+B_{V V} \cos ^{2} \zeta} \\
\delta_{I} & =\frac{R^{3}}{E I}\left\{P_{A}\left[B_{H V}\left(\cos ^{2} \zeta-\sin ^{2} \zeta\right)+\left(B_{V V}-B_{H H}\right) \sin \zeta \cos \zeta\right]-L F_{H} \cos \zeta-L F_{V} \sin \zeta\right\} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{R^{2}}{E I}\left[P_{A}\left(B_{M V} \cos \zeta-B_{M H} \sin \zeta\right)-L F_{M}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature

NOTATION: $W=$ applied load normal to the plane of curvature (force); $M_{o}=$ applied bending moment in a plane tangent to the curved axis of the beam (force-length); $T_{o}=$ applied twisting moment in a plane normal to the curved axis of the beam (force-length); $w=$ distributed load (force per unit length); $t_{o}=$ distributed twisting moment (force-length per unit length); $V_{A}=$ reaction force, $M_{A}=$ reaction bending moment, $T_{A}=$ reaction twisting moment, $y_{A}=$ deflection normal to the plane of curvature, $\Theta_{A}=$ slope of the beam axis in the plane of the moment $M_{A}$, and $\psi_{A}=$ roll of the beam cross section in the plane of the twisting moment $T_{A}$, all at the left end of the beam. Similarly, $V_{B}, M_{B}, T_{B}, y_{B}, \Theta_{B}$, and $\psi_{B}$ are the reactions and displacements at the right end: $V, M, T, y, \Theta$, and $\psi$ are internal shear forces, moments, and displacements at an angular position $x$ rad from the left end. All loads and reactions are positive as shown in the diagram; $y$ is positive upward; $\Theta$ is positive when $y$ increases as $x$ increases; and $\psi$ is positive in the direction of $T$.
$R=$ radius of curvature of the beam axis (length); $E=$ modulus of elasticity (force per unit area); $I=$ area moment of inertia about the bending axis (length to the fourth power) (note that this must be a principal axis of the beam cross section); $G=$ modulus of rigidity (force per unit area); $v=$ Poisson's ratio; $K=$ torsional stiffness constant of the cross section (length to the fourth power) (see page 383); $\theta=$ angle in radians from the left end to the position of the loading; $\phi=$ angle (radians) subtended by the entire span of the curved beam. See page 131 For a definition of the term $\langle x-\theta\rangle^{n}$.
The following constants and functions are hereby defined to permit condensing the tabulated formulas which follow. $\beta=E I / G K$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{1}=\frac{1+\beta}{2} x \sin x-\beta(1-\cos x) & C_{1}=\frac{1+\beta}{2} \phi \sin \phi-\beta(1-\cos \phi) \\
F_{2}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}(x \cos x-\sin x) & C_{2}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}(\phi \cos \phi-\sin \phi) \\
F_{3}=-\beta(x-\sin x)-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(x \cos x-\sin x) & C_{3}=-\beta(\phi-\sin \phi)-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(\phi \cos \phi-\sin \phi) \\
F_{4}=\frac{1+\beta}{2} x \cos x+\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin x & C_{4}=\frac{1+\beta}{2} \phi \cos \phi+\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin \phi \\
F_{5}=-\frac{1+\beta}{2} x \sin x & C_{5}=-\frac{1+\beta}{2} \phi \sin \phi \\
F_{6}=F_{1} & C_{6}=C_{1} \\
F_{7}=F_{5} & C_{7}=C_{5} \\
F_{8}=\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin x-\frac{1+\beta}{2} x \cos x & C_{8}=\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin \phi-\frac{1+\beta}{2} \phi \cos \phi \\
F_{9}=F_{2} & C_{9}=C_{2} \\
F_{a 1}=\left\{\frac{1+\beta}{2}(x-\theta) \sin (x-\theta)-\beta[1-\cos (x-\theta)]\right\}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 1}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}(\phi-\theta) \sin (\phi-\theta)-\beta[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)] \\
F_{a 2}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}[(x-\theta) \cos (x-\theta)-\sin (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 2}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}[(\phi-\theta) \cos (\phi-\theta)-\sin (\phi-\theta)] \\
F_{a 3}=\left\{-\beta[x-\theta-\sin (x-\theta)]-F_{a 2}\right\}\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 3}=-\beta[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]-C_{a 2} \\
F_{a 4}=\left[\frac{1+\beta}{2}(x-\theta) \cos (x-\theta)+\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin (x-\theta)\right]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 4}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}(\phi-\theta) \cos (\phi-\theta)+\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin (\phi-\theta)
\end{array}
$$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{a 5}=-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(x-\theta) \sin (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 5}=-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(\phi-\theta) \sin (\phi-\theta) \\
F_{a 6}=F_{a 1} & C_{a 6}=C_{a 1} \\
F_{a 7}=F_{a 5} & C_{a 7}=C_{a 5} \\
F_{a 8}=\left[\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin (x-\theta)-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(x-\theta) \cos (x-\theta)\right]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 8}=\frac{1-\beta}{2} \sin (\phi-\theta)-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(\phi-\theta) \cos (\phi-\theta) \\
F_{a 9}=F_{a 2} & C_{a 9}=C_{a 2} \\
F_{a 12}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}[(x-\theta) \sin (x-\theta)-2+2 \cos (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 12}=\frac{1+\beta}{2}[(\phi-\theta) \sin (\phi-\theta)-2+2 \cos (\phi-\theta)] \\
F_{a 13}=\left[\beta\left\{1-\cos (x-\theta)-\frac{(x-\theta)^{2}}{2}\right\}-F_{a 12}\right]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 13}=\beta\left[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)-\frac{(\phi-\theta)^{2}}{2}\right]-C_{a 12} \\
F_{a 15}=F_{a 2} & C_{a 15}=C_{a 2} \\
F_{a 16}=F_{a 3} & C_{a 16}=C_{a 3} \\
F_{a 18}=\left[1-\cos (x-\theta)-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(x-\theta) \sin (x-\theta)\right]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0} & C_{a 18}=1-\cos (\phi-\theta)-\frac{1+\beta}{2}(\phi-\theta) \sin (\phi-\theta) \\
F_{a 19}=F_{a 12} & C_{a 19}=C_{a 12}
\end{array}
$$

1. Concentrated intermediate lateral load


Transverse shear $=V=V_{A}-W\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Bending moment $=M=V_{A} R \sin x+M_{A} \cos x-T_{A} \sin x-W R \sin (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Twisting moment $=T=V_{A} R(1-\cos x)+M_{A} \sin x+T_{A} \cos x-W R[1-\cos (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Deflection $=y=y_{A}+\Theta_{A} R \sin x+\psi_{A} R(1-\cos x)+\frac{M_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{1}+\frac{T_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{2}+\frac{V_{A} R^{3}}{E I} F_{3}-\frac{W R^{3}}{E I} F_{a 3}$
Bending slope $=\Theta=\Theta_{A} \cos x+\psi_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{4}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{5}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{6}-\frac{W R^{2}}{E I} F_{a 6}$
Roll slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} \cos x-\Theta_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{7}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{8}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{9}-\frac{W R^{2}}{E I} F_{a 9}$
For tabulated values: $V=K_{V} W, \quad M=K_{M} W R, \quad T=K_{T} W R, \quad y=K_{y} \frac{W R^{3}}{E I}, \quad \Theta=K_{\Theta} \frac{W R^{2}}{E I}, \quad \psi=K_{\psi} \frac{W R^{2}}{E I}$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)



| 1c. Right end fixed, left end supported and slope guided | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=W \frac{\left(C_{a 9} C_{4}-C_{a 6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 6} C_{1}-C_{a 3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 3} C_{7}-C_{a 9} C_{1}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \\ & M_{A}=W R \frac{\left.\left(C_{a 6} C_{9}-C_{a 9} C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 3} C_{6}-C_{a 6} C_{3}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 9} C_{3}\right)-C_{a 3} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \\ & \psi_{A}=\frac{W R^{2}}{E I} \frac{C_{a 3}\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 6}\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 9}\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right)}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{lll} T_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 & \Theta_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $V_{B}=V_{A}-W$ | If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi-W R \sin (\phi-\theta) \\ & T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{A} \sin \phi-W R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)] \end{aligned}$ | $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $K_{V A}$ $K_{M A}$ $K_{\psi A}$ $K_{M B}$ $K_{T B}$ $K_{M \theta}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7407 \\ -0.1194 \\ -0.0008 \\ -0.0607 \\ -0.0015 \\ 0.0764 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2561 \\ -0.0600 \\ -0.0007 \\ -0.1201 \\ -0.0015 \\ 0.0761 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7316 \\ -0.2478 \\ -0.0147 \\ -0.1344 \\ -0.0161 \\ 0.1512 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2392 \\ -0.1226 \\ -0.0126 \\ -0.2608 \\ -0.0174 \\ 0.1458 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6686 \\ -0.5187 \\ -0.2152 \\ -0.3473 \\ -0.1629 \\ 0.3196 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1566 \\ -0.2214 \\ -0.1718 \\ -0.6446 \\ -0.1869 \\ 0.2463 \end{array}$ |
| 1d. Right end fixed, left end supported and roll guided$\begin{array}{lrr} M_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 & \psi_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=W \frac{\left[\left(C_{a 3}+C_{a 9}\right) C_{5}-C_{a 6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 3} C_{8}-C_{a 9} C_{2}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ & T_{A}=W R \frac{\left[C_{a 6}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{a 3}+C_{a 9}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 9} C_{3}-C_{a 3} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ & \Theta_{A}=\frac{W R^{2}}{E I} \frac{C_{a 3}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 6}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 9}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ & V_{B}=V_{A}-W \\ & \left.M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi-W R \sin (\phi-\theta) \quad \text { If } \beta=1.3 \text { (solid or hollow round cross section, } v=0.3\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{A} \cos \phi-W R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]$ | $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $K_{V A}$ $K_{T A}$ $K_{\Theta A}$ $K_{M B}$ $K_{T B}$ $K_{M \theta}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5053 \\ -0.0226 \\ -0.0252 \\ -0.1267 \\ -0.0019 \\ 0.1366 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1379 \\ -0.0111 \\ -0.0127 \\ -0.1535 \\ -0.0015 \\ 0.0745 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4684 \\ -0.0862 \\ -0.1320 \\ -0.3114 \\ -0.0316 \\ 0.2773 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1103 \\ -0.0393 \\ -0.0674 \\ -0.3504 \\ -0.0237 \\ 0.1296 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3910 \\ -0.2180 \\ -1.1525 \\ -0.8660 \\ -0.5000 \\ 0.5274 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0577 \\ -0.0513 \\ -0.5429 \\ -0.8660 \\ -0.3333 \\ 0.0944 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)



## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

1g. Right end supported and slopeguided, left end supported and rollguided

$M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \psi_{A}=0$

$$
T_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0
$$

$V_{A}=W \frac{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]+C_{a 3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{a 6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}$
$T_{A}=W R \frac{\left(C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi\right)[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]-\left(C_{a 3} \cos \phi-C_{a 6} \sin \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}$
$\Theta_{A}=\frac{W R^{2}}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]+\left(C_{a 3} C_{5}-C_{a 6} C_{2}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 6} C_{3}-C_{a 3} C_{6}\right) \cos \phi}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}$
$V_{B}=V_{A}-W$
$M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi-W R \sin (\phi-\theta)$
$\psi_{B}=-\Theta_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} C_{8}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{9}-\frac{W R^{2}}{E I} C_{a 9}$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ |  | $60^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.5087 | 0.1405 | 0.5000 | 0.1340 | 0.6257 | 0.2141 |  |
| $K_{T A}$ | -0.0212 | -0.0100 | -0.0774 | -0.0327 | -0.2486 | -0.0717 |  |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.0252 | -0.0127 | -0.1347 | -0.0694 | -1.7627 | -0.9497 |  |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.1253 | -0.1524 | -0.2887 | -0.3333 | -0.8660 | -0.8660 |  |
| $K_{\psi B}$ | -0.0016 | -0.0012 | -0.0349 | -0.0262 | -0.9585 | -0.6390 |  |
| $K_{M \theta}$ | 0.1372 | 0.0753 | 0.2887 | 0.1443 | 0.7572 | 0.2476 |  |

1h. Right end supported and slopeguided, left end simply supported

$M_{A}=0 \quad T_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0$
$T_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0$
$V_{A}=W \frac{1-\cos (\phi-\theta)}{1-\cos \phi}$
$\Theta_{A}=\frac{W R^{2}}{E I}\left\{\frac{C_{a 3} \sin \phi+C_{6}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]}{1-\cos \phi}-\frac{C_{3} \sin \phi[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]}{(1-\cos \phi)^{2}}-C_{a 6}\right\}$
$\psi_{A}=\frac{W R^{2}}{E I}\left\{\frac{C_{a 6} \sin \phi-C_{a 3} \cos \phi}{1-\cos \phi}-\left(C_{6} \sin \phi-C_{3} \cos \phi\right) \frac{1-\cos (\phi-\theta)}{(1-\cos \phi)^{2}}\right\}$
$V_{B}=V_{A}-W$
$M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-W R \sin (\phi-\theta)$
$\psi_{B}=\psi_{A} \cos \phi-\Theta_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{9}-\frac{W R^{2}}{E I} C_{a 9}$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
| $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.4574 | 0.1163 | 0.5000 | 0.1340 | 0.7500 | 0.2500 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.0341 | -0.0169 | -0.1854 | -0.0909 | -2.0859 | -1.0429 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 0.0467 | 0.0220 | 0.1397 | 0.0591 | 0.4784 | 0.1380 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.1766 | -0.1766 | -0.3660 | -0.3660 | -0.8660 | -0.8660 |
| $K_{\psi B}$ | 0.0308 | 0.0141 | 0.0042 | -0.0097 | -0.9878 | -0.6475 |
| $K_{M \theta}$ | 0.1184 | 0.0582 | 0.2500 | 0.1160 | 0.6495 | 0.2165 |



## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

| 2. Concentated intermediate bending moment | Transverse shear $=V=V_{A}$ <br> Bending moment $=M=V_{A} R \sin x+M_{A} \cos x-$ <br> Twisting moment $=T=V_{A} R(1-\cos x)+M_{A} \sin$ <br> Vertical deflection $=y=y_{A}+\Theta_{A} R \sin x+\psi_{A} R($ <br> Bending slope $=\Theta=\Theta_{A} \cos x+\psi_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I}$ <br> Roll slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} \cos x-\Theta_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{7}+$ <br> For tabulated values $V=K_{V} \frac{M_{o}}{R}, \quad M=K_{M} M_{o}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sin x+M_{o} c \\ & +T_{A} \cos x+ \\ & \cos x)+\frac{M_{A}}{E I} \\ & +\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{5}+ \\ & \frac{R}{I} F_{8}+\frac{V_{A} R}{E I} \\ & T=K_{T} M_{o} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle \\ & \sin (x-\theta)\langle x \\ & 1+\frac{T_{A} R^{2}}{E I} I \\ & R^{2} F_{6}+\frac{M_{o}}{E I} \\ & +\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} F_{a 7} \\ & =K_{y} \frac{M_{o} R^{2}}{E I} \end{aligned}$ | $\rangle^{0}$ $\frac{V_{A} R^{3}}{E I} F_{3}$ $=K_{\Theta} \frac{M_{c}}{E}$ | $-F_{a 1}$ $\psi=K_{\psi} \frac{M_{c}}{E}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End restraints,reference no. | Formulas for boundary values and selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a. Right end fixed, left end free $\begin{array}{ccc} V_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0 & T_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A}=\frac{M_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left[C_{a 4} \sin \phi-C_{a 7}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{a 1}\right] \\ & \Theta_{A}=\frac{M_{o} R}{E I}\left(C_{a 7} \sin \phi-C_{a 4} \cos \phi\right) \end{aligned}$$\psi_{A}=-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I}\left(C_{a 4} \sin \phi+C_{a 7} \cos \phi\right)$$V_{B}=0, \quad M_{B}=M_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)$$T_{B}=M_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)$If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ )       <br> $\phi$ $45^{\circ}$   $90^{\circ}$   <br> $\theta$ $0^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ}$ $30^{\circ}$ $60^{\circ}$   <br> $K_{y A}$ 0.3058 1.1500 1.1222 0.6206   <br> $K_{\Theta A}$ -0.8282 -1.8064 -1.0429 -0.3011   <br> $K_{\psi A}$ 0.0750 0.1500 -0.4722 -0.4465   <br> $K_{M B}$ 0.7071 0.0000 0.5000 0.8660   <br> $K_{T B}$ 0.7071 1.0000 0.8660 0.5000   |  |  |  |  | $$ | $180^{\circ}$ <br> $60^{\circ}$ <br> 4.0359 <br> -1.3342 <br> -2.0859 <br> -0.5000 <br> 0.8660 | $120^{\circ}$ <br> 1.6929 <br> 0.4722 <br> -1.0429 <br> 0.5000 <br> 0.8660 |

Vertical deflection $=y=y_{A}+\Theta_{A} R \sin x+\psi_{A} R(1-\cos x)+\frac{M_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{1}+\frac{T_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{2}+\frac{V_{A} R^{3}}{E I} F_{3}+\frac{M_{o} R^{2}}{E I} F_{a 1}$
Bending slope $=\Theta=\Theta_{A} \cos x+\psi_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{4}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{5}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{6}+\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} F_{a 4}$

Roll slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} \cos x-\Theta_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{7}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{8}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{9}+\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} F_{a 7}$
For tabulated values $V=K_{V} \frac{M_{o}}{R}, \quad M=K_{M} M_{o}, \quad T=K_{T} M_{o} \quad y=K_{y} \frac{M_{o} R^{2}}{E I}, \quad \Theta=K_{\Theta} \frac{M_{o} R}{E I}, \quad \psi=K_{\psi} \frac{M_{o} R}{E I}$

TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Formulas for boundary values and selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2b. Right end fixed, left end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=\frac{-M_{o}}{R} \frac{C_{a 7}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{a 4} \sin \phi+C_{a 1}}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}} \\ & \Theta_{A}=-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{a 1} C_{9}-C_{a 7} C_{3}\right) \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 7} C_{6}-C_{a 4} C_{9}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 4} C_{3}-C_{a 1} C_{6}\right) \cos \phi}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}} \\ & \psi_{A}=-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} \frac{\left[\left(C_{a 4}\left(C_{9}+C_{3}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{a 1}+C_{a 7}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 7} C_{3}-C_{a 1} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi\right.}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}} \\ & V_{B}=V_{A} \\ & M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | -1.9021 -0.2466 0.1872 -0.6379 0.1500 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9211 \\ -0.7471 \\ 0.6165 \\ -0.9211 \\ 0.0789 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.8989 \\ & -0.0092 \\ & -0.0170 \\ & -0.3989 \\ & -0.0329 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.4971 \\ 0.2706 \\ -0.1947 \\ 0.3689 \\ 0.0029 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3378 \\ -2.7346 \\ 1.2204 \\ -1.0000 \\ -0.6756 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5244 \\ 0.0291 \\ -0.1915 \\ -0.5000 \\ -0.1827 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2200 \\ 1.0441 \\ -0.2483 \\ 0.5000 \\ 0.4261 \end{array}$ |
| 2c. Right end fixed, left end supported and slope-guided | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=-\frac{M_{o}}{R} \frac{\left(C_{a 7} C_{4}-C_{a 4} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 4} C_{1}-C_{a 1} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 1} C_{7}-C_{a 7} C_{1}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \\ & M_{A}=-M_{o} \frac{\left(C_{a 4} C_{9}-C_{a 7} C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 1} C_{6}-C_{a 4} C_{3}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 7} C_{3}-C_{a 1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \\ & \psi_{A}=-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} \frac{C_{a 1}\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 4}\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 7}\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right)}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & V_{B}=V_{A} \\ & M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi+M_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta) \\ & T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{A} \sin \phi+M_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta) \end{aligned}$ |  | If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} T_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 & \Theta_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ |  |  | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -1.7096 \\ & -0.0071 \\ & -0.0025 \\ & -0.3478 \\ & -0.0057 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.6976 \\ 0.3450 \\ 0.0029 \\ 0.0095 \\ 0.0056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.8876 \\ & -0.0123 \\ & -0.0246 \\ & -0.3876 \\ & -0.0338 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.8308 \\ 0.3622 \\ 0.0286 \\ 0.0352 \\ 0.0314 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5279 \\ 0.0107 \\ -0.1785 \\ -0.5107 \\ -0.1899 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3489 \\ 0.3818 \\ 0.2177 \\ 0.1182 \\ 0.1682 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

| 2d. Right end fixed, left end supported and roll-guided$\begin{array}{lrr} M_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 & \psi_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} V_{A} & =-\frac{M_{o}}{R} \frac{\left[\left(C_{a 1}+C_{a 7}\right) C_{5}-C_{a 4}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 1} C_{8}-C_{a 7} C_{2}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ T_{A} & =-M_{o} \frac{\left[C_{a 4}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-\left(C_{a 1}+C_{a 7}\right) C_{6}\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 7} C_{3}-C_{a 1} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ \Theta_{A} & =-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} \frac{C_{a 1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $T_{A}=-M_{o} \frac{\left[C_{a 4}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-\left(C_{a 1}+C_{a 7}\right) C_{6}\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 7} C_{3}-C_{a 1} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $V_{B}=V_{A}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi$ If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $+M_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta) \quad \phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{rl\|l} T_{B}= & V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{A} \cos \phi & \theta \\ & +M \sin (\phi-\theta) & \end{array}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
|  | $K_{V A}$ | -1.9739 | -1.0773 | -0.8946 | -0.4478 | -0.6366 | -0.4775 | -0.1592 |
|  | $K_{T A}$ | -0.1957 | -0.3851 | 0.0106 | 0.1216 | -0.6366 | 0.0999 | 0.1295 |
|  | $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.2100 | -0.5097 | -0.0158 | 0.1956 | -1.9576 | -0.0928 | 0.8860 |
|  | $K_{M B}$ | -0.5503 | -0.6923 | -0.4052 | 0.2966 | -1.0000 | -0.5000 | 0.5000 |
|  | $K_{T B}$ | -0.0094 | -0.0773 | -0.0286 | 0.0522 | -0.6366 | -0.1888 | 0.4182 |
| 2e. Right end fixed, left end fixed | $V_{A}=-\frac{M_{o}}{R} \frac{C_{a 1}\left(C_{4} C_{8}-C_{5} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 4}\left(C_{2} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 7}\left(C_{1} C_{5}-C_{2} C_{4}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $M_{A}=-M_{o} \frac{C_{a 1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}+C_{a 7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)\right.}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $T_{A}=-M_{o} \frac{C_{a 1}\left(C_{6} C_{7}-C_{4} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 4}\left(C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 7}\left(C_{3} C_{4}-C_{1} C_{6}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} y_{A}=0 & \Theta_{A}=0 & \psi_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $V_{B}=V_{A} \quad$ If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{B}= & V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi \\ & +M_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta) \end{aligned}$ | $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ | $270^{\circ}$ | $360^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} T_{B}= & V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{A} \sin \phi+T_{A} \cos \phi \\ & +M_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta) \end{aligned}$ | $K_{V A}$ | -1.7040 | -0.8613 | -0.4473 | -0.3115 | -0.1592 | $-0.3183$ |
|  |  | $K_{M A}$ | -0.0094 | -0.0309 | -0.0474 | 0.0584 | -0.0208 | 0.5000 |
|  |  | $K_{T A}$ | 0.0031 | 0.0225 | 0.1301 | 0.2788 | 0.5908 | -0.3183 |
|  |  | $K_{M B}$ | -0.3477 | -0.3838 | -0.4526 | -0.4097 | -0.0208 | -0.5000 |
|  |  | $K_{T B}$ | -0.0036 | -0.0262 | -0.1586 | -0.3699 | -0.4092 | $-0.3183$ |



## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)



$$
V_{B}=V_{A}
$$

$$
\text { If } \beta=1.3 \text { (solid or hollow round cross section, } v=0.3 \text { ) }
$$

$$
M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)
$$

$M_{A}=0 \quad T_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0$

$$
\psi_{B}=\psi_{A} \cos \phi-\Theta_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{9}
$$

$T_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{A}=-\frac{M_{o}}{R} \frac{\sin (\phi-\theta)}{1-\cos \phi} \\
& \Theta_{A}=-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I}\left[\frac{C_{a 1} \sin \phi+C_{6} \sin (\phi-\theta)}{1-\cos \phi}-\frac{C_{3} \sin \phi \sin (\phi-\theta)}{(1-\cos \phi)^{2}}-C_{a 4}\right] \\
& \psi_{A}=-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I}\left[\frac{C_{a 4} \sin \phi-C_{a 1} \cos \phi}{1-\cos \phi}+\frac{\left(C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi\right) \sin (\phi-\theta)}{(1-\cos \phi)^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} C_{a 7}
$$

| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | -2.4142 | -1.0000 | -0.8660 | -0.5000 | 0.0000 | -0.4330 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.2888 | -0.7549 | -0.0060 | 0.2703 | -3.6128 | -0.2083 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 0.4161 | 0.6564 | -0.0337 | -0.1933 | 1.3000 | -0.1700 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -1.0000 | -1.0000 | -0.3660 | 0.3660 | -1.0000 | -0.5000 |
| $K_{\psi B}$ | 0.2811 | 0.0985 | -0.0410 | 0.0036 | -1.3000 | -0.3515 |

2i. Right end supported and roll-guided, left end supported and roll-guided

$M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \psi_{A}=0$
$M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \psi_{B}=0$
$V_{A}=-\frac{M_{o}}{R} \frac{\left(C_{a 1}+C_{a 7}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi+\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right) \cos (\phi-\theta) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{8}+C_{9}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi}$
$T_{A}=-M_{o} \frac{\left(C_{a 1}+C_{a 7}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right) \cos (\phi-\theta) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{8}+C_{9}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi}$
$\Theta_{A}=-\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} \frac{\left[C_{a 1}\left(C_{8}+C_{9}\right)-C_{a 7}\left(C_{2}+C_{3}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}\right) \cos (\phi-\theta)}{\left(C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{8}+C_{9}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi}$
$V_{B}=V_{A}$
$T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{A} \cos \phi+M_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)$
$\Theta_{B}=\Theta_{A} \cos \phi+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} C_{5}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{6}+\frac{M_{o} R}{E I} C_{a 4}$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $270^{\circ}$ |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | -1.2732 | -1.2732 | -0.6366 | -0.6366 | -0.2122 | -0.2122 |
| $K_{T A}$ | -0.2732 | -0.0485 | -0.6366 | -0.1366 | -0.2122 | 0.7878 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.3012 | -0.0605 | -0.9788 | -0.2903 | -5.1434 | 0.1259 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.1410 | 0.0928 | 0.3634 | 0.2294 | -1.2122 | -0.2122 |
| $K_{\Theta B}$ | 0.1658 | 0.1063 | 0.6776 | 0.3966 | 0.4259 | 2.0823 |



Transverse shear $=V=V_{A}$
Bending moment $=M=V_{A} R \sin x+M_{A} \cos x-T_{A} \sin x-T_{0} \sin (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Twisting moment $=T=V_{A} R(1-\cos x)+M_{A} \sin x+T_{A} \cos x+T_{0} \cos (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Vertical deflection $=y=y_{A}+\Theta_{A} R \sin x+\psi_{A} R(1-\cos x)+\frac{M_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{1}+\frac{T_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{2}+\frac{V_{A} R^{3}}{E I} F_{3}+\frac{T_{o} R^{2}}{E I} F_{a 2}$
Bending slope $=\Theta=\Theta_{A} \cos x+\psi_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{4}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{5}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{6}+\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} F_{\alpha 5}$
Roll slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} \cos x-\Theta_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{7}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{8}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{9}+\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} F_{a 8}$
For tabulated values: $V=K_{V} \frac{T_{o}}{R}, \quad M=K_{M} T_{o}, \quad T=K_{T} T_{o}, \quad y=K_{y} \frac{T_{o} R^{2}}{E I}, \quad \Theta=K_{\Theta} \frac{T_{o} R}{E I}, \quad \psi=K_{\psi} \frac{T_{o} R}{E I}$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

3a. Right end fixed, left end free


$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
V_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0 & T_{A}=0 \\
y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{A}=0 & T_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 \\
y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0
\end{array}
$$

$y_{A}=\frac{T_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left[C_{a 5} \sin \phi-C_{a 8}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{a 2}\right]$
$\Theta_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I}\left(C_{a 5} \cos \phi-C_{a 8} \sin \phi\right)$
$\psi_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I}\left(C_{a 8} \cos \phi+C_{a 5} \sin \phi\right)$
$V_{B}=0$
$M_{B}=-T_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)$
$T_{B}=T_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{y A}$ | -0.0590 | -0.5064 | 0.0829 | 0.3489 | -3.6128 | 0.0515 | 1.8579 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.0750 | -0.1500 | -0.7320 | -0.5965 | 0.0000 | -2.0859 | -1.0429 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 0.9782 | 1.8064 | 1.0429 | 0.3011 | 3.6128 | 1.0744 | -0.7320 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.7071 | -1.0000 | -0.8660 | -0.5000 | 0.0000 | -0.8660 | -0.8660 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.7071 | 0.0000 | 0.5000 | 0.8660 | -1.0000 | -0.5000 | 0.5000 |

$V_{A}=-\frac{T_{o}}{R} \frac{C_{a 8}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{a 5} \sin \phi+C_{a 2}}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}}$
$\Theta_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{a 2} C_{9}-C_{a 8} C_{3}\right) \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 8} C_{6}-C_{a 5} C_{9}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 5} C_{3}-C_{a 2} C_{6}\right) \cos \phi}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}}$
$\psi_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} \frac{\left[C_{a 5}\left(C_{9}+C_{3}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{a 2}+C_{a 8}\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 8} C_{3}-C_{a 2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi\right.}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}}$
$V_{B}=V_{A}$
$M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)$
$T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.3668 | 0.4056 | -0.0664 | -0.2795 | 0.4694 | -0.0067 | -0.2414 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.1872 | -0.6165 | -0.6557 | -0.2751 | -1.2204 | -2.0685 | -0.4153 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 0.9566 | 1.6010 | 1.0766 | 0.4426 | 1.9170 | 1.0985 | 0.1400 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.4477 | -0.5944 | -0.9324 | -0.7795 | 0.0000 | -0.8660 | -0.8660 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.8146 | 0.4056 | 0.4336 | 0.5865 | -0.0612 | -0.5134 | 0.0172 |

TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Formulas for boundary values and selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3c. Right end fixed, left end supported and slope-guided | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=-\frac{T_{o}}{R} \frac{\left(C_{a 8} C_{4}-C_{a 5} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 5} C_{1}-C_{a 2} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 2} C_{7}-C_{a 8} C_{1}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \\ & M_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{\left(C_{a 5} C_{9}-C_{a 8} C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 2} C_{6}-C_{a 5} C_{3}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 8} C_{3}-C_{a 2} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \\ & \psi_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} \frac{C_{a 2}\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 5}\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 8}\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right)}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $T_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \Theta_{A}=0$ | $M_{B}=$ $V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi$ $\phi$ <br>  $-T_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)$  | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
| $y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0 \quad \psi_{B}=0$ | $T_{B}=V_{4} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{4} \sin \phi$ $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  | $+T_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8104 \\ -0.7589 \\ 0.8145 \\ 0.0364 \\ 0.7007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1657 \\ -0.8252 \\ 1.0923 \\ 0.1657 \\ 0.3406 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7420 \\ -0.8776 \\ 0.5355 \\ -0.1240 \\ 0.3644 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0596 \\ -0.3682 \\ 0.2156 \\ -0.4404 \\ 0.5575 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6201 \\ -0.4463 \\ 1.3724 \\ 0.4463 \\ 0.2403 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2488 \\ -0.7564 \\ 0.1754 \\ -0.1096 \\ -0.0023 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1901 \\ -0.1519 \\ -0.0453 \\ -0.7141 \\ 0.1199 \end{array}$ |
| 3d. Right end fixed, left end supported and roll-guided$M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \psi_{A}=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=-\frac{T_{o}}{R} \frac{\left[\left(C_{a 2}+C_{a 8}\right) C_{5}-C_{a 5}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 2} C_{8}-C_{a 8} C_{2}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ & T_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{\left[C_{a 5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{a 2}+C_{a 8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 8} C_{3}-C_{a 2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ & \Theta_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} \frac{C_{a 2}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 5}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 8}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \end{aligned}$ $V_{B}=V_{A}$ <br> If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{A} \cos \phi+T_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)$ | $\phi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $K_{V A}$ $K_{T A}$ $K_{\Theta A}$ $K_{M B}$ $K_{T B}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3410 \\ -0.6694 \\ -0.0544 \\ -0.2678 \\ 0.2928 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.4177 \\ -0.3198 \\ -0.0263 \\ -0.3280 \\ 0.6175 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3392 \\ -0.6724 \\ -0.2411 \\ -0.5328 \\ 0.1608 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3916 \\ -0.2765 \\ -0.1046 \\ -0.6152 \\ 0.4744 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2757 \\ & -0.5730 \\ & -1.3691 \\ & -0.8660 \\ & -0.4783 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2757 \\ -0.0730 \\ -0.3262 \\ -0.8660 \\ 0.0217 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

3e. Right end fixed, left end fixed


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
y_{A}=0 & \Theta_{A}=0 & \psi_{A}=0 \\
y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0
\end{array}
$$

3f. Right end supported and slopeguided, left end supported and lope-guided

$\begin{array}{lll}T_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 & \Theta_{A}=0 \\ T_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{A}=-\frac{T_{o}}{R} \frac{C_{a 2}\left(C_{4} C_{8}-C_{5} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 5}\left(C_{2} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 8}\left(C_{1} C_{5}-C_{2} C_{4}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)} \\
& M_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{C_{a 2}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 5}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 8}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
T_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{C_{a 2}\left(C_{6} C_{7}-C_{4} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 5}\left(C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 8}\left(C_{3} C_{4}-C_{1} C_{6}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}
$$

$$
V_{B}=V_{A}
$$

$$
M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi
$$

$$
-T_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)
$$

$$
T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{A} \sin \phi+T_{A} \cos \phi
$$

$$
+T_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)
$$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ | $270^{\circ}$ | $360^{\circ}$ |  |
| $\theta$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.1704 | 0.1705 | 0.1696 | 0.1625 | 0.1592 | 0.0000 |
| $K_{M A}$ | -0.2591 | -0.4731 | -0.6994 | -0.7073 | -0.7500 | 0.0000 |
| $K_{T A}$ | -0.6187 | -0.4903 | -0.1278 | 0.2211 | 0.1799 | 0.5000 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.1252 | -0.2053 | -0.1666 | 0.0586 | 0.2500 | 0.0000 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.2953 | 0.1974 | -0.0330 | -0.1302 | 0.1799 | -0.5000 |

$V_{A}=\frac{T_{o}}{R} \frac{\left[C_{1} \sin \phi-C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)\right] \cos (\phi-\theta)-C_{a 2} \sin ^{2} \phi+C_{a 5}(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi}{C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}+C_{3} \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(C_{1}+C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi}$
$M_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{\left[C_{3} \sin \phi-C_{6}(1-\cos \phi)\right] \cos (\phi-\theta)-C_{a 2}(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi+C_{a 5}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}}{C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}+C_{3} \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(C_{1}+C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi}$
$\psi_{A}=\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{3} C_{4}-C_{1} C_{6}\right) \cos (\phi-\theta)+\left(C_{a 2} C_{6}-C_{a 5} C_{3}\right) \sin \phi-\left(C_{a 2} C_{4}-C_{a 5} C_{1}\right)(1-\cos \phi)}{C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}+C_{3} \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(C_{1}+C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi}$
$V_{B}=V_{A}$
$M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi-T_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)$
$\psi_{B}=\psi_{A} \cos \phi+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} C_{7}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{9}+\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} C_{a 8}$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ |  | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 1.0645 | 0.5147 | 0.8696 | 0.4252 | 0.5000 | 0.2500 |
| $K_{M A}$ | -1.4409 | -1.4379 | -0.8696 | -0.9252 | -0.3598 | -0.7573 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 1.6003 | 1.3211 | 1.2356 | 0.6889 | 1.4564 | 0.1746 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.9733 | -1.1528 | -0.1304 | -0.4409 | 0.3598 | -0.1088 |
| $K_{\psi B}$ | 1.1213 | 1.1662 | 0.4208 | 0.4502 | 0.3500 | -0.0034 |

TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)


## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

3i. Right end supported and roll-guided left end supported and rollguided.

$M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \psi_{A}=0$

$$
M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \psi_{B}=0
$$

3j. Right end supported and rollguided, left end simply supported


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
M_{A}=0 & T_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 \\
M_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{A}=0 \\
& T_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{\left(C_{a 2}+C_{a 8}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi+\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right) \sin (\phi-\theta) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{8}+C_{9}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi} \\
& \Theta_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} \frac{\left[C_{a 2}\left(C_{8}+C_{9}\right)-C_{a 8}\left(C_{2}+C_{3}\right)\right] \sin \phi-\left(C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}\right) \sin (\phi-\theta)}{\left(C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{8}+C_{9}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi} \\
& V_{B}=0 \\
& T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{A} \cos \phi+T_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta) \\
& \Theta_{B}=\Theta_{A} \cos \phi+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} C_{5}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{6}+\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} C_{a 5}
\end{aligned}
$$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  |

$$
V_{A}=\frac{T_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)}{R \sin \phi}
$$

$$
\Theta_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I}\left\{\frac{C_{a 2} \cos \phi-C_{a 8}(1-\cos \phi)}{\sin \phi}+\left[C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)\right] \frac{\sin (\phi-\theta)}{\sin ^{2} \phi}\right\}
$$

$$
V_{B}=V_{A}
$$

$$
\Theta_{B}=\Theta_{A} \cos \phi+\psi_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{6}+\frac{T_{o} R}{E I} C_{a 5}
$$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 1.0000 | 0.7071 | 0.3660 | 1.0000 | 0.8660 | 0.5000 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.2790 | -0.2961 | -0.1828 | -1.3000 | -1.7280 | -1.1715 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 1.0210 | 0.7220 | 0.3737 | 2.0420 | 1.7685 | 1.0210 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 1.0000 | 1.0731 | 1.0731 | 1.0000 | 1.3660 | 1.3660 |
| $K_{\Theta B}$ | 0.1439 | 0.1825 | 0.1515 | 0.7420 | 1.1641 | 0.9732 |

$$
\psi_{A}=-\frac{T_{o} R}{E I}\left[C_{a 2}+C_{a 8}+\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right) \frac{\sin (\phi-\theta)}{\sin \phi}\right]
$$

$$
T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{o} \cos (\phi-\theta)
$$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)



Transverse shear $=V=V_{A}-w R\langle x-\theta\rangle^{1}$
Bending moment $=M=V_{A} R \sin x+M_{A} \cos x-T_{A} \sin x-w R^{2}[1-\cos (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Twisting moment $=T=V_{A} R(1-\cos x)+M_{A} \sin x+T_{A} \cos x-w R^{2}[x-\theta-\sin (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Vertical deflection $=y=y_{A}+\Theta_{A} R \sin x+\psi_{A} R(1-\cos x)+\frac{M_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{1}+\frac{T_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{2}+\frac{V_{A} R^{3}}{E I} F_{3}-\frac{w R^{4}}{E I} F_{a 13}$
Bending slope $=\Theta=\Theta_{A} \cos x+\psi_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{4}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{5}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{6}-\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} F_{a 16}$
Roll slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} \cos x-\Theta_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{7}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{8}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{9}-\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} F_{a 19}$
For tabulated values: $V=K_{V} w R, \quad M=K_{M} w R^{2}, \quad T=K_{T} w R^{2}, \quad y=K_{y} \frac{w R^{4}}{E I}, \quad \Theta=K_{\Theta} \frac{w R^{3}}{E I}, \quad \psi=K_{\psi} \frac{w R^{3}}{E I}$

| End restraints, reference no. | Formulas for boundary values and selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a. Right end fixed, left end free | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A}=-\frac{w R^{4}}{E I}\left[C_{a 16} \sin \phi-C_{a 19}(1-\cos \phi)\right. \\ & \Theta_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I}\left(C_{a 16} \cos \phi-C_{a 19} \sin \phi\right) \\ & \psi_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I}\left(C_{a 19} \cos \phi+C_{a 16} \sin \phi\right) \\ & V_{B}=-w R(\phi-\theta) \\ & M_{B}=-w R^{2}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)] \\ & T_{B}=-w R^{2}[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)] \end{aligned}$ | (solid or h | round cr | ection, $v=$ |  |  |  |  |
| $V_{A}=0 \quad M_{A}=0 \quad T_{A}=0$ |  | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0469 \\ 0.0762 \\ 0.0267 \\ -0.2929 \\ -0.0783 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.7118 \\ 0.4936 \\ 0.4080 \\ -1.0000 \\ -0.5708 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2211 \\ 0.1071 \\ 0.1583 \\ -0.5000 \\ -0.1812 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0269 \\ 0.0071 \\ 0.0229 \\ -0.1340 \\ -0.0236 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -8.4152 \\ 0.4712 \\ 4.6000 \\ -2.0000 \\ -3.1416 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.2654 \\ -0.6033 \\ 1.3641 \\ -1.5000 \\ -1.2284 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1699 \\ -0.1583 \\ 0.1071 \\ -0.5000 \\ -0.1812 \end{array}$ |

TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)


$$
\begin{array}{rrrr}
M_{A}=0 & T_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 \\
y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0
\end{array}
$$

$$
V_{A}=w R \frac{C_{a 19}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{a 16} \sin \phi+C_{a 13}}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}}
$$

$$
\Theta_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{a 13} C_{9}-C_{a 19} C_{3}\right) \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 19} C_{6}-C_{a 16} C_{9}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 16} C_{3}-C_{a 13} C_{6}\right) \cos \phi}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}}
$$

$$
\psi_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} \frac{\left[C_{a 16}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{\alpha 13}+C_{a 19}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 19} C_{3}-C_{a 13} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}}
$$

$$
V_{B}=V_{A}-w R(\phi-\theta)
$$

$$
M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi
$$

$$
-w R^{2}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]
$$

$$
T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)
$$

$$
-w R^{2}[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]
$$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.2916 | 0.5701 | 0.1771 | 0.0215 | 1.0933 | 0.2943 | 0.0221 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.1300 | -0.1621 | -0.0966 | -0.0177 | -2.3714 | -1.3686 | -0.2156 |
| $K_{\psi /}$ | 0.0095 | 0.1192 | 0.0686 | 0.0119 | 0.6500 | 0.3008 | 0.0273 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.0867 | -0.4299 | -0.3229 | -0.1124 | -2.0000 | -1.5000 | -0.5000 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.0071 | -0.0007 | -0.0041 | -0.0021 | -0.9549 | -0.6397 | -0.1370 |

4c. Right end fixed, left end supported and slope-guided


$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
T_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 & \Theta_{A}=0 \\
y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0
\end{array}
$$

$V_{A}=w R \frac{\left(C_{a 19} C_{4}-C_{a 16} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 16} C_{1}-C_{a 13} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 13} C_{7}-C_{a 19} C_{1}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}$

$$
\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi
$$

$$
M_{A}=w R^{2} \frac{\left(C_{a 16} C_{9}-C_{a 19} C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 13} C_{6}-C_{a 16} C_{3}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 19} C_{3}-C_{a 13} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}
$$

$$
\psi_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} \frac{C_{a 13}\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 16}\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 19}\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right)}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}
$$

$$
V_{B}=V_{A}-w R(\phi-\theta)
$$

$$
M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi
$$

$$
-w R^{2}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]
$$

$$
T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{A} \sin \phi
$$

$$
-w R^{2}[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]
$$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Formulas for boundary values and selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4d. Right end fixed, left end supported and roll-guided$\begin{array}{rrr} M_{A} & =0 & y_{A}=0 \end{array} \quad \psi_{A}=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=w R \frac{\left[\left(C_{a 13}+C_{a 19}\right) C_{5}-C_{a 16}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 13} C_{8}-C_{a 19} C_{2}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ & T_{A}=w R^{2} \frac{\left[C_{a 16}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{a 13}+C_{a 19}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 19} C_{3}-C_{a 13} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\ & \Theta_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} \frac{C_{a 13}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 16}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 19}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \end{aligned}$ $V_{B}=V_{A}-w R(\phi-\theta)$ <br> If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{B}= & V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi \\ & -w R^{2}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)] \end{aligned}$ | $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  | $-w R^{2}[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]$ | $K_{V A}$ $K_{T A}$ $K_{\Theta A}$ $K_{M B}$ $K_{T B}$ | 0.2880 -0.0099 -0.0111 -0.0822 -0.0010 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5399 \\ -0.0745 \\ -0.1161 \\ -0.3856 \\ -0.0309 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1597 \\ -0.0428 \\ -0.0702 \\ -0.2975 \\ -0.0215 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0185 \\ -0.0075 \\ -0.0131 \\ -0.1080 \\ -0.0051 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9342 \\ -0.3391 \\ -1.9576 \\ -2.0000 \\ -0.9342 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2207 \\ -0.1569 \\ -1.1171 \\ -1.5000 \\ -0.6301 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0154 \\ -0.0143 \\ -0.1983 \\ -0.5000 \\ -0.1362 \end{array}$ |
| 4e. Right end fixed, left end fixed$\begin{array}{lll} y_{A}=0 & \Theta_{A}=0 & \psi_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=w R \frac{C_{a 13}\left(C_{4} C_{8}-C_{5} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 16}\left(C_{2} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 19}\left(C_{1} C_{5}-C_{2} C_{4}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)} \\ & M_{A}=w R^{2} \frac{C_{a 13}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 16}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 19}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)} \\ & T_{A}=w R^{2} \frac{C_{a 13}\left(C_{6} C_{7}-C_{4} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 16}\left(C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 19}\left(C_{3} C_{4}-C_{1} C_{6}\right)}{C_{1}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{4}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{7}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)} \end{aligned}$ $V_{B}=V_{A}-w R(\phi-\theta)$ <br> If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} M_{B}= & V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi \\ & -T_{A} \sin \phi \end{aligned}$ | $\phi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $360^{\circ}$ |
|  | $-w R^{2}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]$ | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} T_{B}= & V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{A} \sin \phi \\ & +T_{A} \cos \phi \\ & -w R^{2}[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)] \end{aligned}$ | $K_{V A}$ $K_{M A}$ $K_{T A}$ $K_{M B}$ $K_{T B}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3927 \\ -0.0531 \\ 0.0005 \\ -0.0531 \\ -0.0005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1548 \\ -0.0316 \\ 0.0004 \\ -0.0471 \\ -0.0004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7854 \\ -0.2279 \\ 0.0133 \\ -0.2279 \\ -0.0133 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3080 \\ -0.1376 \\ 0.0102 \\ -0.2022 \\ -0.0108 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.5708 \\ -1.0000 \\ 0.2976 \\ -1.0000 \\ -0.2976 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6034 \\ -0.6013 \\ 0.2259 \\ -0.8987 \\ -0.2473 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.1416 \\ -2.1304 \\ 3.1416 \\ -2.1304 \\ -3.1416 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

4f. Right end supported and slopeguided, left end supported and slope-guided

$$
T_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \Theta_{A}=0
$$

$$
T_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0
$$

4g. Right end supported and slope guided, left end supported and roll guided

$M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \psi_{A}=0$
$T_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0$
$V_{A}=w R \frac{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]+C_{a 13} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{a 16} \sin \phi \cos \phi}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}$
$T_{A}=w R^{2} \frac{\left(C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi\right)[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]-\left(C_{a 13} \cos \phi-C_{a 16} \sin \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}$
$\Theta_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]+\left(C_{a 13} C_{5}-C_{a 16} C_{2}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 16} C_{3}-C_{a 13} C_{6}\right) \cos \phi}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}$
$V_{B}=V_{A}-w R(\phi-\theta)$
$M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi$
$-w R^{2}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]$
$\psi=-\Theta_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} C_{8}$
$+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{9}-\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} C_{a 19}$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.2896 | 0.5708 | 0.1812 | 0.0236 | 1.3727 | 0.5164 | 0.0793 |
| $K_{T A}$ | -0.0093 | -0.0658 | -0.0368 | -0.0060 | -0.3963 | -0.1955 | -0.0226 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.0111 | -0.1188 | -0.0720 | -0.0135 | -3.0977 | -1.9461 | -0.3644 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.0815 | -0.3634 | -0.2820 | -0.1043 | -2.0000 | -1.5000 | -0.5000 |
| $K_{\psi B}$ | -0.0008 | -0.0342 | -0.0238 | -0.0056 | -1.7908 | -1.2080 | -0.2610 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{A}=w R \frac{\left[C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{1} \sin \phi\right][\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]+C_{a 13} \sin ^{2} \phi-C_{a 16} \sin \phi(1-\cos \phi)}{C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}+C_{3} \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(C_{1}+C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi} \\
& M_{A}=w R^{2} \frac{\left[C_{3} \sin \phi-C_{6}(1-\cos \phi)\right][\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]+C_{a 16}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}-C_{a 13} \sin \phi(1-\cos \phi)}{C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}+C_{3} \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(C_{1}+C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi} \\
& \psi_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{a 13} C_{4}-C_{a 16} C_{1}\right)(1-\cos \phi)-\left(C_{a 13} C_{6}-C_{a 16} C_{3}\right) \sin \phi-\left(C_{3} C_{4}-C_{1} C_{6}\right)[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]}{C_{4}(1-\cos \phi)^{2}+C_{3} \sin ^{2} \phi-\left(C_{1}+C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi) \sin \phi} \\
& V_{B}=V_{A}-w R(\phi-\theta) \\
& M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi \\
& -w R^{2}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)



## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

4j. Right end supported and roll-guided, left end simply supported


$$
M_{A}=0 \quad T_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0
$$

$$
M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \psi_{B}=0
$$

5. Uniformly distributed torque

$V_{A}=w R \frac{1-\cos (\phi-\theta)}{\sin \phi}$
$\Theta_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I}\left[\frac{C_{a 13} \cos \phi-C_{a 19}(1-\cos \phi)}{\sin \phi}-\left[C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)\right] \frac{1-\cos (\phi-\theta)}{\sin ^{2} \phi}\right]$
$\psi_{A}=\frac{w R^{3}}{E I}\left[C_{a 13}+C_{a 19}-\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right) \frac{1-\cos (\phi-\theta)}{\sin \phi}\right]$
$V_{B}=V_{A}-w R(\phi-\theta)$
$T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)-w R^{2}[\phi-\theta-\sin (\phi-\theta)]$
$\Theta_{B}=\Theta_{A} \cos \phi+\psi_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{6}-\frac{w R^{3}}{E I} C_{a 16}$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |  |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.4142 | 0.1895 | 0.0482 | 1.0000 | 0.5000 | 0.1340 |  |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.0308 | -0.0215 | -0.0066 | -0.6564 | -0.4679 | -0.1479 |  |
| $K_{\psi A A}$ | 0.0220 | 0.0153 | 0.0047 | 0.4382 | 0.3082 | 0.0954 |  |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.0430 | 0.0319 | 0.0111 | 0.4292 | 0.3188 | 0.1104 |  |
| $K_{\Theta B}$ | 0.0279 | 0.0216 | 0.0081 | 0.5367 | 0.4032 | 0.1404 |  |

Transverse shear $=V=V_{A}$
Bending moment $=M=V_{A} R \sin x+M_{A} \cos x-T_{A} \sin x-t_{o} R[1-\cos (x-\theta)]\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Twisting moment $=T=V_{A} R(1-\cos x)+M_{A} \sin x+T_{A} \cos x+t_{0} R \sin (x-\theta)\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$
Vertical deflection $=y=y_{A}+\Theta_{A} R \sin x+\psi_{A} R(1-\cos x)+\frac{M_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{1}+\frac{T_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{2}+\frac{V_{A} R^{3}}{E I} F_{3}+\frac{t_{o} R^{3}}{E I} F_{a 12}$
Bending slope $=\Theta=\Theta_{A} \cos x+\psi_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{4}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{5}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{6}+\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} F_{a 15}$
Roll slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} \cos x-\Theta_{A} \sin x+\frac{M_{A} R}{E I} F_{7}+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} F_{8}+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} F_{9}+\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} F_{a 18}$
For tabulated values: $V=K_{V} t_{o}, \quad M=K_{M} t_{o} R, \quad T=K_{T} t_{o} R, \quad y=K_{y} \frac{t_{o} R^{3}}{E I}, \quad \Theta=K_{\Theta} \frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}, \quad \psi=K_{\psi} \frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}$

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Formulas for boundary values and selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5a. Right end fixed, left end free$\begin{array}{lll} V_{A}=0 & M_{A}=0 & T_{A}=0 \\ y_{B}=0 & \Theta_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{A}=\frac{t_{o} R^{3}}{E I}\left[C_{a 15} \sin \phi-C_{a 18}(1-\cos \phi)\right. \\ & \Theta_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left(C_{a 15} \cos \phi-C_{a 18} \sin \phi\right) \\ & \psi_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left(C_{a 18} \cos \phi+C_{a 15} \sin \phi\right) \\ & V_{B}=0 \\ & M_{B}=-t_{o} R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)] \\ & T_{B}=t_{o} R \sin (\phi-\theta) \end{aligned}$ | $\text { If } \beta=$ | (solid or h | round | ection, $v=$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{y A} \\ K_{\Theta A} \\ K_{\psi A} \\ K_{M B} \\ K_{T B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0129 \\ -0.1211 \\ 0.3679 \\ -0.2929 \\ 0.7071 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1500 \\ -0.8064 \\ 1.1500 \\ -1.0000 \\ 1.0000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2562 \\ -0.5429 \\ 0.3938 \\ -0.5000 \\ 0.8660 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1206 \\ -0.1671 \\ 0.0535 \\ -0.1340 \\ 0.5000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6000 \\ -3.6128 \\ 2.0000 \\ -2.0000 \\ 0.0000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.5359 \\ -2.2002 \\ -0.5859 \\ -1.5000 \\ 0.8660 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1929 \\ -0.3938 \\ -0.5429 \\ -0.5000 \\ 0.8660 \end{array}$ |
| 5b. Right end fixed, left end simply supported$M_{A}=0 \quad T_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=-t_{o} \frac{C_{a 18}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{a 15} \sin \phi+C_{a 12}}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}} \\ & \Theta_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{a 12} C_{9}-C_{a 18} C_{3}\right) \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 18} C_{6}-C_{a 15} C_{9}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 15} C_{3}-C_{a 12} C_{6}\right) \cos \phi}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}} \\ & \psi_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} \frac{\left[C_{a 15}\left(C_{9}+C_{3}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{a 12}+C_{a 18}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 18} C_{3}-C_{a 12} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)-C_{6} \sin \phi+C_{3}} \\ & V_{B}=V_{A} \\ & M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-t_{o} R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)] \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | If $\beta$ | (solid or h | round cr | ection, $v=$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $K_{V A}$ $K_{\Theta A}$ $K_{\psi A}$ $K_{M B}$ $K_{T B}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0801 \\ -0.0966 \\ 0.3726 \\ -0.3495 \\ 0.6837 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1201 \\ -0.6682 \\ 1.2108 \\ -1.1201 \\ 0.8799 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2052 \\ -0.3069 \\ 0.4977 \\ -0.7052 \\ 0.6608 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0966 \\ -0.0560 \\ 0.1025 \\ -0.2306 \\ 0.4034 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0780 \\ -3.4102 \\ 2.2816 \\ -2.0000 \\ -0.1559 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3295 \\ -1.3436 \\ 0.6044 \\ -1.5000 \\ 0.2071 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1550 \\ 0.0092 \\ 0.0170 \\ -0.5000 \\ 0.5560 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

5c. Right end fixed, left end supported and slope-guided


$$
\begin{array}{rrrr}
T_{A} & =0 & y_{A} & =0 \\
y_{B} & =0 & \Theta_{A} & =0 \\
\Theta_{B} & =0 & \psi_{B}=0
\end{array}
$$


$M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \psi_{A}=0$ $y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0 \quad \psi_{B}=0$

$$
V_{A}=-t_{o} \frac{\left(C_{a 18} C_{4}-C_{a 15} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 15} C_{1}-C_{a 12} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 12} C_{7}-C_{a 18} C_{1}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{1} C_{0}-C_{c} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{5}-C_{0} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{0} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{0}\right) \sin \phi}
$$

$$
M_{A}=-t_{0} R \frac{\left(C_{a 15} C_{9}-C_{a 18} C_{6}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 12} C_{6}-C_{a 15} C_{3}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{a 18} C_{3}-C_{a 12} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}
$$

$$
\psi_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} \frac{C_{a 12}\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)+C_{a 15}\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 18}\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right)}{\left(C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{7}-C_{1} C_{9}\right) \sin \phi}
$$

$$
V_{B}=V_{A}
$$

$$
M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi+M_{A} \cos \phi
$$

$$
-t_{o} R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]
$$

$$
T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+M_{A} \sin \phi
$$

$$
+t_{0} R \sin (\phi-\theta)
$$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | 0.6652 | 0.7038 | 0.1732 | -0.0276 | 0.3433 | -0.1635 | -0.1561 |
| $K_{M A}$ | -0.3918 | -0.8944 | -0.4108 | -0.0749 | -1.2471 | -0.4913 | 0.0034 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 0.2993 | 0.6594 | 0.2445 | 0.0563 | 0.7597 | 0.0048 | 0.0211 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.0996 | -0.2962 | -0.3268 | -0.1616 | -0.7529 | -1.0087 | -0.5034 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.6249 | 0.8093 | 0.6284 | 0.3975 | 0.6866 | 0.5390 | 0.5538 |

$V_{A}=-t_{o} \frac{\left[\left(C_{a 12}+C_{a 18}\right) C_{5}-C_{a 15}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 12} C_{8}-C_{\alpha 18} C_{2}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{A}=-t_{o} R \frac{\left[C_{a 15}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{a 12}+C_{a 18}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{a 18} C_{3}-C_{a 12} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi} \\
& \Theta_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} \frac{C_{a 12}\left(C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}\right)+C_{a 15}\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right)+C_{a 18}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)}{\left[C_{5}\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)-C_{6}\left(C_{2}+C_{8}\right)\right] \sin \phi+\left(C_{3} C_{8}-C_{2} C_{9}\right) \cos \phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
V_{B}=V_{A}
$$

$$
M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi
$$

$$
-t_{o} R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]
$$

$$
T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+T_{A} \cos \phi
$$

$$
+t_{o} R \sin (\phi-\theta)
$$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | -0.2229 | -0.4269 | -0.3313 | -0.1226 | -0.6366 | -0.4775 | -0.1592 |
| $K_{T A}$ | -0.3895 | -0.7563 | -0.3109 | -0.0640 | -1.1902 | -0.3153 | -0.0089 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.0237 | -0.2020 | -0.1153 | -0.0165 | -1.9576 | -0.9588 | 0.0200 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.1751 | -0.6706 | -0.5204 | -0.1926 | -2.0000 | -1.5000 | -0.5000 |
| $K_{T B}$ | 0.3664 | 0.5731 | 0.5347 | 0.3774 | -0.0830 | 0.2264 | 0.5566 |

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)



## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

5 g. Right end supported and slopeguided, left end supported and rollguided

$M_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0 \quad \psi_{A}=0$
$T_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \Theta_{B}=0$

5h. Right end supported and slopeguided, left end simply supported

$\begin{array}{rlrr}M_{A} & =0 & T_{A} & =0 \\ T_{B} & =0 \\ T_{B} & =0 & y_{B} & =0\end{array} \Theta_{B}=0$

$$
T_{A}=-t_{o} R \frac{\left(C_{a 15} \sin \phi-C_{a 12} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi\right) \sin (\phi-\theta)}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}
$$

$$
\Theta_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} \frac{\left(C_{a 12} C_{5}-C_{a 15} C_{2}\right)(1-\cos \phi)+\left(C_{a 15} C_{3}-C_{a 12} C_{6}\right) \cos \phi+\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right) \sin (\phi-\theta)}{\left(C_{5} \sin \phi-C_{2} \cos \phi\right)(1-\cos \phi)+C_{3} \cos ^{2} \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi \cos \phi}
$$

$$
V_{B}=V_{A}
$$

$$
M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi-T_{A} \sin \phi
$$

$$
-t_{o} R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]
$$

$$
\psi_{B}=-\Theta_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} C_{8}
$$

$$
+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{9}+\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} C_{a 18}
$$

$V_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} \sin (\phi-\theta)}{1-\cos \phi}$
$\Theta_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left[\frac{C_{a 12} \sin \phi+C_{6} \sin (\phi-\theta)}{1-\cos \phi}-\frac{C_{3} \sin \phi \sin (\phi-\theta)}{(1-\cos \phi)^{2}}-C_{a 15}\right]$
$\psi_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left[\frac{C_{a 15} \sin \phi-C_{a 12} \cos \phi}{1-\cos \phi}+\left(C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{6} \sin \phi\right) \frac{\sin (\phi-\theta)}{(1-\cos \phi)^{2}}\right]$
$V_{B}=V_{A}$
$M_{B}=V_{A} R \sin \phi$

$$
-t_{o} R[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]
$$

$\psi_{B}=\psi_{A} \cos \phi-\Theta_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{9}$

$$
+\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} C_{a 18}
$$

| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | -0.8601 | -1.0000 | -0.8660 | -0.5000 | -0.5976 | -0.5837 | -0.4204 |
| $K_{T A}$ | -0.6437 | -0.9170 | -0.4608 | -0.1698 | -1.1953 | -0.3014 | 0.0252 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.0209 | -0.1530 | -0.0695 | 0.0158 | -2.0590 | -0.6825 | 0.6993 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -0.4459 | -1.0830 | -0.9052 | -0.4642 | -2.0000 | -1.5000 | -0.5000 |
| $K_{\psi B}$ | 0.2985 | 0.6341 | 0.5916 | 0.4716 | -0.1592 | 0.4340 | 1.0670 |


| If $\beta=1.3$ (solid or hollow round cross section, $v=0.3$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  | $180^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ |
| $K_{V A}$ | -2.4142 | -1.0000 | -0.8660 | -0.5000 | 0.0000 | -0.4330 | -0.4330 |
| $K_{\Theta A}$ | -0.2888 | -0.7549 | -0.3720 | -0.0957 | -3.6128 | -1.0744 | 0.7320 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 1.4161 | 1.6564 | 0.8324 | 0.3067 | 2.3000 | 0.5800 | -0.0485 |
| $K_{M B}$ | -2.0000 | -2.0000 | -1.3660 | -0.6340 | -2.0000 | -1.5000 | -0.5000 |
| $K_{\psi B}$ | 1.2811 | 1.0985 | 0.8250 | 0.5036 | -0.3000 | 0.3985 | 1.0700 |

## TABLE 9.4 Formulas for curved beams of compact cross section loaded normal to the plane of curvature (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Formulas for boundary values and selected numerical values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5i. Right end supported and roll-guided, left end supported and roll-guided$\begin{array}{lll} M_{A}=0 & y_{A}=0 & \psi_{A}=0 \\ M_{B}=0 & y_{B}=0 & \psi_{B}=0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=0 \\ & T_{A}=-t_{o} R \frac{\left(C_{a 12}+C_{a 18}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi+\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right) \sin \phi[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]}{\left(C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{8}+C_{9}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi} \\ & \Theta_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} \frac{\left[C_{a 12}\left(C_{8}+C_{9}\right)-C_{a 18}\left(C_{2}+C_{3}\right)\right] \sin \phi-\left(C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}\right)[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]}{\left(C_{2}+C_{3}+C_{8}+C_{9}\right) \sin ^{2} \phi} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\Theta_{B}=\Theta_{A} \cos \phi+\frac{T_{A} R}{E I} C_{5}+\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} C_{a 15}$ | $\phi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $K_{V A}$ $K_{T A}$ $K_{\Theta A}$ $K_{T B}$ $K_{\Theta B}$ | 0.0000 -0.4142 -0.0527 0.4142 0.0527 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ -0.1895 \\ -0.0368 \\ 0.3660 \\ 0.0415 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ -1.0000 \\ -0.6564 \\ 1.0000 \\ 0.6564 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ -0.5000 \\ -0.4679 \\ 0.8660 \\ 0.5094 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 1.0000 \\ -6.5692 \\ -1.0000 \\ 6.5692 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 2.0000 \\ -2.3000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 7.2257 \end{array}$ |
| 5 j . Right end supported and roll-guided, left end simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & V_{A}=\frac{t_{o}[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]}{\sin \phi} \\ & \Theta_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left\{\frac{C_{a 12} \cos \phi-C_{a 18}(1-\cos \phi)}{\sin \phi}+\frac{\left[C_{3} \cos \phi-C_{9}(1-\cos \phi)\right][1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]}{\sin ^{2} \phi}\right\} \\ & \psi_{A}=-\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I}\left\{C_{a 12}+C_{a 18}+\frac{\left(C_{3}+C_{9}\right)[1-\cos (\phi-\theta)]}{\sin \phi}\right\} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & V_{B}=V_{A} \\ & T_{B}=V_{A} R(1-\cos \phi)+t_{o} R \sin (\phi-\theta) \\ & \Theta_{B}=\Theta_{A} \cos \phi+\phi_{A} \sin \phi+\frac{V_{A} R^{2}}{E I} C_{6}+\frac{t_{o} R^{2}}{E I} C_{a 15} \end{aligned}$ | $\phi$ | $45^{\circ}$ |  |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| $M_{A}=0 \quad T_{A}=0 \quad y_{A}=0$ |  | $\theta$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ |
| $M_{B}=0 \quad y_{B}=0 \quad \psi_{B}=0$ |  | $K_{V A}$ $K_{\Theta A}$ $K_{\psi A}$ $K_{T B}$ $K_{\Theta B}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4142 \\ -0.1683 \\ 0.4229 \\ 0.8284 \\ 0.1124 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1895 \\ -0.0896 \\ 0.1935 \\ 0.5555 \\ 0.0688 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0482 \\ -0.0247 \\ 0.0492 \\ 0.2729 \\ 0.0229 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0000 \\ -1.9564 \\ 2.0420 \\ 2.0000 \\ 1.3985 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5000 \\ -1.1179 \\ 1.0210 \\ 1.3660 \\ 0.8804 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1340 \\ -0.3212 \\ 0.2736 \\ 0.6340 \\ 0.2878 \end{array}$ |
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# Chapter <br> 10Torsion 

### 10.1 Straight Bars of Uniform Circular Section under Pure Torsion

The formulas in this section are based on the following assumptions: (1) The bar is straight, of uniform circular section (solid or concentrically hollow), and of homogeneous isotropic material; (2) the bar is loaded only by equal and opposite twisting couples, which are applied at its ends in planes normal to its axis; and (3) the bar is not stressed beyond the elastic limit.

Behavior. The bar twists, each section rotating about the longitudinal axis. Plane sections remain plane, and radii remain straight. There is at any point a shear stress $\tau$ on the plane of the section; the magnitude of this stress is proportional to the distance from the center of the section, and its direction is perpendicular to the radius drawn through the point. Accompanying this shear stress there is an equal longitudinal shear stress on a radial plane and equal tensile and compressive stresses $\sigma_{t}$ and $\sigma_{c}$ at $45^{\circ}$ (see Sec. 7.5). The deformation and stresses described are represented in Fig. 10.1.

In addition to these deformations and stresses, there is some longitudinal strain and stress. A solid circular cylinder wants to lengthen under twist, as shown experimentally by Poynting (Ref. 26). In any event, for elastic loading of metallic circular bars, neither longitudinal deformation nor stress is likely to be large enough to have engineering significance.

Formulas. Let $T=$ twisting moment, $l=$ length of the member, $r=$ outer radius of the section, $J=$ polar moment of inertia of the section, $\rho=$ radial distance from the center of the section to any point $q, \tau=$ the shear stress, $\theta=$ angle of twist (radians), $G=$ modulus of


Figure 10.1
rigidity of the material, and $U=$ strain energy. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta & =\frac{T l}{J G}  \tag{10.1-1}\\
\tau & =\frac{T \rho}{J} \quad(\text { at point } q)  \tag{10.1-2}\\
\tau_{\max } & =\frac{T r}{J} \quad(\text { at outer surface })  \tag{10.1-3}\\
U & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{T^{2} l}{J G} \tag{10.1-4}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting for $J$ in Eqs. (10.1-1) and (10.1-3) its value $2 I$ from Table A.1, the formulas for cases 1 and 10 in Table 10.1 are readily obtained. If a solid or hollow circular shaft has a slight taper, the formulas above for shear stress are sufficiently accurate and the expressions for $\theta$ and $U$ can be modified to apply to a differential length by replacing $l$ by $d l$. If the change in section is abrupt, as at a shoulder with a small fillet, the maximum stress should be found by the use of a suitable factor of stress concentration $K_{t}$. Values of $K_{t}$ are given in Table 17.1.

### 10.2 Bars of Noncircular Uniform Section under Pure Torsion

The formulas of this section are based on the same assumptions as those of Sec. 10.1 except that the cross section of the bar is not circular. It is important to note that the condition of loading implies that the end sections of the bar are free to warp, there being no constraining forces to hold them in their respective planes.

Behavior. The bar twists, each section rotating about its torsional center. Sections do not remain plane, but warp, and some radial lines through the torsional center do not remain straight. The distribution of shear stress on the section is not necessarily linear, and the direction of the shear stress is not necessarily normal to a radius.

Formulas. The torsional stiffness of the bar can be expressed by the general equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\theta}{l} K G \quad \text { or } \quad \theta=\frac{T l}{K G} \tag{10.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a factor dependent on the form and dimensions of the cross section. For a circular section $K$ is the polar moment of inertia $J$ [Eq. (10.1-1)] for other sections $K$ is less than $J$ and may be only a very small fraction of $J$. The maximum stress is a function of the twisting moment and of the form and dimensions of the cross section. In Table 10.1, formulas are given for $K$ and for $\max \tau$ for a variety of sections. The formulas for cases 1 to $3,5,10$, and 12 are based on rigorous mathematical analysis. The equations for case 4 are given in a simplified form involving an approximation, with a resulting error not greater than $4 \%$. The $K$ formulas for cases $13-21$ and the stress formulas for cases 13-18 are based on mathematical analysis but are approximate (Ref. 2); their accuracy depends upon how nearly the actual section conforms to the assumptions indicated as to form. The $K$ formulas for cases $22-26$ and the stress formulas for cases $19-26$ are based on the membrane analogy and are to be regarded as reasonably close approximations giving results that are rarely as much as $10 \%$ in error (Refs. 2-4 and 11).

It will be noted that formulas for $K$ in cases $23-26$ are based on the assumption of uniform flange thickness. For slightly tapering flanges, $D$ should be taken as the diameter of the largest circle that can be inscribed in the actual section, and $b$ as the average flange thickness. For sharply tapering flanges the method described by Griffith (Ref. 3) may be used. Charts relating especially to structural H- and I-sections are in Ref. 11.

Cases 7, 9, and 27-35 present the results of curve fitting to data from Isakower, Refs. 12 and 13. These data were obtained from running a computer code CLYDE (Ref. 14) based on a finite-difference solution using central differences with a constant-size square mesh. Reference 12 also suggests an extension of this work to include sections containing hollows. For some simple concentric hollows the results of solutions in Table 10.1 can be superposed to obtain closely approximate solutions if certain limitations are observed. See the examples at the end of this section.

The formulas of Table 10.1 make possible the calculation of the strength and stiffness of a bar of almost any form, but an understanding of the membrane analogy (Sec. 6.4) makes it possible to draw certain conclusions as to the comparative torsional properties of different sections by simply visualizing the bubbles that would be
formed over holes of corresponding size and shape. From the volume relationship, it can be seen that of two sections having the same area, the one more nearly circular is the stiffer, and that although any extension whatever of the section increases its torsional stiffness, narrow outstanding flanges and similar protrusions have little effect. It is also apparent that any member having a narrow section, such as a thin plate, has practically the same torsional stiffness when flat as when bent into the form of an open tube or into channel or angle section.

From the slope relationship it can be seen that the greatest stresses (slopes) in a given section occur at the boundary adjacent to the thicker portions, and that the stresses are very low at the ends of outstanding flanges or protruding corners and very high at points where the boundary is sharply concave. Therefore a longitudinal slot or groove that is sharp at the bottom or narrow will cause high local stresses, and if it is deep will greatly reduce the torsional stiffness of the member. The direction of the shear stresses at any point is along the contour of the bubble surface at the corresponding point, and at points corresponding to local maximum and minimum elevations of the bubble having zero slopes in all directions the shear stress is zero. Therefore there may be several points of zero shear stress in a section. Thus for an I-section, there are high points of zero slope at the center of the largest inscribed circles (at the junction of web and flanges) and a low point of zero slope at the center of the web, and eight points of zero slope at the external corners. At these points in the section the shear stress is zero.

The preceding generalizations apply to solid sections, but it is possible to make somewhat similar generalizations concerning hollow or tubular sections from the formulas given for cases $10-16$. These formulas show that the strength and stiffness of a hollow section depend largely upon the area inclosed by the median boundary. For this reason a circular tube is stiffer and stronger than one of any other form, and the more nearly the form of any hollow section approaches the circular, the greater will be its strength and stiffness. It is also apparent from the formulas for strength that even a local reduction in the thickness of the wall of a tube, such as would be caused by a longitudinal groove, may greatly increase the maximum shear stress, though if the groove is narrow the effect on stiffness will be small.

The torsional strengths and stiffnesses of thin-walled multicelled structures such as airplane wings and boat hulls can be calculated by the same procedures as for single-celled sections. The added relationships needed are developed from the fact that all cells twist at the same angular rate at a given section (Ref. 1).

## EXAMPLES

1. It is required to compare the strength and stiffness of a circular steel tube, 4 in outside diameter and $\frac{5}{32}$ in thick, with the strength and stiffness of the same tube after it has been split by cutting full length along an element. No warping restraint is provided.
Solution. The strengths will be compared by comparing the twisting moments required to produce the same stress; the stiffnesses will be compared by comparing the values of $K$.
(a) For the tube (Table 10.1, case 10), $K=\frac{1}{2} \pi\left(r_{o}^{4}-r_{i}^{4}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \pi\left[2^{4}-\left(1 \frac{27}{32}\right)^{4}\right]=$ 6.98 in $^{4}$,

$$
T=\tau \frac{\pi\left(r_{o}^{4}-r_{i}^{4}\right)}{2 r_{o}}=3.49 \tau \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

(b) For the split tube (Table 10.1, case 17), $K=\frac{2}{3} \pi r t^{3}=\frac{2}{3} \pi\left(1 \frac{59}{64}\right)\left(\frac{5}{32}\right)^{3}=$ $0.0154 \mathrm{in}^{4}$,

$$
T=\tau \frac{4 \pi^{2} r^{2} t^{2}}{6 \pi r+1.8 t}=0.097 \tau \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

The closed section is therefore more than 400 times as stiff as the open section and more than 30 times as strong.
2. It is required to determine the angle through which an airplane-wing spar of spruce, 8 ft long and having the section shown in Fig. 10.2, would be twisted by end torques of $500 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$, and to find the maximum resulting stress. For the material in question, $G=100,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $E=1,500,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

Solution. All relevant dimensions are shown in Fig. 10.2, with notation corresponding to that used in the formulas. The first step is to compute $K$ by the formulas given for case 26 (Table 10.1), and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =2 K_{1}+K_{2}+2 \alpha D^{4} \\
K_{1} & =2.75\left(1.045^{3}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{0.21(1.045)}{2.75}\left[1-\frac{1.045^{4}}{12\left(2.75^{4}\right)}\right]\right\}=0.796 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
K_{2} & =\frac{1}{3}(2.40)\left(0.507^{3}\right)=0.104 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
\alpha & =\frac{0.507}{1.045}\left[0.150+\frac{0.1(0.875)}{1.045}\right]=0.1133
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
K=2(0.796)+0.104+2(0.1133)\left(1.502^{4}\right)=2.85 \mathrm{in}^{4}
$$

Therefore

$$
\theta=\frac{T l}{K G}=\frac{500(96)}{2.85(100,000)}=0.168 \mathrm{rad}=9.64^{\circ}
$$

The maximum stress will probably be at $P$, the point where the largest inscribed circle touches the section boundary at a fillet. The formula is

$$
\tau_{\max }=\frac{T}{K} C
$$
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where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C= & \frac{1.502}{1+\frac{\pi^{2}\left(1.502^{4}\right)}{16\left(7.63^{2}\right)}}\left\{1+\left[0.118 \ln \left(1-\frac{1.502}{2(-0.875)}\right)-0.238 \frac{1.502}{2(-0.875)}\right]\right. \\
& \left.\times \tanh \frac{2(\pi / 2)}{\pi}\right\}=1.73 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the values of $T, C$, and $K$, it is found that

$$
\tau_{\max }=\frac{500}{2.85}(1.73)=303 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

It will be of interest to compare this stress with the stress at $Q$, the other point where the maximum inscribed circle touches the boundary. Here the formula that applies is

$$
\tau=\frac{T}{K} C
$$

where

$$
C=\frac{1.502}{1+\pi^{2} \frac{1.502^{4}}{16\left(7.63^{2}\right)}}\left[1+0.15\left(\frac{\pi^{2}\left(1.502^{4}\right)}{16\left(7.63^{2}\right)}-\frac{1.502}{\infty}\right)\right]=1.437 \mathrm{in}
$$

(Here $r=$ infinity because the boundary is straight.)
Substituting the values of $T, C$, and $K$ as before, it is found that $\tau=252 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
3. For each of the three cross sections shown in Fig. 10.3, determine the numerical relationships between torque and maximum shear stress and between torque and rate of twist.

Solution. To illustrate the method of solution, superposition will be used for section $A$ despite the availability of a solution from case 10 of Table 10.1. For a shaft, torque and angle of twist are related in the same way that a soap-film volume under the film is related to the pressure which inflates the film, provided the same cross section is used for each. See the discussion of the membrane analogy in Sec. 6.4. One can imagine then a soap film blown over a circular hole of radius $R_{o}$ and then imagine the removal of that portion of the

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 10.3
volume extending above the level of the soap film for which the radius is $R_{i}$. Doing the equivalent operation with the shaft assumes that the rate of twist is $\theta / L$ and that it applies to both the outer round shaft of radius $R_{o}$ and the material removed with radius $R_{i}$. The resulting torque $T_{R}$ is then the difference of the two torques or

$$
T_{R}=T_{o}-T_{i}=\frac{K_{o} G \theta}{L}-\frac{K_{i} G \theta}{L}
$$

where from case 1

$$
K_{o}=\frac{\pi R_{o}^{4}}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad K_{i}=\frac{\pi R_{i}^{4}}{2}
$$

or

$$
T_{R}=\frac{\pi / 2\left(R_{o}^{4}-R_{i}^{4}\right) G \theta}{L}
$$

Case 10 for the hollow round section gives this same relationship. Slicing off the top of the soap film would not change the maximum slope of the bubble which is present along the outer radius. Thus the maximum shear stress on the hollow shaft with torque $T_{R}$ is the same as the shear stress produced on a solid round section by the torque $T_{o}$. From case 1

$$
\tau=\frac{2 T_{o}}{\pi R_{o}^{3}}=\frac{2 T_{R}}{\pi R_{o}^{3}} \frac{T_{o}}{T_{R}}=\frac{2 T_{R}}{\pi R_{o}^{3}} \frac{R_{o}^{4}}{R_{o}^{4}-R_{i}^{4}}=\frac{2 T_{R} R_{o}}{\pi\left(R_{o}^{4}-R_{i}^{4}\right)}
$$

which again checks the expression for shear stress in case 10 . Inserting the numerical values for $R_{o}$ and $R_{i}$ gives

$$
T_{R}=\frac{1.3672 G \theta}{L} \quad \text { and } \quad \tau=0.7314 T_{R}
$$

It is important to note that the exact answer was obtained because there was a concentric circular contour line on the soap film blown over the large hole. Had the hole in the shaft been slightly off center, none of the equivalent contour lines on the soap film would have been absolutely circular and the answers just obtained would be slightly in error.

Now apply this same technique to the hollow shaft with section $B$ having a 12 -spline internal hole. To solve this case exactly, one would need to find a contour line on the equivalent soap film blown over the circular hole of radius $R_{o}$. Such a contour does not exist, but it can be created as discussed in Sec. 6.4. Imagine a massless fine wire bent into the shape of the internal spline in a single plane and allow this wire to float at a constant elevation in the soap film
in equilibrium with the surface tension. The volume which is to be removed from the soap film is now well-defined and will be worked out using cases 1 and 33 , but the unanswered question is what the addition of the wire did to the total volume of the original soap film. It is changed by a small amount. This information comes from Ref. 12, where Isakower shows numerical solutions to some problems with internal noncircular holes. The amount of the error depends upon the number and shape of the splines and upon how close they come to the outer boundary of the shaft. Ignoring these errors, the solution can be carried out as before.

The torque carried by the solid round shaft is the same as for section $A$. For the 12 -point internal spline, one needs to use case 33 three times since case 33 carries only four splines and then remove the extra material added by using case 1 twice for the material internal to the splines. For case 33 let $r=0.6$, $b=0.1$, and $a=0.157 / 2$, which gives $b / r=0.167$ and $a / b=0.785$. Using the equations in case 33 one finds $C=0.8098$ and for each of the three four-splined sections, $K=2(0.8098)(0.6)^{4}=0.2099$. For each of the two central circular sections removed, use case 1 with $r=0.6$, getting $K=\pi\left(0.6^{4}\right) / 2=0.2036$. Therefore, for the splined hole the value of $K_{i}=3(0.2099)-2(0.2036)=$ 0.2225 . For the solid shaft with the splined hole removed, $K_{R}=\pi(1)^{4} / 2-$ $0.2225=1.3483$ so that $T_{R}=1.3483 G \theta / L$.

Finding the maximum shear stress is a more difficult task for this cross section. If, as stated before, the total volume of the original soap film is changed a small amount when the spline-shaped wire is inserted, one might expect the meridional slope of the soap film at the outer edge and the corresponding stress at the outer surface of the shaft $A$ to change slightly. However, if one ignores this effect, this shear stress can be found as (case 1)

$$
\tau_{o}=\frac{2 T_{o}}{\pi R_{o}^{3}}=\frac{2 T_{R}}{\pi R_{o}^{3}} \frac{T_{o}}{T_{R}}=\frac{2 T_{R}}{\pi R_{o}^{3}} \frac{K_{o}}{K_{R}}=\frac{2 T_{R}}{\pi(1)^{3}} \frac{\pi / 2}{1.3378}=0.7475 T_{R}
$$

For this section, however, there is a possibility that the maximum shear stress and maximum slope of soap film will be bound at the outer edge of an internal spline. No value for this is known, but it would be close to the maximum shear stress on the inner edge of the spline for the material removed. This is given in case 33 as $\tau_{i}=T B / r^{3}$, where $B$ can be found from the equations to be $B=0.6264$, so that $\tau_{i}=T(0.6264) / 0.6^{3}=2.8998 T$. Since the torque here is the torque necessary to give a four-splined shaft a rate of twist $\theta / L$, which is common to all the elements used, both positive and negative,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{i} & =2.8998 \frac{K G \theta}{L}=2.8998(0.2099) \frac{G \theta}{L}=0.6087 \frac{G \theta}{L} \\
& =0.6087 \frac{T_{R}}{1.3483}=0.454 T_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Any errors in this calculation would not be of consequence unless the stress concentrations in the corners of the splines raise the peak shear stresses above $\tau_{o}=0.7475 T_{R}$. Since this is possible, one would want to carry out a more complete analysis if considerations of fatigue or brittle fracture were necessary.

Using the same arguments already presented for the first two sections, one can find $K_{R}$ for section $C$ by using three of the 4 -splined sections from case 33 and removing twice the solid round material with radius 1.0 and once the solid round material with radius 0.6 . For case $33, r=1.0, b=0.17, a=0.262 / 2$,
$b / r=0.17$, and $a / b=0.771$. Using these data, one finds that $C=0.8100$, $B=0.6262$, and $K=1.6200$. This gives then for the hollow section $C$,

$$
K_{R}=1.6200(3)-\frac{2 \pi\left(1^{4}\right)}{2}-\frac{\pi\left(0.6^{4}\right)}{2}=1.5148 \quad \text { and } \quad T_{R}=\frac{1.5148 G \theta}{L}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\max } & =\frac{T(0.6262)}{1^{3}}=\frac{0.6262(1.6200) G \theta}{L}=\frac{1.0144 G \theta}{L} \\
& =1.0144 \frac{T_{R}}{1.5148}=0.6697 T_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again one would expect the maximum shear stress to be somewhat larger with twelve splines than with four and again the stress concentrations in the corners of the splines must be considered.

### 10.3 Effect of End Constraint

It was pointed out in Sec. 10.2 that when noncircular bars are twisted, the sections do not remain plane but warp, and that the formulas of Table 10.1 are based on the assumption that this warping is not prevented. If one or both ends of a bar are so fixed that warping is prevented, or if the torque is applied to a section other than at the ends of a bar, the stresses and the angle of twist produced by the given torque are affected. In compact sections the effect is slight, but in the case of open thin-walled sections the effect may be considerable.

Behavior. To visualize the additional support created by warping restraint, consider a very thin rectangular cross section and an Ibeam having the same thickness and the same total cross-sectional area as the rectangle. With no warping restraint the two sections will have essentially the same stiffness factor $K$ (see Table 10.1, cases 4 and 26). With warping restraint provided at one end of the bar, the rectangle will be stiffened very little but the built-in flanges of the Ibeam act as cantilever beams. The shear forces developed in the flanges as a result of the bending of these cantilevers will assist the torsional shear stresses in carrying the applied torque and greatly increase the stiffness of the bar unless the length is very great.

Formulas. Table 10.2 gives formulas for the warping stiffness factor $C_{w}$, the torsional stiffness factor $K$, the location of the shear center, the magnitudes and locations within the cross section of the maximum shear stresses due to simple torsion, the maximum shear stresses due to warping, and the maximum bending stresses due to warping. All the cross sections listed are assumed to have thin walls and the same thickness throughout the section unless otherwise indicated.

Table 10.3 provides the expressions necessary to evaluate the angle of rotation $\theta$ and the first three derivatives of $\theta$ along the span for a variety of loadings and boundary restraints. The formulas in this table are based on deformations from bending stresses in the thin-walled open cross sections due to warping restraint and consequently, since the transverse shear deformations of the beam action are neglected, are not applicable to cases where the torsion member is short or where the torsional loading is applied close to a support which provides warping restraint.

In a study of the effect on seven cross sections, all of which were approximately 4 in deep and had walls approximately 0.1 in thick, Schwabenlender (Ref. 28) tested them with one end fixed and the other end free to twist but not warp with the torsional loading applied to the latter end. He found that the effect of the transverse shear stress noticeably reduced the torsional stiffness of cross sections such as those shown in Table 10.2, cases 1 and $6-8$, when the length was less than six times the depth; for sections such as those in cases $2-5$ (Table 10.2), the effect became appreciable at even greater lengths. To establish an absolute maximum torsional stiffness constant we note that for any cross section, when the length approaches zero, the effective torsional stiffness constant $K^{\prime}$ cannot exceed $J$, the polar moment of inertia, where $J=I_{x}+I_{y}$ for axes through the centroid of the cross section. (Example 1 illustrates this last condition.)

Reference 19 gives formulas and graphs for the angle of rotation and the first three derivatives for 12 cases of torsional loading of open cross sections. Payne (Ref. 15) gives the solution for a box girder that is fixed at one end and has a torque applied to the other. (This solution was also presented in detail in the fourth edition of this book.) Chu (Ref. 29) and Vlasov (Ref. 30) discuss solutions for cross sections with both open and closed parts. Kollbrunner and Basler (Ref. 31) discuss the warping of continuous beams and consider the multicellular box section, among other cross sections.

## EXAMPLES

1. A steel torsion member has a cross section in the form of a twin channel with flanges inward as dimensioned in Fig. 10.4. Both ends of this channel are rigidly welded to massive steel blocks to provide full warping restraint. A torsional load is to be applied to one end block while the other is fixed. Determine the angle of twist at the loaded end for an applied torque of $1000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$ for lengths of $100,50,25$, and 10 in . Assume $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.285$.

Solution. First determine cross-sectional constants, noting that $b=4-$ $0.1=3.9 \mathrm{in}, \quad b_{1}=1.95-0.05=1.9 \mathrm{in}, \quad h=4-0.1=3.9 \mathrm{in}, \quad$ and $t=0.1 \mathrm{in}$.
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From Table 10.2, case 4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\frac{t^{3}}{3}\left(2 b+4 b_{1}\right)=\frac{0.1^{3}}{3}[2(3.9)+4(1.9)]=0.005133 \mathrm{in}^{4} \\
C_{w} & =\frac{t b^{2}}{24}\left(8 b_{1}^{3}+6 h^{2} b_{1}+h^{2} b+12 b_{1}^{2} h\right)=28.93 \mathrm{in}^{6} \\
G & =\frac{E}{2(1+v)}=\frac{30\left(10^{6}\right)}{2(1+0.285)}=11.67\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\beta & =\left(\frac{K G}{C_{w} E}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left[\frac{0.005133(11.67)\left(10^{6}\right)}{28.93(30)\left(10^{6}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.00831 \mathrm{in}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 10.3, case 1 d , when $a=0$, the angular rotation at the loaded end is given as

$$
\theta=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\beta l-2 \tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}\right)
$$

If we were to describe the total angle of twist at the loaded end in terms of an equivalent torsional stiffness constant $K^{\prime}$ in the expression

$$
\theta=\frac{T_{o} l}{K^{\prime} G}
$$

then

$$
K^{\prime}=\frac{T_{o} l}{G \theta} \quad \text { or } \quad K^{\prime}=K \frac{\beta l}{\beta l-2(\beta l / 2)}
$$

The following table gives both $K^{\prime}$ and $\theta$ for the several lengths:

| $l$ | $\beta l$ | $\frac{\beta l}{2}$ | $K^{\prime}$ | $\theta$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 200 | 1.662 | 0.6810 | 0.0284 | $34.58^{\circ}$ |
| 100 | 0.831 | 0.3931 | 0.0954 | $5.15^{\circ}$ |
| 50 | 0.416 | 0.2048 | 0.3630 | $0.68^{\circ}$ |
| 25 | 0.208 | 0.1035 | 1.4333 | $0.09^{\circ}$ |
| 10 | 0.083 | 0.0415 | 8.926 | $0.006^{\circ}$ |

The stiffening effect of the fixed ends of the flanges is obvious even at a length of 200 in where $K^{\prime}=0.0284$ as compared with $K=0.00513$. The warping restraint increases the stiffness more than five times. The large increases in $K^{\prime}$ at the shorter lengths of 25 and 10 in must be examined carefully. For this cross section $I_{x}=3.88$ and $I_{y}=3.96$, and so $J=7.84 \mathrm{in}^{4}$. The calculated stiffness $K^{\prime}=8.926$ at $l=10$ in is beyond the limiting value of 7.84 , and so it is known to be in error because shear deformation was not included; therefore we would suspect the value of $K^{\prime}=1.433$ at $l=25$ in as well. Indeed, Schwabenlender (Ref. 28) found that for a similar cross section the effect of shear deformation in the flanges reduced the stiffness by approximately $25 \%$ at a length of 25 in and by more than $60 \%$ at a length of 10 in .
2. A small cantilever crane rolls along a track welded from three pieces of 0.3-in-thick steel, as shown in Fig. 10.5. The 20 -ft-long track is solidly welded to a rigid foundation at the right end and simply supported 4 ft from the left end, which is free. The simple support also provides resistance to rotation about the beam axis but provides no restraint against warping or rotation in horizontal and vertical planes containing the axis.

The crane weighs 300 lb and has a center of gravity which is 20 in out from the web of the track. A load of 200 lb is carried at the end of the crane 60 in from the web. It is desired to determine the maximum flexure stress and the maximum shear stress in the track and also the angle of inclination of the crane when it is located 8 ft from the welded end of the track.

Solution. The loading will be considered in two stages. First consider a vertical load of 500 lb acting 8 ft from the fixed end of a 16 - ft beam fixed at one end and simply supported at the other. The following constants are needed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{y}= & \frac{4(0.3)(10)+9.7(0.3)(5)}{(4+9.7+8)(0.3)}=4.08 \mathrm{in} \\
E= & 30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
I_{x}= & \frac{4\left(0.3^{3}\right)}{12}+4(0.3)(10-4.08)^{2}+\frac{0.3\left(9.7^{3}\right)}{12}+9.7(0.3)(5-4.08)^{2} \\
& +\frac{8\left(0.3^{3}\right)}{12}+8(0.3)\left(4.08^{2}\right) \\
= & 107.3 \mathrm{in}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 10.5

From Table 8.1, case $1 \mathrm{c}, \quad a=8 \mathrm{ft}, \quad l=16 \mathrm{ft}, \quad W=500 \mathrm{lb}, \quad M_{A}=0$, and $R_{A}=\left[500 / 2\left(16^{3}\right)\right](16-8)^{2}[2(16)+8]=156.2 \mathrm{lb}$. Now construct the shear and moment diagrams.


The second portion of the solution considers a beam fixed at the right end against both rotation and warping and free at the left end. It is loaded at a point 4 ft from the left end with an unknown torque $T_{c}$, which makes the angle of rotation zero at that point, and a known torque of 300(20)+ $200(60)=18,000 \mathrm{lb}$-in at a point 12 ft from the left end. Again evaluate the following constants; assume $G=12\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\frac{1}{3}(4+8+10)\left(0.3^{3}\right)=0.198 \mathrm{in}^{4} \quad(\text { Table 10.2, case } 7) \\
C_{w} & =\frac{\left(10^{2}\right)(0.3)\left(4^{3}\right)\left(8^{3}\right)}{(12)\left(4^{3}+8^{3}\right)}=142.2 \mathrm{in}^{6} \quad(\text { Table 10.2, case 7) } \\
\beta & =\left(\frac{K G}{C_{w} E}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left[\frac{(0.198)(12)\left(10^{6}\right)}{(142.2)(30)\left(10^{6}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.0236 \mathrm{in}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\beta l=0.0236(20)(12)=5.664, \beta(l-a)=0.0236(20-12)(12)=2.2656$ for $a=12 \mathrm{ft}$, and $\beta(l-a)=0.0236(20-4)(12)=4.5312$ for $a=4 \mathrm{ft}$.

From Table 10.3, case 1b, consider two torsional loads: an unknown torque $T_{c}$ at $\alpha=4 \mathrm{ft}$ and a torque of $18,000 \mathrm{lb}$-in at $a=12 \mathrm{ft}$. The following constants are needed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\cosh \beta l=\cosh 5.664=144.1515 \\
& C_{2}=\sinh \beta l=\sinh 5.664=144.1480
\end{aligned}
$$

For $a=4 \mathrm{ft}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{a 3}=\cosh \beta(l-a)-1=\cosh 4.5312-1=45.4404 \\
& C_{a 4}=\sinh \beta(l-a)-\beta(l-a)=\sinh 4.5312-4.5312=41.8984
\end{aligned}
$$

For $a=12 \mathrm{ft}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{a 3}=\cosh 2.2656-1=3.8703 \\
& C_{a 4}=\sinh 2.2656-2.2656=2.5010
\end{aligned}
$$

At the left end $T_{A}=0$ and $\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{A}= & \frac{18,000}{(142.2)(30)\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.0236^{3}\right)}\left[\frac{(144.1480)(3.8703)}{144.1515}-2.5010\right] \\
& +\frac{T_{c}}{(142.2)(30)\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.0236^{3}\right)}\left[\frac{(144.1480)(45.4404)}{144.1515}-41.8984\right] \\
= & 0.43953+6.3148\left(10^{-5}\right) T_{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $\theta_{A}^{\prime}=-0.0002034-1.327\left(10^{-7}\right) T_{c}$.
To evaluate $T_{c}$ the angle of rotation at $x=4 \mathrm{ft}$ is set equal to zero:

$$
\theta_{c}=0=\theta_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime}}{\beta} F_{2(c)}
$$

where

$$
F_{2(c)}=\sinh [0.0236(48)]=\sinh 1.1328=1.3911
$$

or
$0=0.43953+6.3148\left(10^{-5}\right) T_{c}-\frac{(0.0002034)(1.3911)}{0.0236}-\frac{(1.327)\left(10^{-7}\right)(1.3911)}{0.0236} T_{c}$
This gives $T_{c}=-7728 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}, \theta_{A}=-0.04847 \mathrm{rad}$, and $\theta_{A}^{\prime}=0.0008221 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{in}$.
To locate positions of maximum stress it is desirable to sketch curves of $\theta^{\prime}$, $\theta^{\prime \prime}$, and $\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ versus the position $x$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\prime}= & \theta_{A}^{\prime} F_{1}+\frac{T_{c}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} F_{a 3(c)}+\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} F_{a 3} \\
= & 0.0008221 \cosh \beta x-\frac{7728}{(142.2)(30)\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.0236^{2}\right)}[\cosh \beta(x-48)-1]\langle x-48\rangle^{0} \\
& +\frac{18,000}{(142.2)(30)\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.0236^{2}\right)}[\cosh \beta(x-144)-1]\langle x-144\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\prime}= & 0.0008221 \cosh \beta x-0.003253[\cosh \beta(x-48)-1]\langle x-48\rangle^{0} \\
& +0.0007575[\cosh \beta(x-144)-1]\langle x-144\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta^{\prime \prime}= & 0.00001940 \sinh \beta x-0.00007676 \sinh \beta\langle x-48\rangle \\
& +0.00001788 \sinh \beta\langle x-144\rangle \\
\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}= & 10^{-6}\left[0.458 \cosh \beta x-1.812 \cosh \beta(x-48)\langle x-48\rangle^{0}\right. \\
& \left.+422 \cosh \beta(x-144)\langle x-144\rangle^{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximum bending stresses are produced by the beam bending moments of $+1250 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$ at $x=12 \mathrm{ft}$ and $-1500 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{ft}$ at $x=20 \mathrm{ft}$ and by maximum values of $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ of -0.000076 at $x=12 \mathrm{ft}$ and +0.000085 at $x=20 \mathrm{ft}$. Since the largest

magnitudes of both $M_{x}$ and $\theta^{\prime \prime}$ occur at $x=20 \mathrm{ft}$, the maximum bending stress will be at the wall. Therefore, at the wall,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{A} & =\frac{1500(12)(10-4.08+0.15)}{107.26}-\frac{10(4)}{2} \frac{0.3\left(8^{3}\right)}{0.3\left(4^{3}\right)+0.3\left(8^{3}\right)}(30)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.000085) \\
& =970-45,300=-44,300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{B} & =970+45,300=46,300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{C} & =\frac{-1500(12)(4.08+0.15)}{107.26}+\frac{10(8)}{2} \frac{0.3\left(4^{3}\right)}{0.3\left(4^{3}\right)+0.3\left(8^{3}\right)}(30)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.000085) \\
& =-700+11,300=10,600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{D} & =-700-11,300=-12,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Maximum shear stresses are produced by $\theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$, and beam shear $V$. The shear stress due to $\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is maximum at the top of the web, that due to $\theta^{\prime}$ is maximum on the surface anywhere, and that due to $V$ is maximum at the neutral axis but is not much smaller at the top of the web. The largest shear stress in a given cross section is therefore found at the top of the web and is the sum of the absolute values of the three components at one of four possible locations at the top of the web. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\tau_{\max }\right|= & \left|\frac{1}{8} \frac{10(0.3)\left(8^{3}\right)\left(4^{2}\right)}{0.3\left(4^{3}+8^{3}\right)} 30\left(10^{6}\right) \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|+\left|0.3(12)\left(10^{6}\right) \theta^{\prime}\right| \\
& +\left|\frac{(2-0.15)(0.3)(10-4.08)}{107.26(0.3)} V\right| \\
= & \left|533.3\left(10^{6}\right) \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|+\left|3.6\left(10^{6}\right) \theta^{\prime}\right|+|0.1021 \mathrm{~V}|
\end{aligned}
$$

The following maximum values of $\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}, \theta^{\prime}, V$, and $\tau_{\max }$ are found at the given values of the position $x$ :

| $x$ | $\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\theta^{\prime}$ | $V$ | $\left\|\tau_{\max }\right\|, \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $48+$ | $-1.03\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $1.41\left(10^{-3}\right)$ | 156.3 | 5633 |
| 79.2 | $-0.80\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $1.83\left(10^{-3}\right)$ | 156.3 | 7014 |
| $144-$ | $-1.97\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $-0.28\left(10^{-3}\right)$ | 156.3 | 2073 |
| $144+$ | $2.26\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $-0.28\left(10^{-3}\right)$ | -343.7 | 2247 |
| 191.8 | $1.37\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $-1.88\left(10^{-3}\right)$ | -343.7 | 7522 |
| 240 | $2.44\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | 0 | -343.7 | 1335 |

To obtain the rotation of the crane at the load, substitute $x=144$ into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta & =\theta_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime}}{\beta} F_{2}+\frac{T_{c}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} F_{a 4(c)} \\
& =-0.04847+\frac{0.0008221}{0.0236} 14.9414-\frac{7728(4.7666-2.2656)}{142.2(30)\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.0236^{3}\right)}=0.1273 \\
& =7.295^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 10.4 Effect of Longitudinal Stresses

It was pointed out in Sec. 10.1 that the elongation of the outer fibers consequent upon twist caused longitudinal stresses, but that in a bar of circular section these stresses were negligible. In a flexible bar, the section of which comprises one or more narrow rectangles, the stresses in the longitudinal fibers may become large; and since after twisting these fibers are inclined, the stresses in them have components, normal to the axis of twist, which contribute to the torsional resistance of the member.

The stress in the longitudinal fibers of a thin twisted strip and the effect of these stresses on torsional stiffness have been considered by Timoshenko (Ref. 5), Green (Ref. 6), Cook and Young (Ref. 1), and others. The following formulas apply to this case: Let $2 a=$ width of strip; $2 b=$ thickness of strip; $\tau, \sigma_{t}$, and $\sigma_{c}=$ maximum shear, maximum tensile, and maximum compressive stress due to twisting, respectively; $T=$ applied twisting moment; and $\theta / l=$ angle of twist per unit length. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{t}=\frac{E \tau^{2}}{12 G^{2}}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}  \tag{10.4-1}\\
\sigma_{c}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{t}  \tag{10.4-2}\\
T=K G \frac{\theta}{l}+\frac{8}{45} E\left(\frac{\theta}{l}\right)^{3} b a^{5} \tag{10.4-3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first term on the right side of Eq. (10.4-3), $K G \theta / l$, represents the part of the total applied torque $T$ that is resisted by torsional shear; the second term represents the part that is resisted by the tensile stresses in the (helical) longitudinal fibers. It can be seen that this second part is small for small angles of twist but increases rapidly as $\theta / l$ increases.

To find the stresses produced by a given torque $T$, first the value of $\theta / l$ is found by Eq. (10.4-3), taking $K$ as given for Table 10.1, case 4. Then $\tau$ is found by the stress formula for case 4 , taking $K G \theta / l$ for the
twisting moment. Finally $\sigma_{t}$ and $\sigma_{c}$ can be found by Eqs. (10.4-1) and (10.4-2).

This stiffening and strengthening effect of induced longitudinal stress will manifest itself in any bar having a section composed of narrow rectangles, such as a I-, T-, or channel, provided that the parts are so thin as to permit a large unit twist without overstressing. At the same time the accompanying longitudinal compression [Eq. (10.4-2)] may cause failure through elastic instability (see Table 15.1).
If a thin strip of width $a$ and maximum thickness $b$ is initially twisted (as by cold working) to a helical angle $\beta$, then there is an initial stiffening effect in torsion that can be expressed by the ratio of effective $K$ to nominal $K$ (as given in Table 10.3):

$$
\frac{\text { Effective } K}{\text { Nominal } K}=1+C(1+v) \beta^{2}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}
$$

where $C$ is a numerical coefficient that depends on the shape of the cross section and is $\frac{2}{15}$ for a rectangle, $\frac{1}{8}$ for an ellipse, $\frac{1}{10}$ for a lenticular form, and $\frac{7}{60}$ for a double wedge (Ref. 22).
If a bar of any cross section is independently loaded in tension, then the corresponding longitudinal tensile stress $\sigma_{t}$ similarly will provide a resisting torque that again depends on the angle of twist, and the total applied torque corresponding to any angle of twist $\theta$ is $T=$ $\left(K G+\sigma_{t} J\right) \theta / l$, where $J$ is the centroidal polar moment of inertia of the cross section. If the longitudinal loading causes a compressive stress $\sigma_{c}$, the equation becomes

$$
T=\left(K G-\sigma_{c} J\right) \frac{\theta}{l}
$$

Bending also influences the torsional stiffness of a rod unless the cross section has (1) two axes of symmetry, (2) point symmetry, or (3) one axis of symmetry that is normal to the plane of bending. (The influences of longitudinal loading and bending are discussed in Ref. 23.)

### 10.5 Ultimate Strength of Bars in Torsion

When twisted to failure, bars of ductile material usually break in shear, the surface of fracture being normal to the axis and practically flat. Bars of brittle material usually break in tension, the surface of fracture being helicoidal.

Circular sections. The formulas of Sec. 10.1 apply only when the maximum stress does not exceed the elastic limit. If Eq. (10.1-3) is used with $T$ equal to the twisting moment at failure, a fictitious value
of $\tau$ is obtained, which is called the modulus of rupture in torsion and which for convenience will be denoted here by $\tau^{\prime}$. For solid bars of steel, $\tau^{\prime}$ slightly exceeds the ultimate tensile strength when the length is only about twice the diameter but drops to about $80 \%$ of the tensile strength when the length becomes 25 times the diameter. For solid bars of aluminum, $\tau^{\prime}$ is about $90 \%$ of the tensile strength.

For tubes, the modulus of rupture decreases with the ratio of diameter $D$ to wall thickness $t$. Younger (Ref. 7) gives the following approximate formula, applicable to tubes of steel and aluminum:

$$
\tau^{\prime}=\frac{1600 \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{(D / t-2)^{2}+1600}
$$

where $\tau^{\prime}$ is the modulus of rupture in torsion of the tube and $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ is the modulus of rupture in torsion of a solid circular bar of the same material. (Curves giving $\tau^{\prime}$ as a function of $D / t$ for various steels and light alloys may be found in Ref. 18.)

### 10.6 Torsion of Curved Bars; Helical Springs

The formulas of Secs. 10.1 and 10.2 can be applied to slightly curved bars without significant error, but for sharply curved bars, such as helical springs, account must be taken of the influence of curvature and slope. Among others, Wahl (Ref. 8) and Ancker and Goodier (Ref. 24) have discussed this problem, and the former presents charts which greatly facilitate the calculation of stress and deflection for springs of non-circular section. Of the following formulas cited, those for round wire were taken from Ref. 24, and those for square and rectangular wire from Ref. 8 (with some changes of notation).
Let $R=$ radius of coil measured from spring axis to center of section (Fig. 10.6), $d=$ diameter of circular section, $2 b=$ thickness of square section, $P=$ load (either tensile or compressive), $n=$ number of active turns in spring, $\alpha=$ pitch angle of spring, $f=$ total stretch or shortening of spring, and $\tau=$ maximum shear stress produced. Then for a spring of circular wire,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=\frac{64 P R^{3} n}{G d^{4}}\left[1-\frac{3}{64}\left(\frac{d}{R}\right)^{2}+\frac{3+v}{2(1+v)}(\tan \alpha)^{2}\right]  \tag{10.6-1}\\
& \tau=\frac{16 P R}{\pi d^{3}}\left[1+\frac{5}{8} \frac{d}{R}+\frac{7}{32}\left(\frac{d}{R}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{10.6-2}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 10.6

For a spring of square wire,

$$
\begin{align*}
f & =\frac{2.789 P R^{3} n}{G b^{4}} \text { for } c>3  \tag{10.6-3}\\
\tau & =\frac{4.8 P R}{8 b^{3}}\left(1+\frac{1.2}{c}+\frac{0.56}{c^{2}}+\frac{0.5}{c^{3}}\right) \tag{10.6-4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c=R / b$.
For a spring of rectangular wire, section $2 a \times 2 b$ where $a>b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{3 \pi P R^{3} n}{8 G b^{4}} \frac{1}{a / b-0.627[\tanh (\pi b / 2 a)+0.004]} \tag{10.6-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $c>3$ if the long dimension $2 a$ is parallel to the spring axis or for $c>5$ if the long dimension $2 a$ is perpendicular to the spring axis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\frac{P R(3 b+1.8 a)}{8 b^{2} a^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1.2}{c}+\frac{0.56}{c^{2}}+\frac{0.5}{c^{3}}\right) \tag{10.6-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that in each of these cases the maximum stress is given by the ordinary formula for the section in question (from Table 10.1) multiplied by a corrective factor that takes account of curvature, and these corrective factors can be used for any curved bar of the corresponding cross section. Also, for compression springs with the end turns ground down for even bearing, $n$, should be taken as the actual number of turns (including the tapered end turns) less 2 . For tension springs $n$ should be taken as the actual number of turns or slightly more.

Unless laterally supported, compression springs that are relatively long will buckle when compressed beyond a certain critical deflection. This critical deflection depends on the ratio of $L$, the free length, to $D$, the mean diameter, and is indicated approximately by the following tabulation, based on Ref. 27. Consideration of coil closing before reaching the critical deflection is necessary.

| $L / D$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Critical deflection $/ L$ | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 0.17 |

Precise formula. For very accurate calculation of the extension of a spring, as is necessary in designing precision spring scales, account must be taken of the change in slope and radius of the coils caused by stretching. Sayre (Ref. 9) gives a formula which takes into account not only the effect of this change in form but also the deformation due to direct transverse shear and flexure. This formula can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & P\left\{\left[\frac{R_{0}^{2} L}{G K}-\frac{R_{0}^{2} H_{0}^{2}}{G K L}\left(1-\frac{G K}{E I}\right)+\frac{F L}{A G}\right]\right. \\
& -\left[\frac{R_{0}^{2}}{3 G K L}\left(3-\frac{2 G K}{E I}\right)\left(H^{2}+H H_{0}-2 H_{0}^{2}\right]\right\} \tag{10.6-7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=$ stretch of the spring; $P=$ load; $R_{0}=$ initial radius of the coil; $H=$ variable length of the effective portion of the stretched spring; $H_{0}=$ initial value of $H ; L=$ actual developed length of the wire of which the spring is made; $A=$ cross-sectional area of this wire; $K=$ the torsional-stiffness factor for the wire section, as given in Table 10.1 ( $K=\frac{1}{2} \pi r^{4}$ for a circle; $K=2.25 a^{4}$ for a square; etc.); $F=$ the section factor for shear deformation [Eq. (8.10-1); $F=\frac{10}{9}$ for a circle or ellipse, $F=\frac{6}{5}$ for a square or rectangle]; and $I=$ moment of inertia of the wire section about a central axis parallel to the spring axis. The first term in brackets represents the initial rate of stretch, and the second term in brackets represents the change in this rate due to change in form consequent upon stretch. The final expression shows that $f$ is not a linear function of $P$.

### 10.7 Tables

TABLE 10.1 Formulas for torsional deformation and stress
 rigidity (force per unit area); $K$ (length to the fourth) and $Q$ (length cubed) are functions of the cross section

| Form and dimensions of cross sections, other quantities involved, and case no. | Formula for $K$ in $\theta=\frac{T L}{K G}$ | Formula for shear stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Solid circular section | $K=\frac{1}{2} \pi r^{4}$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{2 T}{\pi r^{3}} \quad$ at boundary |
| 2. Solid elliptical section | $K=\frac{\pi a^{3} b^{3}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{2 T}{\pi a b^{2}} \quad$ at ends of minor axis |
| 3. Solid square section | $K=2.25 a^{4}$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{0.601 T}{a^{3}}$ at midpoint of each side |
| 4. Solid rectangular section | $K=a b^{3}\left[\frac{16}{3}-3.36 \frac{b}{a}\left(1-\frac{b^{4}}{12 a^{4}}\right)\right] \quad \text { for } a \geqslant b$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{3 T}{8 a b^{2}}\left[1+0.6095 \frac{b}{a}+0.8865\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}-1.8023\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{3}+0.9100\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{4}\right]$ <br> at the midpoint of each longer side for $a \geqslant b$ |


| Form and dimensions of cross sections, other quantities involved, and case no. | Formula for $K$ in $\theta=\frac{T L}{K G}$ | Formula for shear stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Solid triangular section (equilaterial) | $K=\frac{a^{4} \sqrt{3}}{80}$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{20 T}{a^{3}} \quad$ at midpoint of each side |
| 6. Isosceles triangle <br> (Note: See also Ref. 21 for graphs of stress magnitudes and locations and stiffness factors) | For $\frac{2}{3}<a / b<\sqrt{3} \quad\left(39^{\circ}<\alpha<82^{\circ}\right)$ $K=\frac{a^{3} b^{3}}{15 a^{2}+20 b^{2}}$ <br> approximate formula which is exact at $\alpha=60^{\circ}$ where $K=0.02165 c^{4}$. <br> For $\sqrt{3}<a / b<2 \sqrt{3} \quad\left(82^{\circ}<\alpha<120^{\circ}\right)$ $K=0.0915 b^{4}\left(\frac{a}{b}-0.8592\right)$ <br> approximate formula which is exact at $\alpha=90^{\circ} \text { where } K=0.0261 c^{4}(\text { errors }<4 \%)(\text { Ref. } 20)$ | For $39^{\circ}<\alpha<120^{\circ}$ $Q=\frac{K}{b\left[0.200+0.309 a / b-0.0418(a / b)^{2}\right]}$ <br> approximate formula which is exact at $\alpha=60^{\circ}$ and $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ <br> For $\alpha=60^{\circ} \quad Q=0.0768 b^{3}=0.0500 c^{3}$ <br> For $\alpha=90^{\circ} \quad Q=0.1604 b^{3}=0.0567 c^{3}$ <br> $\tau_{\max }$ at center of longest side |
| 7. Circular segmental section | $K=2 C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{h}{r}$ as follows. <br> For $0 \leqslant \frac{h}{r} \leqslant 1.0$ : $C=0.7854-0.0333 \frac{h}{r}-2.6183\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{2}$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{T B}{r^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{h}{r}$ as follows. For $0 \leqslant \frac{h}{r} \leqslant 1.0$ : $B=0.6366+1.7598 \frac{h}{r}-5.4897\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{2}$ |
| [Note: $h=r(1-\cos \alpha)]$ | $+4.1595\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{3}-3.0769\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{4}+0.9299\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{5}$ | $+14.062\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{3}-14.510\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{4}+6.434\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{5}$ |

## TABLE 10.1 Formulas for torsional deformation and stress (Continued)

| 8. Circular sector <br> (Note: See also Ref. 21) | $K=C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{\alpha}{\pi}$ as follows. <br> For $0.1 \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \leqslant 2.0$ : $\begin{aligned} C= & 0.0034-0.0697 \frac{\alpha}{\pi}+0.5825\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2} \\ & -0.2950\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{3}+0.0874\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{4}-0.0111\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{T}{B r^{3}}$ on a radial boundary. $B$ varies with $\frac{\alpha}{\pi}$ as follows. For $0.1 \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \leqslant 1.0$ : $\begin{aligned} B= & 0.0117-0.2137 \frac{\alpha}{\pi}+2.2475\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{2} \\ & -4.6709\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{3}+5.1764\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{4}-2.2000\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{5} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Data from Ref. 17) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. Circular shaft with opposite sides flattened <br> (Note: $h=r-w)$ | $K=2 C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{h}{r}$ as follows. <br> For two flat sides where $0 \leqslant \frac{h}{r} \leqslant 0.8$ : $\begin{aligned} C= & 0.7854-0.4053 \frac{h}{r}-3.5810\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{2} \\ & +5.2708\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{3}-2.0772\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{4} \end{aligned}$ <br> For four flat sides where $0 \leqslant \frac{h}{r} \leqslant 0.293:$ $C=0.7854-0.7000 \frac{h}{r}-7.7982\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{2}+14.578\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{3}$ | $\tau_{\max }=\frac{T B}{r^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{h}{r}$ as follows. For two flat sides where $0 \leqslant \frac{h}{r} \leqslant 0.6$ : $\begin{aligned} B= & 0.6366+2.5303 \frac{h}{r}-11.157\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{2}+49.568\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{3} \\ & -85.886\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{4}+69.849\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{5} \end{aligned}$ <br> For four flat sides where $0 \leqslant \frac{h}{r} \leqslant 0.293$ : $B=0.6366+2.6298 \frac{h}{r}-5.6147\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{2}+30.853\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> (Data from Refs. 12 and 13) |

## TABLE 10.1 Formulas for torsional deformation and stress (Continued)

Form and dimensions of cross sections,
other quantities involved, and case no.

| 14. Any thin tube of uniform thickness; $U=$ length of median boundary; $A=$ mean of areas enclosed by outer and inner boundaries, or (approximate) area within median boundary | $K=\frac{4 A^{2} t}{U}$ | $\tau_{\text {average }}=\frac{T}{2 t A} \quad($ stress is nearly uniform if $t$ is small) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. Any thin tube. $U$ and $A$ as for case $14 ; t=$ thickness at any point | $K=\frac{4 A^{2}}{\int d U / t}$ | $\tau_{\text {average }}$ on any thickness $A B=\frac{T}{2 t A} \quad\left(\tau_{\max }\right.$ where $t$ is a minimum $)$ |
| 16. Hollow rectangle, thin-walled <br> (Note: For thick-walled hollow rectangles see Refs. 16 and 25 . Reference 25 illustrates how to extend the work presented to cases with more than one enclosed region.) | $K=\frac{2 t t_{1}(a-t)^{2}\left(b-t_{1}\right)^{2}}{a t+b t_{1}-t^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}$ | $\tau_{\text {average }}= \begin{cases}\frac{T}{2 t(a-t)\left(b-t_{1}\right)} & \text { near midlength of short sides } \\ \frac{T}{2 t_{1}(a-t)\left(b-t_{1}\right)} & \text { near midlength of long sides }\end{cases}$ <br> (There will be higher stresses at inner corners unless fillets of fairly large radius are provided) |

TABLE 10.1 Formulas for torsional deformation and stress (Continued)

| Form and dimensions of cross sections, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| other quantities involved, and case no. |  |  |
| 17. Thin circular open tube of uniform <br> thickness; $r=$ mean radius | $K=\frac{2}{3} \pi r t^{3}$ | Formula for $K$ in $\theta=\frac{T L}{K G}$ |


| 22. Trapezoid | $K=\frac{1}{12} b(m+n)\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)-V_{L} m^{4}-V_{s} n^{4}$ <br> where $V_{L}=0.10504-0.10 s+0.0848 s^{2}$ $-0.06746 s^{3}+0.0515 s^{4}$ $\begin{aligned} V_{s}= & 0.10504+0.10 s+0.0848 s^{2} \\ \quad & +0.06746 s^{3}+0.0515 s^{4} \\ s= & \frac{m-n}{b} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Ref. 11) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 23. T-section, flange thickness uniform. For definitions of $r, D, t$, and $t_{1}$, see case 26. | $K=K_{1}+K_{2}+\alpha D^{4}$ $\text { where } \begin{aligned} K_{1} & =a b^{3}\left[\frac{1}{3}-0.21 \frac{b}{a}\left(1-\frac{b^{4}}{12 a^{4}}\right)\right] \\ K_{2} & =c d^{3}\left[\frac{1}{3}-0.105 \frac{d}{c}\left(1-\frac{d^{4}}{192 c^{4}}\right)\right] \\ \alpha & =\frac{t}{t_{1}}\left(0.15+0.10 \frac{r}{b}\right) \\ D & =\frac{(b+r)^{2}+r d+d^{2} / 4}{(2 r+b)} \end{aligned}$ <br> for $d<2(b+r)$ |
| 24. L-section; $b \geqslant d$. For definitions of $r$ and $D$, see case 26 . | $\begin{aligned} K & =K_{1}+K_{2}+\alpha D^{4} \\ \text { where } K_{1} & =a b^{3}\left[\frac{1}{3}-0.21 \frac{b}{a}\left(1-\frac{b^{4}}{12 a^{4}}\right)\right] \\ K_{2} & =c d^{3}\left[\frac{1}{3}-0.105 \frac{d}{c}\left(1-\frac{d^{4}}{192 c^{4}}\right)\right] \\ \alpha & =\frac{d}{b}\left(0.07+0.076 \frac{r}{b}\right) \\ D & =2[d+b+3 r-\sqrt{2(2 r+b)(2 r+d}] \\ \text { for } b & <2(d+r) \end{aligned}$ |

At a point where the curvature is negative (boundary of section concave or reentrant), this maximum stress is given approximately by
$\tau_{\max }=G \frac{\theta}{L} C \quad$ or $\quad \tau_{\max }=\frac{T}{K} C$
where $C=\frac{D}{1+\frac{\pi^{2} D^{4}}{16 A^{2}}}\left\{1+\left[0.118 \ln \left(1-\frac{D}{2 r}\right)-0.238 \frac{D}{2 r}\right] \tanh \frac{2 \phi}{\pi}\right\}$
and $D, A$, and $r$ have the same meaning as before and $\phi=$ a positive angle through which a tangent to the boundary rotates in turning or traveling around the reentrant portion, measured in radians (here $r$ is negative).
The preceding formulas should also be used for cases 17 and 18 when $t$ is relatively large compared with radius of median line.

## TABLE 10.1 Formulas for torsional deformation and stress (Continued)

| Form and dimensions of cross sections, other quantities involved, and case no. | Formula for $K$ in $\theta=\frac{T L}{K G}$ | Formula for shear stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25. U- or Z-section | $K=$ sum of $K$ 's of constituent L-sections computed as for case 24 |  |
| 26. I-section, flange thickness uniform; $r=$ fillet radius, $D=$ diameter largest inscribed circle, $t=b$ if $b<d ; t=d$ if $d<b ; t_{1}=b$ if $b>d$; $t_{1}=d$ if $d>b$ | $K=2 K_{1}+K_{2}+2 \alpha D^{4}$ <br> where $K_{1}=a b^{3}\left[\frac{1}{3}-0.21 \frac{b}{a}\left(1-\frac{b^{4}}{12 a^{4}}\right)\right]$ $\begin{aligned} K_{2} & =\frac{1}{3} c d^{3} \\ \alpha & =\frac{t}{t_{1}}\left(0.15+0.1 \frac{r}{b}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> Use expression for $D$ from case 23 |  |
| 27. Split hollow shaft | $K=2 C r_{o}^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}$ as follows. <br> For $0.2 \leqslant \frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}} \leqslant 0.6$ : $C=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}+K_{3}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}\right)^{3}$ | At $M, \tau=\frac{T B}{r_{o}^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}$ as follows. <br> For $0.2 \leqslant \frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}} \leqslant 0.6$ : $B=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}+K_{3}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{r_{i}}{r_{o}}\right)^{3}$ |


where for $0.1 \leqslant h / r_{i} \leqslant 1.0$,
$K_{1}=0.4427+0.0064 \frac{h}{r_{i}}-0.0201\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}$
$K_{2}=-0.8071-0.4047 \frac{h}{r_{i}}+0.1051\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}$
$K_{3}=-0.0469+1.2063 \frac{h}{r_{i}}-0.3538\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}$
$K_{4}=0.5023-0.9618 \frac{h}{r_{i}}+0.3639\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}$
where fore $0.1 \leqslant h / r_{i} \leqslant 1.0$,
$K_{1}=2.0014-0.1400 \frac{h}{r_{i}}-0.3231\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{3}$
$K_{2}=2.9047+3.0069 \frac{h}{r_{i}}+4.0500\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}$
$K_{3}=-15.721-6.5077 \frac{h}{r_{i}}-12.496\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}$
$K_{4}=29.553+4.1115 \frac{h}{r_{i}}+18.845\left(\frac{h}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}$
(Data from Refs. 12 and 13)

## TABLE 10.1 Formulas for torsional deformation and stress (Continued)



| Form and dimensions of cross sections, other quantities involved, and case no. | Formula for $K$ in $\theta=\frac{T L}{K G}$ | Formula for shear stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30. Shaft with four keyways | $K=2 C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows. <br> For $0 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.4$ : $C=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> where for $0.3 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.2$, $\begin{aligned} & K_{1}=0.7854 \\ & K_{2}=-0.1496+0.2773 \frac{a}{b}-0.2110\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{3}=-2.9138-8.2354 \frac{a}{b}+2.5782\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{4}=2.2991+12.097 \frac{a}{b}-2.2838\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | At $M, \tau=\frac{T B}{r^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows. For $0.2 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.4$, $B=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> where for $0.5 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.2$, $\begin{aligned} & K_{1}=1.0434+1.0449 \frac{a}{b}-0.2977\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{2}=0.0958-9.8401 \frac{a}{b}+1.6847\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{3}=15.749-6.9650 \frac{a}{b}+14.222\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{4}=-35.878+88.696 \frac{a}{b}-47.545\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Data from Refs. 12 and 13) |
| 31. Shaft with one spline | $K=2 C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows. For $0 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.5$ : $C=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> where for $0.2 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.4$, $\begin{aligned} & K_{1}=0.7854 \\ & K_{2}=0.0264-0.1187 \frac{a}{b}+0.0868\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{3}=-0.2017+0.9019 \frac{a}{b}-0.4947\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{4}=0.2911-1.4875 \frac{a}{b}+2.0651\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | At $M, \tau=\frac{T B}{r^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows. For $0 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.5$, $B=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> where for $0.2 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.4$, $\begin{aligned} & K_{1}=0.6366 \\ & K_{2}=-0.0023+0.0168 \frac{a}{b}+0.0093\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{3}=0.0052+0.0225 \frac{a}{b}-0.3300\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \\ & K_{4}=0.0984-0.4936 \frac{a}{b}+0.2179\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ <br> (Data from Refs. 12 and 13) |

## TABLE 10.1 Formulas for torsional deformation and stress (Continued)



$K=2 C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows.
For $0 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.5$ :
$C=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$
where for $0.2 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.4$,
$K_{1}=0.7854$
$K_{2}=0.0204-0.1307 \frac{a}{b}+0.1157\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{3}=-0.2075+1.1544 \frac{a}{b}-0.5937\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{4}=0.3608-2.2582 \frac{a}{b}+3.7336\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K=2 C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows.
For $0 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.5$ :
$C=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$
where for $0.2 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.0$,
$K_{1}=0.7854$
$K_{2}=0.0595-0.3397 \frac{a}{b}+0.3239\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{3}=-0.6008+3.1396 \frac{a}{b}-2.0693\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{4}=1.0869-6.2451 \frac{a}{b}+9.4190\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$

At $M, \tau=\frac{T B}{r^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows. For $0 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.5$,
$B=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$
where for $0.2 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.4$,
$K_{1}=0.6366$
$K_{2}=0.0069-0.0229 \frac{a}{b}+0.0637\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{3}=-0.0675+0.3996 \frac{a}{b}-1.0514\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{4}=0.3582-1.8324 \frac{a}{b}+1.5393\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
(Data from Refs. 12 and 13)

At $M, \tau=\frac{T B}{r^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{b}{r}$ as follows. For $0 \leqslant \frac{b}{r} \leqslant 0.5$,
$B=K_{1}+K_{2} \frac{b}{r}+K_{3}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+K_{4}\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{3}$
where for $0.2 \leqslant a / b \leqslant 1.0$,
$K_{1}=0.6366$
$K_{2}=0.0114-0.0789 \frac{a}{b}+0.1767\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{3}=-0.1207+1.0291 \frac{a}{b}-2.3589\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{4}=0.5132-3.4300 \frac{a}{b}+4.0226\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
(Data from Refs. 12 and 13)

| Form and dimensions of cross sections, other quantities involved, and case no. | Formula for $K$ in $\theta=\frac{T L}{K G}$ | Formula for shear stress |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34. Pinned shaft with one, two, or four grooves | $K=2 C r^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{a}{r}$ over the range $0 \leqslant \frac{a}{r} \leqslant 0.5$ as follows. For one groove: $C=0.7854-0.0225 \frac{a}{r}-1.4154\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{2}+0.9167\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> For two grooves: $C=0.7854-0.0147 \frac{a}{r}-3.0649\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{2}+2.5453\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> For four grooves: $C=0.7854-0.0409 \frac{a}{r}-6.2371\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{2}+7.2538\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{3}$ | At $M, \tau=\frac{T B}{r^{3}}$ where $B$ varies with $\frac{a}{r}$ over the range $0.1 \leqslant \frac{a}{r} \leqslant 0.5$ as follows. For one groove: $B=1.0259+1.1802 \frac{a}{r}-2.7897\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{2}+3.7092\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> For two grooves: $B=1.0055+1.5427 \frac{a}{r}-2.9501\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{2}+7.0534\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{3}$ <br> For four grooves: $B=1.2135-2.9697 \frac{a}{r}+33.713\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{2}-99.506\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{3}+130.49\left(\frac{a}{r}\right)^{4}$ <br> (Data from Refs. 12 and 13) |
| 35. Cross shaft | $K=2 C s^{4}$ where $C$ varies with $\frac{r}{s}$ over the range $0 \leqslant \frac{r}{s} \leqslant 0.9$ as follows: $\begin{aligned} C= & 1.1266-0.3210 \frac{r}{s}+3.1519\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}-14.347\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{3} \\ & +15.223\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{4}-4.7767\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{5} \end{aligned}$ | At $M, \tau=\frac{B_{M} T}{s^{3}}$ where $B_{M}$ varies with $\frac{r}{s}$ over the range $0 \leqslant \frac{r}{s} \leqslant 0.5$ as follows: $B_{M}=0.6010+0.1059 \frac{r}{s}-0.9180\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}+3.7335\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{3}-2.8686\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{4}$ <br> At $N, \tau=\frac{B_{N} T}{s^{3}}$ where $B_{N}$ varies with $\frac{r}{s}$ over the range $0.3 \leqslant \frac{r}{s} \leqslant 0.9$ as follows: $B_{N}=-0.3281+9.1405 \frac{r}{s}-42.520\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{2}+109.04\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{3}-133.95\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{4}+66.054\left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{5}$ <br> (Note: $B_{N}>B_{M}$ for $r / s>0.32$ ) <br> (Data from Refs. 12 and 13) |

## TABLE 10.2 Formulas for torsional properties and stresses in thin-walled open cross sections



 unit area)
The appropriate values of $\theta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime \prime}$, and $\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ are found in Table 10.3 for the loading and boundary restraints desired

| Cross section, reference no. | Constants | Selected maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Channel | $\begin{aligned} & e=\frac{3 b^{2}}{h+6 b} \\ & K=\frac{t^{3}}{3}(h+2 b) \\ & C_{w}=\frac{h^{2} b^{3} t}{12} \frac{2 h+3 b}{h+6 b} \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\sigma_{x}\right)_{\max }=\frac{h b}{2} \frac{h+3 b}{h+6 b} E \theta^{\prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at corners $A$ and $D$ <br> $\left(\tau_{2}\right)_{\max }=\frac{h b^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{h+3 b}{h+6 b}\right)^{2} E \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at a distance $b \frac{h+3 b}{h+6 b}$ from corners $A$ and $D$ $\left(\tau_{1}\right)_{\max }=t G \theta^{\prime}$ at the surface everywhere |
| 2. $C$-section | $\begin{aligned} & e=b \frac{3 h^{2} b+6 h^{2} b_{1}-8 b_{1}^{3}}{h^{3}+6 h^{2} b+6 h^{2} b_{1}+8 b_{1}^{3}-12 h b_{1}^{2}} \\ & K=\frac{t^{3}}{3}\left(h+2 b+2 b_{1}\right) \\ & C_{w}=t\left[\frac{h^{2} b^{2}}{2}\left(b_{1}+\frac{b}{3}-e-\frac{2 e b_{1}}{b}+\frac{2 b_{1}^{2}}{h}\right)\right. \\ & \left.\quad+\frac{h^{2} e^{2}}{2}\left(b+b_{1}+\frac{h}{6}-\frac{2 b_{1}^{2}}{h}\right)+\frac{2 b_{1}^{3}}{3}(b+e)^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\left(\sigma_{x}\right)_{\max }=\left[\frac{h}{2}(b-e)+b_{1}(b+e)\right] E \theta^{\prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at corners $A$ and $F$ <br> $\left(\tau_{2}\right)_{\max }=\left[\frac{h}{4}(b-e)\left(2 b_{1}+b-e\right)+\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}(b+e)\right] E \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness on the top and bottom flanges at a distance $e$ from corners $C$ and $D$ <br> $\left(\tau_{1}\right)_{\max }=t G \theta^{\prime}$ at the surface everywhere |
| 3. Hat section | $\begin{aligned} & e=b \frac{3 h^{2} b+6 h^{2} b_{1}-8 b_{1}^{3}}{h^{3}+6 h^{2} b+6 h^{2} b_{1}+8 b_{1}^{3}+12 h b_{1}^{2}} \\ & K=\frac{t^{3}}{3}\left(h+2 b+2 b_{1}\right) \\ & C_{w}=t\left[\frac{h^{2} b^{2}}{2}\left(b_{1}+\frac{b}{3}-e-\frac{2 e b_{1}}{b}-\frac{2 b_{1}^{2}}{h}\right)\right. \\ & \left.\quad+\frac{h^{2} e^{2}}{2}\left(b+b_{1}+\frac{h}{6}+\frac{2 b_{1}^{2}}{h}\right)+\frac{2 b_{1}^{3}}{3}(b+e)^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\sigma_{x}=\left[\frac{h}{2}(b-e)-b_{1}(b+e)\right] E \theta^{\prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at corners $A$ and $F$ <br> $\sigma_{x}=\frac{h}{2}(b-e) E \theta^{\prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at corners $B$ and $E$ <br> $\tau_{2}=\left[\frac{h^{2}(b-e)^{2}}{8(b+e)}+\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}(b+e)-\frac{h b_{1}}{2}(b-e)\right] E \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at a distance $\frac{h(b-e)}{2(b+e)}$ <br> from corner $B$ toward corner $A$ <br> $\tau_{2}=\left[\frac{b_{1}^{2}}{2}(b+e)-\frac{h b_{1}}{2}(b-e)-\frac{h}{4}(b-e)^{2}\right] E \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at a distance $e$ from corner $C$ toward corner $B$ <br> $\tau_{1}=t G \theta^{\prime}$ at the surface everywhere |

TABLE 10.2 Formulas for torsional properties and stresses in thin-walled open cross sections (Continued)

| Cross section, reference no. |  | Constants |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4. Twin channel with <br> flanges inward | $K=\frac{t^{3}}{3}\left(2 b+4 b_{1}\right)$ | $\left(\sigma_{x}\right)_{\max }=\frac{b}{2}\left(b_{1}+\frac{h}{2}\right) E \theta^{\prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at points $A$ and $D$ |
| $\left(\tau_{2}\right)_{\max }=\frac{-b}{16}\left(4 b_{1}^{2}+4 b_{1} h+h b\right) E \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness midway between corners $B$ and $C$ |  |  |
| $\left(\tau_{1}\right)_{\max }=t G \theta^{\prime}$ at the surface everywhere |  |  |

## TABLE 10.2 Formulas for torsional properties and stresses in thin-walled open cross sections (Continued)

| Wide flanged beam |
| :--- |
| with unequal flanges |

## TABLE 10.2 Formulas for torsional properties and stresses in thin-walled open cross sections (Continued)

| Cross section, reference no. | Constants | Selected maximum values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10. | $e=0.707 a b^{2} \frac{3 a-2 b}{2 a^{3}-(a-b)^{3}}$ | $\left(\sigma_{x}\right)_{\max }=\frac{a^{2} b}{2} \frac{2 a^{2}+3 a b-b^{2}}{2 a^{3}-(a-b)^{3}} E \theta^{\prime \prime}$ throughout the thickness at points $A$ and $E$ |

## TABLE 10.3 Formulas for the elastic deformations of uniform thin-walled open members under torsional loading



 the torsional constant (see Table 10.2 for expressions for $C_{w}$ and $K$ ); and $G$ is the modulus of rigidity (shear modulus) of the material.
 also defined as zero if $x$ is less than $a$. $\beta=\left(K G / C_{w} E\right)^{1 / 2}$

| $F_{1}=\cosh \beta x$ | $F_{a 1}=\langle x-a\rangle^{0} \cosh \beta(x-a)$ | $C_{1}=\cosh \beta l$ | $C_{a 1}=\cosh \beta(l-a)$ | $A_{1}=\cosh \beta a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $F_{2}=\sinh \beta x$ | $F_{a 2}=\sinh \beta\langle x-a\rangle$ | $C_{2}=\sinh \beta l$ | $C_{a 2}=\sinh \beta(l-a)$ | $A_{2}=\sinh \beta a$ |
| $F_{3}=\cosh \beta x-1$ | $F_{a 3}=\langle x-a\rangle^{0}[\cosh \beta(x-a)-1]$ | $C_{3}=\cosh \beta l-1$ | $C_{a 3}=\cosh \beta(l-a)-1$ |  |
| $F_{4}=\sinh \beta x-\beta x$ | $F_{a 4}=\sinh \beta\langle x-a\rangle-\beta\langle x-\alpha\rangle$ | $C_{4}=\sinh \beta l-\beta l$ | $C_{a 4}=\sinh \beta(l-a)-\beta(l-a)$ |  |
|  | $F_{a 5}=F_{a 3}-\frac{\beta^{2}\langle x-a\rangle^{2}}{2}$ | $C_{a 5}=C_{a 3}-\frac{\beta^{2}(l-a)^{2}}{2}$ |  |  |
|  | $F_{a 6}=F_{a 4}-\frac{\beta^{3}\langle x-a\rangle^{3}}{6}$ | $C_{a 6}=C_{a 4}-\frac{\beta^{3}(l-a)^{3}}{6}$ |  |  |

1. Concentrated intermediate torque


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta=\theta_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime}}{\beta} F_{2}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} F_{4}+\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} F_{a 4} \\
& \theta^{\prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta} F_{2}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} F_{a 3} \\
& \theta^{\prime \prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime} F_{1}+\theta_{A}^{\prime} \beta F_{2}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E \beta} F_{2}+\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} F_{a 2} \\
& \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime} \beta^{2} F_{1}+\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime} \beta F_{2}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E} F_{1}+\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} F_{a 1} \\
& T=T_{A}+T_{o}\langle x-a\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 10.3 Formulas for the elastic deformations of uniform thin-walled open members under torsional loading (Continued)

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected special cases and maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. Left end free to twist and warp, right end free to warp but not twist | $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=0 \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}(l-a) \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad T_{B}=-T_{o} \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{A_{2}}{C_{2}}\right) \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \frac{A_{2}}{C_{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\theta_{\max }=\theta_{A} ; \max$ possible value $=\frac{T_{o} l}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\theta_{\max }^{\prime}=\theta_{B}^{\prime} ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}$ when $a=0$ <br> $\theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}} A_{2}$ at $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\frac{-T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}$ when $a=l / 2$ <br> $\left(-\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}} A_{1}$ just left of $x=a$ <br> $\left(+\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E}\left(1-\frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}} A_{1}\right)$ just right of $x=a ;$ max possible value $=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E}$ when $a$ approaches $l$ <br> If $a=0$ (torque applied at the left end), $\theta=\frac{T_{o}}{K G}(l-x), \quad \theta^{\prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{K G}, \quad \theta^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$ |
| 1b. Left end free to twist and warp right end fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=0 \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 4}\right) \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime}=0, \quad T_{B}=-T_{o} \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{A_{2}-C_{2}}{C_{1}} \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (torque applied at the left end), $\begin{array}{rlrl} \theta & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\beta(l-x)-\tanh \beta l+\frac{\sinh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l}\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\cosh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l}\right), \quad \theta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\sinh \beta x}{\cosh \beta} \\ \theta^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \frac{\cosh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l} & \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}(\beta l-\tanh \beta l) & \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\cosh \beta l}\right) & \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \beta l & \text { at } x=l \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} & \text { at } x=l \end{array}$ |


| 1c. Left end free to twist but not warp, right end free to warp but not twist | $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 4}\right) \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{1}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad T_{B}=-T_{o} \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 3}\right) \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (torque applied at the left end), $\begin{aligned} \theta & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}[\sinh \beta x-\tanh \beta l \cosh \beta x+\beta(l-x)] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}(\cosh \beta x-\tanh \beta l \sinh \beta x-1) \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta}(\sinh \beta x-\tanh \beta l \cosh \beta x), \quad \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E}(\cosh \beta x-\tanh \beta l \sinh \beta x) \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}(\beta l-\tanh \beta l) \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{-1}{\cosh \beta l}+1\right) \quad \text { at } x=l \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \beta l \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \quad \text { at } x=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1d. Left end free to twist but not warp, right end fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 4}\right) \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{2}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime}=0, \quad T_{B}=-T_{o} \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta}\left(\frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 2}\right) \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (torque applied at the left end), $\begin{aligned} \theta & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\sinh \beta x+\beta(l-x)-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}(1+\cosh \beta x)\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\cosh \beta x-1-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2} \sinh \beta x\right) \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta}\left(\sinh \beta x-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2} \cosh \beta x\right), \quad \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E}\left(\cosh \beta x-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2} \sinh \beta x\right) \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\beta l-2 \tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}\right) \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)}-1\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{\mp T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \frac{\beta l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \end{aligned} \quad \text { at } x=0 \text { and } x=l, \text { respectively } \quad \text { at } x=0 \text { and } x=l .$ |


| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected special cases and maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1e. Both ends free to warp but not twist | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & T_{A}=-T_{o}\left(1-\frac{a}{l}\right) \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{a}{l}-\frac{C_{a 2}}{C_{2}}\right) \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime}=\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{a}{l}-\frac{A_{2}}{C_{2}}\right) \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \frac{A_{2}}{C_{2}} \\ & T_{B}=-T_{o} \frac{a}{l} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=l / 2$ (torque applied at midlength), $\begin{aligned} \theta & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\frac{\beta x}{2}-\frac{\sinh \beta x}{2 \cosh (\beta l / 2)}+\sinh \beta\left\langle x-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle-\beta\left\langle x-\frac{l}{2}\right\rangle\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\cosh \beta x}{2 \cosh (\beta l / 2)}+\left\langle x-\frac{l}{2}\right\rangle^{0}\left[\cosh \beta\left(x-\frac{l}{2}\right)-1\right]\right\} \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta}\left[\frac{\sinh \beta x}{2 \cosh (\beta l / 2)}-\sinh \beta\left\langle x-\frac{l}{2}\right\rangle\right] \\ \theta^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{-T_{o}}{C_{w} E}\left[\frac{\cosh \beta x}{2 \cosh (\beta l / 2)}-\left\langle x-\frac{l}{2}\right\rangle^{0} \cosh \beta\left(x-\frac{l}{2}\right)\right] \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{\beta l}{2}-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}\right) \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{ \pm T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{1}{\cosh (\beta l / 2}\right] \quad \text { at } x=0 \text { and } x=l, \text { respectively } \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \frac{\beta l}{2} \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{\mp T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E} \quad \text { just left and just right of } x=\frac{l}{2}, \text { respectively } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1f. Left end free to warp but not twist, right end fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & T_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}-C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{1} C_{4}-C_{2} C_{3}} \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{1} C_{4}-C_{2} C_{3}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\beta l A_{2}-\beta a C_{2}}{C_{1} C_{4}-C_{2} C_{3}} \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} \frac{A_{2}-\beta a C_{1}}{C_{1} C_{4}-C_{2} C_{3}} \\ & T_{B}=-T_{o}-T_{A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } a=l / 2 \text { (torque applied at midlength), } \\ & T_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{\sinh \beta l-(\beta l / 2) \cosh \beta l-\sinh (\beta l / 2)}{\sinh \beta l-\beta l \cosh \beta l} \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{2 \sinh (\beta l / 2)-\beta l \cosh (\beta l / 2)}{\sinh \beta l-\beta l \cosh \beta l}\left(\cosh \frac{\beta l}{2}-1\right) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 10.3 Formulas for the elastic deformations of uniform thin-walled open members under torsional loading (Continued)

| 1g. Both ends fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{2} C_{4}-C_{3}^{2}} \\ & T_{A}=-T_{o} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}-C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{2} C_{4}-C_{3}^{2}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime} C_{1}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E \beta} C_{2}+\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} C_{a 2} \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime} \beta C_{2}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E} C_{1}+\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E} C_{a 1} \\ & T_{B}=-T_{o}-T_{A} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=l / 2$ (torque applied at midlength), $\begin{array}{rlrl} T_{A} & =T_{B}=\frac{-T_{o}}{2} \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{T_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{\beta l}{4}-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{4}\right) & \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{1}{\cosh (\beta l / 4}\right] & \text { at } x=\frac{l}{4} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{ \pm}{+} \frac{T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \frac{\beta l}{4} & \text { at } x=0, x=\frac{l}{2}, \text { and } x=l, \text { respectively } \\ \left(-\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{-T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E} & \text { at } x=0 \text { and just left of } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \left(+\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{T_{o}}{2 C_{w} E} & \text { at } x=l \text { and just right of } x=\frac{l}{2} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


$\theta=\theta_{A}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime}}{\beta} F_{2}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} F_{4}+\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}} F_{a 5}$
$\theta^{\prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime} F_{1}+\frac{\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}}{\beta} F_{2}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} F_{a 4}$
$\theta^{\prime \prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime} F_{1}+\theta_{A}^{\prime} \beta F_{2}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E \beta} F_{2}+\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} F_{a 3}$
$\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\theta_{A}^{\prime} \beta^{2} F_{1}+\theta_{A}^{\prime \prime} \beta F_{2}+\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E} F_{1}+\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} F_{a 2}$
$T=T_{A}+t_{o}\langle x-a\rangle$

| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected special cases and maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a. Left end free to twist and warp, right end free to warp but not twist | $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{t_{o}}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{2}}(l-a)^{2} \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{2}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\frac{A_{1}-C_{1}}{C_{2}}+\beta(l-a)\right] \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{A_{1}-C_{1}}{C_{2}} \\ & T_{B}=-t_{o}(l-a) \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (uniformly distributed torque over entire span), $\begin{aligned} \theta & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left[\frac{\beta^{2}\left(l^{2}-x^{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{\sinh \beta(l-x)+\sinh \beta x}{\sinh \beta l}-1\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\beta x+\frac{\cosh \beta(l-x)-\cosh \beta x}{\sinh \beta l}\right] \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\sinh \beta(l-x)+\sinh \beta x}{\sinh \beta l}\right] \\ \theta^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\cosh \beta(l-x)-\cosh \beta x}{\sinh \beta l} \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{t_{o} l^{2}}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\beta l-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}\right) \quad \text { at } x=l \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{1}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)}\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{\mp t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \frac{\beta l}{2} \quad \text { at } x=0 \text { and } x=l, \text { respectively } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2b. Left end free to twist and warp, right end fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} T_{A} & =0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ \theta_{A} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 5}\right) \\ \theta_{A}^{\prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{1}} \\ \theta_{B} & =0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime}=0 \\ \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 3}\right) \\ \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E}(l-a) \\ T_{B} & =-t_{o}(l-a) \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (uniformly distributed torque over entire span) $\begin{aligned} \theta & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left[\frac{1-\cosh \beta(l-x)+\beta l(\sinh \beta l-\sinh \beta x)}{\cosh \beta l}-\frac{\beta^{2}\left(l^{2}-x^{2}\right)}{2}\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\frac{\sinh \beta(l-x)-\beta l \cosh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l}+\beta x\right] \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\cosh \beta(l-x)+\beta l \sinh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l}\right] \\ \theta^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\sinh \beta(l-x)-\beta l \cosh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l} \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left(1+\frac{\beta^{2} l^{2}}{2}-\frac{1+\beta l \sinh \beta l}{\cosh \beta l}\right) \quad \text { at } x=0 \end{aligned}$ <br> The location of $\max \theta^{\prime}$ depends upon $\beta l$ $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{1+\beta l \sinh \beta l}{\cosh \beta l}-1\right) \quad \text { at } x=l \\ & \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o} l}{C_{w} E} \quad \text { at } x=l \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 10.3 Formulas for the elastic deformations of uniform thin-walled open members under torsional loading (Continued)

| 2c. Left end free to twist but not warp, right end free to warp but not twist | $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 5}\right) \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{1}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 4}\right) \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}}{C_{1}}-C_{a 2}\right) \\ & T_{B}=-t_{o}(l-a) \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (uniformly distributed torque over entire span), $\begin{array}{rlrl} \theta & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left[\frac{\beta^{2}\left(l^{2}-x^{2}\right)}{2}+\frac{\cosh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l}-1\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\beta x-\frac{\sinh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l}\right) & \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\cosh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l}\right), \quad \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\sinh \beta x}{\cosh \beta l} \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left(\frac{\beta^{2} l^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{\cosh \beta l}-1\right) & \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}(\beta l-\tanh \beta l) & \text { at } x=l \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\cosh \beta l}\right) & \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \beta l & \text { at } x=l \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2d. Left end free to twist but not warp, right end fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left(\frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 5}\right) \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{a 4}}{C_{2}} \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{C_{1} C_{a 4}}{C_{2}}-C_{a 3}\right) \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E}(l-a) \\ & T_{B}=-t_{o}(l-a) \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (uniformly distributed torque over entire span), $\begin{array}{cl} \theta & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left[\frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\left(l^{2}-x^{2}\right)+\beta l \frac{\cosh \beta x-\cosh \beta l}{\sinh \beta l}\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\beta x-\frac{\beta l \sinh \beta x}{\sinh \beta l}\right) \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\beta l \cosh \beta x}{\sinh \beta l}\right), \quad \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o} l}{C_{w} E} \frac{\sinh \beta x}{\sinh \beta l} \\ \theta_{\max }=\frac{t_{o} l}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{\beta l}{2}-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}\right) & \text { at } x=0 \\ \left(+\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(\frac{\beta l}{\tanh \beta l}-1\right) & \text { at } x=l \\ \left(-\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\beta l}{\sinh \beta l}\right) & \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o} l}{C_{w} E} & \text { at } x=l \end{array}$ |


| End restraints, reference no. | Boundary values | Selected special cases and maximum values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2e. Both ends free to warp but not twist | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & T_{A}=\frac{-t_{o}}{2 l}(l-a)^{2} \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\frac{\beta}{2 l}(l-a)^{2}-\frac{C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}\right] \\ & \theta_{B}=0, \quad \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\frac{\beta}{2 l}(l-a)^{2}-\frac{C_{1} C_{a 3}}{C_{2}}+C_{a 4}\right] \\ & \theta_{B}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\cosh \beta a-\cosh \beta l}{\sinh \beta l} \\ & T_{B}=\frac{-t_{o}}{2 l}\left(l^{2}-a^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (uniformly distributed torque over entire span), $\begin{aligned} \theta & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left[\frac{\beta^{2} x(l-x)}{2}+\frac{\cosh \beta(x-l / 2)}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)}-1\right] \\ \theta^{\prime} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\frac{\sinh \beta(x-l / 2)}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)}-\beta(x-l / 2)\right] \\ \theta^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[\frac{\cosh (x-l / 2)}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)}-1\right] \\ \theta^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\sinh \beta(x-l / 2)}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)} \\ \theta_{\max } & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{4}}\left[\frac{\beta^{2} l^{2}}{8}+\frac{1}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)}-1\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime} & =\frac{ \pm t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{\beta l}{2}-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{2}\right) \quad \text { at } x=0 \text { and } x=l, \text { respectively } \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{\cosh (\beta l / 2)}-1\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime} & =\frac{\mp t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \tanh \frac{\beta l}{2} \quad \text { at } x=0 \text { and } x=l, \text { respectively } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2f. Left end free to warp but not twist, right end fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=0 \\ & T_{A}=\frac{-t_{o}}{\beta} \frac{C_{1} C_{a 5}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{1} C_{4}-C_{2} C_{3}} \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{1} C_{4}-C_{2} C_{3}} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (uniformly distributed torque over entire span), $\theta_{\text {max }}$ occurs very close to $x=0.425 l$ $\begin{array}{ll} \theta_{\max }^{\prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{3}} \frac{2-\beta^{2} l^{2} / 2+2 \beta l \sinh \beta l-\left(2+\beta^{2} l^{2} / 2\right) \cosh \beta l}{\sinh \beta l-\beta l \cosh \beta l} & \text { at } x=0 \\ \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-t_{0}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{\left(\beta^{2} l^{2} / 2\right) \sinh \beta l+\beta l(1-\cosh \beta l)}{\sinh \beta l-\beta l \cosh \beta l} & \text { at } x=l \\ \left(-\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{1-\beta^{2} l^{2} / 2-\cosh \beta l+\beta l \sinh \beta l}{\sinh \beta l-\beta l \cosh \beta l} & \text { at } x=0 \\ \left(+\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\left(1-\beta^{2} l^{2} / 2\right) \cosh \beta l-1}{\sinh \beta l-\beta l \cosh \beta l} & \text { at } x=l \end{array}$ |

TABLE 10.3 Formulas for the elastic deformations of uniform thin-walled open members under torsional loading (Continued)

| 2 g. Both ends fixed (no twist or warp) | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{A}=0, \quad \theta_{A}^{\prime}=0 \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 5}-C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{2} C_{4}-C_{3}^{2}} \\ & T_{A}=\frac{-t_{o}}{\beta} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 5}-C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{2} C_{4}-C_{3}^{2}} \\ & \theta_{A}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{T_{A}}{C_{w} E} \end{aligned}$ | If $a=0$ (uniformly distributed torque over entire span), $\begin{aligned} & T_{A}=\frac{-t_{o} l}{2} \\ & \theta=\frac{t_{o} l}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left[\frac{\beta x}{l}(l-x)+\frac{\cosh \beta(x-l / 2)-\cosh (\beta l / 2)}{\sinh (\beta l / 2)}\right] \\ & \theta^{\prime}=\frac{t_{o} l}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{2 x}{l}+\frac{\sinh \beta(x-l / 2)}{\sinh (\beta l / 2)}\right] \\ & \theta^{\prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[\frac{\beta l \cosh \beta(x-l / 2)}{2 \sinh (\beta l / 2)}-1\right] \\ & \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta} \frac{\beta l \sinh \beta(x-l / 2)}{2 \sinh (\beta l / 2)} \\ & \theta_{\max }=\frac{t_{o} l}{2 C_{w} E \beta^{3}}\left(\frac{\beta l}{4}-\tanh \frac{\beta l}{4}\right) \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ & \left(-\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{-t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[1-\frac{\beta l}{2 \sinh (\beta l / 2)}\right] \quad \text { at } x=\frac{l}{2} \\ & \left(+\theta^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\max }=\frac{t_{o}}{C_{w} E \beta^{2}}\left[\frac{\beta l}{2 \tanh (\beta l / 2)}-1\right] \quad \text { at } x=0 \text { and } x=l \\ & \theta_{\max }^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{\mp t_{o} l}{2 C_{w} E} \quad \text { at } x=0 \operatorname{and} x=l, \text { respectively } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## Chapter <br> 11

## Flat Plates

### 11.1 Common Case

The formulas of this section are based on the following assumptions: (1) The plate is flat, of uniform thickness, and of homogeneous isotropic material; (2) the thickness is not more than about onequarter of the least transverse dimension, and the maximum deflection is not more than about one-half the thickness; (3) all forces-loads and reactions-are normal to the plane of the plate; and (4) the plate is nowhere stressed beyond the elastic limit. For convenience in discussion, it will be assumed further that the plane of the plate is horizontal.

Behavior. The plate deflects. The middle surface (halfway between top and bottom surfaces) remains unstressed; at other points there are biaxial stresses in the plane of the plate. Straight lines in the plate that were originally vertical remain straight but become inclined; therefore the intensity of either principal stress at points on any such line is proportional to the distance from the middle surface, and the maximum stresses occur at the outer surfaces of the plate.

Formulas. Unless otherwise indicated the formulas given in Tables $11.2 \dagger-11.4$ are based on very closely approximate mathematical analysis and may be accepted as sufficiently accurate so long as the assumptions stated hold true. Certain additional facts of importance in relation to these formulas are as follows.

[^19]Concentrated loading. It will be noted that all formulas for maximum stress due to a load applied over a small area give very high values when the radius of the loaded area approaches zero. Analysis by a more precise method (Ref. 12) shows that the actual maximum stress produced by a load concentrated on a very small area of radius $r_{o}$ can be found by replacing the actual $r_{o}$ by a so-called equivalent radius $r_{o}^{\prime}$, which depends largely upon the thickness of the plate $t$ and to a lesser degree on its least transverse dimension. Holl (Ref. 13) shows how $r_{o}^{\prime}$ varies with the width of a flat plate. Westergaard (Ref. 14) gives an approximate expression for this equivalent radius:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{o}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1.6 r_{o}^{2}+t^{2}}-0.675 t \tag{1.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula, which applies to a plate of any form, may be used for all values of $r_{o}$ less than $0.5 t$; for larger values the actual $r_{o}$ may be used.

Use of the equivalent radius makes possible the calculation of the finite maximum stresses produced by a (nominal) point loading whereas the ordinary formula would indicate that these stresses were infinite.

Edge conditions. The formulas of Tables 11.2-11.4 are given for various combinations of edge support: free, guided (zero slope but free to move vertically), and simply supported or fixed. No exact edge condition is likely to be realized in ordinary construction, and a condition of true edge fixity is especially difficult to obtain. Even a small horizontal force at the line of contact may appreciably reduce the stress and deflection in a simply supported plate; however, a very slight yielding at nominally fixed edges will greatly relieve the stresses there while increasing the deflection and center stresses. For this reason it is usually advisable to design a fixed-edged plate that is to carry uniform load for somewhat higher center stresses than are indicated by theory.

### 11.2 Bending of Uniform-Thickness Plates with Circular Boundaries

In Table 11.2, cases $1-5$ consider annular and solid circular plates of constant thickness under axisymmetric loading for several combinations of boundary conditions. In addition to the formulas, tabulated values of deformation and moment coefficients are given for many common loading cases. The remaining cases include concentrated loading and plates with some circular and straight boundaries. Only the deflections due to bending strains are included; in Sec. 11.3, the additional deflections due to shear strains are considered.

Formulas. For cases 1-15 (Table 11.2), expressions are given for deformations and reactions at the edges of the plates as well as general equations which allow the evaluation of deflections, slopes, moments and shears at any point in the plate. The several axisymmetric loadings include uniform, uniformly increasing, and parabolically increasing normal pressure over a portion of the plate. This permits the approximation of any reasonable axisymmetric distributed loading by fitting an approximate second-order curve to the variation in loading and solving the problem by superposition. (See the Examples at the end of this section.)

In addition to the usual loadings, Table 11.2 also includes loading cases that may be described best as externally applied conditions which force a lack of flatness into the plate. For example, in cases 6 and 14 , expressions are given for a manufactured concentrated change in slope in a plate, which could also be used if a plastic hinge were to develop in a plate and the change in slope at the plastic hinge is known or assumed. Similarly, case 7 treats a plate with a small step manufactured into the otherwise flat surface and gives the reactions which develop when this plate is forced to conform to the specified boundary conditions. These cases are also useful when considering known boundary rotations or lateral displacements. (References 46, 47, 57, and 58 present tables and graphs for many of the loadings given in these cases.)

The use of the constants $C_{1}$ to $C_{9}$ and the functions $F_{1}$ to $F_{9}, L_{1}$ to $L_{19}$, and $G_{1}$ to $G_{19}$ in Table 11.2 appears to be a formidable task at first. However, when we consider the large number of cases it is possible to present in a limited space, the reason for this method of presentation becomes clear. With careful inspection, we find that the constants and functions with like subscripts are the same except for the change in variable. We also note the use of the singularity function $\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}$, which is given a value of 0 for $r<r_{o}$ and a value of 1 for $r>r_{o}$. In Table 11.1, values are listed for all the preceding functions for several values of the variables $b / r, b / a, r_{o} / a$, and $r_{o} / r$; also listed are five of the most used denominators for the several values of $b / a$. (Note that these values are for $v=0.30$.)

## EXAMPLES

1. A solid circular steel plate, 0.2 in thick and 20 in in diameter, is simply supported along the edge and loaded with a uniformly distributed load of $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. It is required to determine the center deflection, the maximum stress, and the deflection equation. Given: $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.285$.
Solution. This plate and loading are covered in Table 11.2, case 10a. The following constants are obtained:

$$
D=\frac{30\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.2^{3}\right)}{12\left(1-0.285^{2}\right)}=21,800, \quad q=3, \quad a=10, \quad r_{o}=0
$$

Since $r_{o}=0$,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{c} & =\frac{-q \alpha^{4}}{64 D} \frac{5+v}{1+v}=\frac{-3\left(10^{4}\right)(5.285)}{64(21,800)(1.285)}=-0.0833 \mathrm{in} \\
M_{\max } & =M_{c}=\frac{q a^{3}}{16}(3+v)=\frac{3\left(10^{2}\right)(3.285)}{16}=61.5 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{6 M_{c}}{t^{2}}=\frac{6(61.5)}{0.2^{2}}=9240 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

The general deflection equation for these several cases is

$$
y=y_{c}+\frac{M_{c} r^{2}}{2 D(1+v)}+L T_{y}
$$

where for this case $L T_{y}=\left(-q r^{4} / D\right) G_{11}$. For $r_{o}=0, G_{11}=\frac{1}{64}$ (note that $r>r_{o}$ everywhere in the plate, so that $\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}=1$ ); therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =-0.0833+\frac{61.5 r^{2}}{2(21,800)(1.285)}-\frac{3 r^{4}}{21,800(64)} \\
& =-0.0883+0.001098 r^{2}-0.00000215 r^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a check, the deflection at the outer edge can be evaluated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{a} & =-0.0883+0.001098\left(10^{2}\right)-0.00000215\left(10^{4}\right) \\
& =-0.0883+0.1098-0.0215=0
\end{aligned}
$$

2. An annular aluminum plate with an outer radius of 20 in and an inner radius of 5 in is to be loaded with an annular line load of $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ at a radius of 10 in . Both the inner and outer edges are simply supported, and it is required to determine the maximum deflection and maximum stress as a function of the plate thickness. Given: $E=10\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.30$.
Solution. The solution to this loading and support condition is found in Table 11.2, case 1 c , where $b / a=0.25, r_{o} / a=0.50, a=20 \mathrm{in}$, and $w=40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$. No numerical solutions are presented for this combination of $b / a$ and $r_{o} / a$, and so either the equations for $C_{1}, C_{3}, C_{7}, C_{9}, L_{3}$, and $L_{9}$ must be evaluated or values for these coefficients must be found in Table 11.1. Since the values of $C$ are found for the variable $b / a$, from Table 11.1, under the column headed 0.250 , the following coefficients are determined.

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}=0.881523, \quad C_{3}=0.033465, \quad C_{7}=1.70625 \\
C_{9}=0.266288, \quad C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}=0.177640
\end{gathered}
$$

The values of $L$ are found for the variable $r_{o} / a$, and so from Table 11.1, under the column headed 0.500 , the following coefficients are determined:

$$
L_{3}=0.014554 \text { and } L_{9}=0.290898
$$

Whether the numbers in Table 11.1 can be interpolated and used successfully depends upon the individual problem. In some instances, where lesser degrees of accuracy are required, interpolation can be used; in other instances,
requiring greater degrees of accuracy, it would be better to solve the problem for values of $b$ and $r_{o}$ that do fit Table 11.1 and then interpolate between the values of the final deflections or stresses.

Using the preceding coefficients, the reaction force and slope can be determined at the inside edge and the deflection equation developed (note that $y_{b}=0$ and $M_{r b}=0$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{b} & =\frac{-w a^{2}}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{9}-C_{9} L_{3}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}}=\frac{-40(20)^{2}}{D} \frac{0.033465(0.290898)-0.266288(0.014554)}{0.177640} \\
& =\frac{-527.8}{D} \mathrm{rad} \\
Q_{b} & =w \frac{C_{1} L_{9}-C_{7} L_{3}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}}=40 \frac{0.881523(0.290898)-1.70625(0.014554)}{0.177640} \\
& =52.15 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
y=0-\frac{527.8 r}{D} F_{1}+0+\frac{52.15 r^{3}}{D} F_{3}-\frac{40 r^{3}}{D} G_{3}
$$

Substituting the appropriate expressions for $F_{1}, F_{3}$, and $G_{3}$ would produce an equation for $y$ as a function of $r$, but a reduction of this equation to simple form and an evaluation to determine the location and magnitude of maximum deflection would be extremely time-consuming. Table 11.1 can be used again to good advantage to evalute $y$ at specific values of $r$, and an excellent approximation to the maximum deflection can be obtained.

| $b / r$ | $r$ | $F_{1}$ | $-527.8 r F_{1}$ | $F_{3}$ | $52.15 r^{3} F_{3}$ | $r_{o} / r$ | $G_{3}$ | $-40 r^{3} G_{3}$ | $y(D)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1.00 | 5.000 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 0.90 | 5.555 | 0.09858 | -289.0 | 0.000158 | 1.4 |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | -287.6 |
| 0.80 | 6.250 | 0.194785 | -642.5 | 0.001191 | 15.2 |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | -627.3 |
| 0.70 | 7.143 | 0.289787 | -1092.0 | 0.003753 | 71.3 |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | -1020.7 |
| 0.60 | 8.333 | 0.385889 | -1697.1 | 0.008208 | 247.7 |  | 0.000 | 0.0 | -1449.4 |
| 0.50 | 10.000 | 0.487773 | -2574.2 | 0.014554 | 759.0 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 0.0 | -1815.2 |
| 0.40 | 12.500 | 0.605736 | -3996.0 | 0.022290 | 2270.4 | 0.80 | 0.001191 | -93.0 | -1818.6 |
| 0.33 | 15.000 | 0.704699 | -5578.6 | 0.027649 | 4866.4 | 0.67 | 0.005019 | -677.6 | -1389.8 |
| 0.30 | 16.667 | 0.765608 | -6734.2 | 0.030175 | 7285.4 | 0.60 | 0.008208 | -1520.0 | -968.8 |
| 0.25 | 20.000 | 0.881523 | -9304.5 | 0.033465 | 13961.7 | 0.50 | 0.014554 | -4657.3 | -0.1 |

An examination of the last column on the right shows the deflection at the outer edge to be approximately zero and indicates that the maximum deflection is located at a radius near 11.25 in and has a value of approximately

$$
\frac{-1900}{D}=\frac{-1900(12)\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}{10\left(10^{6}\right) t^{3}}=\frac{-0.00207}{t^{3}} \mathrm{in}
$$

The maximum bending moment will be either a tangential moment at the inside edge or a radial moment at the load line:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{t b} & =\frac{\theta_{b} D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{b}=\frac{-527.8\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}{5}=-96.2 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} \\
M_{r\left(r_{o}\right)} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7\left(r_{o}\right)}+Q_{b} r F_{9\left(r_{o}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where at $r=r_{o}, b / r=0.5$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{7\left(r_{o}\right)} & =0.6825 \\
F_{9\left(r_{o}\right)} & =0.290898 \\
M_{r\left(r_{o}\right)} & =\frac{-527.8}{10}(0.6825)+52.15(10)(0.290898) \\
& =-36.05+151.5=115.45 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum bending stress in the plate is

$$
\sigma=\frac{6(115.45)}{t^{2}}=\frac{693}{t^{2}} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

3. A flat phosphor bronze disk with thickness of 0.020 in and a diameter of 4 in is upset locally in a die to produce an abrupt change in slope in the radial direction of 0.05 rad at a radius of $\frac{3}{4} \mathrm{in}$. It is then clamped between two flat dies as shown in Fig. 11.1. It is required to determine the maximum bending stress due to the clamping. Given: $E=16\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.30$.
Solution. This example of forcing a known change in slope into a plate clamped at both inner and outer edges is covered in Table 11.2, case 6h, where $\theta_{o}=0.05, b / a=0.10$, and $r_{o} / a=0.50$. These dimensions were chosen to fit the tabulated data for a case where $v=0.30$. For this case $M_{r b}=$ $-2.054(0.05)(11.72) / 1.5=-0.803 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}, \quad Q_{b}=-0.0915(0.05)(11.72) / 1.5^{2}=$ $-0.0238 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, y_{b}=0$, and $\theta_{b}=0$. The expression for $M_{r}$ then becomes

$$
M_{r}=-0.803 F_{8}-0.0238 r F_{9}+\frac{0.05(11.72)}{r} G_{7}
$$

An examination of the numerical values of $F_{8}$ and $F_{9}$ shows that $F_{8}$ decreases slightly less than $F_{9}$ increases as $r$ increases, but the larger coefficient of the first term indicates that $M_{r b}$ is indeed the maximum moment. The maximum stress is therefore $\sigma=0.803(6) / 0.02^{2}=12,050 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ in tension on the top surface at the inner edge. The maximum deflection is at $r_{o}=0.75$ in and equals $-0.1071(0.05)(1.5)=-0.00803 \mathrm{in}$.


Figure 11.1
4. A circular steel plate 2 in thick and 20 ft in diameter is simply supported at the outer edge and supported on a center support which can be considered to provide uniform pressure over a diameter of 1.8 in . The plate is loaded in an axisymmetric manner with a distributed load which increases linearly with radius from a value of 0 at $r=4 \mathrm{ft}$ to a value of $2000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ at the outer edge. Determine the maximum bending stress. Given: $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.30$.

Solution. Table 11.2, case 11a, deals with this loading and a simply supported outer edge. For this problem $q=\frac{2000}{144}=13.9 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, a=120 \mathrm{in}$, and $r_{o}=48 \mathrm{in}$, and so $r_{o} / a=0.4$. From the tabulated data for these quantities, $K_{y_{c}}=-0.01646, K_{\theta_{a}}=0.02788$, and $K_{M_{c}}=0.04494$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{c} & =\frac{-0.01646(13.9)\left(120^{4}\right)}{D}=\frac{-0.475\left(10^{8}\right)}{D} \text { in } \\
M_{c} & =0.04494(13.9)\left(120^{2}\right)=9000 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 16 (Table 11.2) considers the center load over a small circular area. It is desired to determine $W$ such that the $\max y=0.475\left(10^{8}\right) / D$. Therefore

$$
-\frac{W 120^{2}}{16 \pi D} \frac{3+0.3}{1+0.3}=\frac{0.475\left(10^{8}\right)}{D}
$$

which gives $W=-65,000 \mathrm{lb}$. The maximum moment is at the center of the plate where

$$
M_{r}=\frac{W}{4 \pi}\left[(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{b}+1\right]
$$

The equivalent radius $r_{o}^{\prime}$ is given by [Eq. (11.1-1)]

$$
r_{o}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1.6 r_{o}^{2}+t^{2}}-0.675 t=\sqrt{1.6\left(0.9^{2}\right)+2^{2}}-0.675(2)=0.95 \text { in }
$$

Therefore $\quad M_{\max }=\frac{-65,000}{4 \pi}\left(1.3 \ln \frac{120}{0.95}+1\right)=-37,500 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$
The maximum stress is at the center of the plate where

$$
\sigma=\frac{6 M}{t^{2}}=\frac{6(-37,500+9000)}{2^{2}}=-43,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \quad \text { (tension on the top surface) }
$$

### 11.3 Circular-Plate Deflection due to Shear

The formulas for deflection given in Table 11.2 take into account bending stresses only; there is, in every case, some additional deflection due to shear. Usually this is so slight as to be negligible, but in circular pierced plates with large openings the deflection due to shear may constitute a considerable portion of the total deflection. Wahl (Ref. 19) suggests that this is the case when the thickness is greater than one-third the difference in inner and outer diameters for plates with
simply supported edges, or greater than one-sixth this difference for plates with one or both edges fixed.

Table 11.3 gives formulas for the additional deflection due to shear in which the form factor $F$ has been taken equal to 1.2, as in Sec. 8.10. All the cases listed have shear forces which are statically determinate. For the indeterminate cases, the shear deflection, along with the bending deflection, must be considered in the determination of the reactions if shear deflection is significant.

Essenburg and Gulati (Ref. 61) discuss the problem in which two plates when loaded touch over a portion of the surface. They indicate that the consideration of shear deformation is essential in developing the necessary expressions. Two examples are worked out.

## EXAMPLE

An annular plate with an inner radius of 1.4 in , an outer radius of 2 in , and a thickness of 0.50 in is simply supported at the inner edge and loaded with an annular line load of $800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ at a radius of 1.8 in . The deflection of the free outer edge is desired. Given: $E=18\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.30$.
Solution. To evaluate the deflection due to bending one can refer to Table 11.2 , case 1 k . Since $b / a=0.7$, in Table 11.1, under the column headed 0.700 , we obtain the following constants

$$
C_{1}=0.2898, \quad C_{3}=0.003753, \quad C_{7}=0.3315, \quad C_{9}=0.2248
$$

Similarly, $r_{o} / a=0.9$, and again in Table 11.1, under the column headed 0.900, we obtain the additional constants $L_{3}=0.0001581$ and $L_{9}=0.09156$.

The plate constant $D=E t^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)=18\left(10^{6}\right)(0.5)^{3} / 12\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)=$ $206,000 \mathrm{lb}$-in, and the shear modulus $G=E / 2(1+v)=18\left(10^{6}\right) / 2(1+0.3)=$ $6.92\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The bending deflection of the outer edge is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{a} & =\frac{-w a^{3}}{D}\left[\frac{C_{1}}{C_{7}}\left(\frac{r_{o} C_{9}}{b}-L_{9}\right)-\frac{r_{o} C_{3}}{b}+L_{3}\right] \\
& =\frac{-800(2)^{3}}{206,000}\left\{\frac{0.2898}{0.3315}\left[\frac{1.8(0.2248)}{1.4}-0.09156\right]-\frac{1.8(0.003753)}{1.4}+0.0001581\right\} \\
& =\frac{-800(2)^{3}}{206,000}(0.16774)=-0.00521 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the deflection due to shear we refer to Table 11.3 , case 1 k , and obtain

$$
y_{s a}=\frac{-w a}{t G}\left(1.2 \frac{r_{o}}{a} \ln \frac{r_{o}}{b}\right)=\frac{800(2)}{0.5(6.92)\left(10^{6}\right)}\left[1.2(0.9) \ln \frac{1.8}{1.4}\right]=-0.000125 \mathrm{in}
$$

Thus, the total deflection of the outer edge is $-0.00521-0.000125=$ -0.00534 in . Note that the thickness 0.50 is somewhat more than one-third the difference in inner and outer diameters 1.2, and the shear deflection is only $2.4 \%$ of the bending deflection.

### 11.4 Bimetallic Plates

A very wide beam of rectangular cross section can be treated as a beam if $E$ is replaced by $E /\left(1-v^{2}\right)$ and $I$ by $t^{3} / 12$ (see Sec. 8.11). It can also be treated as a plate with two opposite edges free as shown in Figs. 8.16 and 11.2. For details see Ref. 88.

To use the beam equations in Tables 8.1, 8.5, 8.6, 8.8, and 8.9 for plates like that shown in Fig. 11.2 with two opposite edges free, the loadings must be uniformly distributed across the plate parallel to side $b$ as shown. At every position in such a plate, except close to the free edges $a$, there will be bending moments $M_{z}=v M_{x}$. If the plate is isotropic and homogeneous, and in the absence of any in-plane loading, there will be no change in length of any line parallel to side $b$. The response of a bimetallic plate differs from that of the homogeneous plate in one important respect. If the values of Poisson's ratio differ for the two materials, there will be a change in length of those lines parallel to side $b$ due to an in-plane strain $\varepsilon_{z}$ developed from the loadings shown in Fig. 11.2. Using the notations from Figs. 11.2 and 11.3 and from the expression for $K_{2 p}$ in the next paragraph,

$$
\varepsilon_{z}=\frac{6 M_{x}\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)}{E_{b} t_{b}^{2} K_{2 p}} \frac{\left(t_{b} / t_{a}\right)\left(1+t_{b} / t_{a}\right)\left(v_{a}-v_{b}\right)}{\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{a}+v_{b} E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}}
$$

For the moment loading $M_{o}$ in Fig. 11.2(a), the value of $\varepsilon_{z}$ will be everywhere the same and the plate will merely expand or contract in the $z$ direction. For the line loading shown in Fig. 11.2(c), however, the unit strains $\varepsilon_{z}$ will differ from place to place depending upon the value of $M_{x}$, and consequently in-plane stresses will be developed. For more general analyses of this type of problem see Refs. 89 and 90.
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Bimetallic circular plates. Applying this same reasoning to a bimetallic circular plate leads to the following conclusions.

1. If the Poisson's ratios for the two materials are equal, any of the cases in Table 11.2 can be used if the following equivalent value of the plate stiffness constant $D_{e}$ is substituted for $D$.
2. If the Poisson's ratios differ by a significant amount the equivalent values of $D_{e}$ and $v_{e}$ may be used for any combination of loading and edge restraints which deform the plate into a spherical surface providing the edge restraints do not prevent motion parallel to the surface of the plate. This restriction assures that bending moments are constant in magnitude at all locations in the plate and in all directions. Thus one can use cases 8a, 8f, 8h, and 15 with either a uniform temperature rise or a temperature variation through the thickness which is the same everywhere in the plate. Obviously one needs also an equivalent temperature coefficient of expansion or an equivalent loading expression for each such temperature loading as well as the equivalent material constants $D_{e}$ and $v_{e}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Equivalent } D_{e}=\frac{E_{a} t_{a}^{3}}{12\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)} K_{2 p} \tag{11.3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2 p}=1+\frac{E_{b} t_{b}^{3}\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)}{E_{a} t_{a}^{3}\left(1-v_{b}^{2}\right)}+\frac{3\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)\left(1+t_{b} / t_{a}\right)^{2}\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)}{\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{a}+v_{b} E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}} \tag{11.3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Equivalent } v_{e}=v_{a} \frac{K_{3 p}}{K_{2 p}} \tag{11.3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{3 p}=1+\frac{v_{b} E_{b} t_{b}^{3}\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)}{v_{a} E_{a} t_{a}^{3}\left(1-v_{b}^{2}\right)}+\frac{3\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)\left(1+t_{b} / t_{a}\right)^{2}\left(1+v_{b} E_{a} t_{a} / v_{a} E_{b} t_{b}\right)}{\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{a}+v_{b} E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}} \tag{11.3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A bimetallic plate deforms laterally into a spherical surface when its uniform temperature differs from $T_{o}$, the temperature at which the plate is flat. Cases 8 and 15 (Table 11.2) can be used to solve for reaction moments and forces as well as the deformations of a bimetallic plate subjected to a uniform temperature $T$ provided that any guided and/or fixed edges are not capable of developing in-plane resisting forces but instead allow the plate to expand or contract in
its plane as necessary. To use these cases we need only to replace the term $\gamma(1+v) \Delta T / t$ by an equivalent expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T}{t}\right]_{e}=\frac{6\left(\gamma_{b}-\gamma_{a}\right)\left(T-T_{o}\right)\left(t_{a}+t_{b}\right)\left(1+v_{e}\right)}{t_{b}^{2} K_{1 p}} \tag{11.3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1 p}=4+6 \frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}+4\left(\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}\right)^{2}+\frac{E_{a} t_{a}^{3}\left(1-v_{b}\right)}{E_{b} t_{b}^{3}\left(1-v_{a}\right)}+\frac{E_{b} t_{b}\left(1-v_{a}\right)}{E_{a} t_{a}\left(1-v_{b}\right)} \tag{11.3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and replace $D$ by the equivalent stiffness $D_{e}$ given previously.
After the moments and deformations have been determined, the flexural stresses can be evaluated. The stresses due to the bending moments caused by restraints and any applied loads are given by the following expressions: In the top surface of material $a$, in the direction of any moment $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{-6 M}{t_{a}^{2} K_{2 p}}\left[1+\frac{\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)\left(1+t_{b} / t_{a}\right)\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)}{\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{a}+v_{b} E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{11.3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the bottom surface of material $b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{6 M}{t_{a}^{2} K_{2 p}}\left[\frac{E_{b} t_{b}\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)}{E_{a} t_{a}\left(1-v_{b}^{2}\right)}+\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}} \frac{\left(1-v_{a}^{2}\right)\left(1+t_{b} / t_{a}\right)\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)}{\left(1+E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}-\left(v_{a}+v_{b} E_{a} t_{a} / E_{b} t_{b}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{11.3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Even when no restraints are imposed, the distortion of a bimetallic plate due to a temeprature change is accompanied by flexural stresses in the two materials. This differs from the plate made of a single material, which deforms free of stress when subjected to a linear temperature variation through the thickness when there are no restraints. Therefore, the following stresses must be added algebraically to the preceding stresses due to bending moments, if any: In the top surface of material $a$, in all directions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{-\left(\gamma_{b}-\gamma_{a}\right)\left(T-T_{o}\right) E_{a}}{\left(1-v_{a}\right) K_{1 p}}\left[3 \frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}+2\left(\frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}\right)^{2}-\frac{E_{b} t_{b}\left(1-v_{a}\right)}{E_{a} t_{a}\left(1-v_{b}\right)}\right] \tag{11.3-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the bottom surface of material $b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{\left(\gamma_{b}-\gamma_{a}\right)\left(T-T_{o}\right) E_{b}}{\left(1-v_{b}\right) K_{1 p}}\left[3 \frac{t_{a}}{t_{b}}+2-\frac{E_{a} t_{a}^{3}\left(1-v_{b}\right)}{E_{b} t_{b}^{3}\left(1-v_{a}\right)}\right] \tag{11.3-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE

An annular bimetallic plate has a 3 -in outer diameter and a 2.1 -in inner diameter; the top portion is 0.020 -in-thick stainless steel, and the bottom is 0.030 -in-thick titanium (see Fig. 11.4). For the stainless steel $E=$ $28\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \quad v=0.3$, and $\gamma=9.6\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; for the titanium $E=$ $17\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, v=0.3$, and $\gamma=5.7\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The outer edge is simply supported, and the inner edge is elastically supported by a spring which develops 500 lb of load for each inch of deflection. It is necessary to determine the center deflection and the maximum stress for a temperature rise of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
Solution. First evaluate the constants $K_{1 p}, K_{2 p}$, and $K_{3 p}$, the equivalent stiffness $D_{e}$, and the equivalent Poisson's ratio $v_{e}$. From Eq. (11.3-6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1 p} & =4+6 \frac{0.02}{0.03}+4\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}+\frac{28}{17}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{3}\left(\frac{1-0.3}{1-0.3}\right)+\frac{17}{28}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-0.3}{1-0.3}\right) \\
& =11.177
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v_{a}=v_{b}$ for this example, $K_{3 p}=K_{2 p}=11.986$ and the equivalent Poisson's ratio $v_{e}=0.3$. From Eq. (11.3-1),

$$
D_{e}=\frac{28\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.02^{3}\right)}{12\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}(11.986)=246 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}
$$

Table 11.2, case 8a, treats an annular plate with the inner edge free and the outer edge simply supported. As in Eq. (11.3-5), the term $\gamma \Delta T / t$ must be replaced by

$$
\frac{6\left(\gamma_{b}-\gamma_{a}\right)\left(T-T_{o}\right)\left(t_{a}+t_{b}\right)}{t_{b}^{2} K_{1 p}}=\frac{6(5.7-9.6)\left(10^{-6}\right)(50)(0.02+0.03)}{\left(0.03^{2}\right)(11.177)}=-0.00582
$$

Since $b / a=1.05 / 1.5=0.7$ and $v_{e}=0.3$, the tabulated data can be used and $K_{y b}=-0.255$ and $K_{\theta b}=0.700$. Therefore, $y_{b}=-0.255(-0.00582)\left(1.5^{2}\right)=$ 0.00334 in and $\theta_{b}=0.7(-0.00582)(1.5)=-0.0061 \mathrm{rad}$. There are no moments or edge loads in the plate, and so $M_{r b}=0$, and $Q_{b}=0$. Case 1a treats an annular plate with an annular line load. For $r_{o}=b$ and $b / a=0.7$, $K_{y b}=-0.1927$ and $K_{\theta b}=0.6780$. Therefore, $y_{b}=-0.1927 w\left(1.5^{3}\right) / 246=$ $-0.002645 w, \theta_{b}=-0.678 w\left(1.5^{2}\right) / 246=0.0062 w \mathrm{rad}, M_{r b}=0$, and $Q_{b}=0$.

Equating the deflection of the inner edge of the plate to the deflection of the elastic support gives $y_{b}=0.00334-0.002645 w=2 \pi(1.05) w / 500=0.0132 w$. Solving for $w$, we obtain $w=0.211 \mathrm{lb} /$ in for a total center load of 1.39 lb . The deflection of the inner edge is $y_{b}=0.0132(0.211)=0.00279 \mathrm{in}$. The maximum moment developed in the plate is the tangential moment at the inner edge:
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$M_{t b}=0.8814(0.211)(1.5)=0.279 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$. The stresses can now be computed. On the top surface of the stainless steel combining Eqs. (11.3-7) and (11.3-9) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma= & \frac{-6(0.279)}{0.02^{2}(11.986)}\left\{1+\frac{\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)(1+3 / 2)[1+28(2) / 17(3)]}{[1+28(2) / 17(3)]^{2}-[0.3+0.3(28)(2) / 17(3)]^{2}}\right\} \\
& -\frac{(5.7-9.6)\left(10^{-6}\right)(50)(28)\left(10^{6}\right)}{(1-0.3)(11.177)}\left[3\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)+2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2}-\frac{17}{28}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right] \\
= & -765+1381=616 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, on the bottom surface of the titanium, Eqs. (11.3-8) and (11.3-10) give

$$
\sigma=595-1488=-893 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

### 11.5 Nonuniform Loading of Circular Plates

The case of a circular plate under a nonuniformly distributed loading symmetrical about the center can be solved by treating the load as a series of elementary ring loadings and summing the stresses and deflections produced by such loadings. The number of ring loadings into which the actual load should be resolved depends upon the rate at which the distributed load varies along the radius and the accuracy desired. In general, a division of the load into rings each having a width equal to one-fifth the loaded length of the radius should be sufficient.

If the nonuniformly distributed loading can be reasonably approximated by a second-order curve, the loadings in Table 11.2 , cases $2-4$, can be superimposed in the necessary proportions. (This technique is illustrated in Sec. 11.6.) Heap (Ref. 48) gives tabular data for circular plates loaded with a lateral pressure varying inversely with the square of the radius.

Concentrated loads. In Refs. 60 and 75-79 similar numerical techniques are discussed for concentrated loads on either of two concentric annular plates in combination with edge beams in some cases. The numerical data presented are limited but are enough to enable the reader to approximate many other cases.

### 11.6 Circular Plates on Elastic Foundations

Discussions of the theory of bending of circular plates on elastic foundations can be found in Refs. 21 and 46, and in Ref. 41 of Chap. 8. The complexity of these solutions prohibits their inclusion in this handbook, but a simple iterative approach to this problem is possible.

The procedure consists in evaluating the deflection of the loaded plate without the elastic foundation and then superimposing a given fraction of the foundation reaction resulting from this deflection until finally the given fraction increases to 1 and the assumed and calculated foundation reactions are equal.

## EXAMPLE

Given the same problem stated in Example 1 of Sec. 11.2, but in addition to the simply supported edge an elastic foundation with a modulus of $20 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{in}$ is present under the entire plate.

Solution. An examination of the deflection equation resulting from the uniform load shows that the term involving $r^{4}$ is significant only near the outer edge where the effect of foundation pressure would not be very large. We must also account for the fact that the foundation reactions will reduce the plate deflections or the procedure described may not converge. Therefore, for a first trial let us assume that the foundation pressure is given by

$$
q_{f}=20\left(-0.0883+0.001098 r^{2}\right)(0.50)=-0.883+0.01098 r^{2}
$$

The total loading on the plate then consists of a uniform load of $3-0.883=2.117 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and a parabolically increasing load of $1.098 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ maximum value. From Table 11.2, case 10a,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{c} & =\frac{-q a^{4}(5+v)}{64 D(1+v)}=\frac{-2.117\left(10^{4}\right)(5.285)}{64(21,800)(1.285)}=-0.063 \mathrm{in} \\
M_{c} & =\frac{q a^{2}}{16}(3+v)=\frac{2.117\left(10^{2}\right)(3.285)}{16}=43.5 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} \\
L T_{y} & =\frac{-q r^{4}}{D} G_{11}=\frac{-2.117 r^{4}}{21,800} \frac{1}{64}=-1.517\left(10^{-6}\right) r^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 11.2, case 12a,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{c} & =\frac{-q a^{4}(7+v)}{288 D(1+v)}=\frac{-1.098\left(10^{4}\right)(7.285)}{288(21,800)(1.285)}=-0.00992 \mathrm{in} \\
M_{c} & =\frac{q a^{2}(5+v)}{96}=\frac{1.098\left(10^{2}\right)(5.285)}{96}=6.05 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} \\
L T_{y} & =\frac{-q r^{6}}{D a^{2}} G_{13}=\frac{-1.098 r^{6}}{21,800\left(10^{2}\right)} \frac{25}{14,400}=-8.75\left(10^{-10}\right) r^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using these values, the deflection equation can be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =-0.0623-0.00992+\frac{(43.5+6.05) r^{2}}{2(21,800) 1.285}-1.517\left(10^{-6}\right) r^{4}-8.75\left(10^{-10}\right) r^{6} \\
& =-0.0722+0.000885 r^{2}-1.517\left(10^{-6}\right) r^{4}-8.75\left(10^{-10}\right) r^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

This deflection would create a foundation reaction

$$
q_{f}=20\left(-0.0722+0.000885 r^{2}\right)=-1.445+0.0177 r^{2}
$$

if the higher-order terms were neglected. Again applying a $50 \%$ factor to the difference between the assumed and calculated foundation pressure gives an improved loading from the foundation

$$
q_{f}=-1.164+0.01434 r^{2}
$$

Repeating the previous steps again, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{c} & =-0.0623 \frac{3-1.164}{2.117}-0.00992 \frac{0.01434}{0.01098}=-0.0671 \mathrm{in} \\
M_{c} & =43.5 \frac{3-1.164}{2.117}+6.05 \frac{0.01434}{0.01098}=45.61 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} \\
y & =-0.0671+0.000813 r^{2} \\
q_{f} & =-1.342+0.01626 r^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Successive repetitions of the previous steps give improved values for $q_{f}$ :

$$
q_{f}=-1.306+0.1584 r^{2}, \quad q_{f}=-1.296+0.1566 r^{2}, \quad q_{f}=-1.290+0.1566 r^{2}
$$

Using values from the last iteration, the final answers are

$$
y_{c}=-0.0645 \mathrm{in}, \quad M_{c}=43.8 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}, \quad \text { and } \sigma_{\max }=6580 \mathrm{psi}
$$

An exact analysis using expressions from Ref. 46 gives

$$
y_{c}=-0.0637 \text { in and } M_{c}=43.3 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
$$

### 11.7 Circular Plates of Variable Thickness

For any circular plate of variable thickness, loaded symmetrically with respect to the center, the stresses and deflections can be found as follows: The plate is divided into an arbitrary number of concentric rings, each of which is assumed to have a uniform thickness equal to its mean thickness. Each such ring is loaded by radial moments $M_{a}$ and $M_{b}$ at its outer and inner circumferences, respectively, by vertical shears at its inner and outer circumferences, and by whatever load is distributed over its surface. The shears are known, each being equal to the total load on the plate within the corresponding circumference. The problem is to determine the edge moments, and this is done by making use of the fact that the slope of each ring at its inner circumference is equal to the slope of the next inner ring at its outer circumference. This condition, together with the known slope (or moment) at the outer edge of the plate and the known slope (or moment) at the inside edge or center of the plate, enables as many equations to be written as there are unknown quantities $M$. Having found all the edge moments, stresses and deflections can be calculated
for each ring by the appropriate formulas of Table 11.2 and the deflections added to find the deflection of the plate.

A more direct solution (Ref. 21) is available if the plate is of such form that the variation in thickness can be expressed fairly closely by the equation $t=t_{o} e^{-n x^{2} / 6}$, where $t$ is the thickness at any point a distance $r$ from the center, $t_{o}$ is the thickness at the center, $e$ is the base for the napierian system of logarithms (2.178), $x$ is the ratio $r / a$, and $n$ is a number chosen so as to make the equation agree with the actual variation in thickness. The constant $n$ is positive for a plate that decreases in thickness toward the edge and negative for a plate that increases in thickness toward the edge. For a plate of uniform thickness, $n=0$; and for a plate twice as thick at the center as at the edge, $n=+4.16$. The maximum stress and deflection for a uniformly loaded circular plate are given by $\sigma_{\max }=\beta q a^{2} / t_{o}^{2}$ and $y_{\max }=\alpha q a^{4} / E t_{o}^{3}$, respectively, where $\beta$ and $\alpha$ depend on $n$, where $v=0.3$, and for values of $n$ from 4 to -4 can be found by interpolation from the following table:

| Edge conditions | $n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | +4 | +3 | +2 | +1 | 0 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -4 |
| Edges supported | $\beta$ | 1.63 | 1.55 | 1.45 | 1.39 | 1.24 | 1.16 | 1.04 | 0.945 | 0.855 |
| Case 10a, $r_{o}=0$ | $\alpha$ | 1.220 | 1.060 | 0.924 | 0.804 | 0.695 | 0.600 | 0.511 | 0.432 | 0.361 |
| Edges fixed | $\beta$ | 2.14 | 1.63 | 1.31 | 0.985 | 0.75 | 0.55 | 0.43 | 0.32 | 0.26 |
| Case 10b, $r_{o}=0$ | $\alpha$ | 0.4375 | 0.3490 | 0.276 | 0.217 | 0.1707 | 0.1343 | 0.1048 | 0.0830 | 0.0653 |

For the loadings in the preceding table as well as for a simply supported plate with an edge moment, Ref. 46 gives graphs and tables which permit the evaluation of radial and tangential stresses throughout the plate. This same reference gives extensive tables of moment and shear coefficients for a variety of loadings and support conditions for plates in which the thickness varies as $t=t_{a}(r / a)^{-n / 3}$, where $t_{a}$ is the thickness at the outer edge: Values are tabulated for $n=0,1,1.5$, and 2 and for $v=\frac{1}{6}$.

Stresses and deflections for plates with thicknesses varying linearly with radius are tabulated in Refs. 46 and 57. Annular plates with the outer edges fixed and the inner edges guided and with thicknesses increasing linearly with the radii from zero at the center are discussed in Ref. 36 and tabulated in previous editions of this handbook. A uniformly loaded circular plate with a fixed edge and a thickness varying linearly along a diameter is discussed by Strock and Yu (Ref. 65). Conway (Ref. 66) considers the necessary proportions for a rib along the diameter of a uniformly loaded, clamped circular plate to affect a minimum weight design for a given maximum stress.
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Perforated plates. Slot and O'Donnell (Ref. 62) present the relationship between the effective elastic constants for thick perforated plates under bending and thin perforated plates under in-plane loading. Numerical results are presented in the form of tables and graphs, and many references are listed.

### 11.8 Disk Springs

The conical disk, or Belleville spring (Fig. 11.5), is not a flat plate, of course, but it may appropriately be considered in this chapter because it bears a superficial resemblance to a flat ring and is sometimes erroneously analyzed by the formulas for case 1a. The stress and deflection produced in a spring of this type are not proportional to the applied load because the change in form consequent upon deflection markedly changes the load-deflection and load-stress relationships. This is indeed the peculiar advantage of this form of spring because it makes it possible to secure almost any desired variation of "spring rate" and also possible to obtain a considerable range of deflection under almost constant load. The largest stresses occur at the inner edge.

Formulas for deflection and stress at points $A$ and $B$ are (Ref. 27)

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\frac{E \delta}{\left(1-v^{2}\right) M a^{2}}\left[(h-\delta)\left(h-\frac{\delta}{2}\right) t+t^{3}\right] \\
\sigma_{A} & =\frac{-E \delta}{\left(1-v^{2}\right) M a^{2}}\left[C_{1}\left(h-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)+C_{2} t\right] \\
\sigma_{B} & =\frac{-E \delta}{\left(1-v^{2}\right) M a^{2}}\left[C_{1}\left(h-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)-C_{2} t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P=$ total applied load; $E=$ modulus of elasticity; $\delta=$ deflection; $h=$ cone height of either inner or outer surface; $t=$ thickness; $a$ and $b$ are the outer and inner radii of the middle surface; and $M, C_{1}$, and $C_{2}$ are constants whose values are functions of $a / b$ and are given in the following table:

| $a / b$ | $M$ | $C_{1}$ | $C_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1.2 | 0.31 | 1.02 | 1.05 |
| 1.4 | 0.46 | 1.07 | 1.14 |
| 1.6 | 0.57 | 1.14 | 1.23 |
| 1.8 | 0.64 | 1.18 | 1.30 |
| 2.0 | 0.70 | 1.23 | 1.39 |
| 2.2 | 0.73 | 1.27 | 1.46 |
| 2.6 | 0.76 | 1.35 | 1.60 |
| 3.0 | 0.78 | 1.43 | 1.74 |
| 3.4 | 0.80 | 1.50 | 1.88 |
| 3.8 | 0.80 | 1.57 | 2.00 |
| 4.2 | 0.80 | 1.64 | 2.14 |
| 4.6 | 0.80 | 1.71 | 2.26 |
| 5.0 | 0.79 | 1.77 | 2.38 |

The formulas for stress may give either positive or negative results, depending upon $\delta$; a negative result indicates compressive stress, and a positive result a tensile stress. It is to be noted that $P$ also may become negative.
Wempner (Refs. 67 and 68) derives more exacting expressions for the conical spring. Unless the center hole is small or the cone angle is outside the range normally used for disk springs, however, the differences are slight. Reference 69 presents useful design curves based on Ref. 27.

Conical spring washers can be stacked to operate in either series or parallel. One must be careful to consider the effect of friction, however, when using them in the parallel configuration.

### 11.9 Narrow Ring under Distributed Torque about Its Axis

When the inner radius $b$ is almost as great as the outer radius $a$, the loading for cases $1 \mathrm{a}, 1 \mathrm{k}, 2 \mathrm{a}, 2 \mathrm{k}$, and so on, becomes almost equivalent to that shown in Fig. 11.6, which represents a ring subjected to a uniformly distributed torque of $M$ (force-length/unit length) about that circumference passing through the centroids at the radius $R$. An
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approximation to this type of loading also occurs in clamping, or "follower," rings used for joining pipe; here the bolt forces and the balancing gasket or flange pressure produce the distributed torque, which obviously tends to "roll" the ring, or turn it inside out, so to speak.
Under this loading the ring, whatever the shape of its cross section (as long as it is reasonably compact) is subjected to a bending moment at every section equal to $M R$, the neutral axis being the central axis of the cross section in the plane of the ring. The maximum resulting stress occurs at the extreme fiber and is given by Eq. (8.1-12); that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{M R}{I / c} \tag{11.9-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ring does not bend, and there is no twisting, but every section rotates in its own plane about its centroid through an angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{M R^{2}}{E I}=\frac{\sigma R}{E c} \tag{11.9-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

These formulas may be used to obtain approximate results for the cases of flat-plate loading listed previously when the difference between $a$ and $b$ is small, as well as for pipe flanges, etc. Paul (Ref. 70) discusses the collapse or inversion of rings due to plastic action.

## EXAMPLE

The cross section shown in Fig. 11.7 is from a roll-forged ring of steel used to support the bottom of a large shell. The modulus of elasticity is 207 GPa , or $20.7\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, and Poisson's ratio is 0.285 . The loadings from the shell are shown in Fig. 11.7(a) and are unit loads at a radius of 82 cm where they are applied.
Solution. In the equations for stress and angular rotation the moment distributed around the ring must be evaluated as that moment acting upon a segment of the ring having a unit length along the circumference at the radius of the centroid of the cross section. In Fig. 11.7(b) these appropriate loadings are shown. Before they could be found, however, the centroid and the


Figure 11.7 (All dimensions in centimeters)
moment of inertia about the $x$ axis through this centroid must have been evaluated. This was done as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =10(10)-\frac{9(6)}{2}=73 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \\
\bar{y} & =\frac{100(5)-27(7)}{73}=4.26 \mathrm{~cm}, \quad \bar{x}=\frac{100(5)-27(8)}{73}=3.89 \mathrm{~cm} \\
I_{x 1} & =\frac{10^{4}}{12}+100(5-4.26)^{2}-\frac{6\left(9^{3}\right)}{36}-27(7-4.26)^{2}=563.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

First calculate the value of $w$ which will put into equilibrium at a radius of 88 cm the vertical load of $3000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$ at a radius of 82 cm . This is $2795 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$. Next convert all these loads to the values they will have when applied to a freebody diagram consisting of a segment that is 1 cm long at the centroidal radius of 83.89 cm . For the loads on the top of the free-body diagram the length upon which they act is $82 / 83.89=0.9775 \mathrm{~cm}$ so that the desired couple is then $2500(0.9775)=2444 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{cm}$. All the remaining forces were computed in a similar manner.

Using the loads shown in Fig. 11.7(b), the clockwise moment about the centroid is found to be $M=2932(6)-2444-244(10-4.26)=13,747 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{cm}$. This gives the section a clockwise rotation of $\theta=13,747\left(83.89^{2}\right) /$ $20.7\left(10^{6}\right)(563.9)=0.00829 \mathrm{rad}$. All material in the section lying above the $x_{1}$ axis will then move toward the central axis and be in compression. The stresses at positions $A$ and $B$ will then be $\sigma=-13,747(83.89)(5.74) /$ $563.9=-11,739 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Similarly, the stresses at positions $F$ and $G$ are $\sigma=13,747(83.89)(4.26) / 563.9=8712 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.

In addition to the stresses caused by the rotation of the cross section, the radially outward shear force of $244 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}$ produces everywhere in the cross section a circumferential tensile stress of $\sigma=244(83.89) / 73=280 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Note that a tacit assumption has been made that no radially directed friction forces exist at the bottom of the ring.

### 11.10 Bending of Uniform-Thickness Plates with Straight Boundaries

Formulas. No general expression for deflection as a function of position in a plate is given since solutions for plates with straight boundaries are generally obtained numerically for specific ratios of plate dimensions, load location, and boundary conditions. In a few instances Poisson's ratio is included in the expressions given, but in most cases a specific value of Poisson's ratio has been used in obtaining the tabulated numerical results and the value used is indicated. Reference 47 includes results obtained using several values of Poisson's ratio and shows the range of values that can be expected as this ratio is changed. Errors in deflection should not exceed 7 or 8\% and in maximum stress $15 \%$ for values of Poisson's ratio in the range from 0.15 to 0.30 . Since much of the data are obtained using finite-difference approximations for the plate differential equations and a limited number of elements have been used, it is not always possible to
identify maximum values if they occur at points between the chosen grid points.

Table 11.4 presents maximum values where possible and the significant values otherwise for deflections normal to the plate surface, bending stresses, and in many cases the boundary reaction forces $R$. For rectangular plates with simply supported edges the maximum stresses are shown to be near the center of the plate. There are, however, stresses of similar magnitude near the corners if the corners are held down as has been assumed for all cases presented. Reference 21 discusses the increase in stress at the center of the plate when the corners are permitted to rise. For a uniformly loaded square plate this increase in stress is approximately $35 \%$.

It is impractical to include plates of all possible shapes and loadings, but many more cases can be found in the literature. Bareś (Ref. 47) presents tabulated values of bending moments and deflections for a series of plates in the form of isoceles triangles and symmetric trapezoids for linearly varying lateral pressures and for values of Poisson's ratio of 0.0 and 0.16. Tabulated values are given for skew plates with uniform lateral loading and concentrated lateral loads for the support conditions where two opposite edges are simply supported and two edges are free; the value of Poisson's ratio used was zero. In addition to many cases also included in Table 11.4, Marguerre and Woernle (Ref. 50) give results for line loading and uniform loading on a narrow strip across a rectangular plate. They also discuss the case of a rectangular plate supported within the span by elastic cross beams. Morley (Ref. 51) discusses solutions of problems involving parallelogram, or skew, plates and box structures. A few graphs and tables of results are given.

For plates with boundary shapes or restraints not discussed in the literature, we can only approximate an answer or resort to a direct numerical solution of the problem at hand. All numerical methods are approximate but can be carried to any degree of accuracy desired at the expense of time and computer costs. There are many numerical techniques used to solve plate problems, and the choice of a method for a given problem can be difficult. Leissa et al. (Ref. 56) have done a very complete and competent job of comparing and rating 9 approximate numerical methods on the basis of 11 different criteria. Szilard (Ref. 84) discusses both classical and numerical methods and tabulates many solutions.

Variable thickness. Petrina and Conway (Ref. 63) give numerical data for two sets of boundary conditions, three aspect ratios and two nearly linear tapers in plate thickness. The loading was uniform and they found that the center deflection and center moment differed little from
the same uniform-thickness case using the average thickness; the location and magnitude of maximum stress, however, did vary.

### 11.11 Effect of Large Deflection; Diaphragm Stresses

When the deflection becomes larger than about one-half the thickness, as may occur in thin plates, the middle surface becomes appreciably strained and the stress in it cannot be ignored. This stress, called diaphragm stress, or direct stress, enables the plate to carry part of the load as a diaphragm in direct tension. This tension may be balanced by radial tension at the edges if the edges are held or by circumferential compression if the edges are not horizontally restrained. In thin plates this circumferential compression may cause buckling.
When this condition of large deflection exists, the plate is stiffer than indicated by the ordinary theory and the load-deflection and loadstress relations are nonlinear. Stresses for a given load are less and stresses for a given deflection are generally greater than the ordinary theory indicates.

Circular plates. Formulas for stress and deflection when middle surface stresses are taken into account are given below. These formulas should be used whenever the maximum deflection exceeds half the thickness if accurate results are desired. The following table gives the necessary constants for the several loadings and support conditions listed.

Let $t=$ thickness of plate; $a=$ outer radius of plate; $q=$ unit lateral pressure; $y$ =maximum deflection; $\sigma_{b}=$ bending stress; $\sigma_{d}=$ diaphragm stress; $\sigma=\sigma_{b}+\sigma_{d}=$ maximum stress due to flexure and diaphragm tension combined. Then the following formulas apply:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{q a^{4}}{E t^{4}}=K_{1} \frac{y}{t}+K_{2}\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{3}  \tag{11.11-1}\\
& \frac{\sigma a^{2}}{E t^{2}}=K_{3} \frac{y}{t}+K_{4}\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{2} \tag{11.11-2}
\end{align*}
$$

First solve for $y$ in Eq. (11.11-1) and then obtain the stresses from Eq. (11.11-2).

## EXAMPLE

For the plate of Example 1 of Sec. 11.2, it is desired to determine the maximum deflection and maximum stress under a load of $10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
Solution. If the linear theory held, the stresses and deflections would be directly proportional to the load, which would indicate a maximum stress of $9240(10) / 3=30,800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and a maximum deflection of $0.0883(10) / 3=$
0.294 in . Since this deflection is much more than half the thickness, Eqs. (11.11-3) and (11.11-2) with the constants from case 1 in the table will be used to solve for the deflection and stress. From Eq. (11.11-1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{10\left(10^{4}\right)}{30\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.2^{4}\right)} & =\frac{1.016}{1-0.3} \frac{y}{t}+0.376\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{3} \\
2.0833 & =1.4514 \frac{y}{t}+0.376\left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Starting with a trial value for $y$ somewhat less than 0.294 in , a solution is found when $y=0.219 \mathrm{in}$. From Eq. (11.11-2) the maximum stress is found to be $27,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

Warshawsky (Ref. 3) fitted Eqs. (11.11-1) and (11.11-2) to the data presented by Mah in Ref. 71, and cases 5-9 in the following table give these results. Chia in Ref. 91 has a chapter on nonlinear bending of isotropic nonrectangular plates in which he covers in great detail the derivations, plotted results, and formulas similar to Eqs. (11.11-1) and (11.11-2) for distributed loadings, concentrated center loads, applied edge moments, and combined loadings for circular plates with various boundary conditions. The uniformly loaded circular plate on an elastic foundation is discussed and results presented for several boundary conditions. He also treats annular plates, elliptical plates, and skew plates under uniform loading. Reference 54 presents the results of a study of the large deflections of clamped annular sector plates for sector angles from 30 to $90^{\circ}$ in steps of $30^{\circ}$ and for ratios of inner to outer radii from 0 to 0.6 in steps of 0.2 .

Circular plates under distributed load producing large deflections

| Case no., edge condition |  | Constants |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Simply supported <br> (neither fixed nor | $K_{1}=\frac{1.016}{1-v}$ | $K_{2}=0.376$ |  |  |
| held). Uniform <br> pressure $q$ over entire <br> plate. | $K_{3}=\frac{1.238}{1-v}$ | $K_{4}=0.294$ |  | (Ref. 5) |
| 2.Fixed but not held <br> (no edge tension). <br> Uniform pressure <br> $q$ over entire plate. <br> $K_{1}=\frac{5.33}{1-v^{2}}$ | $K_{2}=0.857$ |  |  |  |
|  | (At center) | $K_{3}=\frac{2}{1-v}$ | $K_{4}=0.50$ |  |
| (At edge) | $K_{3}=\frac{4}{1-v^{2}}$ | $K_{4}=0.0$ | (Ref. 5) |  |
| 3. Fixed and held. <br> Uniform pressure $q$ <br> over entire plate. | $K_{1}=\frac{5.33}{1-v^{2}}$ | $K_{2}=\frac{2.6}{1-v^{2}}$ |  |  |
|  | (At center) | $K_{3}=\frac{2}{1-v}$ | $K_{4}=0.976$ |  |

Circular plates under distributed load producing large deflections (Continued)
Case no., edge condition Constants

| 4. Diaphragm without | $K_{1}=0.0$ | $K_{2}=3.44$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| (At center) | $K_{3}=0.0$ | $K_{4}=0.965$ |
| flexural stiffness, edge | (At edge) $\quad K_{3}=0.0$ | $K_{4}=0.748$ |
| held. Uniform pressure $q$ | (At $r$ from the center) | $y=y_{\max }\left(1-0.9 \frac{r^{2}}{a^{2}}-0.1 \frac{r^{5}}{a^{5}}\right)$ |

(Refs. 18 and 29)
5. Fixed and held.

Uniform pressure $q$ over a central area of radius $r_{o} . v=0.3$

|  |  |  | At edge |  | At center |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{o} / a$ | $K_{1}$ | $K_{2}$ | $K_{3}$ | $K_{4}$ | $K_{3}$ | $K_{4}$ |
| 1.00 | 5.86 | 3.32 | 4.40 | 1.73 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 6.26 | 3.45 | 3.80 | 1.32 |  |  |
| 0.50 | 9.17 | 5.50 |  |  | 3.38 | 0.76 |
| 0.25 | 27.1 | 13.9 |  |  | 4.62 | 1.18 |

(Ref. 3)
6. Simply supported and held radially. Uniform pressure $q$ over a central area of radius $r_{o} \cdot v=0.3$

|  |  |  | At center |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{o} / a$ | $K_{1}$ | $K_{2}$ | $K_{3}$ | $K_{4}$ |
| 0.75 | 1.71 | 3.21 | 1.84 | 0.81 |
| 0.50 | 2.95 | 5.07 | 2.06 | 0.95 |
| 0.25 | 9.95 | 13.8 | 2.60 | 1.31 |

(Ref. 3)
7. Fixed and held with a central support. Uniform pressure $q$ over entire plate. $v=0.3$
8. Annular plate fixed and held at both inner and outer edges. Uniform pressure $q$ over entire annular plate. $v=0.3$
$y_{\text {max }}$ at $r=0.45 a$
$K_{1}=36.4 \quad K_{2}=20.0$
(Ref. 3)
For inner edge radius $=0.2 a$, max deflection $y$

$$
\text { at } r=0.576 a
$$

$K_{1}=84.0 \quad K_{2}=63.5$
For stress at $r=0.2 a$,
$K_{3}=36.0 \quad K_{4}=25.8$
(Ref. 3)
9. Annular plate simply supported and held radially at both inner and outer edges. Uniform pressure $q$ over entire annular plate. $v=0.3$

For inner edge radius $=0.2 a$, max deflection $y$
at $r=0.576 a$
$K_{1}=20.3 \quad K_{2}=51.8$
For stress at $r=0.2 a$,
$K_{3}=12.14 \quad K_{4}=2.41$
For inner edge radius $=0.4 a$, max deflection $y$

$$
\text { at } r=0.688 a
$$

$$
K_{1}=57.0 \quad K_{2}=159
$$

For stress at $r=0.664 a$,

$$
K_{3}=14.52 \quad K_{4}=6.89
$$

Elliptical plates. Nash and Cooley (Ref. 72) present graphically the results of a uniform pressure on a clamped elliptical plate for $a / b=2$. Their method of solution is presented in detail, and the numerical solution is compared with experimental results and with previous solutions they have referenced. Ng (Ref. 73) has tabulated the values of center deflection for clamped elliptical plates on elastic foundations for ratios of $a / b$ from 1 to 2 and for a wide range of foundation moduli. Large deflections are also graphed for two ratios $a / b$ (1.5 and 2) for the same range of foundation moduli.

Rectangular plates. Analytical solutions for uniformly loaded rectangular plates with large deflections are given in Refs. 30-34, where the relations among load, deflection, and stress are expressed by numerical values of the dimensionless coefficients $y / t, q b^{4} / E t^{4}$, and $\sigma b^{2} / E t^{2}$. The values of these coefficients given in the following table are taken from these references and are for $v=0.316$. In this table, $a, b, q, E, y$, and $t$ have the same meaning as in Table 11.4, $\sigma_{d}$ is the diaphragm stress, and $\sigma$ is the total stress found by adding the diaphragm stress and the bending stress. See also Ref. 17.

In Ref. 35 experimentally determined deflections are given and compared with those predicted by theory. In Ref. 74 a numerical solution for uniformly loaded rectangular plates with simply supported edges is discussed, and the results for a square plate are compared with previous approximate solutions. Graphs are presented to show how stresses and deflections vary across a square plate.

Chia in Ref. 91 includes a chapter on moderately large deflections of isotropic rectangular plates. Not only are the derivations presented but the results of most cases are presented in the form of graphs usable for engineering calculations. Cases of initially deflected plates are included, and the comprehensive list of references is useful. Aalami and Williams in Ref. 92 present 42 tables of large-deflection reduction coefficients over a range of length ratios $a / b$ and for a variety-three bending and four membrane-of symmetric and nonsymmetric boundary conditions. Loadings include overall uniform and linearly varying pressures as well as pressures over limited areas centered on the plates.

Parallelogram plates. Kennedy and Ng (Ref. 53) present several graphs or large elastic deflections and the accompanying stresses for uniformly loaded skew plates with clamped edges. Several apsect ratios and skew angles are represented.

### 11.12 Plastic Analysis of Plates

The onset of yielding in plates may occur before the development of appreciable diaphragm stress if the plate is relatively thick. For

Rectangular plates under uniform load producing large deflection

| $a / b$ | Edges and point of $\max \sigma$ | Coef. | $q b^{4} / E t^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | 12.5 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 200 | 250 |
| 1 | Held, not fixed <br> At center of plate | $\begin{gathered} y / t \\ \sigma_{d} b^{2} / E t^{2} \\ \sigma b^{2} / E t^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.430 \\ & 0.70 \\ & 3.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.650 \\ & 1.60 \\ & 5.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.930 \\ & 3.00 \\ & 8.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.13 \\ 4.00 \\ 10.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.26 \\ 5.00 \\ 12.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.37 \\ 6.10 \\ 14.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.47 \\ 7.00 \\ 15.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.56 \\ 7.95 \\ 17.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.63 \\ 8.60 \\ 18.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.77 \\ 10.20 \\ 20.50 \end{array}$ |
| 1 | Held and riveted <br> At center of plate | $\begin{gathered} y / t \\ \sigma_{0} b^{2} / E t^{2} \\ \sigma b^{2} / E t^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.406 \\ & 0.609 \\ & 3.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.600 \\ & 1.380 \\ & 5.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.840 \\ & 2.68 \\ & 7.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00 \\ & 3.80 \\ & 9.72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.13 \\ 4.78 \\ 11.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.23 \\ 5.75 \\ 12.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.31 \\ 6.54 \\ 14.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.40 \\ 7.55 \\ 15.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.46 \\ 8.10 \\ 16.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.58 \\ 9.53 \\ 18.40 \end{array}$ |
| 1 | Held and fixed At center of long edges | $\begin{gathered} y / t \\ \sigma_{d} b^{2} / E t^{2} \\ \sigma b^{2} / E t^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.165 \\ & 0.070 \\ & 3.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.22 \\ & 6.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.59 \\ 0.75 \\ 14.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.80 \\ 1.35 \\ 21.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.95 \\ 2.00 \\ 26.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.08 \\ 2.70 \\ 31.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.19 \\ 3.30 \\ 36.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.28 \\ 4.00 \\ 40.70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.38 \\ 4.60 \\ 45.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.54 \\ 5.90 \\ 53.50 \end{array}$ |
|  | At center of plate $\{$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{d} b^{2} / E t^{2} \\ \sigma b^{2} / E t^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.075 \\ & 1.80 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.30 \\ & 3.50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.95 \\ & 6.60 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \\ & 9.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.40 \\ 11.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.10 \\ & 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.80 \\ 14.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.50 \\ 15.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.20 \\ 17.10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.50 \\ 19.40 \end{array}$ |
| 1.5 | Held, not fixed <br> At center of plate | $\begin{gathered} y / t \\ \sigma_{d} b^{2} / E t^{2} \\ \sigma b^{2} / E t^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.625 \\ & 1.06 \\ & 4.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.879 \\ & 2.11 \\ & 6.81 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \\ & 3.78 \\ & 9.92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.37 \\ 5.18 \\ 12.25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.53 \\ 6.41 \\ 14.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.68 \\ 7.65 \\ 16.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.77 \\ 8.60 \\ 17.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.88 \\ 9.55 \\ 18.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.96 \\ 10.60 \\ 20.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.12 \\ 12.30 \\ 22.80 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | Held, not fixed <br> At center of plate | $\begin{gathered} y / t \\ \sigma_{d} b^{2} / E t^{2} \\ \sigma b^{2} / E t^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.696 \\ & 1.29 \\ & 4.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.946 \\ & 2.40 \\ & 7.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.24 \\ 4.15 \\ 10.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.44 \\ 5.61 \\ 12.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.60 \\ 6.91 \\ 14.60 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.72 \\ 8.10 \\ 16.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.84 \\ 9.21 \\ 18.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.94 \\ 10.10 \\ 19.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.03 \\ 10.90 \\ 20.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.20 \\ 12.20 \\ 23.60 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.5 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ | Held and fixed At center of long edges | $\begin{gathered} y / t \\ \sigma_{0} b^{2} / E t^{2} \\ \sigma b^{2} / E t^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 0.20 \\ & 5.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.51 \\ 0.66 \\ 11.12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.825 \\ 1.90 \\ 20.30 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.07 \\ 3.20 \\ 27.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.24 \\ 4.35 \\ 35.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.40 \\ 5.40 \\ 41.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.50 \\ 6.50 \\ 47.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.63 \\ 7.50 \\ 52.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.72 \\ 8.50 \\ 57.60 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.86 \\ 10.30 \\ 67.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

thinner plates, the nonlinear increase in stiffness due to diaphragm stresses is counteracted by the decrease in stiffness which occurs when the material starts to yield (Refs. 52 and 80). Save and Massonnet (Ref. 81) discuss the effect of the several yield criteria on the response of circular and rectangular plates under various loadings and give an extensive list of references. They also compare the results of theory with referenced experiments which have been performed. Orthotropy in plates can be caused by cold-forming the material or by the positioning of stiffeners. The effect of this orthotropic behavior on the yielding of circular plates is discussed by Save and Massonnet (Ref. 81) as well as by Markowitz and Hu (Ref. 82).

Crose and Ang (Ref. 83) describe an iterative solution scheme which first solves the elastic case and then increments the loading upward to allow a slow expansion of the yielded volume after it forms. The results of a test on a clamped plate are compared favorably with a theoretical solution.

### 11.13 Ultimate Strength

Plates of brittle material fracture when the actual maximum tensile stress reaches the ultimate tensile strength of the material. A flatplate modulus of rupture, analogous to the modulus of rupture of a beam, may be determined by calculating the (fictitious) maximum stress corresponding to the breaking load, using for this purpose the appropriate formula for elastic stress. This flat-plate modulus of rupture is usually greater than the modulus of rupture determined by testing a beam of rectangular section.

Plates of ductile material fail by excessive plastic deflection, as do beams of similar material. For a number of cases the load required to produce collapse has been determined analytically, and the results for some of the simple loadings are summarized as follows.

1. Circular plate; uniform load, edges simply supported

$$
W_{u}=\sigma_{y}\left(\frac{3}{2} \pi t^{2}\right) \quad(\text { Ref. } 43)
$$

2. Circular plate; uniform load, fixed edges

$$
W_{u}=\sigma_{y}\left(2.814 \pi t^{2}\right) \quad(\text { Ref. } 43)
$$

(For collapse loads on partially loaded orthotropic annular plates see Refs. 81 and 82.)
3. Rectangular plate, length a, width b; uniform load, edges supported

$$
W_{u}=\beta \sigma_{y} t^{2}
$$

where $\beta$ depends on the ratio of $b$ to $a$ and has the following values (Ref. 44):

| $b / a$ | 1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | 5.48 | 5.50 | 5.58 | 5.64 | 5.89 | 6.15 | 6.70 | 7.68 | 9.69 |

4. Plate of any shape and size, any type of edge support, concentrated load at any point

$$
W_{u}=\sigma_{y}\left(\frac{1}{2} \pi t^{2}\right) \quad(\text { Ref. 45) }
$$

In each of the above cases $W_{u}$ denotes the total load required to collapse the plate, $t$ the thickness of the plate, and $\sigma_{y}$ the yield point of the material. Accurate prediction of $W_{u}$ is hardly to be expected; the theoretical error in some of the formulas may range up to $30 \%$, and few experimental data seem to be available.

### 11.14 Tables

Numerical values for the plate coefficients $F, C, L$, and $G$ for values of $b / r, b / a, r_{o} / a$, and $r_{o} / r$, respectively, from 0.05 to 1.0. Poisson's ratio is 0.30 . The table headings are given for $G_{1}$ to $G_{19}$ for the various values of $r_{o} / r . \dagger$ Also listed in the last five lines are values for the most used denominators for the ratios $b / a$

| $r_{o} / r$ | 1.000 | 0.900 | 0.800 | 0.750 | 0.700 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 0.600 | 0.500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{1}$ | 0.000 | 0.098580346 | 0.19478465 | 0.2423283 | 0.2897871 | 0.3215349 | 0.3858887 | 0.487773 |
| $G_{2}$ | 0.000 | 0.004828991 | 0.01859406 | 0.0284644 | 0.0401146 | 0.0487855 | 0.0680514 | 0.100857 |
| $G_{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000158070 | 0.00119108 | 0.0022506 | 0.0037530 | 0.0050194 | 0.0082084 | 0.014554 |
| $G_{4}$ | 1.000 | 0.973888889 | 0.95750000 | 0.9541667 | 0.9550000 | 0.9583333 | 0.9733333 | 1.025000 |
| $G_{5}$ | 0.000 | 0.095000000 | 0.18000000 | 0.2187500 | 0.2550000 | 0.2777778 | 0.3200000 | 0.375000 |
| $G_{6}$ | 0.000 | 0.004662232 | 0.01725742 | 0.0258495 | 0.0355862 | 0.0425624 | 0.0572477 | 0.079537 |
| $G_{7}$ | 0.000 | 0.096055556 | 0.20475000 | 0.2654167 | 0.3315000 | 0.3791667 | 0.4853333 | 0.682500 |
| $G_{8}$ | 1.000 | 0.933500000 | 0.87400000 | 0.8468750 | 0.8215000 | 0.8055556 | 0.7760000 | 0.737500 |
| $G_{9}$ | 0.000 | 0.091560902 | 0.16643465 | 0.1976669 | 0.2247621 | 0.2405164 | 0.2664220 | 0.290898 |
| $G_{11}$ | 0.000 | 0.000003996 | 0.00006104 | 0.0001453 | 0.0002935 | 0.0004391 | 0.0008752 | 0.001999 |
| $G_{12}$ | 0.000 | 0.000000805 | 0.00001240 | 0.0000297 | 0.0000603 | 0.0000905 | 0.0001820 | 0.000422 |
| $G_{13}$ | 0.000 | 0.000000270 | 0.00000418 | 0.0000100 | 0.0000205 | 0.0000308 | 0.0000623 | 0.000146 |
| $G_{14}$ | 0.000 | 0.000158246 | 0.00119703 | 0.0022693 | 0.0038011 | 0.0051026 | 0.0084257 | 0.015272 |
| $G_{15}$ | 0.000 | 0.000039985 | 0.00030618 | 0.0005844 | 0.0009861 | 0.0013307 | 0.0022227 | 0.004111 |
| $G_{16}$ | 0.000 | 0.000016107 | 0.00012431 | 0.0002383 | 0.0004039 | 0.0005468 | 0.0009196 | 0.001721 |
| $G_{17}$ | 0.000 | 0.004718219 | 0.01775614 | 0.0268759 | 0.0374539 | 0.0452137 | 0.0621534 | 0.090166 |
| $G_{18}$ | 0.000 | 0.001596148 | 0.00610470 | 0.0093209 | 0.0131094 | 0.0159275 | 0.0221962 | 0.032948 |
| $G_{19}$ | 0.000 | 0.000805106 | 0.00310827 | 0.0047694 | 0.0067426 | 0.0082212 | 0.0115422 | 0.017341 |
| $C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}$ | 0.000 | 0.000305662 | 0.00222102 | 0.0041166 | 0.0067283 | 0.0088751 | 0.0141017 | 0.023878 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{9}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{7}$ | 0.000 | 0.009010922 | 0.03217504 | 0.0473029 | 0.0638890 | 0.0754312 | 0.0988254 | 0.131959 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{5}$ | 0.000 | 0.000007497 | 0.00010649 | 0.0002435 | 0.0004705 | 0.0006822 | 0.0012691 | 0.002564 |
| $C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}$ | 0.000 | 0.000294588 | 0.00205369 | 0.0037205 | 0.0059332 | 0.0076903 | 0.0117606 | 0.018605 |
| $C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}$ | 0.000 | 0.088722311 | 0.15582772 | 0.1817463 | 0.2028510 | 0.2143566 | 0.2315332 | 0.243886 |

TABLE 11.1 Numerical values for functions used in Table 11.2 (Continued)

| $r_{o} / r$ | 0.400 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 0.300 | 0.250 | 0.200 | 0.125 | 0.100 | 0.050 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{1}$ | 0.605736 | 0.704699 | 0.765608 | 0.881523 | 1.049227 | 1.547080 | 1.882168 | 3.588611 |
| $G_{2}$ | 0.136697 | 0.16188 | 0.173321 | 0.191053 | 0.207811 | 0.229848 | 0.235987 | 0.245630 |
| $G_{3}$ | 0.022290 | 0.027649 | 0.030175 | 0.033465 | 0.035691 | 0.035236 | 0.033390 | 0.025072 |
| $G_{4}$ | 1.135000 | 1.266667 | 1.361667 | 1.562500 | 1.880000 | 2.881250 | 3.565000 | 7.032500 |
| $G_{5}$ | 0.420000 | 0.444444 | 0.455000 | 0.468750 | 0.480000 | 0.492187 | 0.495000 | 0.498750 |
| $G_{6}$ | 0.099258 | 0.109028 | 0.112346 | 0.114693 | 0.112944 | 0.099203 | 0.090379 | 0.062425 |
| $G_{7}$ | 0.955500 | 1.213333 | 1.380167 | 1.706250 | 2.184000 | 3.583125 | 4.504500 | 9.077250 |
| $G_{8}$ | 0.706000 | 0.688889 | 0.681500 | 0.671875 | 0.664000 | 0.655469 | 0.653500 | 0.650875 |
| $G_{9}$ | 0.297036 | 0.289885 | 0.282550 | 0.266288 | 0.242827 | 0.190488 | 0.166993 | 0.106089 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $G_{11}$ | 0.003833 | 0.005499 | 0.006463 | 0.008057 | 0.009792 | 0.012489 | 0.013350 | 0.014843 |
| $G_{12}$ | 0.000827 | 0.001208 | 0.001435 | 0.001822 | 0.002266 | 0.003027 | 0.003302 | 0.003872 |
| $G_{13}$ | 0.000289 | 0.000427 | 0.000510 | 0.000654 | 0.000822 | 0.001121 | 0.001233 | 0.001474 |
| $G_{14}$ | 0.024248 | 0.031211 | 0.034904 | 0.040595 | 0.046306 | 0.054362 | 0.056737 | 0.060627 |
| $G_{15}$ | 0.006691 | 0.008790 | 0.009945 | 0.011798 | 0.013777 | 0.016917 | 0.017991 | 0.020139 |
| $G_{16}$ | 0.002840 | 0.003770 | 0.004290 | 0.005138 | 0.006065 | 0.007589 | 0.008130 | 0.009252 |
| $G_{17}$ | 0.119723 | 0.139340 | 0.148888 | 0.162637 | 0.175397 | 0.191795 | 0.196271 | 0.203191 |
| $G_{18}$ | 0.044939 | 0.053402 | 0.057723 | 0.064263 | 0.070816 | 0.080511 | 0.083666 | 0.089788 |
| $G_{19}$ | 0.023971 | 0.028769 | 0.031261 | 0.035098 | 0.039031 | 0.045057 | 0.047086 | 0.051154 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}$ | 0.034825 | 0.041810 | 0.044925 | 0.048816 | 0.051405 | 0.051951 | 0.051073 | 0.047702 |
| $C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}$ | 0.158627 | 0.170734 | 0.174676 | 0.177640 | 0.176832 | 0.168444 | 0.163902 | 0.153133 |
| $C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}$ | 0.004207 | 0.005285 | 0.005742 | 0.006226 | 0.006339 | 0.005459 | 0.004800 | 0.002829 |
| $C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}$ | 0.024867 | 0.027679 | 0.028408 | 0.028391 | 0.026763 | 0.020687 | 0.017588 | 0.009740 |
| $C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}$ | 0.242294 | 0.234900 | 0.229682 | 0.220381 | 0.209845 | 0.193385 | 0.188217 | 0.179431 |

[^20]
## TABLE 11．2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness

NOTATION：$W=$ total applied load（force）；$w=$ unit line load（force per unit of circumferential length）；$q=$ load per unit area；$M_{o}=$ unit applied line moment loading（force－length per unit of circumferential length）；$\theta_{o}=$ externally applied change in radial slope（radians）；$y_{o}=$ externally applied radial step in the vertical deflection（length）；$y=$ vertical deflection of plate（length）； $\theta=$ radial slope of plate；$M_{r}=$ unit radial bending moment；$M_{t}=$ unit tangential bending moment；$Q=$ unit shear force（force per unit of circumferential length）；$E=$ modulus of elasticity（force per unit area）；$v=$ Poisson＇s ratio；$\gamma=$ temperature coefficient of expansion（unit strain per degree）；$a=$ outer radius；$b=$ inner radius for annular plate；$t=$ plate thickness；$r=$ radial location of quantity being evaluated；$r_{o}=$ radial location of unit line loading or start of a distributed load．$F_{1}$ to $F_{9}$ and $G_{1}$ to $G_{19}$ are the several functions of the radial location $r$ ．$C_{1}$ to $C_{9}$ are plate constants dependent upon the ratio $a / b . L_{1}$ to $L_{19}$ are loading constants dependent upon the ratio $a / r_{o}$ ．When used as subscripts，$r$ and $t$ refer to radial and tangential directions，respectively．When used as subscripts，$a$ ， $b$ ，and $o$ refer to an evaluation of the quantity subscripted at the outer edge，inner edge，and the position of the loading or start of distributed loading，respectively．When used as a subscript，$c$ refers to an evaluation of the quantity subscripted at the center of the plate．
Positive signs are associated with the several quantities in the following manner：Deflections $y$ and $y_{o}$ are positive upward；slopes $\theta$ and $\theta_{o}$ are positive when the deflection $y$ increases positively as $r$ increases；moments $M_{r}, M_{t}$ ，and $M_{o}$ are positive when creating compression on the top surface；and the shear force $Q$ is positive when acting upward on the inner edge of a given annular section
Bending stresses can be found from the moments $M_{r}$ and $M_{t}$ by the expression $\sigma=6 M / t^{2}$ ．The plate constant $D=E t^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)$ ．The singularity function brackets 〈＞indicate that the expression contained within the brackets must be equated to zero unless $r>r_{o}$ ，after which they are treated as any other brackets．Note that $Q_{b}, Q_{a}, M_{r b}$ ，and $M_{r a}$ are reactions，not loads．They exist only when necessary edge restraints are provided

General Plate Functions and Constants for Solid and Annular Circular Plates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\frac{1+v a}{2} \frac{b}{r} \ln \frac{r}{b}+\frac{1-v}{4}\left(\frac{r}{b}-\frac{b}{r}\right) \\
& F_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}\left(1+2 \ln \frac{r}{b}\right)\right] \\
& F_{3}=\frac{b}{4 r}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}+1\right] \ln \frac{r}{b}+\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}-1\right\} \\
& F_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(1+v) \frac{b}{r}+(1-v) \frac{r}{b}\right] \\
& F_{5}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& F_{6}=\frac{b}{4 r}\left[\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}-1+2 \ln \frac{r}{b}\right] \\
& F_{7}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(\frac{r}{b}-\frac{b}{r}\right) \\
& F_{8}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+v+(1-v)\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& F_{9}=\frac{b}{r}\left\{\frac{1+v}{2} \ln \frac{r}{b}+\frac{1-v}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{b}{r}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\frac{1+v}{2} \frac{b}{a} \ln \frac{a}{b}+\frac{1-v}{4}\left(\frac{a}{b}-\frac{b}{a}\right) \\
& C_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}\left(1+2 \ln \frac{a}{b}\right)\right] \\
& C_{3}=\frac{b}{4 a}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}+1\right] \ln \frac{a}{b}+\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}-1\right\} \\
& C_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(1+v) \frac{b}{a}+(1-v) \frac{a}{b}\right] \\
& C_{5}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& C_{6}=\frac{b}{4 a}\left[\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}-1+2 \ln \frac{a}{b}\right] \\
& C_{7}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(\frac{a}{b}-\frac{b}{a}\right) \\
& C_{8}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+v+(1-v)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& C_{9}=\frac{b}{a}\left\{\frac{1+v}{2} \ln \frac{a}{b}+\frac{1-v}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\frac{1+v}{2} \frac{r_{o}}{a} \ln \frac{r_{0}}{a}+\frac{1-v}{4}\left(\frac{a}{r_{o}}-\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right) \\
& G_{1}=\left[\frac{1+v}{2} \frac{r_{o}}{r} \ln \frac{r}{r_{0}}+\frac{1-v}{4}\left(\frac{r}{r_{o}}-\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)\right]\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\left(1+2 \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right)\right]\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& L_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\left(1+2 \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right)\right] \\
& G_{3}=\frac{r_{o}}{4 r}\left\{\left[\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}+1\right] \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}+\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}-1\right\}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left[(1+v) \frac{r_{o}}{r}+(1-v) \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right]\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{5}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\right]\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{6}=\frac{r_{o}}{4 r}\left[\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}-1+2 \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right]\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{7}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(\frac{r}{r_{o}}-\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{8}=\frac{1}{2}\left[1+v+(1-v)\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\right]\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{9}=\frac{r_{o}}{r}\left\{\frac{1+v}{2} \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}+\frac{1-v}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{11}=\frac{1}{64}\left\{1+4\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}-5\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}-4\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\left[2+\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\right] \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right\}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{12}=\frac{r\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}}{14,400\left(r-r_{o}\right)}\left\{64-225 \frac{r_{o}}{r}-100\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{3}+261\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{5}+60\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{3}\left[3\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}+10\right] \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right\} \\
& G_{13}=\frac{r^{2}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}}{14,400\left(r-r_{o}\right)^{2}}\left\{25-128 \frac{r_{o}}{r}+225\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}-25\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}-97\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{6}-60\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}\left[5+\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\right] \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right\} \\
& G_{14}=\frac{1}{16}\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}-4\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2} \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right]\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& G_{15}=\frac{r\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}}{720\left(r-r_{o}\right)}\left[16-45 \frac{r_{o}}{r}+9\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{5}+20\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{3}\left(1+3 \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right)\right] \\
& G_{16}=\frac{r^{2}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}}{1440\left(r-r_{o}\right)^{2}}\left[15-64 \frac{r_{o}}{a}+90\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}-6\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{6}-5\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}\left(7+12 \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right)\right] \\
& G_{17}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{1-\frac{1-v}{4}\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}\right]-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}\left[1+(1+v) \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right]\right\}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{18}=\frac{a}{720\left(a-r_{o}\right)}\left\{\left[20\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{3}+16\right](4+v)-45 \frac{r_{o}}{a}(3+v) \quad G_{18}=\frac{r\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}}{720\left(r-r_{o}\right)}\left\{\left[20\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{3}+16\right](4+v)-45 \frac{r_{o}}{r}(3+v)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-9\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{5}(1-v)+60\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{3}(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right\} \\
& L_{19}=\frac{a^{2}}{1440\left(a-r_{o}\right)^{2}}\left[15(5+v)-64 \frac{r_{o}}{a}(4+v)+90\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}(3+v)\right. \\
& \left.-5\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{4}(19+7 v)+6\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{6}(1-v)-60\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{4}(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right] \\
& \left.-9\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{5}(1-v)+60\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{3}(1+v) \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right\} \\
& G_{19}=\frac{r^{2}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}}{1440\left(r-r_{o}\right)^{2}}\left[15(5+v)-64 \frac{r_{o}}{r}(4+v)+90\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{2}(3+v)\right. \\
& \left.-5\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}(19+7 v)+6\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{6}(1-v)-60\left(\frac{r_{o}}{r}\right)^{4}(1+v) \ln \frac{r}{r_{o}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 1. Annular plate with a uniform annular line load $w$ at a radius $r_{o}$


General expressions for deformations, moments, and shears

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}-w \frac{r^{3}}{D} G_{3} \\
\theta & =\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6}-w \frac{r^{2}}{D} G_{6} \\
M_{r} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9}-w r G_{9} \\
M_{t} & =\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} \\
Q & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{r}-w \frac{r_{o}}{r}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the numerical data given below, $v=0.3$

$$
y=K_{y} \frac{w a^{3}}{D}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{w a^{2}}{D}, \quad M=K_{M} w a, \quad Q=K_{Q} w
$$



| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-w a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{9}}{C_{8}}-L_{3}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{w a}{C_{8}} L_{9} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{w a^{2}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{5} L_{9}}{C_{8}}-L_{6}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=-w \frac{r_{o}}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $y_{\text {max }}$ <br> If $r_{o}$ $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} M_{\max }=1 \\ \text { load at inn } \\ \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0269 \\ 0.0361 \\ 0.2555 \end{gathered}$ | $c$ 0.3 -0.0417 0.0763 0.4146 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0252 \\ 0.0684 \\ 0.3944 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ \hline-0.0072 \\ 0.0342 \\ 0.2736 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ \hline-0.0003 \\ 0.0047 \\ 0.0981 \end{array}$ |
| 1c. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-w a^{2}}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{9}-C_{9} L_{3}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ Q_{b} & =w \frac{C_{1} L_{9}-C_{7} L_{3}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ \theta_{a} & =\theta_{b} C_{4}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}-\frac{w a^{2}}{D} L_{6} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{w r_{o}}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \\ & K_{M_{r o}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 10.5 -0.0102 0.0278 -0.0444 -0.4043 0.1629 2.9405 | $c$ <br> 0.7 <br> -0.0113 <br> 0.0388 <br> -0.0420 <br> -0.3819 <br> 0.1689 <br> 2.4779 | $$ | 0.9 <br> -0.0017 <br> 0.0122 <br> -0.0098 <br> -0.0178 <br> 0.0788 <br> 0.3376 | 0.7 <br> 0.9 <br> -0.0005 <br> 0.0055 <br> -0.0048 <br> -0.0063 <br> 0.0662 <br> 0.4145 |
| 1d. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ M_{r b} & =-w a \frac{C_{3} L_{9}-C_{9} L_{3}}{C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}} \\ Q_{b} & =w \frac{C_{2} L_{9}-C_{8} L_{3}}{C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}} \\ \theta_{a} & =M_{r b} \frac{a}{D} C_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}-\frac{w a^{2}}{D} L_{6} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{w r_{o}}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $b / a$ <br> $r_{o} / a$ <br> $K_{y_{\text {max }}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{a}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r b}}$ <br> $K_{Q_{b}}$ | $c$ 0.5 -0.0066 0.0194 -0.4141 3.3624 | 10.7 -0.0082 0.0308 -0.3911 2.8764 | $$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.9 \\ \hline-0.0010 \\ 0.0084 \\ -0.0692 \\ 0.4901 \end{array}$ | 0.7 <br> 0.9 <br> -0.0003 <br> 0.0034 <br> -0.0519 <br> 0.5972 |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| 1e. Outer edge fixed, inner edge free | $M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0$ | If $r_{o}=b$ (load at inner edge), |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $y_{b}=\frac{-w a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{1} L_{6}}{C_{4}}-L_{3}\right)$ | $b / a$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
|  | $\theta_{b}=\frac{w a^{2}}{D C_{4}} L_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0143 \\ 0.0254 \\ -0.0528 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0330 \\ 0.0825 \\ -0.1687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0233 \\ 0.0776 \\ -0.2379 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0071 \\ 0.0373 \\ -0.2124 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0003 \\ 0.0048 \\ -0.0911 \end{array}$ |
|  | $M_{r a}=-w a\left(L_{9}-\frac{C_{7} L_{6}}{C_{4}}\right)$ | $K_{M_{t b}}$ <br> (Note | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2307 \\ r a\left\|>\left\|M_{t b}\right\|\right. \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2503 \\ a>0.385) \end{gathered}$ | 0.1412 | 0.0484 | 0.0048 |
|  | $Q_{a}=\frac{-w r_{o}}{a}$ | (Note: $\left\|M_{r a}\right\|>\left\|M_{t b}\right\|$ if $\left.b / a>0.385\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1f. Outer edge fixed, inner edge guided | $\theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0$ | If $r_{o}=b$ (load at inner edge), |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $y_{b}=\frac{-w a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{6}}{C_{5}}-L_{3}\right)$ | $b / a$ | $0.1$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
|  | $M_{r b}=\frac{w a}{C_{5}} L_{6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0097 \\ 0.1826 \\ -0.0477 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0126 \\ 0.2469 \\ -0.1143 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0068 \\ 0.2121 \\ -0.1345 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0019 \\ 0.1396 \\ -0.1101 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0001 \\ 0.0491 \\ -0.0458 \end{array}$ |
|  | $M_{r a}=-w a\left(L_{9}-\frac{C_{8} L_{6}}{C_{5}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $Q_{a}=\frac{-w r_{o}}{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1g. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $y_{b}=0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0$ | $b / a$ | 0.1 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |
| ! | $\theta_{b}=\frac{-w a^{2}}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{6}-C_{6} L_{3}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}}$ | $r_{o} / a$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| $\lambda \quad 1+r_{0}+1 w$ | $C_{1} L_{6}-C_{4} L_{3}$ | $K_{y_{\max }}$ | $-0.0053$ | $-0.0041$ | $-0.0012$ | $-0.0004$ | $-0.0002$ |
|  | $Q_{b}=w \frac{\mathrm{C}_{1} L_{6}-\mathrm{C}_{4} L_{3}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0262 \\ & -0.2388 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0166 \\ & -0.1513 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0092 \\ & -0.0167 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0023 \\ & -0.0042 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0018 \\ & -0.0023 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $M_{a} D^{\text {a }} C_{7}+Q_{b} C_{5}-L^{\text {a }}$ | $K_{M_{r 0}}$ | 0.1179 | 0.0766 | 0.0820 | 0.0208 | 0.0286 |
|  | $M_{r a}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-w a L_{9}$ | $K_{M_{r a}}$ | -0.0893 | -0.1244 | $-0.0664$ | -0.0674 | -0.0521 |
|  | $Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{w r_{o}}{a}$ | $K_{Q_{b}}$ |  |  | 0.5658 | 0.0885 | 0.1784 |



## TABLE 11．2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness（Continued）

| 1 k ．Outer edge free，inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-w a^{2}}{D C_{7}}\left(\frac{r_{o} C_{9}}{b}-L_{9}\right) \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{w r_{o}}{b} \\ y_{a} & =\frac{-w a^{3}}{D}\left[\frac{C_{1}}{C_{7}}\left(\frac{r_{o} C_{9}}{b}-L_{9}\right)-\frac{r_{o} C_{3}}{b}+L_{3}\right] \\ \theta_{a} & =\frac{-w a^{2}}{D}\left[\frac{C_{4}}{C_{7}}\left(\frac{r_{o} C_{9}}{b}-L_{9}\right)-\frac{r_{o} C_{6}}{b}+L_{6}\right] \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}=a$（load at outer edge）， $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }=y_{a}=\frac{-w a^{4}}{b D}\left(\frac{C_{1} C_{9}}{C_{7}}-C_{3}\right) \\ & M_{\max }=M_{t b}=\frac{-w a^{3}}{b^{2}}\left(1-v^{2}\right) \frac{C_{9}}{C_{7}} \end{aligned}$ <br> （For numerical values see case 1a after computing the loading at the inner edge） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1l．Outer edge free，inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-w a}{C_{8}}\left(\frac{r_{o} C_{9}}{b}-L_{9}\right) \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{w r_{o}}{b} \\ & y_{a}=\frac{-w a^{3}}{D}\left[\frac{C_{2}}{C_{8}}\left(\frac{r_{o} C_{9}}{b}-L_{9}\right)-\frac{r_{o} C_{3}}{b}+L_{3}\right] \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{-w a^{2}}{D}\left[\frac{C_{5}}{C_{8}}\left(\frac{r_{o} C_{9}}{b}-L_{9}\right)-\frac{r_{o} C_{6}}{b}+L_{6}\right] \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}=a$（load at outer edge）， $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }=y_{a}=\frac{-w a^{4}}{b D}\left(\frac{C_{2} C_{9}}{C_{8}}-C_{3}\right) \\ & M_{\max }=M_{r b}=\frac{-w a^{2}}{b} \frac{C_{9}}{C_{8}} \end{aligned}$ <br> （For numerical values see case 1 b after computing the loading at the inner edge） |

Case 2．Annular plate with a uniformly distributed pressure $q$ over the portion from $r_{o}$ to $a$


General expressions for deformations，moments，and shears：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}-q \frac{r^{4}}{D} G_{11} \\
& \theta=\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6}-q \frac{r^{3}}{D} G_{14} \\
& M_{r}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9}-q r^{2} G_{17} \\
& M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} \\
& Q=Q_{b} \frac{b}{r}-\frac{q}{2 r}\left(r^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge free | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{1} L_{17}}{C_{7}}-L_{11}\right) \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{q a^{3}}{D C_{7}} L_{17} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{q a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{4} L_{17}}{C_{7}}-L_{14}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=\frac{-q}{2 a}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }= \\ & \text { If } r_{o}= \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | $M_{\max }=M_{t b}$ <br> uniform load o <br>  <br> 0.1 <br> -0.0687 <br> 0.0986 <br> 0.0436 <br> 0.3965 | ver entire | te), | 10.7 -0.0325 0.1041 0.1130 0.1469 | $$ |
| 2b. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{17}}{C_{8}}-L_{11}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{q a^{2}}{C_{8}} L_{17} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{q a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{5} L_{17}}{C_{8}}-L_{14}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=\frac{-q}{2 a}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }= \\ & \text { If } r_{o}= \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \end{aligned}$ | $M_{\max }=M_{r b}$ uniform load 0.1 0.0 .0575 0.0919 0.3003 | ver entire | te), | 0.7 <br> -0.0015 <br> 0.0078 <br> 0.0456 | 0.9 <br> -0.00002 <br> 0.00032 <br> 0.00505 |
| 2c. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{-q \alpha^{3}}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{17}-C_{9} L_{11}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ & Q_{b}=q a \frac{C_{1} L_{17}-C_{7} L_{11}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ & \theta_{a}=\theta_{b} C_{4}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}-\frac{q a^{3}}{D} L_{14} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{q}{2 a}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o}= \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\text {max }}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{\text {max }}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | niform load | ver entire | te), <br> 153 <br> 119 <br> 463 <br> 552 <br> 015 | 0.5 <br> -0.0008 <br> -0.0055 <br> 0.0047 <br> -0.0101 <br> 0.0300 <br> 0.3230 | 0.7 <br> -0.0001 <br> -0.0012 <br> 0.0011 <br> -0.0015 <br> 0.0110 <br> 0.1684 |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)



| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 g$. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{B} & =\frac{-q a^{3}}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{14}-C_{6} L_{11}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ Q_{b} & =q a \frac{C_{1} L_{14}-C_{4} L_{11}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{17} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{q}{2 a}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | uniform load $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0025 \\ -0.0135 \\ -0.1226 \\ -0.0634 \\ 1.1591 \end{array}$ | ver entire $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ \hline-0.0012 \\ -0.0073 \\ -0.0221 \\ -0.0462 \\ 0.3989 \end{array}$ | e), $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0003 \\ -0.0027 \\ -0.0048 \\ -0.0262 \\ 0.2262 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline \\ -0.0006 \\ -0.0007 \\ -0.0102 \\ 0.1221 \end{gathered}$ | 0.9 -0.0012 0.0383 |
| 2h. Outer edge fixed, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=-q a^{2} \frac{C_{3} L_{14}-C_{6} L_{11}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & Q_{b}=q a \frac{C_{2} L_{14}-C_{5} L_{11}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & M_{r a}=M_{r b} C_{8}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{17} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{q}{2 a}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | uniform load | ver entire | e), <br> 06 <br> 70 <br> 47 <br> 14 | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0002 \\ -0.0247 \\ -0.0187 \\ 0.3084 \end{gathered}$ | 0.7 -0.0081 -0.0070 0.1650 |
| 2i. Outer edge guided, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{-q a^{3}}{D C_{4}}\left[\frac{C_{6}}{2 a b}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)-L_{14}\right] \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{q}{2 b}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ & y_{a}=\theta_{b} a C_{1}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{3}}{D} C_{3}-\frac{q a^{4}}{D} L_{11} \\ & M_{r a}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{17} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{a}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | uniform load | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pver entire } \\ & 0.3 \\ & \hline-0.0369 \\ & -0.0995 \\ & 0.1423 \\ & -0.3018 \end{aligned}$ | e), 0.5 -0.0122 -0.0433 0.0985 -0.0788 | $$ | $$ |



## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

Case 3. Annular plate with a distributed pressure increasing linearly from zero at $r_{o}$ to $q$ at $a$


General expressions for deformations, moments, and shears:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}-q \frac{r^{4}}{D} \frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}} G_{12} \\
& \theta=\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6}-q \frac{r^{3}}{D} \frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}} G_{15} \\
& M_{r}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9}-q r^{2} \frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}} G_{18} \\
& M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} \\
& Q=Q_{b} \frac{b}{r}-\frac{q}{6 r\left(a-r_{o}\right)}\left(2 r^{3}-3 r_{o} r^{2}+r_{o}^{3}\right)\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the numerical data given below, $v=0.3$

$$
y=K_{y} \frac{q a^{4}}{D}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{q a^{3}}{D}, \quad M=K_{M} q a^{2}, \quad Q=K_{Q} q a
$$

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3a. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge free | $\begin{aligned} M_{r b} & =0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ y_{b} & =\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{1} L_{18}}{C_{7}}-L_{12}\right) \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{q a^{3}}{D C_{7}} L_{18} \\ \theta_{a} & =\frac{q a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{4} L_{18}}{C_{7}}-L_{15}\right) \\ Q_{a} & =\frac{-q}{6 a}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $y_{\text {max }}$ <br> If $r_{o}$ <br> $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{y_{b}}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{\alpha}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{t b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} M_{\max }=\lambda \\ \text { (linearly in } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0317 \\ 0.0482 \\ 0.0186 \\ 0.1690 \end{gathered}$ | sing load $\begin{array}{r} 0.3 \\ \hline-0.0306 \\ 0.0470 \\ 0.0418 \\ 0.1269 \end{array}$ | $b$ to $a)$, 0.5 -0.0231 0.0454 0.0483 0.0879 | 0.7 -0.0114 0.0368 0.0396 0.0514 | 0.9 -0.0016 0.0161 0.0166 0.0168 |
| 3b. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} \theta_{b} & =0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ y_{b} & =\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{18}}{C_{8}}-L_{12}\right) \\ M_{r b} & =\frac{q a^{2} L_{18}}{C_{8}} \\ \theta_{a} & =\frac{q a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{5} L_{18}}{C_{8}}-L_{15}\right) \\ Q_{a} & =\frac{-q}{6 a}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $y_{\text {max }}$ <br> If $r_{o}$ <br> $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{y_{b}}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{a}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} M_{\max }=\Lambda \\ \text { linearly in } \end{array} \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0269 \\ 0.0454 \\ 0.1280 \end{gathered}$ | sing load | $b$ to $a)$, 0.5 -0.0041 0.0126 0.0447 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ \hline-0.0006 \\ 0.0031 \\ 0.0160 \end{array}$ | $$ |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)



## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3f. Outer edge fixed, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{15}}{C_{5}}-L_{12}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{q a^{2} L_{15}}{C_{5}} \\ & M_{r a}=-q a^{2}\left(L_{18}-\frac{C_{8}}{C_{5}} L_{15}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=\frac{-q}{6 a}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | linearly in | sing load | $b$ to $a)$, 0.5 -0.0007 0.0110 -0.0249 | 0.7 <br> -0.0001 <br> 0.0039 <br> -0.0099 | $$ |
| 3g. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-q a^{3}}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{15}-C_{6} L_{12}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ Q_{b} & =q a \frac{C_{1} L_{15}-C_{4} L_{12}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{18} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{q}{6 a}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | linearly in $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0013 \\ -0.0059 \\ -0.0539 \\ -0.0381 \\ 0.4326 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { asing load } \\ 0.3 \\ \hline-0.0005 \\ -0.0031 \\ -0.0094 \\ -0.0264 \\ 0.1260 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-b \text { to } a), \\ 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0002 \\ -0.0011 \\ -0.0020 \\ -0.0145 \\ 0.0658 \end{gathered}$ | 0.7 -0.0002 -0.0003 -0.0056 0.0339 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ \hline \\ -0.0006 \\ 0.0104 \end{array}$ |
| 3h. Outer edge fixed, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=-q a^{2} \frac{C_{3} L_{15}-C_{6} L_{12}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & Q_{b}=q a \frac{C_{2} L_{15}-C_{5} L_{12}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & M_{r a}=M_{r b} C_{8}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{18} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{q}{6 a}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r n}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | linearly in $\begin{array}{r} 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0009 \\ -0.0630 \\ -0.0340 \\ 0.5440 \end{array}$ | sing load $$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 b \text { to } a), \\ 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0001 \\ -0.0102 \\ -0.0114 \\ 0.0999 \end{gathered}$ | 0.7 -0.0033 -0.0043 0.0514 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ \hline \\ -0.00035 \\ -0.00048 \\ 0.01575 \end{array}$ |
| 3i. Outer edge guided, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-q a^{3}}{D C_{4}}\left[\frac{C_{6}}{6 a b}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right)-L_{15}\right] \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{q}{6 b}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ y_{a} & =\theta_{b} a C_{1}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{3}}{D} C_{3}-\frac{q a^{4}}{D} L_{12} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{18} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{a}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | linearly in | asing load $$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ( } b \text { to } a \text { ), } \\ 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0082 \\ -0.0283 \\ 0.0689 \\ -0.0516 \end{gathered}$ | $$ | 0.9 <br> -0.00001 <br> -0.00022 <br> 0.00330 <br> -0.00022 |



## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

Case 4. Annular plate with a distributed pressure increasing parabolically from zero at $r_{o}$ to $q$ at $a$


General expressions for deformations, moments, and shears:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}-a \frac{r^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}}\right)^{2} G_{13} \\
& \theta=\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6}-q \frac{r^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}}\right)^{2} G_{16} \\
& M_{r}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9}-q r^{2}\left(\frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}}\right)^{2} G_{19} \\
& M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} \\
& Q=Q_{b} \frac{b}{r}-\frac{q}{12 r\left(a-r_{o}\right)^{2}}\left(3 r^{4}-8 r_{o} r^{3}+6 r_{0}^{2} r^{2}-r_{o}^{4}\right)\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the numerical data given below, $v=0.3$

$$
y=K_{y} \frac{q a^{4}}{D}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{q a^{3}}{D}, \quad M=K_{M} q a^{2}, \quad Q=K_{Q} q a
$$

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge free | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{1} L_{19}}{C_{7}}-L_{13}\right) \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{q a^{3}}{D C_{7}} L_{19} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{q a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{4} L_{19}}{C_{7}}-L_{16}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=\frac{-q}{12 a}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $y_{\text {max }}=$ <br> If $r_{o}=$ <br> $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{y_{b}}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{a}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{t b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} M_{\max }=N \\ \text { parabolical } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0184 \\ 0.0291 \\ 0.0105 \\ 0.0951 \end{gathered}$ | creasing | from $b$ to | 10.7 -0.0059 0.0190 0.0203 0.0264 | 0.9 -0.0008 0.0082 0.0084 0.0085 |
| 4b. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{19}}{C_{8}}-L_{13}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{q a^{2} L_{19}}{C_{8}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }= \\ & \text { If } r_{o}= \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} M_{\max }=N \\ \text { parabolical } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0158 \\ 0.0275 \\ 0.0721 \end{gathered}$ | $c$ <br> 0.3 <br> -0.0074 <br> 0.0166 <br> 0.0459 | from $b$ to | $$ | 0.9 0.00007 0.00086 |



## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4f. Outer edge fixed, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{16}}{C_{5}}-L_{13}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{q a^{2} L_{16}}{C_{5}}, \quad M_{r a}=-q a^{2}\left(L_{19}-\frac{C_{8}}{C_{5}} L_{16}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=\frac{-q}{12 a}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}$ <br> $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{y_{b}}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ | parabolically | increasing $$ | from $b$ to $$ | a), | 0.9 0.00016 -0.00066 |
| 4g. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-q a^{3}}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{16}-C_{6} L_{13}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ Q_{b} & =q a \frac{C_{1} L_{16}-C_{4} L_{13}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{19} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{q}{12 a}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | parabolically | increasing | from $b$ to <br> 03 <br> 16 <br> 49 <br> 72 <br> 77 | $\begin{aligned} & a), \\ & 0.5 \\ & \hline-0.0001 \\ & -0.0006 \\ & -0.0010 \\ & -0.0093 \\ & 0.0289 \end{aligned}$ | $$ |
| 4h. Outer edge fixed, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=-q a^{2} \frac{C_{3} L_{16}-C_{6} L_{13}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & Q_{b}=q a \frac{C_{2} L_{16}-C_{5} L_{13}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & M_{r a}=M_{r b} C_{8}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{19} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}-\frac{q}{12 a}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}$ <br> $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{y_{\text {max }}}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ <br> $K_{Q_{b}}$ | parabolically | increasing -0 -0 -0 0 | from $b$ to <br> 02 <br> 26 <br> 47 <br> 91 | a), $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \hline-0.00005 \\ -0.00524 \\ -0.00773 \\ 0.04633 \end{gathered}$ | 0.7 -0.00168 -0.00287 0.02335 |
| 4i. Outer edge guided, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-q a^{3}}{D C_{4}}\left[\frac{C_{6}}{12 a b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right)-L_{16}\right] \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{q}{12 b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ y_{a} & =\theta_{b} a C_{1}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{3}}{D} C_{3}-\frac{q a^{4}}{D} L_{13} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{a}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | parabolically | increasing <br> 0.3 <br> -0.0193 <br> -0.0498 <br> 0.0813 <br> -0.1510 | from $b$ to | a), <br> 0.7 <br> -0.0008 <br> -0.0045 <br> 0.0215 <br> -0.0059 | $$ |


| 4j. Outer edge guided, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-q a^{2}}{C_{5}}\left[\frac{C_{6}}{12 a b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right)-L_{16}\right] \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{q}{12 b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ & y_{a}=M_{r b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{3}}{D} C_{3}-\frac{q a^{4}}{D} L_{13} \\ & M_{r a}=M_{r b} C_{8}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-q a^{2} L_{19} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r n}} \end{aligned}$ | (parabolical <br> 0.1 <br> -0.0199 <br> -0.4081 <br> 0.0745 | increasing 0.3 -0.0070 -0.1490 0.0485 | from $b$ to 0.5 -0.0017 -0.0573 0.0253 | 10.7 -0.0002 -0.0169 0.0091 | 0.9 -0.00161 0.00100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 k . Outer edge free, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{-q a^{3}}{D C_{7}}\left[\frac{C_{9}}{12 a b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right)-L_{19}\right] \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{q}{12 b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ & y_{a}=\theta_{b} a C_{1}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{3}}{D} C_{3}-\frac{q a^{4}}{D} L_{13} \\ & \theta_{a}=\theta_{b} C_{4}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}-\frac{q a^{3}}{D} L_{16} \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}$ <br> $b / a$ <br> $K_{y_{b}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{b}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{\alpha}}$ <br> $K_{M_{t b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (parabolica } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0662 \\ -0.0757 \\ -0.0680 \\ -0.6892 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { increasing } \\ 0.3 \\ \hline-0.0644 \\ -0.1087 \\ -0.0802 \\ -0.3298 \end{gathered}$ | from $b$ to $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0441 \\ -0.0989 \\ -0.0799 \\ -0.1800 \end{gathered}$ | 0.7 -0.0196 -0.0693 -0.0618 -0.0900 | 0.9 -0.0026 -0.0260 -0.0252 -0.0263 |
| 41. Outer edge free, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-q a^{2}}{C_{8}}\left[\frac{C_{9}}{12 a b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right)-L_{19}\right] \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{q}{12 b}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ & y_{a}=M_{r b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{3}}{D} C_{3}-\frac{q a^{4}}{D} L_{13} \\ & \theta_{a}=M_{r b} \frac{a}{D} C_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}-\frac{q a^{3}}{D} L_{16} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{a}} \\ & K_{K_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (parabolical } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0468 \\ -0.0564 \\ -0.5221 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { increasing } \\ 0.3 \\ \hline-0.0193 \\ -0.0324 \\ -0.2202 \end{gathered}$ | from $b$ to $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0051 \\ -0.0128 \\ -0.0915 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline-0.0006 \\ -0.0028 \\ -0.0279 \end{gathered}$ | 0.9 -0.00001 -0.00010 -0.00268 |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

Case 5. Annular plate with a uniform line moment $M_{o}$ at a radius $r_{o}$


General expressions for deformations, moments, and shears:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}+M_{o} \frac{r^{2}}{D} G_{2} \\
& \theta=\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6}+M_{o} \frac{r}{D} G_{5} \\
& M_{r}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9}+M_{o} G_{8} \\
& M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} \\
& Q=Q_{b} \frac{b}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: If the loading $M_{o}$ is on the inside
edge, $r>r$ everywhere, so $\langle r-r\rangle^{0}=1$ edge, $r>r_{o}$ everywhere, so $\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}=1$ everywhere

For the numerical data given below, $v=0.3$

$$
y=K_{y} \frac{M_{o} a^{2}}{D}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{M_{o} a}{D}, \quad M=K_{M} M_{o}, \quad Q=K_{Q} \frac{M_{o}}{a}
$$



## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)



## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5e. Outer edge fixed, inner edge free | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{M_{o} a^{2}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{1} L_{5}}{C_{4}}-L_{2}\right) \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{-M_{o} a}{D C_{4}} L_{5} \\ & M_{r a}=M_{o}\left(L_{8}-\frac{C_{7}}{C_{4}} L_{5}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | (moment 0.1 0.0254 -0.1389 -0.9635 | the inner | e), 0.5 0.0776 -0.3659 -0.3659 | 10.7 0.0373 -0.2670 -0.0471 | 0.9 <br> 0.0048 <br> -0.0976 <br> 0.2014 |
| 5f. Outer edge fixed, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{M_{o} a^{2}}{D}\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{5}}{C_{5}}-L_{2}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-M_{o}}{C_{5}} L_{5} \\ & M_{r a}=M_{o}\left(L_{8}-\frac{C_{8}}{C_{5}} L_{5}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline r_{o} / a \\ & K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 <br> 0.0779 <br> -0.7576 <br> 0.2424 | 0.7 <br> 0.0815 <br> -0.5151 <br> 0.4849 | 0.7 <br> 0.0285 <br> -0.6800 <br> 0.3200 | 10.9 0.0207 -0.2533 0.7467 | 0.7 <br> 0.9 <br> 0.0101 <br> -0.3726 <br> 0.6274 |
| 5g. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{M_{o} a}{D} \frac{C_{3} L_{5}-C_{6} L_{2}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{a} \frac{C_{1} L_{5}-C_{4} L_{2}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}+M_{o} L_{8} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (moment } I \\ \hline 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0067 \\ -0.0940 \\ -1.7696 \end{gathered}$ | t the inner | e), <br> 0.5 <br> -0.0066 <br> -0.1074 <br> -3.3310 | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline-0.0029 \\ -0.0699 \\ -5.2890 \end{gathered}$ | 10.9 -0.0002 -0.0245 -15.2529 |
| 5h. Outer edge fixed, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=M_{o} \frac{C_{3} L_{5}-C_{6} L_{2}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{-M_{o}}{a} \frac{C_{2} L_{5}-C_{5} L_{2}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & M_{r a}=M_{r b} C_{8}+Q_{b} a C_{9}+M_{o} L_{8} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline r_{o} / a \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{M_{r o}} \\ & K_{M_{r o}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 <br> 0.7096 <br> -0.1407 <br> -0.5045 <br> 0.4955 <br> -8.0354 | 1 <br> 0.7 <br> 1.0185 <br> 0.0844 <br> -0.5371 <br> 0.4629 <br> -8.3997 | 10.7 0.2031 -0.2399 -0.4655 0.5345 -4.1636 | 10.9 0.3895 0.3391 -0.4671 0.5329 -3.0307 | 0.7 <br> 0.9 <br> 0.3925 <br> 0.0238 <br> -0.5540 <br> 0.4460 <br> -5.4823 |

(Note: the two values of $K_{M_{r o}}$ are for positions just before and after the applied

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

## Case 6. Annular plate with an externally applied change in slope $\theta_{o}$ on an annulus with a radius $r_{o}$



General expressions for deformations, moments, and shears:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}+\theta_{o} r G_{1} \\
& \theta=\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6}+\theta_{o} G_{4} \\
& M_{r}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9}+\frac{\theta_{o} D}{r} G_{7} \\
& M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} \\
& Q=Q_{b} \frac{b}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the numerical data given below, $v=0.3$, and all values given for $K_{M_{t o}}$ are found just outside $r_{o}$

$$
y=K_{y} \theta_{o} a, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \theta_{o}, \quad M=K_{M} \theta_{o} \frac{D}{a}, \quad Q=K_{Q} \theta_{o} \frac{D}{a^{2}}
$$

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6a. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge free | $M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0$ | $b / a$ | 0.1 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |
|  |  | $r_{o} / a$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  | $\theta_{b}=\frac{-\theta_{o}}{C_{7}} L_{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{y_{o}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2026 \\ & -0.2821 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1513 \\ & -0.2224 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0529 \\ & -0.1468 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0299 \\ & -0.0844 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0146 \\ & -0.0709 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\bigcirc>\theta_{0}$ | $\left(C_{4} L_{7}\right.$ | $K_{\theta_{b}}$ | -0.1515 | $-0.0736$ | -0.4857 | -0.1407 | -0.2898 |
|  | $\theta_{a}=-\theta_{o}\left(\frac{C_{4} L_{7}}{C_{7}}-L_{4}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{M_{t b}} \\ & K_{M_{t o}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.3788 \\ 1.1030 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.6697 \\ 0.9583 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.8840 \\ 0.6325 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2562 \\ 0.8435 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3767 \\ 0.7088 \end{array}$ |
| 6b. Outer edge simply supported, | $\theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0$ | $b / a$ |  |  |  |  | 0.7 |
|  | $y_{b}=\theta_{o} a\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{7}}{C_{8}}-L_{1}\right)$ | $\overline{r_{o} / a}$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| 1 | $M_{r b}=\frac{-\theta_{o} D L_{7}}{a C_{8}}$ | $K_{y_{b}}$ | $-0.2413$ | -0.1701 | $-0.2445$ | $-0.0854$ | $-0.0939$ |
| $-\sqrt{1}-\frac{1}{x} \theta_{0}$ | $M_{r b}=a C_{8}$ | $K_{\theta_{a}}$ | 0.5080 | 0.7039 | 0.7864 | 0.9251 | 0.9441 |
|  | $\theta=-\theta\left(\frac{C_{5} L_{7}}{C_{8}}-L_{4}\right)$ | $K_{M_{r b}}$ | -1.0444 | -0.5073 | $-0.4495$ | -0.1302 | $-0.1169$ |
|  | $\theta_{a}=-\theta_{o}\left(\frac{C^{\prime}}{C_{8}}-L_{4}\right)$ | $K_{M_{t b}}$ | -0.3133 | $-0.1522$ | -0.1349 | -0.0391 | $-0.0351$ |

TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6 c$. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & \theta_{b}=\theta_{o} \frac{C_{3} L_{7}-C_{9} L_{1}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{-\theta_{o} D}{a^{2}} \frac{C_{1} L_{7}-C_{7} L_{1}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ & \theta_{a}=\theta_{b} C_{4}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}+\theta_{o} L_{4} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{o}} \\ & K_{O_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \\ & K_{M_{t o}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 <br> -0.1629 <br> -0.3579 <br> 0.2522 <br> -3.2572 <br> 0.6152 <br> 5.5679 | 0.7 <br> -0.1689 <br> -0.2277 <br> 0.5189 <br> -2.0722 <br> 0.6973 <br> 4.1574 | 0.7 <br> -0.1161 <br> -0.6023 <br> 0.3594 <br> -1.0961 <br> 0.4905 <br> 0.2734 | 10.9 -0.0788 -0.2067 0.7743 -0.3762 0.7851 0.1548 | 0.7 <br> -0.9 <br> -0.3412 <br> 0.6508 <br> -0.4435 <br> 0.6602 <br> 0.0758 |
| 6d. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{\theta_{o} D}{a} \frac{C_{3} L_{7}-C_{9} L_{1}}{C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}} \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{-\theta_{o} D}{a^{2}} \frac{C_{2} L_{7}-C_{8} L_{1}}{C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}} \\ & \theta_{a}=M_{r b} \frac{a}{D} C_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}+\theta_{o} L_{4} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{o}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 <br> -0.1333 <br> 0.1843 <br> -3.3356 <br> 8.9664 | 0.7 <br> -0.1561 <br> 0.4757 <br> -2.1221 <br> 6.3196 | 0.7 <br> -0.0658 <br> 0.1239 <br> -4.2716 <br> 9.6900 | 0.9 <br> -0.0709 <br> 0.6935 <br> -1.4662 <br> 3.3870 | 0.7 <br> 0.9 <br> -0.0524 <br> 0.4997 <br> -3.6737 <br> 12.9999 |
| 6e. Outer edge fixed, inner edge free | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\theta_{o} a\left(\frac{C_{1} L_{4}}{C_{4}}-L_{1}\right) \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{-\theta_{o} L_{4}}{C_{4}} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{\theta_{o} D}{a}\left(L_{7}-\frac{C_{7}}{C_{4}} L_{4}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{y_{o}} \\ & K_{K_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | 1 0.5 0.0534 -0.0975 -0.2875 -2.6164 | 1 <br> 0.7 <br> 0.2144 <br> -0.0445 <br> -0.2679 <br> -2.4377 | 1 0.7 0.1647 -0.0155 -0.9317 -1.6957 | 10.9 0.3649 -0.0029 -0.9501 -1.7293 | 0.7 <br> 0.9 <br> -0.0013 <br> -1.0198 <br> -1.3257 |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| 6f. Outer edge fixed, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\theta_{o} a\left(\frac{C_{2} L_{4}}{C_{5}}-L_{1}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-\theta_{o} D L_{4}}{a C_{5}} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{\theta_{o} D}{a}\left(L_{7}-\frac{C_{8}}{C_{5}} L_{4}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $b / a$ | 0.1 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $r_{o} / a$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{y_{o}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0009 \\ -0.1067 \\ -2.0707 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1655 \\ -0.0472 \\ -1.9293 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0329 \\ & -0.0786 \\ & -2.5467 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1634 \\ -0.0094 \\ -2.5970 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0546 \\ -0.0158 \\ -3.8192 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $K_{M_{r a}}$ | -0.6707 | -0.9293 | -1.5467 | - 1.8193 | -3.0414 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6g. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\theta_{o} \frac{C_{3} L_{4}-C_{6} L_{1}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{-\theta_{o} D}{a^{2}} \frac{C_{1} L_{4}-C_{4} L_{1}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{b} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}+\frac{\theta_{o} D}{a} L_{7} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $b / a$ | 0.1 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |
|  |  | $\overline{r_{o} / a}$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & K_{y_{o}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1179 \\ & -0.1931 \\ & -0.8094 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0766 \\ 0.1116 \\ -1.6653 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0820 \\ & -0.3832 \\ & -1.9864 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.0208 \\ 0.2653 \\ -4.2792 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0286 \\ 0.0218 \\ -6.1794 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & K_{M a} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.7567 \\ & -3.7263 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0151 \\ -14.9665 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6974 \\ & -7.0690 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4828 \\ -15.6627 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0284 \\ -27.9529 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6h. Outer edge fixed, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{\theta_{o} D}{a} \frac{C_{3} L_{4}-C_{6} L_{1}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \end{aligned}$ | $b / a$ | 0.1 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |
|  |  | $\overline{r_{o} / a}$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  | $-\theta_{o} D C_{2} L_{4}-C_{5} L_{1}$ | $K_{y_{o}}$ |  |  |  |  | $-0.0290$ |
|  | $Q_{b}=\frac{a^{2}}{a^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}}{}$ | $K_{M_{r b}}$ $K_{M}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.0540 \\ & -0.6751 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1868 \\ -1.7429 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.5685 \\ & -0.8988 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4702 \\ -50390 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3122 \\ -6.3013 \end{array}$ |
|  | $M_{r a}=M_{r b} C_{8}+Q_{b} a C_{9}+\theta_{o} \frac{D}{a} L_{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6751 \\ & -0.0915 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.7429 \\ -17.067 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.8988 \\ 4.8176 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.0320 \\ -23.8910 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -6.3013 \\ -29.6041 \end{array}$ |
|  | $Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

Case 7. Annular plate with an externally applied vertical deformation $y_{o}$ at a radius $r_{o}$


General expressions for deformations, moments, and shears:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}+y_{o}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \\
& \theta=\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6} \\
& M_{r}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9} \\
& M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} \\
& Q=Q_{b} \frac{b}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the numerical data given below, $v=0.3$

$$
y=K_{y} y_{o}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{y_{o}}{a}, \quad M=K_{M} y_{o} \frac{D}{a^{2}}, \quad Q=K_{Q} y_{o} \frac{D}{a^{3}}
$$

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7c. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-y_{o} C_{9}}{a\left(C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}\right)} \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{y_{o} D C_{7}}{a^{3}\left(C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}\right)} \\ \theta_{a} & =\frac{y_{o}}{a} \frac{C_{7} C_{6}-C_{9} C_{4}}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{\theta_{b}}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{\alpha}}$ <br> $K_{M_{t b}}$ <br> $K_{Q_{b}}$ <br> (No | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ \hline-1.0189 \\ -1.1484 \\ -9.2716 \\ 27.4828 \end{gathered}$ <br> nstants gi | 0.3 -1.6176 -1.3149 -4.9066 7.9013 | 0.5 -2.2045 -1.8482 -4.0121 5.1721 <br> 1 values of | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline-3.5180 \\ -3.1751 \\ -4.5734 \\ 5.1887 \end{gathered}$ <br> b) | $$ |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| 7d. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-y_{o} D C_{9}}{a^{2}\left(C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}\right)} \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{y_{o} D C_{8}}{a^{3}\left(C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}\right)} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{y_{o}}{a} \frac{C_{6} C_{8}-C_{5} C_{9}}{C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \\ & \left(N_{0}\right. \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 -1.3418 -9.4949 37.1567 onstants g | 10.3 <br> -1.8304 <br> -9.9462 <br> 23.9899 <br> are valid | 0.5 <br> -2.7104 <br> -15.6353 <br> 39.6394 <br>  <br> all values of | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline-4.7327 \\ -37.8822 \\ 138.459 \\ \left.{ }_{o}>b\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.9 \\ \hline-14.7530 \\ -310.808 \\ 3186.83 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7g. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{-y_{o} C_{6}}{a\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right)} \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{y_{o} D C_{4}}{a^{3}\left(C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}\right)} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{y_{o} D}{a^{2}} \frac{C_{4} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{7}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $b / a$ <br> $K_{\theta_{b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ <br> $K_{M_{t b}}$ <br> $K_{Q_{b}}$ <br> (Not | $$ | 0.3 <br> -2.5008 <br> 5.1126 <br> -7.5856 <br> 30.3098 <br>  <br> are valid | 0.5 <br> -3.3310 <br> 10.2140 <br> -6.0624 <br> 42.9269 <br> all values of | 0.7 <br> -5.2890 <br> 30.1487 <br> -6.8757 <br> 141.937 <br> $>b)$ | 0.9 -15.2528 290.2615 -15.4223 3186.165 |
| 7h. Outer edge fixed, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-y_{o} D C_{6}}{a^{2}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)} \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{y_{o} D C_{5}}{a^{3}\left(C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}\right)} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{y_{o} D}{a^{2}} \frac{C_{5} C_{9}-C_{6} C_{8}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{M_{r i}}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ <br> $K_{Q_{b}}$ <br> (Not | $$ | $$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \hline-31.0210 \\ 19.6681 \\ 146.258 \\ \\ \text { all values o } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline-75.6312 \\ 59.6789 \\ 541.958 \\ \\ o>b) \end{gathered}$ | 0.9 -621.8586 579.6755 12671.35 |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

 forces develop)


$$
y=y_{b}+\theta_{b} r F_{1}+M_{r b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{2}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{3}}{D} F_{3}+\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T}{t} r^{2} G_{2}
$$

$$
\theta=\theta_{b} F_{4}+M_{r b} \frac{r}{D} F_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{r^{2}}{D} F_{6}+\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T}{t} r G_{5}
$$

Note: If the temperature difference $\Delta T$ occurs over the entire

$$
M_{r}=\theta_{b} \frac{D}{r} F_{7}+M_{r b} F_{8}+Q_{b} r F_{9}+\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T}{t} D\left(G_{8}-\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}\right)
$$ plate, $r>r_{o}$ everywhere, so $\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}=1$ everywhere, therefore, all numerical data for $K_{M t b}$ are given at a radius just greater

$$
M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r}-\frac{\gamma\left(1-v^{2}\right) \Delta T D}{t}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}
$$ than $b$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=Q_{b} \frac{b}{r} \\
& \text { For the numerical data given below, } v=0.3 \\
& y=K_{y} \frac{\gamma \Delta T a^{2}}{t}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{\gamma \Delta T a}{t}, \quad M=K_{M} \frac{\gamma \Delta T D}{t}, \quad Q=K_{Q} \frac{\gamma \Delta T D}{a t}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8a. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge free | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a^{2}}{t}\left[L_{2}+\frac{C_{1}}{C_{7}}\left(1-L_{8}\right)\right] \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t C_{7}}\left(1-L_{8}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=0 \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t}\left[L_{5}+\frac{C_{4}}{C_{7}}\left(1-L_{8}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}=b$ ( $\Delta T$ over entire plate), <br> (Note: There are no moments in the plate) | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline-0.2550 \\ 1.0000 \\ 0.7000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \\ \hline-0.0950 \\ 1.0000 \\ 0.9000 \end{array}$ |


| 8 b . Outer edge simply supported, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a^{2}}{t}\left[L_{2}+\frac{C_{2}}{C_{8}}\left(1-L_{8}\right)\right] \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t C_{8}}\left(1-L_{8}\right) \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t}\left[L_{5}+\frac{C_{5}}{C_{8}}\left(1-L_{8}\right)\right] \\ & Q_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}$ <br> $b / a$ <br> $\overline{K_{y_{b}}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{a}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{t b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\Delta T \text { over e } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.4695 \\ 0.9847 \\ 0.6893 \\ -0.7032 \end{gathered}$ | plate), <br> 0.3 <br> -0.3306 <br> 0.8679 <br> 0.6076 <br> -0.7277 | 1 0.5 -0.1778 0.6610 0.4627 -0.7712 | 0.7 -0.0635 0.4035 0.2825 -0.8253 | 0.9 <br> -0.0067 <br> 0.1323 <br> 0.0926 <br> -0.8822 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8c. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t} \frac{C_{9} L_{2}+C_{3}\left(1-L_{8}\right)}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{a t} \frac{C_{7} L_{2}+C_{1}\left(1-L_{8}\right)}{C_{1} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{7}} \\ \theta_{a} & =\theta_{b} C_{4}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}+\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t} L_{5} \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\Delta T \text { over er } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0865 \\ -0.4043 \\ 0.4316 \\ -4.5894 \\ 13.6040 \end{gathered}$ | plate), <br> 0.3 <br> -0.0701 <br> -0.4360 <br> 0.4017 <br> -2.2325 <br> 3.5951 | 0.5 -0.0388 -0.3267 0.3069 -1.5045 1.9395 | 0.7 -0.0142 -0.1971 0.1904 -1.1662 1.3231 | 0.9 -0.0653 0.0646 -0.9760 1.0127 |
| 8d. Outer edge simply supported, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t} \frac{C_{9} L_{2}+C_{3}\left(1-L_{8}\right)}{C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}} \\ & Q_{b}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{a t} \frac{C_{8} L_{2}+C_{2}\left(1-L_{8}\right)}{C_{2} C_{9}-C_{3} C_{8}} \\ & \theta_{a}=M_{r b} \frac{a}{D} C_{5}+Q_{b} \frac{a^{2}}{D} C_{6}+\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t} L_{5} \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{\max }} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (\Delta T \text { over er } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0583 \\ 0.3548 \\ -3.7681 \\ 17.4431 \end{array}$ | plate), <br> 0.3 <br> -0.0318 <br> 0.2628 <br> -2.6809 <br> 7.9316 | $$ | 0.7 -0.0049 0.1031 -2.1223 8.7894 | 0.9 <br> 0.0331 <br> -1.9975 <br> 21.3100 |


| Case no., edge restraints | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8e. Outer edge fixed, inner edge free | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a^{2}}{t}\left(L_{2}-\frac{C_{1}}{C_{4}} L_{5}\right) \\ & \theta_{b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t C_{4}} L_{5} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t}\left(\frac{C_{7}}{C_{4}} L_{5}+1-L_{8}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & b / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{\theta_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{M_{t b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta T$ over e 0.1 0.0330 -0.1805 -1.2635 -2.5526 | plate), <br> 0.3 <br> 0.1073 <br> -0.4344 <br> -1.0136 <br> -2.2277 | 0.5 0.1009 -0.4756 -0.6659 -1.7756 | 10.7 0.0484 -0.3471 -0.3471 -1.3613 | 0.9 <br> 0.0062 <br> -0.1268 <br> -0.0986 <br> -1.0382 |
| 8f. Outer edge fixed, inner edge guided | $\begin{aligned} & \theta_{b}=0, \quad Q_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a^{2}}{t}\left(L_{2}-\frac{C_{2}}{C_{5}} L_{5}\right) \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t C_{5}} L_{5} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t}\left(\frac{C_{8}}{C_{5}} L_{5}+1-L_{8}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { If } r_{o} \\ & \text { ever } \end{aligned}, \begin{aligned} & b / a \\ & \hline r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{b}} \\ & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{M_{t o}} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta T$ over en <br> re in the <br> 0.5 <br> 0.1013 <br> -0.9849 <br> -0.9849 <br> -1.5364 | plate), all <br> If $r_{o}>b$, | following <br> 0.7 <br> 0.0370 <br> -0.8840 <br> -0.8840 <br> -1.3267 | and $K_{M_{r}}$ <br> lated valu | $M_{t}=-1.30$ <br> pply. |
| 8g. Outer edge fixed, inner edge simply supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{b} & =0, \quad M_{r b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ \theta_{b} & =\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T a}{t} \frac{C_{6} L_{2}-C_{3} L_{5}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ Q_{b} & =\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{a t} \frac{C_{4} L_{2}-C_{1} L_{5}}{C_{1} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{4}} \\ M_{r a} & =\theta_{B} \frac{D}{a} C_{7}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t}\left(1-L_{8}\right) \\ Q_{a} & =Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}$ <br> $b / a$ <br> - <br> $K_{y_{\text {max }}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{t b}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ <br> $K_{Q_{b}}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\Delta T \text { over e } \\ 0.1 \\ \hline-0.0088 \\ -0.1222 \\ -2.0219 \\ -1.3850 \\ -2.3005 \end{gathered}$ | plate), | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ \hline-0.0091 \\ -0.1396 \\ -1.1641 \\ -1.6962 \\ -4.3303 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ \hline-0.0039 \\ -0.0909 \\ -1.0282 \\ -1.8076 \\ -6.8757 \end{gathered}$ | 0.9 -0.0319 -0.9422 -1.9050 -19.8288 |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| 8h. Outer edge fixed, inner edge fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{b}=0, \quad \theta_{b}=0, \quad y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & M_{r b}=\frac{-\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t} \frac{C_{6} L_{2}-C_{3} L_{5}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}} \end{aligned}$ | If $r_{o}=b$ ( $\Delta T$ over entire plate), all deflections are zero and $K_{M_{r}}=M_{M_{t}}=-1.30$ everywhere in the plate. If $r_{o}>b$, the following tabulated values apply. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Q_{b}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{a t} \frac{C_{5} L_{2}-C_{2} L_{5}}{C_{2} C_{6}-C_{3} C_{5}}$ | $r_{o} / a$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r a}=M_{r b} C_{8}+Q_{b} a C_{9}-\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T D}{t}\left(1-L_{8}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=Q_{b} \frac{b}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K_{M_{r b}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \\ & K_{M_{t a}} \\ & K_{Q_{b}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9224 \\ -1.4829 \\ -1.3549 \\ -10.4460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.3241 \\ -1.1903 \\ -1.2671 \\ -10.9196 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2640 \\ -1.6119 \\ -1.3936 \\ -5.4127 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5063 \\ -0.8592 \\ -1.1677 \\ -3.9399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.5103 \\ -1.2691 \\ -1.2907 \\ -7.1270 \end{array}$ |

Cases 9 to 15 . Solid circular plate under the several indicated loadings


General expressions for deformations, moments, and shears:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y=y_{c}+\frac{M_{c} r^{2}}{2 D(1+v)}+L T_{y} & \text { (Note: } y_{c} \text { is the center deflection) } \\
\theta=\frac{M_{c} r}{D(1+v)}+L T_{\theta} & \text { (Note: } M_{c} \text { is the moment at the center) } \\
M_{r}=M_{c}+L T_{M} & \\
M_{t}=\frac{\theta D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{r}+v M_{r} & \text { (Note: For } \left.r<r_{o}, M_{t}=M_{r}=M_{c}\right) \\
Q_{r}=L T_{Q} &
\end{array}
$$

For the numerical data given below, $v=0.3$
(Note: $\ln =$ natural logarithm)


## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., loading, load terms | Edge restraint | Boundary values | Special cases |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & L T_{\theta}=\frac{-w r^{2}}{D} G_{6} \\ & L T_{M}=-w r G_{9} \\ & L T_{Q}=\frac{-w r_{o}}{r}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \end{aligned}$ | 9b. Fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{c}=\frac{-w a^{3}}{2 D}\left(L_{6}-2 L_{3}\right) \\ & M_{c}=w a(1+v) L_{6} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{-w r_{o}}{2 a^{2}}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ & y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \end{aligned}$ | $r_{o} / a$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 <br> $K_{y_{c}}$ -0.02078 -0.02734 -0.02042 <br> $K_{M_{c}}$ 0.14683 0.12904 0.07442 <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ -0.09600 -0.16800 -0.19200 <br> (Note: If $r_{o}$ approaches 0 , see case 17) | 0.8 <br> -0.00744 <br> 0.02243 <br> -0.14400 |
| 10. Uniformly distributed pressure from $r_{o}$ to $a$ $\begin{aligned} L T_{y} & =\frac{-q r^{4}}{D} G_{11} \\ L T_{\theta} & =\frac{-q r^{3}}{D} G_{14} \end{aligned}$ | 10a. Simply <br> supported | $\begin{aligned} y_{a} & =0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ y_{c} & =\frac{-q a^{4}}{2 D}\left(\frac{L_{17}}{1+v}-2 L_{11}\right) \\ M_{c} & =q a^{2} L_{17} \\ \theta_{a} & =\frac{q}{8 D a(1+v)}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)^{2} \\ Q_{a} & =\frac{-q}{2 a}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $y=K_{y} \frac{q a^{4}}{D}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{q a^{3}}{D}, \quad M=K_{M} q a^{2}$$r_{o} / a$ 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 <br> $K_{y_{c}}$ -0.06370 -0.05767 -0.04221 -0.02303 <br> $K_{\theta_{a}}$ 0.09615 0.08862 0.06785 0.03939 <br> $K_{M_{c}}$ 0.20625 0.17540 0.11972 0.06215 <br> Note: If $r_{o}=0, \quad G_{11}=\frac{1}{64}, \quad G_{14}=\frac{1}{16}, \quad G_{17}=\frac{(3+v)}{16}$ $y_{c}=\frac{-q a^{4}(5+v)}{64 D(1+v)}, \quad M_{c}=\frac{q a^{2}(3+v)}{16}, \quad \theta_{a}=\frac{q a^{3}}{8 D(1+v)}$ | $$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & L T_{M}=-q r^{2} G_{17} \\ & L T_{Q}=\frac{-q}{24}\left(r^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \end{aligned}$ | 10b. Fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{c}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{2 D}\left(L_{14}-2 L_{11}\right) \\ & M_{c}=q a^{2}(1+v) L_{14} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{-q}{8 a^{2}}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $r_{o} / a$ 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 <br> $K_{y_{c}}$ -0.01563 -0.01336 -0.00829 -0.00344 <br> $K_{M_{c}}$ 0.08125 0.06020 0.03152 0.01095 <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ -0.12500 -0.11520 -0.08820 -0.05120 <br> Note: If $r_{o}=0, \quad G_{11}=\frac{1}{64}, \quad G_{14}=\frac{1}{16}, \quad G_{17}=\frac{(3+v)}{16}$ $y_{c}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{64 D}, \quad M_{c}=\frac{q a^{2}(1+v)}{16}, \quad M_{r a}=\frac{-q a^{2}}{8}$ | 0.8 <br> -0.00054 <br> 0.00156 <br> -0.01620 |
| 11. Linearly increasing pressure from $r_{o}$ to $a$ $\begin{aligned} & L T_{y}=\frac{-q r^{4}}{D} \frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}} G_{12} \\ & L T_{\theta}=\frac{-q r^{3}}{D} \frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}} G_{15} \end{aligned}$ | 11a. Simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & M_{r a}=0, \quad y_{a}=0 \\ & y_{c}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{2 D}\left(\frac{L_{18}}{1+v}-2 L_{12}\right) \\ & M_{c}=q a^{2} L_{18} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{q a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{L_{18}}{1+v}-L_{15}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=\frac{-q}{6 a}\left(2 a^{2}-r_{o} a-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $y=K_{y} \frac{q a^{4}}{D}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{q a^{3}}{D}, \quad M=K_{M} q a^{2}$ $r_{o} / a$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \\ \hline-0.00234 \\ 0.00439 \\ 0.00610 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| $L T_{M}=-q r^{2} \frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}} G_{18}$ | 11b. Fixed | $y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0$ |  |  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} L T_{Q}=\frac{-q\left(2 r^{3}-3 r_{o} r^{2}+r_{o}^{3}\right)}{6 r\left(a-r_{o}\right)} \\ \times\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & y_{c}=\frac{q}{2 D}\left(L_{15}-2 L_{12}\right) \\ & M_{c}=q a^{2}(1+v) L_{15} \\ & M_{r a}=-q a^{2}\left[L_{18}-(1+v) L_{15}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $K_{y_{c}}$ <br> $K_{M_{c}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ <br> Note: $y_{c}=\frac{-}{1!}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00667 \\ 0.02889 \\ -0.06667 \\ r_{o}=0, \quad G \\ \frac{a^{4}}{0 D}, \quad M_{c}= \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00462 \\ 0.01791 \\ -0.05291 \\ \frac{1}{225}, \quad G_{15} \\ \frac{x^{2}(1+v)}{45}, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00252 \\ 0.00870 \\ -0.03624 \\ \frac{1}{45}, \quad G_{18}= \\ r a=\frac{-q a^{2}}{15} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00093 \\ 0.00289 \\ -0.01931 \\ \frac{4+v)}{45} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.00014 \\ 0.00040 \\ -0.00571 \end{array}$ |
| 12. Parabolically increasing pressure from $r_{o}$ to $a$ $\begin{aligned} L T_{y} & =\frac{-q r^{4}}{D}\left(\frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}}\right)^{2} G_{13} \\ L T_{\theta} & =\frac{-q r^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}}\right)^{2} G_{16} \end{aligned}$ | 12a. Simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0 \\ & y_{c}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{2 D}\left(\frac{L_{19}}{1+v}-2 L_{13}\right) \\ & M_{c}=q a^{2} L_{19} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{q a^{3}}{D}\left(\frac{L_{19}}{1+v}-L_{16}\right) \\ & Q_{a}=\frac{-q}{12 a}\left(3 a^{2}-2 a r_{o}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $y=K_{y}$ <br> $r_{o} / a$ <br> $K_{y_{c}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{a}}$ <br> $K_{M_{c}}$ <br> Note: $y_{c}=\frac{-}{28}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{q a^{4}}{D}, \quad \theta=K \\ 0.0 \\ \hline-0.01949 \\ 0.03205 \\ 0.05521 \\ r_{o}=0, \quad G \\ \frac{a^{4}(7+v)}{8 D(1+v)} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \frac{q a^{3}}{D}, \quad M= \\ 0.2 \\ -0.01419 \\ 0.02396 \\ 0.03903 \\ =\frac{1}{576}, \quad G \\ I_{c}=\frac{q a^{2}(5+}{96} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{M} q a^{2}$ $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \hline-0.00893 \\ 0.01560 \\ 0.02397 \\ =\frac{1}{96}, \quad G_{19} \\ -\quad \theta_{a}=\frac{24 L}{24 L} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \hline-0.00438 \\ 0.00796 \\ 0.01154 \\ =\frac{(5+v)}{96} \\ \frac{a^{3}}{1+v)} \end{gathered}$ | $$ |
| $\begin{aligned} L T_{M}= & -q r^{2}\left(\frac{r-r_{o}}{a-r_{o}}\right)^{2} G_{19} \\ L T_{Q}= & \frac{-q\left(3 r^{4}-8 r_{o} r^{3}+6 r_{o}^{2} r^{2}-r_{o}^{4}\right)}{12 r\left(a-r_{o}\right)^{2}} \\ & \times\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0} \end{aligned}$ | 12b. Fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0 \\ & y_{c}=\frac{-q a^{4}}{2 D}\left(L_{16}-2 L_{13}\right) \\ & M_{c}=q a^{2}(1+v) L_{16} \\ & M_{r a}=-q a^{2}\left[L_{19}-(1+v) L_{16}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $r_{o} / a$ <br> $K_{y_{c}}$ <br> $K_{M_{c}}$ <br> $K_{M_{r a}}$ <br> Note: $y_{c}=\frac{-}{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ \hline-0.00347 \\ 0.01354 \\ -0.04167 \\ r_{o}=0, \quad G \\ \frac{a^{4}}{8 D}, \quad M_{c}= \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \hline-0.00221 \\ 0.00788 \\ -0.03115 \\ =\frac{1}{576}, \quad G \\ \frac{a^{2}(1+v)}{96}, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \hline-0.00113 \\ 0.00369 \\ -0.02028 \\ =\frac{1}{96}, \quad G_{19} \\ r a=\frac{-q a^{2}}{24} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.6 \\ \hline-0.00040 \\ 0.00120 \\ -0.01035 \\ =\frac{(5+v)}{96} \end{gathered}$ | 0.8 -0.000058 0.000162 -0.002947 |

TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., loading, load terms <br> 13. Uniform line moment at $r_{o}$ | Edge restraint | Boundary values | Special cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13a. Simply supported | $y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0$ $\begin{aligned} & y_{c}=\frac{M_{o} r_{o}^{2}}{2 D}\left(\frac{1}{1+v}+\ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right) \\ & M_{c}=-M_{o} L_{8} \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{-M_{o} r_{o}^{2}}{D a(1+v)} \end{aligned}$ | $y=K$ <br> $r_{o} / a$ <br> $K_{y_{c}}$ <br> $K_{\theta_{a}}$ <br> $K_{M_{c}}$ | $\frac{M_{o} a^{2}}{D}, \quad \theta=K$ 0.2 0.04757 -0.03077 -0.66400 | $\frac{M_{o} a}{D}, \quad M$ <br> 0.4 <br> 0.13484 <br> -0.12308 <br> -0.70600 | $K_{M} M_{o}$ <br> 0.6 <br> 0.23041 <br> -0.27692 <br> -0.77600 | 10.8 0.31756 -0.49231 -0.87400 | 1.0 0.38462 -0.76923 -1.00000 |
| $\begin{aligned} L T_{M} & =M_{o} G_{8} \\ L T_{Q} & =0 \end{aligned}$ | 13b. Fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & y_{c}=\frac{M_{o} r_{o}^{2}}{2 D} \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}} \\ & M_{c}=\frac{-M_{o}(1+v)}{2 a^{2}}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{M_{o} r_{o}^{2}}{a^{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{c}} \\ & K_{M_{c}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \end{aligned}$ | 0.2 <br> 0.03219 <br> -0.62400 <br> 0.04000 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ -\quad 0.9 \\ 0 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330 \\ & 600 \\ & 000 \end{aligned}$ | 0.6 <br> 0.09195 <br> -0.41600 <br> 0.36000 | 0.8 0.07141 -0.23400 0.64000 |
| 14. Externally applied change in slope at a radius $r_{o}$ $\begin{aligned} & L T_{y}=\theta_{o} r G_{1} \\ & L T_{\theta}=\theta_{o} G_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 14a. Simply supported | $\begin{aligned} & y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & y_{c}=\frac{-\theta_{o} r_{o}(1+v)}{2} \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}} \\ & M_{c}=\frac{-\theta_{o} D\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 r_{o} a^{2}}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\ & \theta_{a}=\frac{\theta_{o} r_{o}}{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & y=K \\ & r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{c}} \\ & K_{\theta_{a}} \\ & K_{M_{c}} \\ & K_{M_{t o}} \end{aligned}$ | $a, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \theta_{o}$ 0.2 -0.20923 0.20000 -2.18400 2.33600 | $M=K_{M}$ <br> $-0$. <br> 0. -0. <br> 1. | $\frac{D}{a}$ 824 000 550 950 | $$ | 0.8 <br> -0.11603 <br> 0.80000 <br> -0.20475 <br> 0.93275 |
| $L T_{M}=\frac{\theta_{o} D}{r} G_{7}$ $L T_{Q}=0$ | 14b. Fixed | $\begin{aligned} & y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\ & y_{c}=\frac{\theta_{o} r_{o}}{2}\left[1-(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right] \\ & M_{c}=\frac{-\theta_{o} D(1+v)}{a} L_{4} \\ & M_{r a}=\frac{-\theta_{o} D r_{o}}{a^{2}}(1+v) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{o} / a \\ & \hline K_{y_{c}} \\ & K_{M_{c}} \\ & K_{M_{r a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2 \\ \hline-0.10923 \\ -2.44400 \\ -0.26000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4 \\ \hline-0.03824 \\ -1.47550 \\ -0.52000 \end{gathered}$ | 0.6 0.10078 -1.26533 -0.78000 | 0.8 0.28396 -1.24475 -1.04000 | 1.0 0.50000 -1.30000 -1.30000 |

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

15. Uniform temperature
differential $\Delta T$ between the bottom and top surface from $r_{o}$ to $a$

$L T_{y}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T}{t} r^{2} G_{2}$
$L T_{\theta}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T}{t} r G_{5}$
$L T_{M}=\frac{\gamma D(1+v) \Delta T}{t}\left(G_{8}-\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}\right)$
$L T_{Q}=0$
Note: Values for $K_{M_{t o}}$ are given at a radius just greater than $r_{o}$

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { 15a. Simply } \\
\text { supported }
\end{array} & y_{a}=0, \quad M_{r a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=0 \\
y_{c}=\frac{-\gamma \Delta T}{2 t}\left[a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}-r_{o}^{2}(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right] \\
M_{c}=\frac{\gamma D(1+v) \Delta T}{t}\left(1-L_{8}\right) \\
\theta_{a}=\frac{\gamma \Delta T}{t a}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right) \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

15b. Fixed

$$
y_{a}=0, \quad \theta_{a}=0, \quad Q_{a}=
$$

$$
y_{c}=\frac{\gamma(1+v) \Delta T}{2 t} r_{o}^{2} \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}
$$

$$
M_{c}=\frac{-\gamma D(1+v)^{2} \Delta T}{2 t a^{2}}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
M_{r a}=\frac{-\gamma D(1+v) \Delta T}{t a^{2}}\left(a^{2}-r_{o}^{2}\right)
$$

$y=K_{y} \frac{\gamma \Delta T a^{2}}{t}, \quad \theta=K_{\theta} \frac{\gamma \Delta T a}{t}, \quad M=K_{M} \frac{\gamma \Delta T D}{t}$

| $r_{o} / a$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{y_{c}}$ | -0.50000 | -0.43815 | -0.32470 | -0.20047 | -0.08717 |
| $K_{\theta_{a}}$ | 1.00000 | 0.96000 | 0.84000 | 0.64000 | 0.36000 |
| $K_{M_{c}}$ | 0.00000 | 0.43680 | 0.38220 | 0.29120 | 0.16380 |
| $K_{M}$ |  | -0.47320 | -0.52780 | -0.61880 | -0.74620 |

Note: When the entire plate is subjected to the temperature differential, there is no stress anywhere in the plate.

| $r_{o} / a$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $K_{y_{c}}$ | 0.00000 | 0.04185 | 0.09530 | 0.11953 | 0.09283 |
| $K_{M_{c}}$ | -1.30000 | -0.81120 | -0.70980 | -0.54080 | -0.30420 |
| $K_{M_{r o}}$ |  | -1.24800 | -1.09200 | -0.83200 | -0.46800 |
| $K_{M_{t o}}$ |  | -1.72120 | -1.61980 | -1.45080 | -1.21420 |

Note: When the entire plate is subjected to the temperature differential, the moments are the same everywhere in the plate and there are no deflections.

Note: the term $\frac{-\gamma\left(1-v^{2}\right) \Delta T D}{t}\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}$ must be added to $M_{t}$ for this case 15 . Also, if $r_{o}=0$, then $G_{2}=\frac{1}{4}, G_{5}=\frac{1}{2}, G_{8}=(1+v) / 2$, and $\left\langle r-r_{o}\right\rangle^{0}=1$ for all values of $r$.


| Case no., loading, restraints | Formulas | Special cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. Uniform load over a very small central circular area of radius $r_{o}$; edge simply supported $W=q \pi r_{o}^{2}$ | For $r>r_{o}$ $\begin{aligned} & y=\frac{-W}{16 \pi D}\left[\frac{3+v}{1+v}\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right)-2 r^{2} \ln \frac{a}{r}\right] \\ & \theta=\frac{W r}{4 \pi D}\left(\frac{1}{1+v}+\ln \frac{a}{r}\right) \\ & M_{r}=\frac{W}{16 \pi}\left[4(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r}+(1-v)\left(\frac{a^{2}-r^{2}}{a^{2}}\right) \frac{r_{o}^{\prime 2}}{r^{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> where $r_{o}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1.6 r_{o}^{2}+t^{2}}-0.675 t$ if $r_{o}<0.5 t$ <br> or $r_{o}^{\prime}=r_{o}$ if $r_{o}>0.5 t$ $M_{t}=\frac{W}{16 \pi}\left[4(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r}+(1-v)\left(4-\frac{r_{o}^{\prime 2}}{r^{2}}\right)\right]$ | $\begin{array}{ll} y_{\max }=\frac{-W a^{2}}{16 \pi D} \frac{3+v}{1+v} & \text { at } r=0 \\ \theta_{\max }=\frac{W a}{4 \pi D(1+v)} & \text { at } r=a \\ \left(M_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{W}{4 \pi}\left[(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}^{\prime}}+1\right] & \text { at } r=0 \\ \left(M_{t}\right)_{\max }=\left(M_{r}\right)_{\max } & \text { at } r=0 \end{array}$ |

TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., loading, restraints | Formulas | Special cases |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. Uniform load over a very small central circular area of radius $r_{o}$; edge fixed $W=q \pi r_{o}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { For } r>r_{o}^{\prime} \\ & y=\frac{-W}{16 \pi D}\left[a^{2}-r^{2}\left(1+2 \ln \frac{a}{r}\right)\right] \\ & \theta=\frac{W r}{4 \pi D} \ln \frac{a}{r} \\ & M_{r}=\frac{W}{4 \pi}\left[(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r}-1+\frac{(1-v) r_{o}^{\prime 2}}{4 r^{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> where $r_{o}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1.6 r_{o}^{2}+t^{2}}-0.675 t$ if $r_{o}<0.5 t$ or $\quad r_{o}^{\prime}=r_{o} \quad$ if $r_{o} \geqslant 0.5 t$ $M_{t}=\frac{W}{4 \pi}\left[(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r}-v+\frac{v(1-v) r_{o}^{2}}{4 r^{2}}\right]$ | $\begin{array}{ll} y_{\max }=\frac{-W a^{2}}{16 \pi D} & \text { at } r=0 \\ \theta_{\max }=0.0293 \frac{W a}{D} & \text { at } r=0.368 a \\ \left(+M_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{W}{4 \pi}(1+v) \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}^{\prime}} & \text { at } r=0 \\ \left(-M_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{-W}{4 \pi} & \text { at } r=a \\ \left(+M_{r}\right)_{\max }=\left(+M_{r}\right)_{\max } & \text { at } r=0 \\ \left(-M_{t}\right)_{\max }=\frac{-v W}{4 \pi} & \text { at } r=a \end{array}$ |
| 18. Uniform load over a small eccentric circular area of radius $r_{o}$; edge simply supported <br> Note: $\begin{aligned} r_{o}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1.6 r_{o}^{2}+t^{2}}- & 0.675 t \\ & \text { if } r_{o}<0.5 t \end{aligned}$ <br> or $r_{o}^{\prime}=r_{o} \quad$ if $r_{o} \geqslant 0.5 t$ | $\left(M_{r}\right)_{\max }=\left(M_{t}\right)_{\max }=\frac{W}{4 \pi}\left[1+(1+v) \ln \left(\frac{a-p}{r_{o}^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{(1-v) r_{o}^{\prime 2}}{4(a-p)^{2}}\right]$ at the load <br> At any point $s$, $\begin{aligned} M_{r} & =\left(M_{r}\right)_{\max } \frac{(1+v) \ln \left(a_{1} / r_{1}\right)}{1+(1+v) \ln \left(a_{1} / r_{o}^{\prime}\right)}, \quad M_{t}=\left(M_{t}\right)_{\max } \frac{(1+v) \ln (a}{1+(1+} \\ y & =-\left[K_{o}\left(r^{3}-b_{o} a r^{2}+c_{o} a^{3}\right)+K_{1}\left(r^{4}-b_{1} a r^{3}+c_{1} a^{3} r\right) \cos \phi+\right. \end{aligned}$ <br> where $K_{o}=\frac{W}{\pi D a^{4}} \frac{2(1+v)}{9(5+v)}\left(p^{3}-b_{o} a p^{2}+c_{o} a^{3}\right)$ $\begin{aligned} & K_{1}=\frac{W}{\pi D a^{6}} \frac{2(3+v)}{3(9+v)}\left(p^{4}-b_{1} a p^{3}+c_{1} a^{3} p\right) \\ & K_{2}=\frac{W}{\pi D a^{6}} \frac{(4+v)^{2}}{(9+v)(5+v)}\left(p^{4}-b_{2} a p^{3}+c_{2} a^{2} p^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> where $b_{o}=\frac{3(2+v)}{2(1+v)}, \quad b_{1}=\frac{3(4+v)}{2(3+v)}, \quad b_{2}=\frac{2(5+v)}{4+v}, \quad c_{o}=\frac{4+v}{2(1+v)}$, <br> (See Ref. 1) | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left./ r_{1}\right)+1-v}{\ln \left(a_{1} / r_{o}^{\prime}\right)} \\ & \left.{ }_{2}\left(r^{4}-b_{2} a r^{3}+c_{2} a^{2} r^{2}\right) \cos 2 \phi\right] \end{aligned}$ $c_{1}=\frac{6+v}{2(3+v)}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{6+v}{4+v}$ |

$\left(M_{r}\right)_{\max }=\left(M_{t}\right)_{\max }=\frac{W}{4 \pi}\left[1+(1+v) \ln \left(\frac{a-p}{r_{o}^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{(1-v) r_{o}^{\prime 2}}{4(a-p)^{2}}\right]$ at the load

At any point $s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{r} & =\left(M_{r}\right)_{\max } \frac{(1+v) \ln \left(a_{1} / r_{1}\right)}{1+(1+v) \ln \left(a_{1} / r_{o}^{\prime}\right)}, \quad M_{t}=\left(M_{t}\right)_{\max } \frac{(1+v) \ln \left(a_{1} / r_{1}\right)+1-v}{1+(1+v) \ln \left(a_{1} / r_{o}^{\prime}\right)} \\
y & =-\left[K_{o}\left(r^{3}-b_{o} a r^{2}+c_{o} a^{3}\right)+K_{1}\left(r^{4}-b_{1} a r^{3}+c_{1} a^{3} r\right) \cos \phi+K_{2}\left(r^{4}-b_{2} a r^{3}+c_{2} a^{2} r^{2}\right) \cos 2 \phi\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{o}=\frac{W}{\pi D a^{4}} \frac{2(1+v)}{9(5+v)}\left(p^{3}-b_{o} a p^{2}+c_{o} a^{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{1}=\frac{}{\pi D a^{6}} \frac{W}{3(9+v)}\left(p^{2}-b_{1} a p^{2}+c_{1} a p\right) \\
& K_{2}=\frac{W}{\pi D a^{6}} \frac{(4+v)^{2}}{(9+v)(5+v)}\left(p^{4}-b_{2} a p^{3}+c_{2} a^{2} p^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{o}=\frac{3(2+v)}{2(1+v)}, \quad b_{1}=\frac{3(4+v)}{2(3+v)}, \quad b_{2}=\frac{2(5+v)}{4+v}, \quad c_{o}=\frac{4+v}{2(1+v)}, \quad c_{1}=\frac{6+v}{2(3+v)}, \quad c_{2}=\frac{6+v}{4+v}$

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

19. Uniform load over a small eccentric circular area of radius $r_{o}$; edge fixed

20. Central couple on an annular plate with a simply supported outer edge (trunnion loading)

(Note: For eccentric trunnions loaded with vertical loads, couples, and pressure on the plate, see Refs. 86 and 87)

At any point $s$,

$$
y=\frac{-W}{16 \pi D}\left[\frac{p^{2} r_{2}^{2}}{a^{2}}-r_{1}^{2}\left(1+2 \ln \frac{p r_{2}}{a r_{1}}\right)\right] \quad\left(\text { Note: As } p \rightarrow 0, p r_{2} \rightarrow a^{2}\right)
$$

At the load point,
$y=\frac{-W}{16 \pi D} \frac{\left(a^{2}-p^{2}\right)^{2}}{a^{2}}$
$M_{r}=\frac{W(1+v)}{16 \pi}\left[4 \ln \left(\frac{a-p}{r_{o}^{\prime}}\right)+\left(\frac{r_{o}^{\prime}}{a-p}\right)^{2}\right]=M_{\max } \quad$ if $r_{o}^{\prime}<0.6(a-p) \quad$ (Note: $r_{o}^{\prime}$ defined in case 18)

At the near edge,
$M_{r}=\frac{-W}{8 \pi}\left[2-\left(\frac{r_{o}^{\prime}}{a-p}\right)^{2}\right]=M_{\max } \quad$ if $r_{o}>0.6(a-p)$
[Formulas due to Michell (Ref. 2). See Ref. 60 for modified boundary conditions]
20a. Trunnion simply supported to plate. For $v=0.3$
$\theta=\frac{\alpha M}{E t^{3}}, \quad \tau_{\max }=\tau_{r t}=\frac{\lambda M}{a t^{2}}$ at $r=b$ at $90^{\circ}$ to the plane of $M$
$\sigma_{\text {max }}=\sigma_{t}=\frac{\gamma M}{a t^{2}}$ at $r=b$ in the plane of $M$

| $b / a$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | 9.475 | 6.256 | 4.630 | 3.643 | 2.976 | 2.128 | 1.609 | 1.260 | 1.011 | 0.827 |
| $\gamma$ | 12.317 | 8.133 | 6.019 | 4.735 | 3.869 | 2.766 | 2.092 | 1.638 | 1.314 | 1.075 |
| $\alpha$ | 2.624 | 2.256 | 1.985 | 1.766 | 1.577 | 1.257 | 0.984 | 0.743 | 0.528 | 0.333 |

20b. Trunnion fixed to the plate

| $\theta=\frac{\alpha M}{E t^{3}}$, |
| :--- |
| $\quad\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{\beta M}{a t^{2}}$ at $r=b$ in the plane of $M$ |
| $b / a$ |$| 0.10$

## Case no., loading,

load terms
21. Central couple on an annular plate with a fixed outer edge (trunnion loading)

(Note: For eccentric trunnions see note in case 20 above)

21a. Trunnion simply supported to plate. For $v=0.3$
$\theta=\frac{\alpha M}{E t^{3}}, \quad \tau_{\max }=\tau_{r t}=\frac{\lambda M}{a t^{2}}$ at $r=b$ at $90^{\circ}$ to the plane of $M$
$\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{\beta M}{a t^{2}}$ at $r=a$ in the plane of $M \quad \max \sigma_{t}=\frac{\gamma M}{a t^{2}}$ at $r=b$ in the plane of $M$


21b. Trunnion fixed to the plate. For $v=0.3$
$\theta=\frac{\alpha M}{E t^{3}} \quad \sigma_{\text {max }}=\sigma_{r}=\frac{\beta M}{a t^{2}}$ at $r=b$ in the plane of $M$
$\sigma_{r}=\frac{\beta b M}{a^{2} t^{2}}$ at $r=a$ in the plane of $M$

| $b / a$ | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ | 9.36 | 6.08 | 4.41 | 3.37 | 2.66 | 1.73 | 1.146 | 0.749 | 0.467 | 0.262 |
| $\alpha$ | 1.149 | 0.813 | 0.595 | 0.439 | 0.320 | 0.167 | 0.081 | 0.035 | 0.013 | 0.003 |

(Ref. 22)
$\left(M_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{q a^{2}(5+v)}{72 \sqrt{3}} \quad$ at $r=0.577 a$
$\left(M_{t}\right)_{\max }=\frac{q a^{2}(5+v)(1+3 v)}{72(3+v)}$ at $r=0.675 a$
Max edge reaction per linear inch $=\frac{q a}{4}$

$$
y_{\max }=0.042 \frac{q a^{4}}{E t^{3}} \quad \text { at } r=0.503 a(\text { for } v=0.3)
$$

## TABLE 11．2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness（Continued）

23．Central couple balanced by linearly distributed pressure （footing）


24．Concentrated load applied at the outer edge of an annular plate with a fixed inner edge


25．Solid circular plate with a uniformly distributed load $q$ over the shaded segment

（At inner edge）$\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\beta \frac{M}{a t^{2}}$ where $\beta$ is given in the following table

| $a / b$ | 1.25 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | 0.1625 | 0.4560 | 1.105 | 2.250 | 3.385 | 4.470 |

（Values for $v=0.3$ ）
（At inner edge）$\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\beta \frac{W}{t^{2}}$ where $\beta$ is given in the following table：

| $a / b$ | 1.25 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | 3.665 | 4.223 | 5.216 | 6.904 | 8.358 | 9.667 |

（Values for $v=0.3$ ）
（See Ref． 64 for this loading on a plate with radially varying thickness．See graphs in Ref． 59 for the load distributed over an arc at the edge．See Ref． 60 for the load $W$ placed away from the edge）
$\sigma_{\max }=\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\beta \frac{q a^{2}}{t^{2}}$
$y_{\max }=\alpha \frac{q a^{4}}{E t^{3}} \quad$ on the symmetrical diameter at the value of $r$ given in the table

| Edge | Coefficient | $\theta$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $90^{\circ}$ |  | $120^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
| Supported | $\alpha$ | $0.0244, \quad r=0.39 a$ | $0.0844, r=0.30 a$ | $0.345, r=0.15 a$ |  |
| Fixed | $\beta$ | $0.306, \quad r=0.60 a$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\alpha$ | $0.00368, r=0.50 a$ | $0.0173, r=0.4 a$ | $0.0905, r=0.20 a$ |  |
|  | $\beta$ | $0.285, \quad r=a$ |  |  |  |

Values for $v=\frac{1}{3}$
（Ref．39）

## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

Case no., loading,
load terms
26. Solid circular plate, uniform load $q$ over the shaded sector


For simply supported edges:
$\sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{r}$ near the center along the loaded radius of symmetry (values not given)
$\sigma_{r}$ at the center $=\frac{\theta}{360} \sigma_{r}$ at the center of a fully loaded plate
$y_{\max }=-\alpha_{1} \frac{q a^{4}}{E t^{3}}$ at approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ the radius from center along the radius of symmetry ( $\alpha_{1}$ given in table)
For fixed edges:
$\sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{r}$ at point $B=\beta \frac{q a^{2}}{t^{2}}$
$y_{\max }=-\alpha_{2} \frac{q a^{4}}{E t^{3}}$ at approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ the radius from center along the radius of symmetry ( $\beta$ and $\alpha_{2}$ given in table)

| Edge condition | $\theta$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\theta$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $30^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $150^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
| Simply supported |  | 0.061 | 0.121 | 0.179 | 0.235 | 0.289 | 0.343 |
| Fixed |  | 0.017 | 0.034 | 0.050 | 0.064 | 0.077 | 0.089 |
|  |  | 0.240 | 0.371 | 0.457 | 0.518 | 0.564 | 0.602 |

[Note: For either edge condition $y_{c}=(\theta / 360) y_{c}$ for a fully loaded plate]
$\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\beta \frac{q a^{2}}{t^{2}}, \quad\left(\sigma_{t}\right)_{\max }=\beta_{1} \frac{q a^{2}}{t^{2}}, \quad y_{\max }=\alpha \frac{q a^{4}}{E t^{3}}$

| $\theta$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ | 0.102 | 0.147 | 0.240 | 0.522 |
| $\beta_{1}$ | 0.114 | 0.155 | 0.216 | 0.312 |
| $\alpha$ | 0.0054 | 0.0105 | 0.0250 | 0.0870 |

Values for $v=0.3$ )
27. Solid circular sector, uniformly distributed load $q$ over the entire surface; edges simply supported


## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)



## TABLE 11.2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)


$K=$ function of $(b-c) /(b+c)$ and has values as follows:
[Formulas due to Wahl (Ref. 10)]

## TABLE 11．2 Formulas for flat circular plates of constant thickness（Continued）

| 32．Elliptical plate，uniformly distributed load $q$ over entire surface$\alpha=\frac{b}{a}$ | 32a．Simply supported | At the center： $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{z}=-[2.816+1.581 v-(1.691+1.206 v) \alpha] \frac{q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \\ & y_{\max }=-[2.649+0.15 v-(1.711+0.75 v) \alpha] \frac{q b^{4}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E t^{3}} \end{aligned}$ <br> ［Approximate formulas for $0.2<\alpha<1.0$（see numerical data in Refs． 21 and 56）］ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 32b．Fixed | At the edge of span $b: \sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{z}=\frac{6 q b^{2}}{t^{2}\left(3+2 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha^{4}\right)}$ <br> At the edge of span $a: \sigma_{x}=\frac{6 q b^{2} \alpha^{2}}{t^{2}\left(3+2 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha^{4}\right)}$ <br> At the center： $\sigma_{z}=\frac{-3 q b^{2}\left(1+v \alpha^{2}\right)}{t^{2}\left(3+2 a^{2}+3 \alpha^{4}\right)}, \quad \sigma_{x}=\frac{-3 q b^{2}\left(\alpha^{2}+v\right)}{t^{2}\left(3+2 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha^{4}\right)}, \quad y_{\max }=\frac{-3 q b^{4}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 E t^{3}\left(3+2 \alpha^{2}+3 \alpha^{4}\right)}$ |  |
| 33．Elliptical plate，uniform load over a small concentric circular area of radius $r_{o}$ （note definition of $r_{o}^{\prime}$ in case 18）$\alpha=\frac{b}{a}$ | 33a．Simply supported | At the center： $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{z}=\frac{-3 W}{2 \pi t^{2}}\left[(1+v) \ln \frac{b}{r_{o}^{\prime}}+v(6.57-2.57 \alpha)\right] \\ & y_{\max }=\frac{-W b^{2}}{E t^{3}}(0.76-0.18 \alpha) \quad \text { for } v=0.25 \end{aligned}$ <br> ［Approximate formulas by interpolation between cases of circular plate and infinitely long narrow strip（Ref．4）］ |  |
|  | 33b．Fixed | At the center： $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{z}=\frac{-3 W(1+v)}{2 \pi t^{2}}\left(\ln \frac{2 b}{r_{o}^{\prime}}-0.317 \alpha-0.376\right) \\ & y_{\max }=\frac{-W b^{2}}{E t^{3}}(0.326-0.104 \alpha) \quad \text { for } v=0.25 \end{aligned}$ <br> ［Approximate formulas by interpolation between cases of circular plate and infinitely long narrow strip（ref．6）．］ |  |

## TABLE 11.3 Shear deflections for flat circular plates of constant thickness

 for an annular line load and $y_{s}=K_{s} q a^{2} / t G$ for all distributed loadings (see Table 11.2 for all other notation and for the loading cases referenced)

| Case no. | Shear deflection coefficients |  |  | bulated value | for specific ca |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a, 1b, 1e, 1f, 9 | $K_{s r_{o}}=K_{s b}=-1.2 \frac{r_{o}}{a} \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}} \quad\left(\right.$ Note: $\left.r_{o}>0\right)$ | $r_{o} / a$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
|  |  | $K_{\text {sb }}$ | $-0.2763$ | -0.4334 | -0.4159 | -0.2996 | $-0.1138$ |
| 2a, 2b, 2e, 2f, 10 | $K_{s r_{o}}=K_{s b}=-0.30\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\left(1+2 \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right)\right]$ | $K_{s b}$ | -0.2832 | -0.2080 | -0.1210 | -0.0481 | -0.0058 |
| 3a, 3b, 3e, 3f, 11 | $K_{s r_{o}}=K_{s b}=\frac{-a}{30\left(a-r_{o}\right)}\left[4-9 \frac{r_{o}}{a}+\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{3}\left(5+6 \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right)\right]$ | $K_{s b}$ | -0.1155 | -0.0776 | -0.0430 | -0.0166 | -0.0019 |
| 4a, 4b, 4e, 4f, 12 | $K_{s r_{o}}=K_{s b}=\frac{-a^{2}}{120\left(a-r_{o}\right)^{2}}\left[9-32 \frac{r_{o}}{a}+36\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{4}\left(13+12 \ln \frac{a}{r_{o}}\right)\right]$ | $K_{\text {sb }}$ | -0.0633 | -0.0411 | -0.0223 | -0.0084 | $-0.00098$ |
| 1i, 1j, 1k, 1 l | $K_{s r_{o}}=K_{s a}=-1.2 \frac{r_{o}}{a} \ln \frac{r_{o}}{b} \quad($ Note: $b>0)$ | $r_{o} / a$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  <br> 0.1 <br> 0.3 <br> 0.5 <br> 0.7 <br> 0.9 | $-0.1664$ <br> Values of $K_{s a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6654 \\ & -0.1381 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.2901 \\ & -0.4991 \\ & -0.1313 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.9963 \\ & -0.9416 \\ & -0.4512 \\ & -0.1282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.7631 \\ & -1.4448 \\ & -0.8318 \\ & -0.4280 \\ & -0.1264 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2i, 2j, 2k, 2 l | $K_{s r_{o}}=-0.60\left[1-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \ln \frac{r_{o}}{b} \quad(\text { Note: } b>0)$$K_{s a}=-0.30\left[2 \ln \frac{a}{b}-1+\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\left(1-2 \ln \frac{r_{o}}{b}\right)\right] \quad(\text { Note: } b>0)$ | $b / a r_{o} / a$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 0.1 0.3 0.5 0.7 0.9 | $-0.0000$ <br> Values of $K_{s r_{o}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5998 \\ & -0.0000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.7242 \\ & -0.2299 \\ & -0.0000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5955 \\ & -0.2593 \\ & -0.1030 \\ & -0.0000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2505 \\ & -0.1252 \\ & -0.0670 \\ & -0.0287 \\ & -0.0000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 0.1 <br> 0.3 <br> 0.5 <br> 0.7 <br> 0.9 | $-1.0846$ <br> Values of $K_{s a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.0493 \\ & -0.4494 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.9151 \\ & -0.4208 \\ & -0.1909 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.6565 \\ & -0.3203 \\ & -0.1640 \\ & -0.0610 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2567 \\ & -0.1315 \\ & -0.0732 \\ & -0.0349 \\ & -0.0062 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 11.3 Shear deflections for flat circular plates of constant thickness (Continued)

| $3 \mathrm{i}, 3 \mathrm{j}, 3 \mathrm{k}, 3 \mathrm{l}$ | $K_{s r_{o}}=-0.20\left[2-\frac{r_{o}}{a}-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \ln \frac{r_{o}}{b} \quad(\text { Note: } b>0)$ | $r_{o / a} / a$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.1 | -0.0000 | -0.3538 | -0.4024 | -0.3152 | -0.1274 |
|  |  | 0.3 |  | -0.0000 | -0.1277 | -0.1373 | -0.0637 |
|  |  | 0.5 |  |  | -0.0000 | $-0.0545$ | -0.0341 |
|  |  | 0.7 | Values of $K_{s r_{o}}$ |  |  | $-0.0000$ | -0.0146 |
|  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  | -0.0000 |
|  | $K-a \quad\left[6\left(2-3 r_{o}\right) \ln \frac{a}{a}+r_{o}\left(r_{o}\right)^{3}\left(5-6 \ln r_{o}\right)\right]$ | 0.1 | -0.7549 | -0.6638 | -0.5327 | $-0.3565$ | -0.1316 |
|  | $K_{s a}=\frac{a}{30\left(a-r_{o}\right)}\left[6\left(2-3 \frac{o}{a}\right) \ln \frac{a}{b}-4+9 \frac{o}{a}-\left(\frac{o}{a}\right)\left(5-6 \ln \frac{o}{b}\right)\right]$ | 0.3 |  | $-0.3101$ | $-0.2580$ | $-0.1785$ | $-0.0679$ |
|  |  | 0.5 |  |  | -0.1303 | -0.0957 | -0.0383 |
|  | $($ Note: $b>0)$ | 0.7 | Values of $K_{s a}$ |  |  | $-0.0412$ | -0.0187 |
|  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  | -0.0042 |
| $4 \mathrm{i}, 4 \mathrm{j}, 4 \mathrm{k}, 4 \mathrm{l}$ | $K_{s r_{o}}=-0.10\left[3-2 \frac{r_{o}}{a}-\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \ln \frac{r_{o}}{b} \quad(\text { Note: } b>0)$ | $r_{b / a} r_{o} / a$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
|  |  | 0.1 | -0.0000 | -0.2538 | -0.2817 | $-0.2160$ | -0.0857 |
|  |  | 0.3 |  | -0.0000 | -0.0894 | -0.0941 | -0.0428 |
|  |  | 0.5 |  |  | -0.0000 | $-0.0373$ | -0.0229 |
|  |  | 0.7 | Values of $K_{s r_{o}}$ |  |  | $-0.0000$ | -0.0098 |
|  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  | -0.0000 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} K_{s a}= & \frac{-a^{2}}{120\left(a-r_{o}\right)^{2}}\left\{12\left[3-8 \frac{r_{o}}{a}+6\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\right] \ln \frac{a}{b}-9+32 \frac{r_{o}}{a}-36\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{2}\right. \\ & \left.+\left(\frac{r_{o}}{a}\right)^{4}\left(13-12 \ln \frac{r_{o}}{b}\right)\right\} \quad(\text { Note: } b>0) \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 | -0.5791 | -0.4908 | -0.3807 | $-0.2472$ | -0.0888 |
|  |  | 0.3 |  | -0.2370 | -0.1884 | $-0.1252$ | -0.0460 |
|  |  | 0.5 |  |  | -0.0990 | -0.0685 | -0.0261 |
|  |  | 0.7 | Values of $K_{s a}$ |  |  | $-0.0312$ | -0.0129 |
|  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  | -0.0031 |

# TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness 

NOTATION: The notation for Table 11.2 applies with the following modifications: $a$ and $b$ refer to plate dimensions, and when used as subscripts for stress, they refer to the stresses in directions parallel to the sides $a$ and $b$, respectively. $\sigma$ is a bending stress which is positive when tensile on the bottom and compressive on the top if loadings are considered vertically downward. $R$ is the reaction force per unit length normal to the plate surface exerted by the boundary support on the edge of the plate. $r_{o}^{\prime}$ is the equivalent radius of contact for a load concentrated on a very small area and is given by $r_{o}^{\prime}=\sqrt{1.6 r_{o}^{2}+t^{2}}-0.675 t$ if $r_{o}<0.5 t$ and $r_{o}^{\prime}=r_{o}$ if $r_{o} \geqslant 0.5 t$

| Case no., shape, and supports | Case no., loading | Formulas and tabulated specific values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Rectangular plate; all edges simply supported | 1a. Uniform over entire plate | (At center) $\quad \sigma_{\text {max }}=\sigma_{b}=\frac{\beta q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \quad$ and $\quad y_{\text {max }}=\frac{-\alpha q b^{4}}{E t^{3}}$ (At center of long sides) $\quad R_{\max }=\gamma q b$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} =\frac{-\alpha q b^{4}}{E t^{3}} \\ \\ 1.8 \\ \hline 0.5688 \\ 0.1017 \\ 0.499 \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 <br> 0.6102 <br> 0.1110 <br> 0.503 | 3.0 <br> 0.7134 <br> 0.1335 <br> 0.505 | 4.0 <br> 0.7410 <br> 0.1400 <br> 0.502 | 5.0 <br> 0.7476 <br> 0.1417 <br> 0.501 | $\infty$ <br> 0.7500 <br> 0.1421 <br> 0.500 | (Ref. 21 for $v=0.3$ ) |
|  | 1b. Uniform over small concentric circle of radius $r_{o}$ (note definition of $r_{o}^{\prime}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (At center) } \sigma_{\max }=\frac{3 W}{2 \pi t^{2}}\left[(1+v) \ln \frac{2 b}{\pi r_{o}^{\prime}}+\beta\right] \\ & y_{\max }=\frac{-\alpha W b^{2}}{E t^{3}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $$ | 2.0 <br> 0.958 <br> 0.1805 | $\frac{\infty}{1.000}$ |  |  |  | (Ref. 21 for $v=0.3$ ) |



# TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued) 
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## TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)



## TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)

7. Rectangular plate; one edge fixed, opposite edge free, remaining edges imply supported



## TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)
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TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)


TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 9aaa. Uniform over $\frac{1}{3}$ of plate from fixed edge \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (At } \\
& \text { (At } \\
& \text { (At } \\
& \text { (At } \\
& a / b \\
& \hline \beta_{1} \\
& \beta_{2} \\
& \beta_{3} \\
& \beta_{4} \\
& \gamma_{1} \\
& \gamma_{2} \\
& \gamma_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{gathered}
0, z=0) \\
0, z=0 . \\
0, z=b) \\
\pm \frac{a}{2}, z= \\
0.25 \\
\hline 0.020 \\
0.005 \\
0.013 \\
0.026 \\
0.114 \\
0.000 \\
0.111
\end{gathered}
$$ \& $\quad\left(\sigma_{b}\right)$

$2 b)$

0.50
0.068
0.026
0.028
0.063
0.210
0.000

0.170 \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{-\beta_{1}}{t^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\beta_{2} q b}{t^{2}} \\
& =\gamma_{2} q b \\
& =\frac{-\beta_{4}}{t^{2}} \\
& 0.75 \\
& 0.108 \\
& 0.044 \\
& 0.031 \\
& 0.079 \\
& 0.261 \\
& 0.004 \\
& 0.190
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | and |
| :---: |
| and $\quad \sigma a$ |
|  |
|  |
| and |
|  |
|  |
| 1.0 |
| 0.148 |
| 0.050 |
| 0.026 |
| 0.079 |
| 0.290 |
| 0.011 |
| 0.185 | \& $=\gamma_{1} q b$

$=\frac{\beta_{3} q b^{2}}{t^{2}}$
$=\gamma_{3} q b$

1.5
0.194
0.047
0.016
0.068
0.312
0.020
0.176 \& 2.0
0.213
0.041
0.011
0.056
0.316
0.021
0.175 \& 3.0
0.222
0.037
0.008
0.037
0.316
0.020
0.190 \& (Ref. 49 for $v=0.2$ ) <br>

\hline 9d. Uniformly decreasing from fixed edge to simply supported edge \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (At } \\
& (\mathrm{At} \\
& a / b \\
& \hline \beta_{1} \\
& \beta_{2} \\
& \gamma_{1} \\
& \gamma_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
0, z=0 \\
\pm \frac{a}{2}, z= \\
0.25 \\
\hline 0.018 \\
0.019 \\
0.106 \\
0.075
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \&  \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{nax}= \\
\sigma_{a}= \\
0 . \\
0.75 \\
\hline 0.120 \\
0.124 \\
0.265 \\
0.212
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
b^{2} \text { and } \\
b^{b^{2}} \text { and } \\
1.0 \\
\hline 0.192 \\
0.161 \\
0.323 \\
0.245
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
R=\gamma_{1} \\
R=\gamma_{2} \\
1.5 \\
\hline 0.303 \\
0.181 \\
0.383 \\
0.262
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
2.0 \\
\hline 0.356 \\
0.168 \\
0.399 \\
0.258
\end{gathered}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
3.0 \\
\hline 0.382 \\
0.132 \\
0.400 \\
0.250
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& (Ref. 49 for $v=0.2)$ <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)



TABLE 11．4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness（Continued）

| 10．Rectangular plate；three edges fixed，one edge （a）free | 10a．Uniform over entire plate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (At } \\ & \text { (At } \\ & \text { (At } \\ & a / b \\ & \hline \beta_{1} \\ & \beta_{2} \\ & \beta_{3} \\ & \gamma_{1} \\ & \gamma_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $0, z=$ <br> $0, z=$ $\pm \frac{a}{2}, z$ <br> 0.25 <br> 0.020 <br> 0.016 <br> 0.031 <br> 0.114 <br> 0.125 | $\left.\sigma_{b}\right)_{\max }$ $\sigma_{a}=\frac{\beta_{2} q}{t}$ $\sigma_{a}=$ 0.50 0.081 0.066 0.126 0.230 0.248 | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\beta_{1} q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \\ \frac{{ }_{3} q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \\ 0.75 \\ \hline 0.173 \\ 0.148 \\ 0.286 \\ 0.341 \\ 0.371 \end{gathered}$ | d $R=$ |  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 1.5 <br> 0.727 <br> 0.484 <br> 1.073 <br> 0.673 <br> 0.859 | 2.0 1.226 0.605 1.568 0.845 1.212 | 3.0 2.105 0.519 1.982 1.012 1.627 | （Ref． 49 for $v=0.2$ ） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10aa．Uniform over $\frac{2}{3}$ of plate from fixed edge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (At } \\ & \text { (At } \\ & a / b \\ & \hline \beta_{1} \\ & \beta_{2} \\ & \gamma_{1} \\ & \gamma_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0, z=0 \\ \pm \frac{a}{2}, z \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 0.020 \\ 0.031 \\ 0.115 \\ 0.125 \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\sigma_{b}\right)_{\max }$ $6 b$ for $a$ 0.50 0.080 0.110 0.230 0.250 | $\frac{\beta_{1} q b^{2}}{t^{2}}$ $b$ or $z=$ 0.75 0.164 0.198 0.334 0.344 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dd } R= \\ & 4 b \text { for } \\ & 1.0 \\ & \hline 0.277 \\ & 0.260 \\ & 0.424 \\ & 0.394 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{-\beta_{2} q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \\ 2.0 \\ \hline 0.710 \\ 0.433 \\ 0.615 \\ 0.409 \end{gathered}$ |  <br>  <br>  <br> 3.0 <br> 1.031 <br> 0.455 <br> 0.674 <br> 0.393 | （Ref． 49 for $v=0.2$ ） |
|  | 10aaa．Uniform over $\frac{1}{3}$ of plate from fixed edge | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (At } \\ & (\mathrm{At} \\ & a / b \\ & \hline \beta_{1} \\ & \beta_{2} \\ & \gamma_{1} \\ & \gamma_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0, z=0 \\ \pm \frac{a}{2}, z \\ 0.25 \\ \hline 0.020 \\ 0.026 \\ 0.115 \\ 0.111 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\sigma_{b}\right)_{\max }=$ $2 b) \quad \sigma_{a}$ 0.50 0.068 0.063 0.210 0.170 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta_{1} q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \\ & \frac{-\beta_{2} q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \\ & 0.75 \\ & \hline 0.110 \\ & 0.084 \\ & 0.257 \\ & 0.194 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{d} \quad R=$ and $R$ 1.0 0.148 0.079 0.291 0.185 | $q b$ <br> ${ }_{2} q b$ <br> 1.5 <br> 0.202 <br> 0.068 <br> 0.316 <br> 0.174 | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \hline 0.240 \\ 0.057 \\ 0.327 \\ 0.170 \end{gathered}$ | 3.0 0.290 0.040 0.335 0.180 | （Ref． 49 for $v=0.2$ ） |

## TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)
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## TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)

| Case no., shape, and supports | Case no., loading | Formulas and tabulated specific values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13bb. Uniform over a small circle of radius $r_{o}$, adjacent to edge but remote from corner | (Under the load) $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{\max }=\frac{0.863 W(1+v)}{t^{2}}\left(\ln \frac{L_{e}}{r_{o}}+0.207\right) \\ & y_{\max }=0.408(1+0.4 v) \frac{W}{k L_{e}^{2}} \end{aligned}$ | (Ref. 14) |
|  | 13bbb. Uniform over a small circle of radius $r_{o}$, adjacent to a corner | (At the corner) $\quad y_{\max }=\left(1.1-1.245 \frac{r_{o}}{L_{e}}\right) \frac{W}{k L_{e}^{2}}$ <br> (At a distance $=2.38 \sqrt{r_{o} L_{e}}$ from the corner along diagonal) $\sigma_{\max }=\frac{3 W}{t^{2}}\left[1-1.083\left(\frac{r_{o}}{L_{e}}\right)^{0.6}\right]$ |  |
|  |  |  | (Ref. 14) |
| 14. Parallelogram plate (skew slab); all edges simply supported | 14a. Uniform over entire plate | (At center of plate) $\quad \sigma_{\max }=\frac{\beta q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \quad$ and $\quad y_{\max }=\frac{\alpha q b^{4}}{E t^{3}}$ <br> For $a / b=2.0$ | (Ref. 24 for $v=0.2$ ) |
| 15. Parallelogram plate (skew slab); shorter edges simply supported, longer edges free <br> Free | 15a. Uniform over entire plate | (Along free edge) $\sigma_{\max }=\frac{\beta_{1} q b^{2}}{t^{2}}$ and $y_{\max } \frac{\alpha_{1} q b^{4}}{E t^{3}}$ (At center of plate) $\sigma_{\max }=\frac{\beta_{2} q b^{2}}{t^{2}} \quad$ and $\quad y_{\text {max }}=\frac{\alpha_{2} q b^{4}}{E t^{3}}$ For $a / b=2.0$ | (Ref. 24 for $v=0.2$ ) |

## TABLE 11.4 Formulas for flat plates with straight boundaries and constant thickness (Continued)
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## Chapter <br> 12

## Columns and Other Compression Members

### 12.1 Columns; Common Case

The formulas and discussion of this section are based on the following assumptions: (1) The column is nominally straight and is subjected only to nominally concentric and axial end loads, and such crookedness and eccentricity as may occur are accidental and not greater than is consistent with standard methods of fabrication and ordinary conditions of service; (2) the column is homogeneous and of uniform cross section; (3) if the column is made up of several longitudinal elements, these elements are so connected as to act integrally; (4) there are no parts so thin as to fail by local buckling before the column as a whole has developed its full strength.

End conditions. The strength of a column is in part dependent on the end conditions, that is, the degree of end fixity or constraint. A column with ends that are supported and fixed, so that there can be neither lateral displacement nor change in slope at either end, is called fixedended. A column with ends that are supported against lateral displacement but not constrained against change in slope is called round-ended. A column with one end fixed and the other end neither laterally supported nor otherwise constrained is called free-ended. A column with both end surfaces that are flat and normal to the axis and that bear evenly against rigid loading surfaces is called flat-ended. A column with ends that bear against transverse pins is called pin-ended.

Truly fixed-ended and truly round-ended columns practically never occur in practice; the actual conditions are almost always intermediate. The greatest degree of fixity is found in columns with ends that are riveted or welded to relatively rigid parts that are also fixed.

Theoretically a flat-ended column is equivalent to a fixed-ended column until the load reaches a certain critical value at which the column "kicks out" and bears only on one edge of each end surface instead of on the whole surface. Actually, flat-ended columns have a degree of end constraint considerably less than that required to produce fixity. The nearest approach to round-ended conditions is found in pin-ended columns subject to vibration or other imposed motion. The degree of end fixity may be expressed by the coefficient of constraint [explained following Eq. (12.1-1)] or by the free or effective length, which is the length measured between points of counterflexure or the length of a round-ended column of equal strength.

Behavior. If sufficiently slender, a column will fail by elastic instability (see Chap. 15). In this case the maximum unit stress sustained is less than the proportional limit of the material; it depends on the modulus of elasticity, the slenderness ratio (ratio of the length of the column to the least radius of gyration of the section), and the end conditions and is independent of the strength of the material. Columns which fail in this way are called long columns.

Columns that are too short to fail by elastic instability are called short columns; such a column will fail when the maximum fiber stress due to direct compression and to the bending that results from accidental crookedness and eccentricity reaches a certain value. For structural steel this value is about equal to the tensile yield point; for light alloys it is about equal to the compressive yield strength; and for wood it lies between the flexural elastic limit and the modulus of rupture.

For a given material and given end conditions, there is a certain slenderness ratio which marks the dividing point between long and short columns called the critical slenderness ratio.

Formulas for long columns. The unit stress at which a long column fails by elastic instability is given by the Euler formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=\frac{C \pi^{2} E}{(L / r)^{2}} \tag{12.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=$ total load, $A=$ area of section, $E=$ modulus of elasticity, $L / r=$ slenderness ratio, and $C$ is the coefficient of constraint, which depends on end conditions. For round ends, $C=1$; for fixed ends, $C=4$; and for the end conditions that occur in practice, $C$ can rarely be assumed greater than 2 . It is generally not considered good practice to employ long columns in building and bridge construction, but they are used in aircraft. (Formulas for the loads producing elastic instability of uniform and tapered bars under a wide variety of conditions of loading and support are given in Table 15.1.)

Formulas for short columns. It is not possible to calculate with accuracy the maximum stress produced in a short column by a nominally concentric load because of the large influence of the indeterminate crookedness and eccentricity. The maximum unit stress that a column will sustain, however, can be expressed by any of a number of formulas, each of which contains one or more terms that is empirically adjusted to secure conformity with test results. Of such formulas, those given below are the best known and provide the basis for most of the design formulas used in American practice. In these equations $P$ denotes the load at failure, $A$ the cross-sectional area, $L$ the length, and $r$ the least radius of gyration of the section; the meaning of other symbols used is explained in the discussion of each formula.
Secant formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=\frac{\sigma}{1+\frac{e c}{r^{2}} \sec \left(\frac{K L}{2 r} \sqrt{\frac{P}{A E}}\right)} \tag{12.1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula is adapted from the formula for stress due to eccentric loading [Eq. (12.4-1)]. Here $\sigma$ denotes the maximum fiber stress at failure (usually taken as the yield point for steel and as the yield strength for light alloys); e denotes the equivalent eccentricity (that eccentricity which in a perfectly straight column would cause the same amount of bending as the actual eccentricity and crookedness); c denotes the distance from the central axis about which bending occurs to the extreme fiber on the concave or compression side of the bent column; and $K$ is a numerical coefficient, dependent on end conditions, such that $K L$ is the effective length of the column, or distance between points of inflection. The term $e c / r^{2}$ is called the eccentric ratio, and a value is assumed for this ratio which makes the formula agree with the results of tests on columns of the type under consideration. For example, tests on structural steel columns of conventional design indicate that the average value of the eccentric ratio is 0.25 . In using the secant formula, $P / A$ must be solved for by trial or by the use of prepared charts.
Rankine formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=\frac{\sigma}{1+\phi(L / r)^{2}} \tag{12.1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a semirational formula. The value of $\sigma$ is sometimes taken as the ultimate strength of the material and the value of $\phi$ as $\sigma / C \pi^{2} E$, thus making the formula agree with the results of tests on short prisms when $L / r$ is very small and with Euler's equation when $L / r$ is
very large. More often $\sigma$ and $\phi$ are adjusted empirically to make the equation agree with the results of tests through the $L / r$ range of most importance.

## Simple polynomial formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=\sigma-k\left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{n} \tag{12.1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an empirical formula. For most steels the exponent $n$ is chosen as 2 and $\sigma$ is chosen as the yield point to give the well-known parabolic formula. The constant $k$ is generally chosen to make the parabola intersect tangent to the Euler curve for a long column. See Refs. 1 to 4.

For cast irons and for many of the aluminum alloys (Refs. 1 and 5) the exponent $n$ is taken as unity to give a straight-line formula. If $\sigma$ were here taken as the maximum fiber stress at failure and the straight line made tangent to the Euler curve, the formula would give values well below experimental values. For this reason the straight-line formula is generally used for intermediate lengths with $\sigma$ and $k$ modified to make the straight line pass through the experimental data of interest. For columns shorter than intermediate in length, a constant value of $P / A$ is given; for those longer than intermediate, the Euler curve is specified.

For timber the exponent $n$ is usually chosen as 4 (Refs. 1 and 6) and then treated in the same manner as was the parabolic formula. The value used for $\sigma$ is generally the ultimate compressive strength of the timber.

Exponential formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=C_{1}^{\lambda^{2}} \sigma \quad \text { where } \lambda=\frac{K L}{r \pi}\left(\frac{\sigma}{E}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{12.1-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The American Institute of Steel Construction in Ref. 7 suggests the use of a formula of the form shown in Eq. (12.1-5), where $K, L, r$, and $\sigma$ are as defined for the secant formula. The constant $\lambda$ combines the column dimensions $L$ and $r$, the degree of end fixity indicated by $K$, and the material properties $\sigma$ and $E$. The secant, Rankine, parabolic, exponential, and Euler formulas can all be expressed in terms of $\lambda$ and a simple tabulation used to compare them.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Parabolic formula } & \frac{P / A}{\sigma}=1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4} & \text { for } \lambda<1.414 \\
\text { Exponential formula } & \frac{P / A}{\sigma}=0.6922^{\lambda^{2}} & \text { for } \lambda<1.649
\end{array}
$$

$\begin{array}{lrl}\text { Rankine formula } & \frac{P / A}{\sigma} & =\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2}} \\ \frac{P / A}{\sigma} & =\frac{1}{1+0.25 \sec \left(\frac{\pi \lambda}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P / A}{\sigma}}\right)} & \text { for all } \lambda \\ \text { Secant formula } & \text { for all } \lambda\end{array}$
The Euler formula becomes $(P / A) / \sigma=1 / \lambda^{2}$, and both the parabolic and exponential formulas given above have been derived to be tangent to the Euler formulas where they intersect. In the table on the next page are shown the values of $(P / A) / \sigma$ for each of the given equations or sets of equations.
In the case of the secant formula at very short lengths, the lower values can be attributed to the chosen eccentricity ratio of 0.25 .

By applying a proper factor of safety a safe load can be calculated. Many codes make use of safety factors which vary with the effective $L / r$ ratios, so the safe-load formulas may differ somewhat in form from the ultimate-load formulas just discussed. See Ref. 1 for extensive listings of applicable codes and design specifications.

Calculation of stress. The best way to compute the probable value of the maximum fiber stress in a short column, caused by the imposition of a nominally concentric load that is less than the ultimate load, is to use the secant formula [Eq. (12.1-2)] with an assumed value of $e$ or $e c / r^{2}$. However, by transposing terms, any one of Eqs. (12.1-3)-(12.1-5) can be written so as to give the maximum stress $\sigma$ in terms of the load $P$. Such procedure is logical only when $\sigma$ is the fiber stress at failure and $P$ the ultimate load; but if the maximum stress due to some load that is less than the ultimate load is thus computed, the result, although probably considerably in error, is almost sure to be greater than the true stress and hence the method errs on the side of safety.

### 12.2 Local Buckling

If a column is composed wholly or partially of thin material, local buckling may occur at a unit load less than that required to cause failure of the column as a whole. When such local buckling occurs at a unit stress less than the proportional limit, it represents elastic instability; the critical stress at which this occurs can be determined by mathematical analysis. Formulas for the critical stress at which bars and thin plates exhibit elastic instability, under various conditions of loading and support, are given in Tables 15.1 and 15.2. All such formulas are based upon assumptions as to homogeneity of material, regularity of form, and boundary conditions that are never
realized in practice; the critical stress to be expected under any actual set of circumstances is nearly always less than that indicated by the corresponding theoretical formula and can be determined with certainty only by test. This is also true of the ultimate load that will be carried by such parts as they buckle since elastic buckling is not necessarily attended by failure and thin flanges and webs, by virtue of the support afforded by attached parts, may carry a load considerably in excess of that at which buckling occurs (see Sec. 12.6).
In the following paragraphs, the more important facts and relations that have been established concerning local buckling are stated, insofar as they apply to columns of more or less conventional design. In the formulas given, $b$ represents the unsupported width of the part under consideration, $t$ its thickness, $\sigma_{y}$ the yield point or yield strength, and $E$ and $v$ have their usual meanings.

Outstanding flanges. For a long flange having one edge fixed and the other edge free, the theoretical formula for buckling stress is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=\frac{1.09 E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for a flange having one edge simply supported and the other edge free, the corresponding formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=\frac{0.416 E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See Table 15.2.)
For the outstanding flange of a column, the edge condition is intermediate, the degree of constraint depending upon the torsional rigidity of the main member and on the way in which the flange is attached. The conclusions of the ASCE Column Research Committee (Ref. 8) on this point may be summed up as follows: For columns of structural steel having a proportional limit of $30,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, an outstanding flange riveted between two angles, each having a thickness equal to that of the flange, will not fail by elastic buckling if $b / t$ is less than $15, b$ being measured from the free edge of the flange to the first row of rivets; for wider flanges, the formula for buckling stress is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=0.4 E\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the thickness of each supporting angle is twice that of the flange, elastic buckling will not occur if $b / t$ is less than $20, b$ in this case being
measured from the free edge of the flange to the toe of the angle; for wider flanges, the formula for buckling stress is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=0.6 E\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ultimate strength of an outstanding flange is practically equal to the area times the yield point up to a $b / t$ ratio of 15 ; for wider flanges the ultimate load is not appreciably greater, and so there is no substantial gain in load-carrying capacity when the width of a flange is increased to more than 15 times the thickness. In Ref. 2 are given recommended limiting values of width/thickness ratios in terms of $\sigma_{y}$ for webs, flanges, and other parts subject to buckling.

In the case of aluminum, the allowable unit stress on an outstanding flange may be found by the formulas

$$
\text { (Allowable, lb/in }{ }^{2} \text { ) } \sigma= \begin{cases}15,000-123 k \frac{b}{t} & \text { when } k \frac{b}{t}<81  \tag{12.2-5}\\ \frac{33,000,000}{\left(k \frac{b}{t}\right)^{2}} & \text { when } k \frac{b}{t}>81\end{cases}
$$

Here $k$ is to be taken as 4 when the outstanding flange is one leg of an angle T or other section having relatively little torsional rigidity, and may be taken as 3 when the flange is part of or firmly attached to a heavy web or other part that offers relatively great edge constraint.

A formula (Ref. 13) for the ultimate strength of short compression members consisting of single angles, which takes into account both local and general buckling, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=\sigma \tanh \left[K\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{12.2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=149.1+0.1(L / r-47)^{2}$ and $\sigma$, which depends on $L / r$, has the following values:

| $L / r$ | 0 | 20 | 40 | 60 | 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma$ | 40,000 | 38,000 | 34,000 | 27,000 | 18,000 |

This formula is for an alloy (24ST) having a yield strength of $43,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and a modulus of elasticity of $10,500,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and is for round-ended columns $(c=1)$. A more general formula for thin sections other than angles is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=\sigma \tanh (K t) \tag{12.2-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\sigma=\sigma_{y}(1+B) /\left(1+B+B^{2}\right)$, where $B=\sigma_{y}(L / r)^{2} /\left(c \pi^{2} E\right)$, and $K=K_{o}\left(\sigma_{y} / \sigma\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $K_{o}$ is a shape factor, the value of which is found from Eq. (12.2-8), $P / A$ being experimentally determined by testing columns of the section in question that have a slenderness ratio of about 20 . For a closed box or "hat" section, $K_{o}=15.6$; for a section with flat flanges with a width that is not more than 25 times the thickness, $K_{o}=10.8$; for a section of oval form or having wholly or partially curved flanges, $K_{o}$ ranges from 12 to 32 (Ref. 13). (An extensive discussion of design procedures and buckling formulas for aluminum columns and other structural elements is found in Ref. 5.)
For spruce and other wood of similar properties, Trayer and March (Chap. 14, Ref. 3) give as the formula for buckling stress

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=0.07 E\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the edge constraint is as great as normally can be expected in all-wood construction and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=0.044 E\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-10}
\end{equation*}
$$

when conditions are such as to make the edge constraint negligible.
Thin webs. For a long thin web that is fixed along each edge, the theoretical formula for buckling stress is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=\frac{5.73 E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for a web that is simply supported along each edge, the corresponding formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=\frac{3.29 E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2} \tag{12.2-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See Table 15.2.)
For structural steel columns, the conclusion of the ASCE Column Research Committee (Ref. 8) is that elastic buckling will not occur at $b / t$ ratios less than 30 . Tests made by the Bureau of Standards (Ref. 14) on steel members consisting of wide webs riveted between edge angles indicate that this conclusion is conservative and that $b / t$ may be safely as great as 35 if $b$ is taken as the width between rivet lines.

For aluminum columns, the same formulas for allowable stress on a thin web are suggested as are given previously for the outstanding flange [(Eqs. (12.2-5) and (12.2-6)] but with $k=1.2$. (For discussion of the ultimate strength developed by a thin web, see Sec. 12.6.)

Thin cylindrical tubes. For a thin cylindrical tube, the theoretical formula for the critical stress at which buckling occurs is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime}=\frac{E}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{1-v^{2}}} \frac{t}{R} \tag{12.2-13}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $R$ denotes the mean radius of the tube (see Table 15.2). Tests indicate that the critical stress actually developed is usually only 40$60 \%$ of this theoretical value.

Much recent work has been concerned with measuring initial imperfections in manufactured cylindrical tubes and correlating these imperfections with measured critical loads. For more detailed discussions and recommendations refer to Refs. 1-5 in this chapter and to Refs. 101-109 in Chap. 15.

Attached plates. When the flanges or web of a column are formed by riveting a number of plates placed flat against one another, there is a possibility that the outer plate or plates will buckle between points of attachment if the unsupported length is too great compared with the thickness. If the full yield strength $\sigma_{y}$ of an outer plate is to be developed, the ratio of unsupported length $a$ to thickness $t$ should not exceed the value indicated by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{t}=0.52 \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{y}}} \tag{12.2-14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Ref. 17). Some specifications (Ref. 10) guard against the possibility of such buckling by limiting the maximum distance between rivets (in the direction of the stress) to 16 times the thickness of the thinnest outside plate and to 20 times the thickness of the thinnest inside plate; the ratio 16 is in agreement with Eq. (12.2-14).

Local buckling of latticed columns. To guard against the possibility that the longitudinal elements of a latticed column will buckle individually between points of support, some specifications (Ref. 10) limit the slenderness ratio of such parts between points of attachment of lacing bars to 40 or to two-thirds the slenderness ratio of the column as a whole, whichever is less.

Lacing bars. In a column composed of channels or other structural shapes connected by lacing bars, the function of the lacing bars is to
resist the transverse shear due to initial obliquity and that consequent upon such bending as may occur under load. The amount of this shear is conjectural since the obliquity is accidental and indeterminate.

Salmon (Ref. 17) shows that with the imperfections usually to be expected, the transverse shear will be at least $1 \%$ of the axial load. Moore and Talbot (Ref. 18) found that for certain experimental columns the shear amounted to from $1 \%$ to $3 \%$ of the axial load. Some specifications require that in buildings the lacing be designed to resist a shear equal to $2 \%$ of the axial load (Ref. 2), and that in bridges it be designed to resist a shear $V$ given by

$$
V=\frac{P}{100}\left[\frac{100}{(L / r)+10}+\frac{L / r}{100}\right]
$$

where $P$ is the allowable axial load and $r$ is the radius of gyration of the column section with respect to the central axis perpendicular to the plane of the lacing (Ref. 10).
The strength of individual lacing bars as columns has been investigated experimentally. For a bar of rectangular section with a single rivet at each end, the ultimate strength (in psi ) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=25,000-50 \frac{L}{r} \tag{Ref.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P}{A}=21,400-45 \frac{L}{r} \tag{Ref.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For bars of angle or channel section, these formulas are conservative. For flat bars used as double lacing, the crossed bars being riveted together, tests show that the effective $L$ is about half the actual distance between end rivets. Some specifications (Refs. 2 and 10) require lacing bars of any section to be designed by the regular column formula, $L$ being taken as the distance between end rivets for single lacing and as $70 \%$ of that distance for double lacing. There are additional limitations as to slope of lacing, minimum section, and method of riveting.

### 12.3 Strength of Latticed Columns

Although it is customary to assume that a latticed column acts integrally and develops the full strength of the nominal section, tests show that when bending occurs in the plane of the lacing, the column is less stiff than would be the case if this assumption were valid. For a column so designed that buckling occurs in a plane normal
to that of the lacing, this fact is unimportant; but in long open columns laced on all sides, such as are often used for derrick booms and other light construction, it may be necessary to take it into account.

For any assumed transverse loading, it is easy to calculate that part of the deflection of a latticed member which is due to strains in the lacing bars and thus to derive a value for what may be called the reduced modulus of elasticity $K E$. Such calculations agree reasonably well with the results of tests (see Ref. 8), but $K$, of course, varies with the nature of the assumed transverse loading or with the form of the assumed elastic curve, which amounts to the same thing. For uniformly distributed loading and end support, and for the type of lacing shown in Fig. 12.1(a), $K$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{1+\frac{4.8 I}{A L^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta \sin \theta}} \tag{12.3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=$ length of the column, $I=$ moment of inertia of the column cross section about the principal axis which is normal to the plane of battens, and $A=$ cross-sectional area of a single lacing bar. For double lacing, 2.4 should be used in place of 4.8 . If $K E$ is used in place of $E$, the effect of reduced stiffness on the strength of a long column will be approximately allowed for. The method is theoretically inexact mainly because the form of elastic curve assumed is not identical with that taken by the column, but the error due to this is small.

Timoshenko (Ref. 19) gives formulas based upon the assumption that the elastic curve of the column is a sinusoid, from which the following expressions for $K$ may be derived: For the arrangement shown in Fig. 12.1(a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{1+\frac{4.93 I}{A L^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta \sin \theta}} \tag{12.3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the arrangement shown in Fig. 12.1(b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{1+\frac{4.93 I}{A_{1} L^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta \sin \theta}+\frac{4.93 I}{A_{2} L^{2} \tan \theta}} \tag{12.3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $A_{1}=$ cross-sectional area of each diagonal bar and $A_{2}=$ crosssectional area of each transverse bar. For the channel and batten-plate arrangement shown in Fig. 12.1(c),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{1}{1+\frac{\pi^{2} I}{L^{2}}\left(\frac{a b}{12 I_{2}}+\frac{a^{2}}{24 I_{1}}\right)} \tag{12.3-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=$ center-to-center distance between battens; $b=$ length of a batten between rivets; $I_{1}=$ moment of inertia of a single-channel section (or any similar section being used for each column leg) about its own centroidal axis normal to the plane of the battens, and $I_{2}=$ moment of inertia of a pair of batten plates (that is, $I_{2}=2 t c^{3} / 12$, where $t$ is the batten-plate thickness and $c$ is the batten-plate width measured parallel to the length of the column).

In all the preceding expressions for $K$, it is assumed that all parts have the same modulus of elasticity, and only the additional deflection due to longitudinal strain in the lacing bars and to secondary flexure of channels and batten plates is taken into account. For fairly long columns laced over practically the entire length, the values of $K$ given by Eqs. (12.3-1)-(12.3-3) are probably sufficiently accurate. More elaborate formulas for shear deflection, in which direct shear stress in the channels, bending of the end portions of channels between stay plates, and rivet deformation, as well as longitudinal strains in lacing bars, are taken into account, are given in Ref. 8; these should be used when calculating the deflection of a short latticed column under direct transverse loading.
The use of $K$ as a correction factor for obtaining a reduced value of $E$ is convenient in designing long latticed columns; for short columns the correction is best made by replacing $L$ in whatever column formula is selected by $\sqrt{(1 / K)} L$.

Several failure modes and the critical loads associated with these modes are discussed in Refs. 11 and 12.

### 12.4 Eccentric Loading; Initial Curvature

When a round-ended column is loaded eccentrically with respect to one of the principal axes of the section (here called axis 1 ), the formula for the maximum stress produced is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{P}{A}\left\{1+\frac{e c}{r^{2}} \sec \left[\frac{P}{4 E A}\left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{12.4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e=$ eccentricity, $c=$ distance from axis 1 to the extreme fiber on the side nearest the load, and $r=$ radius of gyration of the section with respect to axis 1 . (This equation may be derived from the formula for case 3 e, Table 8.8 , by putting $M_{1}=P e$.)
If a column with fixed ends is loaded eccentrically, as is assumed here, the effect of the eccentricity is merely to increase the constraining moments at the ends; the moment at midlength and the buckling load are not affected. If the ends are partially constrained, as by a frictional moment $M$, this constraint may be taken into account by considering the actual eccentricity $e$ reduced to $e-M / P$. If a freeended column is loaded eccentrically, as is assumed here, the formula for the maximum stress is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{P}{A}\left\{1+\frac{e c}{r^{2}} \sec \left[\frac{P}{E A}\left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{12.4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the notation is the same as for Eq. (12.4-1).
When a round-ended column is loaded eccentrically with respect to both principal axes of the section (here called axes 1 and 2), the formula for the maximum stress is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{P}{A}\left\{1+\frac{e_{1} c_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \sec \left[\frac{P}{4 E A}\left(\frac{L}{r_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}+\frac{e_{2} c_{2}}{r_{2}^{2}} \sec \left[\frac{P}{4 E A}\left(\frac{L}{r_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{12.4-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 have reference to axes 1 and 2 and the notation is otherwise the same as for Eq. (12.4-1). [The use of Eq. (12.4-1) is illustrated in the example below, which also shows the use of Eq. (12.3-1) in obtaining a reduced modulus of elasticity to use with a latticed column.]

If a round-ended column is initially curved in a plane that is perpendicular to principal axis 1 of the section, the formula for the maximum stress produced by concentric end loading is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{P}{A}\left(1+\frac{d c}{r^{2}} \frac{8 E A}{P(L / r)^{2}}\left\{\sec \left[\frac{P}{4 E A}\left(\frac{L}{r}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-1\right\}\right) \tag{12.4-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d=$ maximum initial deflection, $c=$ distance from axis 1 to the extreme fiber on the concave side of the column, and $r=$ radius of gyration of the section with respect to axis 1 . If the column is initially
curved in a plane that is not the plane of either of the principal axes 1 and 2 of the section, the formula for the maximum stress is

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma= & \frac{P}{A}\left(1+\frac{d_{1} c_{1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \frac{8 E A}{P\left(L / r_{1}\right)^{2}}\left\{\sec \left[\frac{P}{4 E A}\left(\frac{L}{r_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-1\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{d_{2} c_{2}}{r_{2}^{2}} \frac{8 E A}{P\left(L / r_{2}\right)^{2}}\left\{\sec \left[\frac{P}{4 E A}\left(\frac{L}{r_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}-1\right\}\right) \tag{12.4-5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{1}=$ the component of the initial deflection perpendicular to the plane of axis $1, d_{2}=$ the component of the initial deflection perpendicular to the plane of axis 2 , and $c_{1}, c_{2}, r_{1}$, and $r_{2}$ each has reference to the axis indicated by the subscript.

Eccentrically loaded columns and columns with initial curvature can also be designed by the interaction formulas given in Sec. 12.5.

## EXAMPLE

Figure 12.2 represents the cross section of a structural steel column composed of two $10-\mathrm{in}, 35-\mathrm{lb}$ channels placed 12 in back to back and latticed together. The length of the column is 349.3 in , and it has single lacing, the bars being of rectangular section, $2 \frac{1}{2}$ by $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{in}$, and inclined at $45^{\circ}$. This column is loaded eccentrically, the load being applied on axis 2 but 2.40 in from axis 1. With respect to bending in the plane of the eccentricity, the column is round-ended. It is required to calculate the maximum fiber stress in the column when a load of $299,000 \mathrm{lb}$, or $14,850 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, is thus applied.

Solution. For axis $1, r=5.38 \mathrm{in}, c=6.03$ in (measured), and $e=2.40 \mathrm{in}$. Since the bending due to eccentricity is in the plane of the lacing, a reduced $E$ is used. $K$ is calculated by Eq. (12.3-1), where $I=583$ in $^{4}$, $A=2 \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{4}=$ $0.625 \mathrm{in}^{2}, L=349.3 \mathrm{in}$, and $\theta=45^{\circ}$. Therefore

$$
K=\frac{1}{1+\frac{(4.8)(583)}{(0.625)\left(349.3^{2}\right)\left(0.707^{2}\right)(0.707)}}=0.94
$$

and using the secant formula [Eq. (23)], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =14,850\left\{1+\frac{(2.40)(6.03)}{5.38^{2}} \sec \left[\frac{14,850}{(4)(0.94)(30,000,000)}\left(\frac{349.3}{5.38}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& =25,300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
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[This column was actually tested under the loading just described, and the maximum stress (as determined by strain-gage measurements) was found to be $25,250 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Such close agreement between measured and calculated stress must be regarded as fortuitous, however.]

### 12.5 Columns under Combined Compression and Bending

A column bent by lateral forces or by couples presents essentially the same problem as a beam under axial compression, and the stresses produced can be found by the formulas of Table 8.8 provided the end conditions are determinable. Because these and other uncertainties generally preclude precise solution, it is common practice to rely upon some interaction formula, such as one of those given below. The column may be considered safe for the given loading when the relevant equations are satisfied.

The following notation is common to all the equations; other terms are defined as introduced:
$F_{a}=$ allowable value of $P / A$ for the member considered as a concentrically loaded column
$F_{b}=$ allowable value of compressive fiber stress for the member considered as a beam under bending only
$f_{a}=P / A=$ average compressive stress due to the axial load $P$
$f_{b}=$ computed maximum bending stress due to the transverse loads, applied couples, or a combination of these
$L=$ Unbraced length in plane of bending
$L / r=$ slenderness ratio for buckling in that plane
For structural steel

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}}+\frac{C_{m} f_{b}}{\left(1-f_{a} / F_{e}\right) F_{b}} \leqslant 1 & \text { when } \frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}}>0.15 \\
\frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}}+\frac{f_{b}}{F_{b}} \leqslant 1 & \text { when } \frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}}<0.15
\end{aligned}
$$

for sections between braced points, and

$$
\frac{f_{a}}{0.6 F_{y}}+\frac{f_{b}}{F_{b}} \leqslant 1
$$

for sections at braced points only. Here $F_{e}=149,000,000 /(L / r)^{2}$ and $F_{y}=$ yield point of steel; $C_{m}=0.85$ except that for restrained compression members in frames braced against joint translation and without
transverse loading between joints; $C_{m}=0.6+0.4\left(M_{1} / M_{2}\right)$, where $M_{1}$ is the smaller and $M_{2}$ the larger of the moments at the ends of the critical unbraced length of the member. $M_{1} / M_{2}$ is positive when the unbraced length is bent in single curvature and negative when it is bent in reverse curvature. For such members with transverse loading between joints, $C_{m}$ may be determined by rational analysis, or the appropriate formula from Table 8.8 may be used. (Formulas are adapted from Ref. 2, with $F_{e}$ given in English units.)
For structural aluminum

$$
\frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}}+\frac{f_{b}}{F_{b}\left(1-f_{a} / F_{e}\right)} \leqslant 1
$$

Here $F_{e}=51,000,000 /(L / r)^{2}$ for building structures and $F_{e}=$ $45,000,000 /(L / r)^{2}$ for bridge structures. (Formulas are taken from Ref. 9 with some changes of notation and with $F_{e}$ given in English units.)

For wood (solid rectangular). When $L / d \leqslant \sqrt{0.3 E / F_{a}}$,

$$
\frac{f_{b}}{F_{b}}+\frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}} \leqslant 1
$$

When $L / d>\sqrt{0.3 E / F_{a}}$ :

1. Concentric end loads plus lateral loads,

$$
\frac{f_{b}}{F_{b}-f_{a}}+\frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}} \leqslant 1
$$

2. Eccentric end load,

$$
\frac{1.25 f_{b}}{F_{b}-f_{a}}+\frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}} \leqslant 1
$$

3. Eccentric end load plus lateral loads,

$$
\frac{f_{b l}+1.25 f_{b e}}{F_{b}-f_{a}}+\frac{f_{a}}{F_{a}} \leqslant 1
$$

Here $d=$ dimension of the section in the plane of bending, $f_{b l}=$ computed bending stress due to lateral loads, and $f_{b e}=$ computed bending stress due to the eccentric moment. (Formulas are taken from Ref. 16 with some changes of notation.)

### 12.6 Thin Plates with Stiffeners

Compression members and compression flanges of flexural members are sometimes made of a very thin sheet reinforced with attached stiffeners; this construction is especially common in airplanes, where both wings and fuselage are often of the "stressed-skin" type.

When a load is applied to such a combination, the portions of the plate not very close to the stiffeners buckle elastically at a very low unit stress, but those portions immediately adjacent to the stiffeners develop the same stress as do the latter, and portions a short distance from the stiffeners develop an intermediate stress. In calculating the part of any applied load that will be carried by the plate or in calculating the strength of the combination, it is convenient to make use of the concept of "effective," or "apparent," width, i.e., the width of that portion of the sheet which, if it developed the same stress as the stiffener, would carry the same load as is actually carried by the entire sheet.

For a flat, rectangular plate that is supported but not fixed along each of two opposite edges and subjected to a uniform shortening parallel to those edges, the theoretical expression (Ref. 20) for the effective width is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{\pi t}{2 \sqrt{3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}} \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma}} \tag{12.6-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=$ the effective width along each supported edge, $t=$ the thickness of the plate, and $\sigma=$ the unit stress at the supported edge. Since the maximum value of $\sigma$ is $\sigma_{y}$ (the yield point or yield strength), the maximum load that can be carried by the effective strip or by the whole plate (which amounts to the same thing) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{\pi t^{2}}{\sqrt{3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}} \sqrt{E \sigma_{y}} \tag{12.6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=C t^{2} \sqrt{E \sigma_{y}} \tag{12.6-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an empirical constant to be determined experimentally for any given material and manner of support. Tests (Ref. 21) made on single plates of various metals, supported at the edges, gave values for C ranging from 1.18 to 1.67 ; its theoretical value from Eq. (12.6-2) (taking $v=0.25$ ) is 1.87 .

Sechler (Ref. 22) represents $C$ as a function of $\lambda=(t / b) \sqrt{E / \sigma_{y}}$, where $b$ is the panel width, and gives a curve showing experimentally determined values of $C$ plotted against $\lambda$. The following table of
corresponding values is taken from Sechler's corrected graph:

| $\lambda$ | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C$ | 2.0 | 1.76 | 1.62 | 1.50 | 1.40 | 1.28 | 1.24 | 1.20 | 1.15 | 1.10 |

The effective width at failure can be calculated by the relation

$$
w=\frac{1}{2} C t \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{y}}}=\frac{1}{2} C b \lambda
$$

In the case of a cylindrical panel loaded parallel to the axis, the effective width at failure can be taken as approximately equal to that for a flat sheet, but the increase in the buckling stress in the central portion of the panel due to curvature must be taken into account. Sechler shows that the contribution of this central portion to the strength of the panel may be allowed for by using for $C$ in the formula $P=C t^{2} \sqrt{E \sigma_{y}}$, a value given by

$$
C=C_{f}-0.3 C_{f} \lambda \eta+0.3 \eta
$$

where $\lambda=(t / b) \sqrt{E / \sigma_{y}}, \eta=(b / r) \sqrt{E / \sigma_{y}}$, and $C_{f}$, is the value of $C$ for a flat sheet, as given by the above table.

The above formulas and experimental data refer to single sheets supported along each edge. In calculating the load carried by a flat sheet with longitudinal stiffeners at any given stiffener stress $\sigma_{s}$, the effective width corresponding to that stress is found by

$$
w=b\left(0.25+0.91 \lambda^{2}\right)
$$

where $\lambda=(t / b) \sqrt{E / \sigma_{s}}$ and $b=$ distance between the stiffeners (Ref. 23). The total load carried by $n$ stiffeners and the supported plate is then

$$
P=n\left(A_{s}+2 w t\right) \sigma_{s}
$$

where $A_{s}$ is the section area of one stiffener. When $\sigma_{s}$ is the maximum unit load the stiffener can carry as a column, $P$ becomes the ultimate load for the reinforced sheet.

In calculating the ultimate load on a curved sheet with stiffeners, the strength of each unit or panel may be found by adding to the buckling strength of the central portion of the panel the strength of a column made up of the stiffener and the effective width of the attached sheet, this effective width being found by

$$
w=\frac{1}{2} C_{f} t \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_{c}}}
$$

where $C_{f}$ is the flat-sheet coefficient corresponding to $\lambda=(t / b) \sqrt{E / \sigma_{c}}$ and $\sigma_{c}$ is the unit load that the stiffener-and-sheet column will carry
before failure, determined by an appropriate column formula. [For the type of thin section often used for stiffeners in airplane construction, $\sigma_{c}$ may be found by Eqs. (12.2-7) or (12.2-8).] Since the unit load $\sigma_{c}$ and the effective width $w$ are interdependent (because of the effect of $w$ on the column radius of gyration), it is necessary to assume a value of $\sigma_{c}$, calculate the corresponding $w$, and then ascertain if the value of $\sigma_{c}$ is consistent (according to the column formula used) with this $w$. (This procedure may have to be repeated several times before agreement is reached.) Then, $\sigma_{c}$ and $w$ being known, the strength of the stiffener-and-sheet combination is calculated as

$$
P=n\left[\sigma_{c}\left(A_{s}+2 w t\right)+(b-2 w) t \sigma^{\prime}\right]
$$

where $n$ is the number of stiffeners, $A_{s}$ is the section area of one stiffener, $b$ is the distance between stiffeners (rivet line to rivet line) and $\sigma^{\prime}$ is the critical buckling stress for the central portion of the sheet, taken as $\sigma^{\prime}=0.3 E t / r$ ( $r$ being the radius of curvature of the sheet).

Methods of calculating the strength of stiffened panels and thin columns subject to local and torsional buckling are being continually modified in the light of current study and experimentation. A more extensive discussion than is appropriate here can be found in books on airplane stress analysis, as well as in Refs. 4 and 5.

### 12.7 Short Prisms under Eccentric Loading

When a compressive or tensile load is applied eccentrically to a short prism (i.e., one so short that the effect of deflection is negligible), the resulting stresses are readily found by superposition. The eccentric load $P$ is replaced by an equal axial load $P^{\prime}$ and by couples $P e_{1}$ and $P e_{2}$, where $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ denote the eccentricities of $P$ with respect to the principal axes 1 and 2, respectively. The stress at any point, or the maximum stress, is then found by superposing the direct stress $P^{\prime} / A$ due to the axial load and the bending stresses due to the couples $P e_{1}$ and $P e_{2}$, these being found by the ordinary flexure formula (Sec. 8.1).
If, however, the prism is composed of a material that can withstand compression only (masonry) or tension only (very thin shell), this method cannot be employed when the load acts outside the kern because the reversal of stress implied by the flexure formula cannot occur. By assuming a linear stress distribution and making use of the facts that the volume of the stress solid must equal the applied load $P$ and that the center of gravity of the stress solid must lie on the line of action of $P$, formulas can be derived for the position of the neutral axis (line of zero stress) and for maximum fiber stress in a prism of any given cross section. A number of such formulas are given in Table 12.1, together with the dimensions of the kern for each of the sections
considered. For any section that is symmetrical about the axis of eccentricity, the maximum stress $K(P / A)$ is just twice the average stress $P / A$ when the load is applied at the edge of the kern and increases as the eccentricity increases, becoming (theoretically) infinite when the load is applied at the extreme fiber. A prism made of material incapable of sustaining both tension and compression will fail completely when the resultant of the loads falls outside the boundary of any cross section, and will crack (under tension) or buckle (under compression) part way across any section through which the resultant of the loads passes at a point lying outside the kern.

For any section not shown in Table 12.1, a chart may be constructed showing the relation between $e$ and $x$; this is done by assuming successive positions of the neutral axis (parallel to one principal axis) and solving for the corresponding eccentricity by the relation $b=I / M$, where $b=$ distance from the neutral axis to the point of application of the load (assumed to be on the other principal axis), $I=$ moment of inertia, and $M=$ the statical moment about the neutral axis of that part of the section carrying stress. The position of the neutral axis for any given eccentricity being known, the maximum stress can be found by the relation $\sigma_{\max }=P x / M$. These equations simply express the facts stated above-that the center of gravity of the stress solid lies on the line of action of $P$, and that the volume of the stress solid is equal to $P$. The procedure outlined is simple in principle but rather laborious when applied to any except the simpler type of section since both $M$ and $I$ may have to be determined by graphical integration.

The method of solution just outlined and all the formulas of Table 12.1 are based on the assumption that the load is applied on one of the principal axes of the section. If the load is applied outside the kern and on neither principal axis, solution is more difficult because neither the position nor the direction of the neutral axis corresponding to a given position of the load is known. The following graphical method, which involves successive trials, may be used for a section of any form.

Let a prism of any section (Fig. 12.3) be loaded at any point $P$. Guess the position of the neutral axis $N N$. Draw from $N N$ to the most remote fiber $q$ the perpendicular $a q$. That part of the section on the load side of $N N$ is under compression, and the intensity of stress varies linearly from 0 at $N N$ to $\sigma$ at $q$. Divide the stressed area into narrow strips of uniform width $d y$ running parallel to $N N$. The total stress on any strip acts at the center of that strip and is proportional to the area of the strip $w d y$ and to its distance $y$ from $N N$. Draw the locus of the centers of the strips $b c q$ and mark off a length of strip extending $\frac{1}{2} w y / x$ to each side of this locus. This portion of the strip, if it sustained a unit stress $\sigma$, would carry the same total load as does the whole strip when sustaining the actual unit stress $\sigma y / x$ and may be called the effective


Figure 12.3
portion of the strip. The effective portions of all strips combine to form the effective area, shown as the shaded portion of Fig. 12.3. Now if the assumed position of $N N$ is correct, the centroid of this effective area will coincide with the point $P$ and the maximum stress $\sigma$ will then be equal to the load $P$ divided by the effective area.

To ascertain whether or not the centroid of the effective area does coincide with $P$, trace its outline on stiff cardboard; then cut out the piece so outlined and balance it on a pin thrust through at $P$. If the piece balances in any position, $P$ is, of course, the centroid. Obviously the chance of guessing the position of $N N$ correctly at the first attempt is remote, and a number of trials are likely to be necessary. Each trial, however, enables the position of $N N$ to be estimated more closely, and the method is less tedious than might be supposed.

For a solid rectangular section, Esling (Ref. 15) explains a special method of analysis and gives tabulated constants which greatly facilitate solution for this particular case. The coefficient $K$, by which the average stress $P / A$ is multiplied to give the maximum stress $\sigma$, is given as a function of the eccentric ratios $e_{1} / d$ and $e_{2} / b$, where the terms have the meaning shown by Fig. 12.4. The values of $K$, taken from Esling's paper, are as shown in the accompanying table.

| $e_{1} / d$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $e_{2} / b$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.175 | 0.200 | 0.225 | 0.250 | 0.275 | 0.300 | 0.325 | 0.350 | 0.375 | 0.400 |  |
| 0 | 1.0 | 1.30 | 1.60 | 1.90 | 2.05 | 2.22 | 2.43 | 2.67 | 2.96 | 3.33 | 3.81 | 4.44 | 5.33 | 6.67 |  |
| 0.05 | 1.30 | 1.60 | 1.90 | 2.21 | 2.38 | 2.58 | 2.81 | 3.09 | 3.43 | 3.87 | 4.41 | 5.16 | 6.17 | 7.73 |  |
| 0.10 | 1.60 | 1.90 | 2.20 | 2.56 | 2.76 | 2.99 | 3.27 | 3.60 | 3.99 | 4.48 | 5.14 | 5.99 | 7.16 | 9.00 |  |
| 0.15 | 1.90 | 2.21 | 2.56 | 2.96 | 3.22 | 3.51 | 3.84 | 4.22 | 4.66 | 5.28 | 6.03 | 7.04 | 8.45 | 10.60 |  |
| 0.175 | 2.05 | 2.38 | 2.76 | 3.22 | 3.50 | 3.81 | 4.16 | 4.55 | 5.08 | 5.73 | 6.55 | 7.66 | 9.17 | 11.50 |  |
| 0.200 | 2.22 | 2.58 | 2.99 | 3.51 | 3.81 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 4.97 | 5.54 | 6.24 | 7.12 | 8.33 | 9.98 |  |  |
| 0.225 | 2.43 | 2.81 | 3.27 | 3.84 | 4.16 | 4.50 | 4.93 | 5.18 | 6.05 | 6.83 | 7.82 | 9.13 | 10.90 |  |  |
| 0.250 | 2.67 | 3.09 | 3.60 | 4.22 | 4.55 | 4.97 | 5.48 | 6.00 | 6.67 | 7.50 | 8.57 | 10.0 | 12.00 |  |  |
| 0.275 | 2.96 | 3.43 | 3.99 | 4.66 | 5.08 | 5.54 | 6.05 | 6.67 | 7.41 | 8.37 | 9.55 | 11.1 |  |  |  |
| 0.300 | 3.33 | 3.87 | 4.48 | 5.28 | 5.73 | 6.24 | 6.83 | 7.50 | 8.37 | 9.37 | 10.80 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.325 | 3.81 | 4.41 | 5.14 | 6.03 | 6.55 | 7.12 | 7.82 | 8.57 | 9.55 | 10.80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.350 | 4.44 | 5.16 | 5.99 | 7.04 | 7.66 | 8.33 | 9.13 | 10.00 | 11.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.375 | 5.33 | 6.17 | 7.16 | 8.45 | 9.17 | 9.98 | 10.90 | 12.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.400 | 6.67 | 7.73 | 9.00 | 10.60 | 11.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^21]

Figure 12.4

## EXAMPLE

A bridge pier of masonry, 80 ft high, is rectangular in section, measuring at the base 20 by 10 ft , the longer dimension being parallel to the track. This pier is subjected to a vertical load $P$ (including its own weight) of 1500 tons, a horizontal braking load (parallel to the track) of 60 tons, and a horizontal wind load $P_{z}$ (transverse to the track) of 50 tons. It is required to determine the maximum compressive stress at the base of the pier, first assuming that the masonry can sustain tension and, second, that it cannot.

Solution. For convenience in numerical work, the ton will be retained as the unit of force and the foot as the unit of distance.
(a) Masonry can sustain tension: Take $d=20 \mathrm{ft}$, and $b=10 \mathrm{ft}$, and take axes 1 and 2 as shown in Fig. 12.5. Then, with respect to axis 1, the bending moment $M_{1}=60 \times 80=4800$ ton- ft , and the section modulus $(I / c)_{1}=\frac{1}{6}(10)\left(20^{2}\right)=$ $667 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$. With respect to axis 2 , the bending moment $M_{2}=50 \times 80=$ 4000 ton-ft, and the section modulus $(I / c)_{2}=\frac{1}{6}(20)\left(10^{2}\right)=333 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$. The section area is $10 \times 20=200 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. The maximum stress obviously occurs at the corner where both bending moments cause compression and is

$$
\sigma=\frac{1500}{200}+\frac{4800}{667}+\frac{4000}{333}=7.5+7.2+12=26.7 \text { tons } / \mathrm{ft}^{2}
$$

(b) Masonry cannot sustain tension: The resultant of the loads pierces the base section of the pier at point $P$, at a distance $e_{1}=60 \times 80 / 1500=3.2 \mathrm{ft}$ from axis 1 at $e_{2}=50 \times 80 / 1500=2.67 \mathrm{ft}$ from axis 2 . This resultant is resolved at point $P$ into rectangular components, the only one of which causing compression is the vertical component, equal to 1500 , with eccentricities $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$. The eccentric ratios are $e_{1} / d=0.16$ and $e_{2} / b=0.267$. Referring to the tabulated values of $K$, linear interpolation between $e_{1} / d=0.15$ and 0.175 at $e_{2} / b=0.250$ gives $K=4.35$. Similar interpolation at $e_{2} / b=0.275$ gives $K=4.83$. Linear interpolation between these values gives $K=4.68$ as the true value at $e_{2} / b=0.267$. The maximum stress is therefore

$$
\sigma=\frac{K P}{A}=4.68 \times \frac{1500}{200}=35.1 \mathrm{tons} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}
$$



Figure 12.5

### 12.8 Tables

## TABLE 12.1 Formulas for short prisms loaded eccentrically; stress reversal impossible

NOTATION: $m$ and $n$ are dimensions of the kern which is shown shaded in each case; $x$ is the distance from the most stressed fiber to the neutral axis; and $A$ is the net area of the section. Formulas for $x$ and for maximum stress assume the prism to be subjected to longitudinal load $P$ acting outside the kern on one principal axis of the section and at a distance $e$ from the other principal axis

Form of section, form of
kern, and case no.
Formulas for $m, n, x$, and $\sigma_{\max }$

2. Hollow rectangular section
$m=\frac{1}{6} \frac{b d^{3}-c a^{3}}{d(d b-a c)}, \quad n=\frac{1}{6} \frac{d b^{3}-a c^{3}}{b(d b-a c)}$

$x$ satisfies $\frac{e}{d}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\frac{1}{6} b x^{3}-\frac{1}{2} a^{2} c\left(\frac{1}{2} d-\frac{1}{6} a\right)-\frac{1}{2} a c d\left(x-\frac{1}{2} a-\frac{1}{2} d\right)}{d\left[\frac{1}{2} b x^{2}-a c\left(x-\frac{1}{2} d\right)\right]} & \text { if } \frac{a+d}{2}<x<d \\ \frac{1}{2}-\frac{\frac{1}{6} b x^{3}-\frac{1}{2} c\left(x-\frac{1}{2} d-\frac{1}{2} a\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{3} x+\frac{1}{6} d-\frac{1}{6} a\right)}{d\left[\frac{1}{2} b x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} c\left(x-\frac{1}{2} d-\frac{1}{2} a\right)^{2}\right]} & \text { if } \frac{d-a}{2}<x<\frac{a+d}{2}\end{cases}$
$\sigma_{\max }= \begin{cases}\frac{P}{\frac{1}{2} b x-a c\left(x-\frac{1}{2} d\right) / x} & \text { if } \frac{a+d}{2}<x<d \\ \frac{P}{\frac{1}{2} b x-c\left(x-\frac{1}{2} d+\frac{1}{2} a\right)^{2} / 2 x} & \text { if } \frac{d-a}{2}<x<\frac{a+d}{2}\end{cases}$
3. Thin-walled rectangular shell $\quad m=\frac{1}{6} d\left(\frac{d t_{1}+3 b t_{2}}{d t_{1}+b t_{2}}\right), \quad n=\frac{1}{6} b\left(\frac{b t_{2}+3 d t_{1}}{d t_{1}+b t_{2}}\right)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} d-3 e\right)+\sqrt{b\left(\frac{3}{2} d \frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}-3 e \frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{3}{2} d-3 e\right)^{2}} \\
& \sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{x t_{1}+b t_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

# TABLE 12.1 Formulas for short prisms loaded eccentrically; stress reversal impossible (Continued) 

4. Solid circular section $\quad m=\frac{r}{4}$


$$
x=r(1-\sin \phi) \quad \text { where } \phi \text { satisfies } \quad \frac{e}{r}=\frac{\frac{1}{8} \pi-\frac{1}{4} \phi-\frac{5}{12} \sin \phi \cos \phi+\frac{1}{6} \sin ^{3} \phi \cos \phi}{\cos \phi-\frac{1}{3} \cos ^{3} \phi-\frac{1}{2} \pi \sin \phi+\phi \sin \phi}
$$

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{A} \frac{\pi(1-\sin \phi)}{A \cos \phi-\frac{1}{3} \cos ^{3} \phi-\frac{1}{2} \pi \sin \phi+\phi \sin \phi} \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{A} K \quad \text { where } K \text { is given by following table: }
$$

| $e / r$ | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.90 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x / r$ | 2.00 | 1.82 | 1.66 | 1.51 | 1.37 | 1.23 | 1.10 | 0.97 | 0.84 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.47 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| $K$ | 2.00 | 2.21 | 2.46 | 2.75 | 3.11 | 3.56 | 4.14 | 4.90 | 5.94 | 7.43 | 9.69 | 13.4 | 20.5 | 37.5 |

5. Hollow circular section

$$
m=\frac{\frac{1}{4}\left(r^{2}+r_{1}^{2}\right)}{r}
$$


$\sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{A} K \quad$ where $K$ is given by following table:

| $e / r$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{1} / r$ |  | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.90 |
| 0.4 | $x / r$ | 2.00 | 1.97 |  | 1.81 | 1.67 |  | 1.53 | 1.38 | 1.22 | 1.05 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
|  | K | 2.00 | 2.03 |  | 2.22 | 2.43 |  | 2.68 | 2.99 | 3.42 | 4.03 | 4.90 | 6.19 | 8.14 | 11.3 | 17.3 | 31.5 |
| 0.6 | $x / r$ |  |  | 2.00 | 1.97 | 1.84 |  | 1.71 | 1.56 | 1.39 | 1.21 | 1.02 | 0.82 | 0.64 | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
|  | K |  |  | 2.00 | 2.03 | 2.18 |  | 2.36 | 2.58 | 2.86 | 3.24 | 3.79 | 4.64 | 6.04 | 8.54 | 13.2 | 24.0 |
| 0.8 | $x / r$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2.00 | 1.91 | 1.78 | 1.62 | 1.45 | 1.26 | 1.05 | 0.84 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.24 |
|  | K |  |  |  |  |  | 2.00 | 2.10 | 2.24 | 2.42 | 2.65 | 2.94 | 3.34 | 3.95 | 4.98 | 7.16 | 13.4 |
| 1.0 | $x / r$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.00 | 1.87 | 1.71 | 1.54 | 1.35 | 1.15 | 0.94 | 0.72 | 0.48 |
|  | K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.00 | 2.12 | 2.26 | 2.43 | 2.63 | 2.90 | 3.27 | 3.79 | 4.68 |

## TABLE 12.1 Formulas for short prisms loaded eccentrically; stress reversal impossible (Continued)

6. Thin-walled circular shell $\quad m=\frac{1}{2} r$


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x=r(1-\sin \phi) & \text { where } \phi \text { satisfies } & \frac{e}{r}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} \pi-\phi-\sin \phi \cos \phi}{2 \cos \phi-\pi \sin \phi+2 \phi \sin \phi} \\
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{t r} \frac{1-\sin \phi}{2 \cos \phi-\pi \sin \phi+2 \phi \sin \phi} & \text { or } \quad \sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{A} K \quad \text { where } K \text { is given by the above table (case 5) for } \frac{r_{1}}{r}=1
\end{array}
$$

$$
m_{1}=\frac{d}{6}, \quad m_{2}=\frac{d}{12}, \quad n=\frac{b}{8}, \quad A=\frac{b d}{2}
$$

For the load right of centroid and kern, that is, $e_{1}>m_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Distance from vertex to neutral axis }=\frac{4 d}{3}-2 e_{1} \\
& \sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{B}=\frac{P_{1}}{A} \frac{6.75}{\left(2-3 e_{1} / d\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the load left of centroid and kern, that is, $e_{2}>m_{2}$ :
Distance from base to neutral axis $=x_{2}$ where $x_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x_{2}}{2 d} \frac{2-x_{2} / d}{3-x_{2} / d}=\frac{1}{3}-\frac{e_{2}}{d} \\
& \sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{A}=\frac{P_{2}}{A} \frac{3 d}{x_{2}\left(3-x_{2} / d\right)} \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma_{\max }=\frac{P}{A} K
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K$ is given by following table:

| $e_{2} / d$ | 0.083 | 0.100 | 0.125 | 0.150 | 0.175 | 0.200 | 0.225 | 0.250 | 0.275 | 0.300 | 0.325 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x_{2} / d$ | 1.000 | 0.885 | 0.750 | 0.635 | 0.532 | 0.437 | 0.348 | 0.263 | 0.181 | 0.102 | 0.025 |
| $K$ | 1.500 | 1.602 | 1.778 | 1.997 | 2.283 | 2.677 | 3.252 | 4.173 | 5.886 | 10.17 | 40.18 |

## TABLE 12.1 Formulas for short prisms loaded eccentrically; stress reversal impossible (Continued)
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## Chapter <br> 1 3

## Shells of Revolution; Pressure Vessels; Pipes

### 13.1 Circumstances and General State of Stress

The discussion and formulas in this section apply to any vessel that is a figure of revolution. For convenience of reference, a line that represents the intersection of the wall and a plane containing the axis of the vessel is called a meridian, and a line representing the intersection of the wall and a plane normal to the axis of the vessel is called a circumference. Obviously the meridian through any point is perpendicular to the circumference through that point.
When a vessel of the kind under consideration is subjected to a distributed loading, such as internal or external pressure, the predominant stresses are membrane stresses, i.e., stresses constant through the thickness of the wall. There is a meridional membrane stress $\sigma_{1}$ acting parallel to the meridian, a circumferential, or hoop, membrane stress $\sigma_{2}$ acting parallel to the circumference, and a generally small radial stress $\sigma_{3}$ varying through the thickness of the wall. In addition, there may be bending and/or shear stresses caused by loadings or physical characteristics of the shell and its supporting structure. These include (1) concentrated loads, (2) line loads along a meridian or circumference, (3) sudden changes in wall thickness or an abrupt change in the slope of the meridian, (4) regions in the vessel where a meridian becomes normal to or approaches being normal to the axis of the vessel, and (5) wall thicknesses greater than those considered thin-walled, resulting in variations of $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ through the wall.

In consequence of these stresses, there will be meridional, circumferential, and radial strains leading to axial and radial deflections and changes in meridional slope. If there is axial symmetry of both the
loading and the vessel, there will be no tendency for any circumference to depart from the circular form unless buckling occurs.

### 13.2 Thin Shells of Revolution under Distributed Loadings Producing Membrane Stresses Only

If the walls of the vessel are relatively thin (less than about one-tenth the smaller principal radius of curvature) and have no abrupt changes in thickness, slope, or curvature and if the loading is uniformly distributed or smoothly varying and axisymmetric, the stresses $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are practically uniform throughout the thickness of the wall and are the only important ones present; the radial stress $\sigma_{3}$ and such bending stresses as occur are negligibly small. Table 13.1 gives formulas for the stresses and deformations under loadings such as those just described for cylindrical, conical, spherical, and toroidal vessels as well as for general smooth figures of revolution as listed under case 4.

If two thin-walled shells are joined to produce a vessel, and if it is desired to have no bending stresses at the joint under uniformly distributed or smoothly varying loads, then it is necessary to choose shells for which the radial deformations and the rotations of the meridians are the same for each shell at the point of connection. For example, a cylindrical shell under uniform internal pressure will have a radial deformation of $q R^{2}(1-v / 2) / E t$ while a hemispherical head of equal thickness under the same pressure will have a radial deformation of $q R^{2}(1-v) / 2 E t$; the meridian rotation $\psi$ is zero in both cases. This mismatch in radial deformation will produce bending and shear stresses in the near vicinity of the joint. An examination of case 4a (Table 13.1) shows that if $R_{1}$ is infinite at $\theta=90^{\circ}$ for a smooth figure of revolution, the radial deformation and the rotation of the meridian will match those of the cylinder.
Flügge (Ref. 5) points out that the family of cassinian curves has the property just described. He also discusses in some detail the ogival shells, which have a constant radius of curvature $R_{1}$ for the meridian but for which $R_{2}$ is a variable. If $R_{2}$ is everywhere less than $R_{1}$, the ogival shell has a pointed top, as shown in Fig. 13.1(a). If $R_{2}$ is infinite, as it is at point $A$ in Fig. 13.1(b), the center of the shell must be supported to avoid large bending stresses although some bending stresses are still present in the vicinity of point $A$. For more details of these deviations from membrane action see Refs. 66 and 74-76.

For very thin shells where bending stresses are negligible, a nonlinear membrane theory can provide more realistic values near the crown, point $A$. Rossettos and Sanders have carried out such a solution (Ref. 52). Chou and Johnson (Ref. 57) have examined large
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deflections of elastic toroidal membranes of a type used in some sensitive pressure-measuring devices.

Galletly in Ref. 67 shows that simple membrane theory is not adequate for the stress analysis of most torispherical pressure vessels. Ranjan and Steele in Ref. 68 have worked with asymptotic expansions and give a simple design formula for the maximum stress in the toroidal segment which is in good agreement with experimental and numerical studies. They present a simple condition that gives the optimum knuckle radius for prescribed spherical cap and cylinder geometries and also give expressions leading to a lower limit for critical internal pressure at which wrinkles are formed due to circumferential compression in the toroid.

Baker, Kovalevsky, and Rish (Ref. 6) give formulas for toroidal segments, ogival shells, elliptical shells, and Cassini shells under various loadings; all these cases can be evaluated from case 4 of Table 13.1 once $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are calculated. In addition to the axisymmetric shells considered in this chapter, Refs. 5, 6, 45, 59, 66, 74, 81, and 82 discuss in some detail the membrane stresses in nonaxisymmetric shells, such as barrel vaults, elliptic cylinders, and hyperbolic paraboloids.

## EXAMPLES

1. A segment of a toroidal shell shown in Fig. 13.2 is to be used as a transition between a cylinder and a head closure in a thin-walled pressure vessel. To properly match the deformations, it is desired to know the change in radius and the rotation of the meridian at both ends of the toroidal segment under an internal pressure loading of $200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Given: $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, v=0.3$, and the wall thickness $t=0.1 \mathrm{in}$.
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Solution. Since this particular case is not included in Table 13.1, the general case 4 a can be used. At the upper end $\theta=30^{\circ}, R_{1}=10 \mathrm{in}$, and $R_{2}=10+5 / \sin 30^{\circ}=20 \mathrm{in}$; therefore,

$$
\Delta R_{30^{\circ}}=\frac{200\left(20^{2}\right)(0.5)}{2(30)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.1)}\left(2-\frac{20}{10}-0.3\right)=-0.002 \text { in }
$$

Since $R_{1}$ is a constant, $d R_{1} / d \theta=0$ throughout the toroidal segment; therefore,

$$
\psi_{30^{\circ}}=\frac{200\left(20^{2}\right)}{2(30)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.1)(10)(0.577)}\left[3 \frac{10}{20}-5+\frac{20}{10}(2+0)\right]=0.00116 \mathrm{rad}
$$

At the lower end, $\theta=90^{\circ}, R_{1}=10 \mathrm{in}$, and $R_{2}=15 \mathrm{in}$; therefore,

$$
\Delta R_{90^{\circ}}=\frac{200\left(15^{2}\right)(1)}{2(30)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.1)}\left(2-\frac{15}{10}-0.3\right)=0.0015 \text { in }
$$

Since $\tan 90^{\circ}=$ infinity and $d R_{1} / d \theta=0, \psi_{90^{\circ}}=0$. In this problem $R_{2} / R_{1} \leqslant 2$, so the value of $\sigma_{2}$ is never compressive, but this is not always true. One must check for the possibility of circumferential buckling.
2. The truncated thin-walled cone shown in Fig. 13.3 is supported at its base by the membrane stress $\sigma_{1}$. The material in the cone weighs $0.10 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$, $t=0.25 \mathrm{in}, E=10\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and Poisson's ratio is 0.3 . Find the stress $\sigma_{1}$ at the base, the change in radius at the base, and the change in height of the cone if the cone is subjected to an acceleration parallel to its axis of $399 g$.

Solution. Since the formulas for a cone loaded by its own weight are given only for a complete cone, superposition will have to be used. From Table 13.1 cases 2c and 2d will be applicable. First take a complete cone loaded by its own weight with its density multiplied by 400 to account for the acceleration. Since the vertex is up instead of down, a negative value can be used for $\delta . R=20 \mathrm{in}$, $\delta=-40.0$, and $\alpha=15^{\circ}$; therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{-40(20)}{2 \cos 15^{\circ} \cos 15^{\circ}}=-1600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\Delta R & =\frac{-40\left(20^{2}\right)}{10\left(10^{6}\right) \cos 15^{\circ}}\left(\sin 15^{\circ}-\frac{0.3}{2 \sin 15^{\circ}}\right)=0.000531 \text { in } \\
\Delta y & =\frac{-40\left(20^{2}\right)}{10\left(10^{6}\right) \cos ^{2} 15^{\circ}}\left(\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} 15^{\circ}}-\sin ^{2} 15^{\circ}\right)=-0.00628 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$
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Next we find the radius of the top as 11.96 in and calculate the change in length and effective weight of the portion of the complete cone to be removed. $R=11.96$ in, $\delta=-40.0$, and $\alpha=15^{\circ}$; therefore,

$$
\Delta y=-0.00628\left(\frac{11.96}{20}\right)^{2}=-0.00225 \text { in }
$$

The volume of the removed cone is

$$
\frac{11.96}{\sin 15^{\circ}} \frac{11.96(2 \pi)}{2}(0.25)=434 \mathrm{in}^{3}
$$

and the effective weight of the removed cone is $434(0.1)(400)=17,360 \mathrm{lb}$.
Removing the load of $17,360 \mathrm{lb}$ can be accounted for by using case 2 d , where $P=17,360, R=20 \mathrm{in}, r=11.96 \mathrm{in}, h=30 \mathrm{in}$, and $\alpha=15^{\circ}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{17,360}{2 \pi(20)(0.25) \cos 15^{\circ}}=572 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\Delta R & =\frac{-0.3(17,360)}{2 \pi(10)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.25) \cos 15^{\circ}}=-0.000343 \mathrm{in} \\
\Delta h & =\frac{17,360 \ln (20 / 11.96)}{2 \pi(10)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.25) \sin 15^{\circ} \cos ^{2} 15^{\circ}}=0.002353 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for the truncated cone,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =-1600+572=-1028 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\Delta R & =0.000531-0.000343=0.000188 \mathrm{in} \\
\Delta h & =-0.00628+0.00225+0.002353=-0.00168 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 13.3 Thin Shells of Revolution under Concentrated or Discontinuous Loadings Producing Bending and Membrane Stresses

Cylindrical shells. Table 13.2 gives formulas for forces, moments, and displacements for several axisymmetric loadings on both long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells having free ends. These expressions are based on differential equations similar in form to those used to develop the formulas for beams on elastic foundations in Chap. 8. To avoid excessive redundancy in the presentation, only the free-end cases are given in this chapter, but all of the loadings and boundary conditions listed in Tables 8.5 and 8.6 as well as the tabulated data in Tables 8.3 and 8.4 are directly applicable to cylindrical shells by substituting the shell parameters $\lambda$ and $D$ for the beam parameters $\beta$ and $E I$, respectively. (This will be demonstrated in the examples which follow.) Since many loadings on cylindrical shells occur at the ends, note carefully on page 148 the modified numerators to be used in the equations in Table 8.5 for the condition when $a=0$. A special
application of this would be the situation where one end of a cylindrical shell is forced to increase a known amount in radius while maintaining zero slope at that same end. This reduces to an application of an externally created concentrated lateral displacement $\Delta_{0}$ at $a=0$ (Table 8.5, case 6) with the left end fixed. (See Example 4.)

Pao (Ref. 60) has tabulated influence coefficients for short cylindrical shells under edge loads with wall thicknesses varying according to $t=C x^{n}$ for values of $n=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)(2)$ and for values of $t_{1} / t_{2}$ of 2,3 , and 4 . Various degrees of taper are considered by representing data for $k=0.2(0.2)(1.0)$ where $k^{4}=3\left(1-v^{2}\right) x_{1}^{4} / R^{2} t_{1}^{2}$. Stanek (Ref. 49) has tabulated similar coefficients for constant-thickness cylindrical shells.

A word of caution is in order at this point. The original differential equations used to develop the formulas presented in Table 13.2 were based on the assumption that radial deformations were small. If the magnitude of the radial deflection approaches the wall thickness, the accuracy of the equations declines. In addition, if axial loads are involved on a relatively short shell, the moments of these axial loads might have an appreciable effect if large deflections are encountered. The effects of these moments are not included in the expressions given.

## EXAMPLES

1. A steel tube with a 4.180 -in outside diameter and a 0.05 -in wall thickness is free at both ends and is 6 in long. At a distance of 2 in from the left end a steel ring with a circular cross section is shrunk onto the outside of the tube such as to compress the tube radially inward a distance of 0.001 in . The maximum tensile stress in the tube is desired. Given: $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.30$.
Solution. We calculate the following constants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =2.090-0.025=2.065 \\
\lambda & =\left[\frac{3\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}{2.065^{2}\left(0.05^{2}\right)}\right]^{1 / 4}=4.00 \\
D & =\frac{30\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.05^{3}\right)}{12\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}=344
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $6 / \lambda=6 / 4.0=1.5$ in and the closest end of the tube is 2 in from the load, this can be considered a very long tube. From Table 13.2, case 15 indicates that both the maximum deflection and the maximum moment are under the load, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-0.001 & =\frac{-p}{8(344)\left(4.00^{3}\right)} \quad \text { or } \quad p=176 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
M_{\max } & =\frac{176}{4(4)}=11.0 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the cross section under the load and on the inside surface, the following stresses are present:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=0 \\
& \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{6 M}{t^{2}}=\frac{6(11.0)}{0.05^{2}}=26,400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}=\frac{y E}{R}+v \sigma_{1}=\frac{-0.001(30)\left(10^{6}\right)}{2.065}=-14,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0.30(26,400)=7920 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The principal stresses on the inside surface are 26,400 and $-6580 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
2. Given the same tube and loading as in Example 1, except the tube is only 1.2 in long and the ring is shrunk in place 0.4 in from the left end, the maximum tensile stress is desired.

Solution. Since both ends are closer than $6 / \lambda=1.5$ in from the load, the free ends influence the behavior of the tube under the load. From Table 13.2, case 2 applies in this example, and since the deflection under the load is the given value from which to work, we must evaluate $y$ at $x=a=0.4 \mathrm{in}$. Note that $\lambda l=4.0(1.2)=4.8, \lambda x=\lambda a=4.0(0.4)=1.6$, and $\lambda(l-a)=4.0(1.2-0.4)=3.2$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y & =y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\psi_{A}}{2 \lambda}+L T_{y} \\
y_{A} & =\frac{-p}{2 D \lambda^{3}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{p}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
C_{3} & =-60.51809 & \\
C_{a 2} & =-12.94222 & \text { (from Table 8.3, under } F_{3} \text { for } \beta x=4.8 \text { ) } \\
C_{4} & =-65.84195 \\
C_{11} & =3689.703 & \\
C_{a 1} & =-12.26569 \\
C_{2} & =-55.21063
\end{array}
$$

Also $F_{1}($ at $x=a)=-0.07526$ and $F_{2}($ at $x=a)=2.50700$; therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{A}=\frac{-p}{2(344)\left(4.0^{3}\right)} \frac{-60.52(-12.94)-(-65.84)(-12.27)}{3689.7}=0.154\left(10^{-6}\right) p \\
& \psi_{A}=\frac{-p}{2(344)\left(4.0^{2}\right)} \frac{-55.21(-12.94)-2(-60.52)(-12.27)}{3689.7}=-19.0\left(10^{-6}\right) p
\end{aligned}
$$

and $L T_{y}=0$ since $x$ is not greater than $a$. Substituting into the expression for $y$ at $x=a$ gives

$$
-0.001=0.154\left(10^{-6}\right) p(-0.07526)-\frac{19.0\left(10^{-6}\right) p(2.507)}{2(4.0)}=-5.96\left(10^{-6}\right) p
$$

or $p=168 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, y_{A}=0.0000259 \mathrm{in}$, and $\psi_{A}=-0.00319 \mathrm{rad}$.

Although the position of the maximum moment depends upon the position of the load, the maximum moment in this case would be expected to be under the load since the load is some distance from the free end:

$$
M=-y_{A} 2 D \lambda^{2} F_{3}-\psi_{A} D \lambda F_{4}+L T_{M}
$$

and at $x=a, F_{3}=2.37456, F_{4}=2.64573$, and $L T_{M}=0$ since $x$ is not greater than $a$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\max } & =-(0.0000259)(2)(344)\left(4.0^{2}\right)(2.375)-(-0.00319)(344)(4.0)(2.646) \\
& =10.92 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the cross section under the load and on the inside surface the following stresses are present:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=0 \\
& \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{6(10.92)}{0.05^{2}}=26,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sigma_{2}=\frac{-0.001(30)\left(10^{6}\right)}{2.065}=-14,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

$$
\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0.30(26,200)=7,860 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

The small change in the maximum stress produced in this shorter tube points out how localized the effect of a load on a shell can be. Had the radial load been the same, however, instead of the radial deflection, a greater difference might have been noted and the stress $\sigma_{2}$ would have increased in magnitude instead of decreasing.
3. A cylindrical aluminum shell is 10 in long and 15 in in diameter and must be designed to carry an internal pressure of $300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ without exceeding a maximum tensile stress of $12,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The ends are capped with massive flanges, which are sufficiently clamped to the shell to effectively resist any radial or rotational deformation at the ends. Given: $E=10\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.3$.

First solution. Case 1c from Table 13.1 and cases 1 and 3 or cases 8 and 10 from Table 13.2 can be superimposed to find the radial end load and the end moment which will make the slopes and deflections at both ends zero. Figure 13.4 shows the loadings applied to the shell. First we evaluate the necessary constants:

$$
\begin{gathered}
R=7.5 \text { in, } \quad l=10 \text { in, } \quad D=\frac{10\left(10^{6}\right) t^{3}}{12\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}=915,800 t^{3} \\
\lambda=\left[\frac{3\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}{7.5^{2} t^{2}}\right]^{1 / 4}=\frac{0.4694}{t^{0.5}}, \quad \lambda l=\frac{4.694}{t^{0.5}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the thickness is unknown at this step in the calculation, we can only estimate whether the shell must be considered long or short, i.e., whether the loads at one end will have any influence on the deformations at the other. To make an estimate of this effect we can calculate the wall thickness necessary for just the internal pressure. From case 1c of Table 13.1, the value of the hoop stress $\sigma_{2}=q R / t$ can be equated to $12,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and the expression solved for the thickness:

$$
t=\frac{300(7.5)}{12,000}=0.1875 \mathrm{in}
$$

Using this value for $t$ gives $\lambda l=10.84$, which would be a very long shell.
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For a trial solution the assumption will be made that the radial load and bending moment at the right end do not influence the deformations at the left end. Owing to the rigidity of the end caps, the radial deformation and the angular rotation of the left end will be set equal to zero. From Table 13.1, case 1 c ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{q R}{2 t}=\frac{300(7.5)}{2 t}=\frac{1125}{t}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q R}{t}=\frac{2250}{t}, \quad \psi=0 \\
\Delta R & =\frac{q R^{2}}{E t}\left(1-\frac{v}{2}\right)=\frac{300\left(7.5^{2}\right)}{10\left(10^{6}\right) t}\left(1-\frac{0.3}{2}\right)=\frac{0.001434}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.2, case 8,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{A} & =\frac{-V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{3}}=\frac{-V_{o}}{2\left(915,800 t^{3}\right)\left(0.4694 / t^{1 / 2}\right)^{3}}=\frac{-5.279\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o}}{t^{3 / 2}} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}}=\frac{2.478\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o}}{t^{5 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.2, case 10,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{A}=\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}}=\frac{2.478\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}}{t^{2}} \\
& \psi_{A}=\frac{-M_{o}}{D \lambda}=\frac{-2.326\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}}{t^{5 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing the radial deformations to zero gives

$$
\frac{0.001434}{t}-\frac{5.279\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o}}{t^{3 / 2}}+\frac{2.478\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}}{t^{2}}=0
$$

Similarly, summing the end rotations to zero gives

$$
\frac{2.478\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o}}{t^{2}}-\frac{2.326\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}}{t^{5 / 2}}=0
$$

Solving these two equations gives

$$
V_{o}=543 t^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{o}=579 t
$$

A careful examination of the problem reveals that the maximum bending stress will occur at the end, and so the following stresses must be combined: From Table 13.1, case 1c,

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{1125}{t}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{2250}{t}
$$

From Table 13.2, case 8,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=0, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=0, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
& \sigma_{2}=\frac{-2 V_{o} \lambda R}{t}=\frac{-2\left(543 t^{1 / 2}\right)\left(0.4694 / t^{1 / 2}\right)(7.5)}{t}=\frac{-3826}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.2, case 10,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=0 \\
& \sigma_{2}=\frac{2 M_{o} \lambda^{2} R}{t}=\frac{2(579 t)\left(0.4694 / t^{1 / 2}\right)^{2}(7.5)}{t}=\frac{1913}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

and on the inside surface

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{6 M_{o}}{t^{2}}=\frac{3473}{t} \\
& \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=v \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1042}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, at the end of the cylinder the maximum longitudinal tensile stress is $1125 / t+3473 / t=4598 / t$; similarly the maximum circumferential tensile stress is $2250 / t-3826 / t+1913 / t+1042 / t=1379 / t$.

Since the allowable tensile stress was $12,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, we can evaluate $4598 / t=12,000$ to obtain $t=0.383 \mathrm{in}$. This allows $\lambda l$ to be calculated as 7.59, which verifies the assumption that the shell can be considered a long shell for this loading and support.

Second solution. This loaded shell represents a case where both ends are fixed and a uniform radial pressure is applied over the entire length. Since the shell is considered long, we can find the expressions for $R_{A}$ and $M_{A}$ in Table 8.6 , case 2 , under the condition of the left end fixed and where the distance $a=0$ and $b$ can be considered infinite:

$$
R_{A}=\frac{-2 w}{\beta}\left(B_{1}-A_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad M_{A}=\frac{w}{\beta^{2}}\left(B_{4}-A_{4}\right)
$$

If $-V_{o}$ is substituted for $R_{A}, M_{o}$ for $M_{A}, \lambda$ for $\beta$, and $D$ for $E I$, the solution should apply to the problem at hand. Care must be exercised when substituting for the distributed load $w$. A purely radial pressure would produce a radial deformation $\Delta R=q R^{2} / E t$, while the effect of the axial pressure on the ends reduces this to $\Delta R=q R^{2}(1-v / 2) / E t$. Therefore, for $w$ we must substitute
$-300(1-v / 2)=-255 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Also note that for $a=0, A_{1}=A_{4}=0.5$, and for $b=\infty, B_{1}=B_{4}=0$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{o}=\frac{-2(255)}{\lambda}(0-0.5)=\frac{255}{\lambda}=543 t^{1 / 2} \\
& M_{o}=\frac{-255}{\lambda^{2}}(0-0.5)=\frac{127.5}{\lambda^{2}}=579 t
\end{aligned}
$$

which verifies the results of the first solution.
If we examine case 2 of Table 8.5 under the condition of both ends fixed, we find the expression

$$
M_{o}=M_{A}=\frac{w}{2 \lambda^{2}} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{5}-C_{4}^{2}}{C_{11}}
$$

Substituting for the several constants and reducing the expression to a simple form, we obtain

$$
M_{o}=\frac{-w}{2 \lambda^{2}} \frac{\sinh \lambda l-\sin \lambda l}{\sinh \lambda l+\sin \lambda l}
$$

The hyperbolic sine of 7.59 is 989 , and so for all practical purposes

$$
M_{o}=\frac{-w}{2 \lambda^{2}}=579 t
$$

which, of course, is the justification for the formulas in Table 8.6.
4. A 2 -in length of steel tube described in Example 1 is heated, and rigid plugs are inserted $\frac{1}{2}$ in into each end. The rigid plugs have a diameter equal to the inside diameter of the tube plus 0.004 in at room temperature. Find the longitudinal and circumferential stresses at the outside of the tube adjacent to the end of the plug and the diameter at midlength after the tube is shrunk into the plugs.

Solution. The most straightforward solution would consist of assuming that the portion of the tube outside the rigid plug is, in effect, displaced radially a distance of 0.002 in and owing to symmetry the midlength has zero slope. A steel cylindrical shell $\frac{1}{2}$ in in length, fixed on the left end with a radial displacement of 0.002 in at $a=0$ and with the right end guided, i.e., slope equal to zero, is the case to be solved.

From Example 1, $R=2.065$ in, $\lambda=4.00$, and $D=344 ; \lambda l=4.0(0.5)=2.0$. From Table 8.5, case 6 , for the left end fixed and the right end guided, we find the following expressions when $a=0$ :

$$
R_{A}=\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{3} \frac{C_{4}^{2}+C_{2}^{2}}{C_{12}} \quad \text { and } \quad M_{A}=\Delta_{o} 2 E I \beta^{2} \frac{C_{1} C_{4}-C_{3} C_{2}}{C_{12}}
$$

Replace $E I$ with $D$ and $\beta$ with $\lambda ; \Delta_{o}=0.002$, and from page 148 note that $C_{4}^{2}+C_{2}^{2}=2 C_{14}$ and $C_{1} C_{4}-C_{3} C_{2}=-C_{13}$. From Table 8.3, for $\lambda l=2.00$, we find that $2 C_{14}=27.962,-C_{13}=-14.023$, and $C_{12}=13.267$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{A}=0.002(2)(344)\left(4.0^{3}\right) \frac{27.962}{13.267}=185.6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
& M_{A}=0.002(2)(344)\left(4.0^{2}\right) \frac{-14.023}{13.267}=-23.27 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

To find the deflection at the midlength of the shell, which is the right end of the half-shell being used here, we solve for $y$ at $x=0.5$ in and $\lambda x=$ $4.0(0.5)=2.0$. Note that $y_{A}=0$ because the deflection of 0.002 in was forced into the shell just beyond the end in the solution being considered here. Therefore,

$$
y=\frac{M_{A}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} F_{3}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 D \lambda^{3}} F_{4}+\Delta_{o} F_{a 1}
$$

where from Table 8.3 at $\lambda x=2.0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3} & =3.298, \quad F_{4}=4.930, \quad F_{a 1}=F_{1}=-1.566 \text { since } a=0 \\
y_{x=0.5} & =\frac{-23.27}{2(344)\left(4.0^{2}\right)} 3.298+\frac{185.6}{4(344)\left(4.0^{3}\right)} 4.93+0.002(-1.566)=0.00029 \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

For a partial check on the solution we can calculate the slope at midlength. From Table 8.5, case 6,

$$
\theta=\frac{M_{A}}{2 E I \beta} F_{2}+\frac{R_{A}}{2 E I \beta^{2}} F_{3}-\Delta_{o} \beta F_{a 4}
$$

where $F_{2}=1.912$ and $F_{a 4}=F_{4}$ since $a=0$. Therefore,

$$
\theta=\frac{-23.27}{2(344)(4.0)} 1.912+\frac{185.6}{2(344)\left(4.0^{2}\right)} 3.298-0.002(4.0)(4.930)=0.00000
$$

Now from Table 13.2,

$$
\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M}{t^{2}}=\frac{-6(-23.27)}{0.05^{2}}=55,850 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Since $\sigma_{1}=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{0.002(30)\left(10^{6}\right)}{2.065}=29,060 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =0.3(55,800)=16,750 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the outside surface at the cross section adjacent to the plug the longitudinal stress is $55,850 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and the circumferential stress is $29,060+$ $16,750=45,810 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Since a rigid plug is only hypothetical, the actual stresses present would be smaller when a solid but elastic plug is used. External clamping around the shell over the plugs would also be necessary to fulfill the assumed fixed-end condition. The stresses calculated are, therefore, maximum possible values and would be conservative.

Spherical shells. The format used to present the formulas for the finite-length cylindrical shells could be adapted for finite portions of open spherical and conical shells with both edge loads and loads applied within the shells if we were to accept the approximate solutions based on equivalent cylinders. Baker, Kovalevsky, and Rish (Ref. 6) present formulas based on this approximation for open spherical and conical shells under edge loads and edge displacements. For partial spherical shells under axisymmetric loading, Hetényi, in an earlier work (Ref. 14), discusses the errors introduced by this same approximation and compares it with a better approximate solution derived therein. Table 13.3, case 1, gives formulas based on Hetényi's work, and although it is estimated that the calculational effort is twice that of the simpler approximation, the errors in maximum stresses are decreased substantially, especially when the opening angle $\phi$ is much different from $90^{\circ}$.

Stresses and deformations due to edge loads decrease exponentially away from the loaded edges of axisymmetric shells, and consequently boundary conditions or other restraints are not important if they are far enough from the loaded edge. For example, the exponential term decreases to approximately $1 \%$ when the product of the spherical shell parameter $\beta$ (see Table 13.3, case 1 ) and the angle $\omega$ (in radians) is greater than 4.5 ; similarly it reduces to approximately $5 \%$ at $\beta \omega=3$. This means that a spherical shell with a radius/thickness ratio of 50, for which $\beta \approx 9$, can have an opening angle $\phi$ as small as $\frac{1}{3} \mathrm{rad}$, or $19^{\circ}$, and still be solved with formulas for cases 1 with very little error. Figure 13.5 shows three shells, for which $R / t$ is approximately 50 , which would respond similarly to the edge loads $M_{o}$ and $Q_{o}$. In fact, the conical portion of the shell in Fig. 13.5(c) could be extended much closer than $19^{\circ}$ to the loaded edge since the conical portion near the junction of the cone and sphere would respond in a similar way to the sphere. (Hetényi discusses this in Ref. 14.)

Similar bounds on nonspherical but axisymmetric shells can be approximated by using closely matching equivalent spherical shells (Ref. 6). (We should note that the angle $\phi$ in Table 13.3, case 1, is not limited to a maximum of $90^{\circ}$, as will be illustrated in the examples at the end of this section.)
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For shallow spherical shells where $\phi$ is small, Gerdeen and Niedenfuhr (Ref. 46) have developed influence coefficients for uniform pressure and for edge loads and moments. Shells with central cutouts are also included as are loads and moments on the edge of the cutouts. Many graphs as well as tabulated data are presented, which permits the solution of a wide variety of problems by superposition.

Cheng and Angsirikul (Ref. 80) present the results of an elasticity solution for edge-loaded spherical domes with thick walls and with thin walls.

Conical shells. Exact solutions to the differential equations for both long and short thin-walled truncated conical shells are described in Refs. 30, 31, 64, and 65. Verifications of these expressions by tests are described in Ref. 32, and applications to reinforced cones are described in Ref. 33. In Table 13.3, case 4 for long cones, where the loads at one end do not influence the displacements at the other, is based on the solution described by Taylor (Ref. 65) in which the Kelvin functions and their derivatives are replaced by asymptotic formulas involving negative powers of the conical shell parameter $k$ (presented here in a modified form):

$$
k=\frac{2}{\sin \alpha}\left[\frac{12\left(1-v^{2}\right) R^{2}}{t^{2} \sec ^{2} \alpha}\right]^{1 / 4}
$$

These asymptotic formulas will give three-place accuracy for the Kelvin functions for all values of $k>5$. To appreciate this fully, one must understand that a truncated thin-walled cone with an $R / t$ ratio of 10 at the small end, a semiapex angle of $80^{\circ}$, and a Poisson's ratio of 0.3 will have a value of $k=4.86$. For problems where $k$ is much larger than 5 , fewer terms can be used in the series, but a few trial calculations will soon indicate the number of terms it is necessary to carry. If only displacements and stresses at the loaded edge are needed, the simpler forms of the expressions can be used. (See the example at the end of Sec. 13.4.)

Baltrukonis (Ref. 64) obtains approximations for the influence coefficients which give the edge displacements for short truncated conical shells under axisymmetric edge loads and moments; this is done by using one-term asymptotic expressions for the Kelvin functions. Applying the multiterm asymptotic expressions suggested by Taylor to a short truncated conical shell leads to formulas that are too complicated to present in a reasonable form. Instead, in Table 13.3, case 5 tabulates numerical coefficients based upon this more accurate formulation but evaluated by a computer for the case where Poisson's
ratio is 0.3 . Because of limited space, only five values of $k$ and six values of the length parameter $\mu_{D}=\left|k_{A}-k_{B}\right| / \sqrt{2}$ are presented. If $\mu_{D}$ is greater than 4 , the end loads do not interact appreciably and the formulas from case 4 may be used.

Tsui (Ref. 58) derives expressions for deformations of conical shells for which the thickness tapers linearly with distance along the meridian; influence coefficients are tabulated for a limited range of shell parameters. Blythe and Kyser (Ref. 50) give formulas for thinwalled conical shells loaded in torsion.

Toroidal shells. Simple closed-form solutions for toroidal shells are generally valid for a rather limited range of parameters, so that usually it is necessary to resort to numerical solutions. Osipova and Tumarkin (Ref. 18) present extensive tables of functions for the asymptotic method of solution of the differential equations for toroidal shells; this reference also contains an extensive bibliography of work on toroidal shells. Tsui and Massard (Ref. 43) tabulate the results of numerical solutions in the form of influence coefficients and influence functions for internal pressure and edge loadings on finite portions of segments of toroidal shells. Segments having positive and negative gaussian curvatures are considered; when both positive and negative curvatures are present in the same shell, the solutions can be obtained by matching slopes and deflections at the junction. References 29, 51 , and 61 describe similar solutions.
Stanley and Campbell (Ref. 77) present the principal test results on 17 full-size, production-quality torispherical ends and compare them to theory. Kishida and Ozawa (Ref. 78) compare results arrived at from elasticity, photoelasticity, and shell theory. References 67 and 68 discuss torispherical shells and present design formulas. See the discussion in Sec. 13.2 on this topic.

Jordon (Ref. 53) works with the shell-equilibrium equations of a deformed shell to examine the effect of pressure on the stiffness of an axisymmetrically loaded toroidal shell.

Kraus (Ref. 44), in addition to an excellent presentation of the theory of thin elastic shells, devotes one chapter to numerical analysis under static loadings and another to numerical analysis under dynamic loadings. Comparisons are made among results obtained by finite-element methods, finite-difference methods, and analytic solutions. Numerical techniques, element sizes, and techniques of shell subdivision are discussed in detail. It would be impossible to list here all the references describing the finite-element computer programs available for solving shell problems, but Perrone (Ref. 62) has
presented an excellent summary and Bushnell (Ref. 63) describes work on shells in great detail.

## EXAMPLES

1. Two partial spheres of aluminum are to be welded together as shown in Fig. 13.6 to form a pressure vessel to withstand an internal pressure of $200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The mean radius of each sphere is 2 ft , and the wall thickness is 0.5 in . Calculate the stresses at the seam. Given: $E=10\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.33$.
Solution. The edge loading will be considered in three parts, as shown in Fig. 13.6(b). The tangential edge force $T$ will be applied to balance the internal pressure and, together with the pressure, will cause only membrane stresses and the accompanying change in circumferential radius $\Delta R$; this loading will produce no rotation of the meridian. Owing to the symmetry of the two shells, there is no resultant radial load on the edge, and so $Q_{o}$ is added to eliminate that component of T. $M_{o}$ is needed to ensure no edge rotation.

First apply the formulas from Table 13.1, case 3a:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\sigma_{2}=\frac{q R_{2}}{2 t}=\frac{200(24)}{2(0.5)}=4800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\Delta R & =\frac{q R_{2}^{2}(1-v) \sin \theta}{2 E t}=\frac{200\left(24^{2}\right)(1-0.33) \sin 120^{\circ}}{2(10)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.5)}=0.00668 \mathrm{in} \\
T & =\sigma_{1} t=4800(0.5)=2400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
\psi & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Next apply case 1a from Table 13.3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{o} & =T \sin 30^{\circ}=2400(0.5)=1200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
\phi & =120^{\circ} \\
\beta & =\left[3\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(\frac{R_{2}}{t}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 4}=\left[3\left(1-0.33^{2}\right)\left(\frac{24}{0.5}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 4}=8.859
\end{aligned}
$$
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At the edge where $\omega=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{1} & =1-\frac{1-2 v}{2 \beta} \cot \phi=1-\frac{1-2(0.33)}{2(8.859)} \cot 120^{\circ}=1.011 \\
K_{2} & =1-\frac{1+2 v}{2 \beta} \cot \phi=1.054 \\
\Delta R & =\frac{Q_{o} R_{2} \beta \sin ^{2} \phi}{E t K_{1}}\left(1+K_{1} K_{2}\right)=\frac{1200(24)(8.859) \sin ^{2} 120^{\circ}}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(0.5)(1.011)}[1+1.011(1.054)] \\
& =0.0782 \mathrm{in} \\
\psi & =\frac{Q_{o} 2 \beta^{2} \sin \phi}{E t K_{1}}=\frac{1200(2)\left(8.859^{2}\right) \sin 120^{\circ}}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(0.5)(1.011)}=0.0323 \mathrm{rad} \\
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{Q_{o} \cos \phi}{t}=\frac{1200 \cos 120^{\circ}}{0.5}=-1200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =0 \\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{Q_{o} \beta \sin \phi}{2 t}\left(\frac{2}{K_{1}}+K_{1}+K_{2}\right)=\frac{1200(8.859) \sin 120^{\circ}}{2(0.5)}\left(\frac{2}{1.011}+1.011+1.054\right) \\
& =37,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{-Q_{o} \beta^{2} \cos \phi}{K_{1} R_{2}}=\frac{-1200\left(8.859^{2}\right) \cos 120^{\circ}}{1.011(24)}=1940 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now apply case 1 b from Table 13.3:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R & =\frac{M_{o} 2 \beta^{2} \sin \phi}{E t K_{1}}=0.00002689 M_{o} \\
\psi & =\frac{M_{o} 4 \beta^{3}}{E t R_{2} K_{1}}=\frac{M_{o} 4(8.859)^{3}}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(0.5)(24)(1.011)}=0.00002292 M_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the combined edge rotation $\psi$ must be zero,

$$
0=0+0.0323+0.00002292 M_{o} \quad \text { or } \quad M_{o}=-1409 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R & =0.00668+0.0782+0.00002689(-1409)=0.04699 \mathrm{in} \\
\sigma_{1} & =0 \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6(-1409)}{0.05^{2}}=33,800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{M_{o} 2 \beta^{2}}{R_{2} K_{1} t}=\frac{-1409(2)\left(8.859^{2}\right)}{24(1.011)(0.5)}=-18,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
M_{2} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 v K_{1}}\left[\left(1+v^{2}\right)\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)-2 K_{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{-1409}{2(0.33)(1.011)}\left[\left(1+0.33^{2}\right)(1.011+1.054)-2(1.054)\right]=-384 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in} \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6(-384)}{0.5^{2}}=9220 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The superimposed stresses at the joint are, therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=4800-1200+0=3600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=0+0+33,800=33,800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}=4800+37,200-18,200=23,800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0+1940+9220=11,160 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum stress is a tensile meridional stress of $37,400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ on the outside surface at the joint. A further consideration would be given to any stress concentrations due to the shape of the weld cross section.
2. To reduce the high stresses in Example 1, it is proposed to add to the joint a reinforcing ring of aluminum having a cross-sectional area $A$. Calculate the optimum area to use.

Solution. If the ring could be designed to expand in circumference by the same amount that the sphere does under membrane loading only, then all bending stresses could be eliminated. Therefore, let a ring be loaded radially with a load of $2 Q_{o}$ and have the radius increase by 0.00668 in . Since $\Delta R / R=2 Q_{o} R / A E$, then

$$
A=\frac{2 Q_{o} R^{2}}{E \Delta R}=\frac{2(1200)\left(24^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} 60^{\circ}}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(0.00668)}=15.5 \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

With this large an area required, the simple expression just given for $\Delta R / R$ based on a thin ring is not adequate; furthermore, there is not enough room to place such a ring external to the shell. An internal reinforcement seems more reasonable. If a 6 -in-diameter hole is required for passage of the fluid, the internal reinforcing disk can have an outer radius of 20.78 in , an inner radius of 3 in , and a thickness $t_{1}$ to be determined. The loading on the disk is shown in Fig. 13.7. The change in the outer radius is desired.

From Table 13.5, case 1a, the effect of the $200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ internal pressure can be evaluated:

$$
\Delta a=\frac{q}{E} \frac{2 a b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}=\frac{200}{10\left(10^{6}\right)} \frac{2(20.78)\left(3^{2}\right)}{20.78^{2}-3^{2}}=0.0000177 \mathrm{in}
$$
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From Table 13.5, case 1 c , the effect of the loads $Q_{o}$ can be determined if the loading is modeled as an outward pressure of $-2 Q_{o} / t_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
\Delta a=\frac{-q a}{E}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}-v\right)=\frac{2(1200)(20.78)}{t_{1} 10\left(10^{6}\right)}\left(\frac{20.78^{2}+3^{2}}{20.78^{2}-3^{2}}-0.33\right)=\frac{0.00355}{t_{1}}
$$

The longitudinal pressure of $200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ will cause a small lateral expansion in the outer radius of

$$
\Delta_{a}=\frac{200(0.33)(20.78)}{10\left(10^{6}\right)}=0.000137 \mathrm{in}
$$

Summing the changes in the outer radius to the desired value gives

$$
0.00668=0.0000177+0.000137+\frac{0.00355}{t_{1}} \quad \text { or } \quad t_{1}=0.545 \text { in }
$$

(Undoubtedly further optimization could be carried out on the volume of material required and the ease of welding the joint by varying the thickness of the disk and the size of the internal hole.)
3. A truncated cone of aluminum with a uniform wall thickness of 0.050 in and a semiapex angle of $55^{\circ}$ has a radius of 2 in at the small end and 2.5 in at the large end. It is desired to know the radial loading at the small end which will increase the radius by half the wall thickness. Given: $E=10\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.33$.

Solution. Evaluate the distances from the apex along a meridian to the two ends of the shell and then obtain the shell parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{A} & =2.5 \text { in } \\
R_{B} & =2.0 \text { in } \\
k_{A} & =\frac{2}{\sin 55^{\circ}}\left[\frac{12\left(1-0.33^{2}\right)\left(2.5^{2}\right)}{0.050^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{2} 55^{\circ}}\right]^{1 / 4}=23.64 \\
k_{B} & =21.15 \\
\mu_{D} & =\frac{23.64-21.15}{2}=1.76 \\
\beta & =\left[12\left(1-0.33^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=3.27
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.3, case 6c, tabulated constants for shell forces, moments, and deformations can be found when a radial load is applied to the small end. For the present problem the value of $K_{\Delta R}$ at the small end $(\Omega=1.0)$ is needed when $\mu_{D}=1.76$ and $k_{A}=23.64$. Interpolation from the following data gives $K_{\Delta R}=1.27$ :

| $k_{A}$ | 10.0 |  |  |  | 20.0 |  |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mu_{n}$ | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 3.2 |
| $K_{\Delta R}$ | 2.085 | 1.610 | 1.343 | 1.113 | 2.400 | 1.696 | 1.351 | 1.051 | 2.491 | 1.709 | 1.342 | 1.025 |

At $\Omega=1.0$

Therefore,

$$
\Delta R_{B}=\frac{-Q_{B}(2.0) \sin 55^{\circ}}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(0.050)} \frac{21.15}{\sqrt{2}}(1.27)=-0.00006225 Q_{B}
$$

Since $\Delta R_{B}=0.050 / 2$ (half the thickness), $Q_{B}=-402 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ (outward).

### 13.4 Thin Multielement Shells of Revolution

The discontinuity stresses at the junctions of shells or shell elements due to changes in thickness or shape are not serious under static loading of ductile materials; however, they are serious under conditions of cyclic or fatigue loading. In Ref. 9, discontinuity stresses are discussed with a numerical example; also, allowable levels of the membrane stresses due to internal pressure are established, as well as allowable levels of membrane and bending stresses due to discontinuities under both static and cyclic loadings.

Langer (Ref. 10) discusses four modes of failure of a pressure vessel-bursting due to general yielding, ductile tearing at a discontinuity, brittle fracture, and creep rupture-and the way in which these modes are affected by the choice of material and wall thickness; he also compares pressure-vessel codes of several countries. Zaremba (Ref. 47) and Johns and Orange (Ref. 48) describe in detail the techniques for accurate deformation matching at the intersections of axisymmetric shells. See also Refs. 74 and 75.

The following example illustrates the use of the formulas in Tables 13.1-13.3 to determine discontinuity stresses.

## EXAMPLE

The vessel shown in quarter longitudinal section in Fig. 13.8(a) consists of a cylindrical shell ( $R=24$ in and $t=0.633$ in) with conical ends ( $\alpha=45^{\circ}$ and $t=0.755 \mathrm{in}$ ). The parts are welded together, and the material is steel, for which $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.25$. It is required to determine the maximum stresses at the junction of the cylinder and cone due to an internal pressure of $300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. (This vessel corresponds to one for which the results of a supposedly precise analysis and experimentally determined stress values are available. See Ref. 17.)

Solution. For the cone, case 2a in Table 13.1 and cases 4a and 4b in Table 13.3 can be used: $R=24 \mathrm{in}, \alpha=45^{\circ}$, and $t=0.755 \mathrm{in}$. The following conditions exist at the end of the cone: From Table 13.1, case 2a, for the load $T$ and

(a)

(b)

(c)
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pressure $q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{300(24)}{2(0.755) \cos 45^{\circ}}=6740 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \quad T=6740(0.755)=5091 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
\sigma_{2} & =13,480 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=0, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
\Delta R & =\frac{300\left(24^{2}\right)}{30\left(10^{6}\right)(0.755) \cos 45^{\circ}}\left(1-\frac{0.25}{2}\right)=0.00944 \mathrm{in} \\
\psi & =\frac{3(300)(24)(1)}{2(30)\left(10^{6}\right)(0.755) \cos 45^{\circ}}=0.000674 \mathrm{rad}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.3, case 4a, for the radial edge load $Q_{o}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{A} & =24 \text { in } \\
k_{A} & =\frac{2}{\sin 45^{\circ}}\left[\frac{12\left(1-0.25^{2}\right)\left(24^{2}\right)}{0.755^{2} \sec ^{2} 45^{\circ}}\right]^{1 / 4}=24.56 \\
\beta & =\left[12\left(1-0.25^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=3.354
\end{aligned}
$$

Only values at $R=R_{A}$ are needed for this solution. Therefore, the series solutions for the constants can be used to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{9 A} & =C_{1}=0.9005, \quad F_{1 A}=0, \quad F_{3 A}=0, \quad F_{2 A}=0.8977 \\
F_{4 A} & =0.8720, \quad F_{5 A}=F_{8 A}=0.8746, \quad F_{10 A}=F_{7 A}=F_{6 A}=0.8947 \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{Q_{o} 24(0.7071)(24.56)}{30\left(10^{6}\right)(0.755)(\sqrt{2})(0.9005)}\left[0.8720-\frac{4\left(0.25^{2}\right)}{24.56^{2}} 0.8977\right]=12.59\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{o} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{Q_{o} 24(3.354)}{30\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.755^{2}\right)(0.9005)}(0.8947)=4.677\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{o} \\
N_{1 A} & =0.7071 Q_{o}, \quad M_{1 A}=0 \\
N_{2 A} & =\frac{Q_{o}(0.7071)(24.56)}{2(0.9005)}\left[0.8720+\frac{2(0.25)}{24.56} 0.8746\right]=12.063 Q_{o} \\
M_{2 A} & =\frac{Q_{o}(0.7071)\left(1-0.25^{2}\right)(0.755)}{3.354(0.9005)} 0.8947=0.1483 Q_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.3, case 4 b , for the edge moment $M_{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{A} & =4.677\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { (same coefficient shown for } \psi_{A} \text { for the loading } Q_{o} \\
\quad \text { as would be expected from Maxwell's theorem) }
\end{array} \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{M_{o} 2 \sqrt{2}\left(3.354^{2}\right)(24)}{30\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.755^{3}\right)(24.56)(0.7071)} \frac{0.8977}{0.9005}=3.395\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o} \\
N_{1 A} & =0, \quad N_{2 A}=M_{o} \frac{3.354(0.8947)}{0.755(0.9005)}=4.402 M_{o} \\
M_{1 A} & =M_{o}, \quad M_{2 A}=M_{o}\left[0.25+\frac{2(2)\left(1-0.25^{2}\right)(0.8977)}{24.56(0.9005)}\right]=0.3576 M_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the cylinder, case 1c in Table 13.1 and cases 8 and 10 in Table 13.2 can be used (it is assumed that the other end of the cylinder is far enough away so as to not affect the deformations and stresses at the cone-cylinder junction):
$R=24 \mathrm{in} ; \quad t=0.633 \mathrm{in} ; \quad \lambda=\left[3\left(1-0.25^{2}\right) / 24^{2} / 0.633^{2}\right]^{1 / 4}=0.3323 ; \quad$ and $D=$ $30\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.633^{3}\right) / 12\left(1-0.25^{2}\right)=6.76\left(10^{5}\right)$. The following conditions exist at the end of the cylinder: From Table 13.1, case 1c, for the axial load $H$ and the pressure $q$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{300(24)}{2(0.633)}=5690 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \quad H=5690(0.633)=3600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
\sigma_{2} & =11,380 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=0, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
\Delta R & =\frac{300\left(24^{2}\right)}{30\left(10^{6}\right)(0.633)}\left(1-\frac{0.25}{2}\right)=0.00796 \mathrm{in} \\
\psi & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.2, case 8, for the radial end load $V_{o}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{A} & =\frac{V_{o}}{2(6.76)\left(10^{5}\right)\left(0.3323^{2}\right)}=6.698\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =y_{A}=\frac{-V_{o}}{2(6.76)\left(10^{5}\right)\left(0.3323^{2}\right)}=-20.16\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o} \\
\sigma_{1} & =0, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{y E}{R}=\frac{-20.16\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o}(30)\left(10^{6}\right)}{24}=-25.20 V_{o} \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =0, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 13.2, case 10, for the end moment $M_{o}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{6.76\left(10^{5}\right)(0.3323)}=-4.452\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =y_{A}=\frac{M_{o}}{2(6.76)\left(10^{5}\right)\left(0.3323^{2}\right)}=6.698\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o} \\
\sigma_{1} & =0, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{2 M_{o} \lambda^{2} R}{t}=\frac{2 M_{o}\left(0.3323^{2}\right)(24)}{0.633}=8.373 M_{o} \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6 M_{o}}{t^{2}}=\frac{-6 M_{o}}{0.633^{2}}=-14.97 M_{o}, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=v \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=-3.74 M_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing the radial deflections for the end of the cone and equating to the sum for the cylinder gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.00944+12.59\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{o}+4.677\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}= & 0.00796-20.16\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o} \\
& +6.698\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

Doing the same with the meridian rotations gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.000674+4.677\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{o}+3.395\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}= & 0+6.698\left(10^{-6}\right) V_{o} \\
& -4.452\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{o}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, equating the radial forces gives

$$
Q_{o}+5091 \cos 45^{\circ}=V_{o}
$$

Solving the three equations simultaneously yields

$$
Q=-2110 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, \quad V_{o}=1490 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, \quad M_{o}=2443 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}
$$

In the cylinder,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=5690+0+0=5690 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}=11,380-25.20(1490)+8.373(2443)=-5712 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=0+0-14.97(2443)=-36,570 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0+0-3.74(2443)=-9140 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined hoop stress on outside $=-5712-9140=-14,852 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Combined hoop stress on inside $=-5712+9140=3428 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Combined meridional stress on outside $=5690-36,570$

$$
=-30,880 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Combined meridional stress on inside $=5690+36,570$

$$
=42,260 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Similarly, in the cone,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=6740+\frac{0.7071(-2110)}{0.755}+0=4764 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}=13,480+\frac{12.063(-2110)}{0.755}+\frac{4.402(2443)}{0.755}=-5989 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=0+0-\frac{2443(6)}{0.755^{2}}=-25,715 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=0-\frac{0.1483(-2110)(6)}{0.755^{2}}-\frac{0.3576(2443)(6)}{0.755^{2}}=-5902 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined hoop stress on outside $=-5989-5902=-11,891 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Combined hoop stress on inside $=-5989+5902=-87 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$
Combined meridional stress on outside $=4764-25,715$

$$
=-20,951 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

Combined meridional stress on inside $=4764+25,715$

$$
=30,480 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

These stress values are in substantial agreement with the computed and experimental values cited in Refs. 17 and 26. Note that the radial deflections are much less than the wall thicknesses. See the discussion in the third paragraph of Sec. 13.3.

In the problem just solved by the method of deformation matching only two shells met at their common circumference. The method, however, can be extended to cases where more than two shells meet in this manner. The primary source of difficulty encountered when setting up the equations to carry out such a solution is the rigor needed when labeling the several edge loads and the establishment of
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the proper signs for the radial and rotational deformations. An additional problem arises when the several shells intersect not at a single circumference but at two or more closely spaced circumferences.

Figure 13.9 illustrates two conical shells and a spherical shell joined together by a length of cylindrical shell. The length of this central cylinder is a critical dimension in determining how the cylinder is treated. If the length is small enough for a given radius and wall thickness, it may be sufficient to treat it as a narrow ring whose cross section deflects radially and rotates with respect to the original meridian but whose cross section does not change shape. For an example as to how these narrow rings are treated see Sec. 11.9. For a longer cylinder the cross section does change shape and it is treated as a short cylinder, using expressions from Table 13.2. Here there are two circumferences where slopes and deflections are to be matched but the loads on each end of the cylinder influence the deformations at the other end. Finally, if the cylinder is long enough, $\lambda l>6$, for example, the ends are far enough apart so that two separate problems may be solved.
Table 13.3 presents formulas and tabulated data for several combinations of thin shells of revolution and thin circular plates joined two at a time at a common circumference. All shells are assumed long enough so that the end interactions can be neglected. Loadings include axial load, a loading due to a rotation at constant angular velocity about the central axis, and internal or external pressure where the pressure is either constant or varying linearly along the axis of the shell. For the pressure loading the equations represent the case where the junction of the shells carries no axial loading such as when a cylindrical shell carries a frictionless piston which is supported axially by structures other than the cylinder walls. The decision to present the pressure loadings in this form was based primarily on the ease of presentation. When used for closed pressure vessels, the deformations and stresses for the axial load must be superposed on those for the pressure loading.

The reasons for presenting the tabulated data in this table are several. (1) In many instances one merely needs to know whether the stresses and deformations at such discontinuities are important to the safety and longevity of a structure. Using interpolation one can explore quickly the tables of tabulated data to make such a determination. (2) The tabulated data also allow those who choose to solve the formulas to verify their results.

The basic information in Table 13.4 can be developed as needed from formulas in the several preceding tables, but the work has been extended a few steps further by modifying the expressions in order to make them useful for shells with somewhat thicker walls.

In the sixth edition of this book, correction terms were presented to account for the fact that internal pressure loading acts on the inner surface, not at the mid-thickness. For external pressure, the proper substitutions are indicated by notes for the several cases. This has already been accounted for in the general pressure loadings on the several shell types, but there is an additional factor to account for at the junction of the shells. In Fig. 13.10(a), the internal pressure is shown acting all the way to the hypothetical end of the left-hand shell. The general equations in Table 13.1 assumes this to be the case, and the use of these equations in Table 13.4 makes this same assumption. The correction terms in the sixth edition of this book added or subtracted, depending upon the signs of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, and $q$, the pressure loading over the length $x$ shown in Fig. 13.10(b). These corrections included the effects of the radial components, the axial components, and the moments about point $A$ of this local change in loading. The complexity of these corrections may seem out of proportion to the benefits derived, and, depending upon their needs, users will have to decide whether or not to include them in their calculations. To assist users in making this decision, the following example will compare results with and without the correction terms and show the relative
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effect of using only the radial component of the change in the local pressure loading at the junction of a cone and cylinder.

## EXAMPLE

For this example, the pressurized shell is that of the previous example shown in Fig. 13.8. The calculations for that example were carried out using equations from Tables 13.1 and 13.3. The stresses in the cylinder at the junction are given at the end of the solution, and the radial deflection and the rotation at the junction can be calculated from the expressions given just before the stress calculations. The following results table lists these stresses and deflections in column [1]. As stated above, the equations used in Table 13.4 to solve for the shell junction stresses were those given in Tables 13.1-13.3, but modified somewhat to make them more accurate for shells with thicker walls. Using cases 2 a and 2 b from Table 13.4 gives the results shown in columns [2]-[7] in the results table. The axial load used for column [2] was $P=\pi(24-0.633 / 2)^{2}(300)=528,643 \mathrm{lb}$. All of the stresses in the results table are those found in the cylinder at the junction. Column [3] gives data for the internal pressure loading with no correction factors and column [4] is the sums for the axial load and internal pressure, columns [2] plus [3]. Column [5] is for the internal pressure corrected for the change in loading at the joint. Column [7], is the difference in the numbers of columns [4] and [6], and gives the changes due to the correction factors in Table 13.4.

Column [8] shows the changes due to the radial component of the correction in the joint loading which are calculated as follows. Figure $13.11(a)$ shows the joint being considered, with the dimensions. The value of $x=0.2669 \mathrm{in}$, and when this is multiplied by the internal pressure of $300 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, one obtains $Q_{1}+Q_{2}=80.07 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$, the radially inward load needed to compensate for the radial component of the internal pressure not acting on the joint.

Using already evaluated expressions from the previous example, the following equations can be written.

For the cone:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{A} & =-12.59\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{1}+4.677\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{1} \\
\psi_{A} & =-4.677\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{1}+3.395\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the cylinder:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{A} & =-20.16\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{2}+6.698\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{1} \\
\psi_{A} & =6.698\left(10^{-6}\right) Q_{2}-4.452\left(10^{-6}\right) M_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Equating the equations for $\Delta R_{A}$ and $\psi_{A}$ with $Q_{1}+Q_{2}=80.07 \mathrm{lb} /$ in yields $Q_{1}=48.83 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, Q_{2}=31.24 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, M_{1}=55.77 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}, \Delta R_{A}=-0.000354 \mathrm{in}$, and $\psi_{A}=-0.000039 \mathrm{rad}$.

As would be expected, the radial component is a major contributor for the joint being discussed and would be for most pressure vessel joints.

RESULTS table (stresses in $\mathbf{l b} / \mathbf{i n}^{2}$, deflection in inches, rotation in radians)

|  | From previous example | From Table 13.4 |  |  |  |  |  | Change due to the approx. corrections given above [8] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Case 2b <br> Axial <br> Load <br> [2] | Case 2a <br> Internal <br> Pressure without corrections [3] | Sum $[2]+[3]$ [4] | Case 2a <br> Internal <br> Pressure <br> with <br> corrections <br> [5] | Sum $[2]+[5]$ <br> [6] | Change due to the use of the correction terms [7] |  |
| $\sigma_{1}$ | 5,690 | 5,538 | 0 | 5,538 | 0 | 5,538 | 0 | 0 |
| $\sigma_{2}$ | -5,712 | -17,038 | 11,647 | -5,391 | 11,252 | -5,786 | -395 | -321 |
| $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ | -36,570 | -3,530 | 1,927 | -35,604 | 1,030 | -36,500 | -896 | -835 |
| $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ | -9,140 | -9,382 | 482 | -8,900 | 258 | -9,124 | -224 | -209 |
| $\Delta R_{A}$ | -0.005699 | -0.01474 | 0.00932 | -0.00542 | 0.00900 | -0.00574 | -0.00032 | -0.000354 |
| $\psi_{A}$ | -0.000900 | 0.001048 | -0.000174 | 0.000874 | -0.000146 | 0.000902 | -0.000028 | -0.000039 |

Most shell intersections have a common circumference, identified by the radius $R_{A}$, and defined as the intersection of the midsurfaces of the shells. If the two shells have meridional slopes which differ substantially at this intersection, the shape of the joint is easily described. See Fig. $13.12(a)$. If, however, these slopes are very nearly the same and the shell thicknesses differ appreciably, the intersection of the two midsurfaces could be far away from an actual joint, and the midthickness radius must be defined for each shell. See Fig. 13.12(b).

For this reason there are two sets of correction terms based on these two joint contours. All correction terms are treated as external loads on the right-hand member. The appropriate portion of this loading is transferred back to the left-hand member by small changes in the radial load $V_{1}$ and the moment $M_{1}$ which are found by equating the deformations in the two shells at the junction. In each case the formulas for the stresses at the junction are given only for the left-
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hand member. Stresses are computed on the assumption that each member ends abruptly at the joint with the end cross section normal to the meridian. No stress concentrations are considered, and no reduction in stress due to any added weld material or joint reinforcement has been made. The examples show how such corrections can be made for the stresses.

While the discussion above has concentrated primarily on the stresses at or very near the junction of the members, there are cases where stresses at some distance from the junction can be a source of concern. Although a toroidal shell is not included in Table 13.4, the presence of large circumferential compressive stress in the toroidal region of a torispherical head on a pressure vessel is known to create buckling instabilities when such a vessel is subjected to internal pressure. Section 15.4 describes this problem and others of a similar nature such as a truncated spherical shell under axial tension.

## EXAMPLES

1. The shell consisting of a cone and a partial sphere shown in Fig. 13.13 is subjected to an internal pressure of $500 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. The junction deformations and the circumferential and meridional stress components at the inside surface of the junction are required. Use $E=7\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and $v=0.3$ for the material in both portions of the shell. All the linear dimensions will be given and used in centimeters.

Solution. The meridional slopes of the cone and sphere are the same at the junction, and the sphere is not truncated nor are any penetrations present at any other location, so $\theta_{2}=\phi_{2}=105^{\circ}$. Using case 6 from Table 13.4, the cone and shell parameters and the limiting values for which the given equations are acceptable are now evaluated.

For the cone using Table 13.3, case 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=15^{\circ}, \quad R_{A}=R_{1}=50 \sin 105^{\circ}=48.296 \\
& k_{A}=\frac{2}{\sin 15^{\circ}}\left[\frac{12\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)\left(48.296^{2}\right)}{1.2^{2} \sec ^{2} 15^{\circ}}\right]^{0.25}=87.58
\end{aligned}
$$

Where $\mu=4$, the value of $k_{B}=87.58-4 \sqrt{2}=81.93$ and $R_{B}=42.26$. Since both $k_{A}$ and $k_{B}$ are greater than 5 and both $R_{A}$ and $R_{B}$ are greater than 5
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$\left(1.2 \cos 15^{\circ}\right)$, the cone parameters are within the acceptable range for use with the equations. $b_{1}=48.296-1.2 \cos 15^{\circ} / 2=47.717, \alpha_{1}=48.876$, and $\beta_{1}=\left[12\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)\right]^{0.5}=3.305$.

For the sphere using Table 13.3, case 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{2}=\left[3\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)\left(\frac{50}{1.2}\right)^{2}\right]^{0.25}=8.297 \\
& b_{2}=50-\frac{1.2}{2}=49.40, \quad a_{2}=50.60
\end{aligned}
$$

and, at the edge, where $\omega=0$,

$$
K_{12}=1-[1-2(0.3)] \frac{\left(\cot 105^{\circ}\right) / 2}{8.297}=1.0065 \quad \text { and } \quad K_{22}=1.0258
$$

Since $3 / \beta_{2}=0.3616$ and $\pi-3 / \beta_{2}=2.78$, the value of $\phi_{2}=1.833 \mathrm{rad}$ lies within this range, so the spherical shell parameters are also acceptable.

Next the several junction constants are determined from the shell parameters just found and from any others required. Again from Table 13.3, case 4:

$$
F_{2 A}=1-\frac{2.652}{87.58}+\frac{3.516}{87.58^{2}}-\frac{2.610}{87.58^{3}}+\frac{0.038}{87.58^{4}}=0.9702
$$

Similarly,

$$
F_{4 A}=0.9624, \quad F_{7 A}=0.9699, \quad \text { and } \quad C_{1}=0.9720
$$

Using these values, $C_{A A 1}=638.71, C_{A A 2}=651.39, C_{A A}=1290.1, C_{A B 1}=$ $-132.72, C_{A B 2}=132.14, C_{A B}=-0.5736, C_{B B 1}=54.736, C_{B B 2}=54.485$, and $C_{B B}=109.22$.

Turning now to the specific loadings needed, one uses case 6a for internal pressure with no axial load on the junction and case 6 b with an axial load $P=500 \pi(47.72)^{2}=3.577\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N}$.

For case 6a: Although the tables of numerical data include $\alpha_{1}=15^{\circ}$ and $\phi_{2}=105^{\circ}$ as a given pair of parameters, the value of $R_{1} / t_{1}=40.25$ is not one of the values for which data are given. The load terms are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L T_{A 1}=\frac{47.72(48.3)}{1.2^{2} \cos 15^{\circ}}=1656.8, \quad L T_{A 2}=-1637.0, \quad L T_{B 1}=-22.061 \\
& L T_{B 2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

In this example the junction meridians are tangent and the inside surface is smooth, so there are no correction terms to consider. Had the radii and the thicknesses been such that the welded junction had an internal step, either abrupt or tapered, the internal pressure acting upon this step would be accounted for by the appropriate correction terms (see the next example).

Now combining the shell and load terms, $L T_{A}=1656.8-1637.0+0=19.8$, $L T_{B}=-22.06, K_{V 1}=0.0153, K_{M 1}=-0.2019, V_{1}=9.193, M_{1}=-145.4, N_{1}=$
$-2.379, \Delta R_{A}=0.1389, \psi_{A}=641\left(10^{-6}\right), \sigma_{1}=-1.98, \sigma_{2}=20,128, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=605.7$, and $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=196.2$.

For case 6b:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L T_{A 1} & =\frac{-0.3\left(48.30^{2}\right)}{2\left(1.2^{2}\right) \cos 15^{\circ}}=-251.5, \quad L T_{A 2}=1090.0 \\
L T_{B 1} & =5.582, \quad L T_{B 2}=0, \quad L T_{A C}=0, \quad L T_{B C}=0 \\
L T_{A} & =838.5, \quad L T_{B}=5.582
\end{aligned}
$$

Again combine the shell and load terms to get $K_{V 1}=0.6500, K_{M 1}=0.0545$, $V_{1}=380.7, \quad M_{1}=38.33, \quad N_{1}=12,105, \Delta R_{A}=-0.0552, \quad \psi_{A}=-0.0062, \quad \sigma_{1}=$ 10,087, $\sigma_{2}=-4972, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=-159.7$, and $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=-188$.

The final step is to sum the deformations and stresses. $\Delta R_{A}=0.0837$, $\psi_{A}=-0.0056, \sigma_{1}=10,085, \sigma_{2}=15,156, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=446.0, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=8.2$. A check of these values against the tabulated constants shows that reasonable values could have been obtained by interpolation. At the junction the shell moves outward a distance of 0.0837 cm , and the upper meridian as shown in Fig. 13.13 rotates 0.0056 rad clockwise. On the inside surface of the junction the circumferential stress is $15,164 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and the meridional stress is $10,531 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.

As should have been expected by the smooth transition from a conical to a spherical shell of the same thickness, the bending stresses are very small. In the next example the smooth inside surface will be retained but the cone and sphere will be different in thickness, and external pressure will be applied to demonstrate the use of the terms which correct for the pressure loading on the step in the wall thickness at the junction.
2. The only changes from Example 1 will be to make the pressure external at $1000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and to increase the cone thickness to 4 cm and the sphere thickness to 2 cm . The smooth inside surface will be retained. If the correction terms are not used in this example, the external pressure will be presumed to act on the outer surface of the cone up to the junction and on the external spherical surface of the sphere. There will be no consideration given for the external pressure acting upon the 2 -cm-wide external shoulder at the junction. The correction terms treat the additional axial and radial loadings and the added moment due to this pressure loading on the shoulder. If a weld fillet were used at the junction, the added pressure loading would be the same, so the correction terms are still applicable but no consideration is made for the added stiffness due to the extra material in the weld fillet. If the meridians for the cone and for the sphere intersect at an angle more than about $5^{\circ}$, a different correction term is used. This second correction term assumes that no definite step occurs on either the inner or the outer surface. See the discussion in Sec. 13.4 related to Fig. 13.12.
Solution. For the cone using Table 13.3, case 4: $\alpha_{1}=15^{\circ}, R_{A}=R_{1}=$ $51 \sin 105^{\circ}=49.262$, and $k_{A}=48.449$ when the radius and thickness are changed to the values shown in Fig. 13.14. Where $\mu=4$, the value of $k_{B}=42.793$ and $R_{B}=38.4$, which is greater than $5\left(4 \cos 15^{\circ}\right)$. Thus, again $k_{A}$ and $k_{B}$ are greater than 5 and the cone parameters are within the acceptable range for use with the equations. In a similar manner the parameters for the sphere are found to be within the range for which the equations are acceptable. Repeating the calculations as was done for the first example, with and without correction terms, one finds the following stresses:

|  | Case 6a $\left(q=-1000 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without <br> correction terms | With <br> correction terms | Case 6 b <br>  |
| $\Delta R_{A}$ | -0.1244 | -0.1262 | $0.0233,600 \mathrm{~N})$ |
| $\gamma_{A}$ | -0.00443 | -0.00425 | 0.00496 |
| $\sigma_{1}$ | -110.15 | -133.64 | -6739.1 |
| $\sigma_{2}$ | $-17,710$ | $-17,972$ | 6021.3 |
| $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ | 1317.2 | 342.3 | -1966.2 |
| $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ | 283.7 | -99.0 | -454.3 |

The effect of the correction terms is apparent but does not cause large changes in the larger stresses or the deformations. Summing the values for cases 6a with correction terms and for 6 b gives the desired results as follows. The radial deflection at the junction is 0.0696 cm inward, the upper meridian rotates 0.00069 rad clockwise, on the inside of the junction the circumferential stress is $-12,504 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, and the meridional stress is $-8497 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.
3. The vessel shown in Fig. 13.15 is conical with a flat-plate base supported by an annular line load at a radius of 35 in . The junction deformations and the meridional and circumferential stresses on the outside surface at the junction of the cone and plate are to be found. The only loading to be considered is the hydrostatic loading due to the water contained to a depth of 50 in . Use $E=10\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.3$ for the material in the shell and in the plate. All linear dimensions will be given and used in inches.
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Solution. The proportions chosen in this example are ones matching the tabulated data in cases 7 b and 7 c from Table 13.4 in order to demonstrate the use of this tabulated information.

From case 7c with the loading an internal hydrostatic pressure with no axial load on the junction and for $\alpha=-30^{\circ}, R_{1}=50, t_{1}=1, R_{1} / t_{1}=50, t_{2}=2$, $t_{2} / t_{1}=2, x_{1}=50, x_{1} / R_{1}=1, R_{2} / R_{1}=\frac{35}{50}=0.7, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3$; and for $a_{2}=a_{1}$ due to the plate extending only to the outer surface of the cone at the junction, we find from the table the following coefficients: $K_{V 1}=3.3944, K_{M 1}=2.9876$, $K_{\Delta R A}=0.1745, K_{\psi A}=-7.5439$, and $K_{\sigma 2}=0.1847$. Since water has a weight of $62.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$, the internal pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction is $62.4(50) / 1728=$ $1.806 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. Using these coefficients and the dimensions and the material properties we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =1.806(1)(3.3944)=6.129 \\
M_{1} & =1.806\left(1^{2}\right)(2.9876)=5.394 \\
N_{1} & =-6.129 \sin -30^{\circ}=3.064 \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{1.806\left(50^{2}\right)}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(1)} 0.1745=78.77\left(10^{-6}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{1.806(50)}{10\left(10^{6}\right)(1)}(-7.5439)=-68.10\left(10^{-6}\right) \\
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{3.064}{1}=3.064 \\
\sigma_{2} & =78.77\left(10^{-6}\right) \frac{10\left(10^{6}\right)}{50}+0.3(3.064)=16.673 \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6(5.394)}{1^{2}}=-32.364 \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =-2.895 \quad(\text { Note: The extensive calculations are not shown })
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above calculations no correction terms were used. When the correction terms are included and the many calculations carried out, the deformations and stresses are found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{A} & =80.13\left(10^{-6}\right), \quad \psi_{A}=-68.81\left(10^{-6}\right) \\
\sigma_{1} & =3.028, \quad \sigma_{2}=16.934, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=-30.595, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=-2.519
\end{aligned}
$$

There is not a great change due to the correction terms.
For case 7 b the axial load to be used must now be calculated. The radius of the fluid at the plate is $50-0.5 / \cos 30^{\circ}=49.423$. The radius of the fluid at the top surface is $49.423+50 \tan 30^{\circ}=78.290$. The vertical distance below the top of the plate down to the tip of the conical inner surface is 49.423/ $\tan 30^{\circ}=85.603 . \quad$ The volume of fluid $=\pi(78.290)^{2}(85.603+50) / 3-$ $\pi(49.423)^{2}(85.603) / 3=651,423 \mathrm{in}^{3}$. The total weight of the fluid $=$ $651,423(62.4) / 1728=23,524 \mathrm{lb}$. The axial load acting on the plate in case $7 \mathrm{c}=q_{1} \pi b_{1}^{2}=1.806 \pi(49.56)^{2}=13,940 \mathrm{lb}$. Using case 7 b with the axial compressive load $P=13,940-23,524=-9584$ gives the following results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta R_{A} & =496.25\left(10^{-6}\right), \quad \psi_{A}=-606.03\left(10^{-6}\right) \\
\sigma_{1} & =-57.973, \quad \sigma_{2}=81.858, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=1258.4, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=272.04
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing the results from case 7 c with correction terms and from case 7b produces $\Delta R_{A}=576.38\left(10^{-6}\right), \psi_{A}=-674.84\left(10^{-6}\right), \sigma_{1}=-54.945, \sigma_{2}=98.792$, $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=1227.8$, and $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=269.5$.

The junction moves radially outward a distance of 0.00058 in , and the junction meridian on the right in Fig. 13.15 rotates 0.000675 rad clockwise. On the outside of the cone at the junction, the circumferential stress is $368.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and the meridional stress is $1173 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

### 13.5 Thin Shells of Revolution under External Pressure

All formulas given in Tables 13.1 and 13.3 for thin vessels under distributed pressure are for internal pressure, but they will apply equally to cases of external pressure if $q$ is given a negative sign. The formulas in Table 13.2 for distributed pressure are for external pressure in order to correspond to similar loadings for beams on elastic foundations in Chap. 8. It should be noted with care that the application of external pressure may cause an instability failure due to stresses lower than the elastic limit, and in such a case the formulas in this chapter do not apply. This condition is discussed in Chap. 15, and formulas for the critical pressures or stresses producing instability are given in Table 15.2.
A vessel of moderate thickness may collapse under external pressure at stresses just below the yield point, its behavior being comparable to that of a short column. The problem of ascertaining the pressure that produces failure of this kind is of special interest in connection with cylindrical vessels and pipe. For external loading such as that in Table 13.1, case 1 c , the external collapsing pressure can be given by

$$
q^{\prime}=\frac{t}{R} \frac{\sigma_{y}}{1+\left(4 \sigma_{y} / E\right)(R / t)^{2}} \quad(\text { see Refs. } 1,7, \text { and } 8)
$$

In Refs. 8 and 9, charts are given for designing vessels under external pressure.

A special instability problem should be considered when designing long cylindrical vessels or even relatively short corrugated tubes under internal pressure. Haringx (Refs. 54 and 55) and Flügge (Ref. 5) have shown that vessels of this type will buckle laterally if the ends are restrained against longitudinal displacement and if the product of the internal pressure and the cross-sectional area reaches the Euler load for the column as a whole. For cylindrical shells this is seldom a critical factor, but for corrugated tubes or bellows this is recognized as a so-called squirming instability. To determine the Euler load for a bellows, an equivalent thin-walled circular cross section can be established which will have a radius equal to the mean radius of the bellows and a product $E t$, for which the equivalent cylinder will have the same
axial deflection under end load as would the bellows. The overall bending moment of inertia $I$ of the very thin equivalent cylinder can then be used in the expression $P_{u}=K \pi^{2} E I / l^{2}$ for the Euler load. In a similar way Seide (Ref. 56) discusses the effect of pressure on the lateral bending of a bellows.

## EXAMPLE

A corrugated-steel tube has a mean radius of 5 in , a wall thickness of 0.015 in , and 60 semicircular corrugations along its 40 -in length. The ends are rigidly fixed, and the internal pressure necessary to produce a squirming instability is to be calculated. Given: $E=30\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v=0.3$.
Solution. Refer to Table 13.3, case $6 \mathrm{~b}: a=5 \mathrm{in}$, length $=40 \mathrm{in}, b=\frac{40}{120}=$ 0.333 in , and $t=0.015 \mathrm{in}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\qquad \mu & =\frac{b^{2}}{a t} \sqrt{12\left(1-v^{2}\right)}=\frac{0.333^{2}}{5(0.015)} \sqrt{12\left(1-0.3^{2}\right)}=4.90 \\
\text { Axial stretch } & =\frac{-0.577 P b n \sqrt{1-v^{2}}}{E t^{2}}=\frac{0.577 P(0.333)(60) \sqrt{0.91}}{30\left(10^{6}\right)\left(0.015^{2}\right)}=-0.00163 P
\end{aligned}
$$

If a cylinder with a radius of 5 in and product $E_{1} t_{1}$ were loaded in compression with a load $P$, the stretch would be

$$
\text { Stretch }=\frac{-P l}{A_{1} E_{1}}=\frac{-P(40)}{2 \pi 5 t_{1} E_{1}}=-0.00163 P
$$

or

$$
t_{1} E_{1}=\frac{40}{2(5)(0.00163)}=780.7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}
$$

The bending moment of inertia of such a cylinder is $I_{1}=\pi R^{3} t_{1}$ (see Table A.1, case 13). The Euler load for fixed ends is

$$
P_{c r}=\frac{4 \pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi^{2} E_{1} \pi R^{3} t_{1}}{l^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi^{3} 5^{3}(780.7)}{40^{2}}=7565 \mathrm{lb}
$$

The internal pressure is therefore

$$
q^{\prime}=\frac{P_{c r}}{\pi R^{2}}=\frac{7565}{\pi 5^{2}}=96.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

From Table 13.3, case 6 c , the maximum stresses caused by this pressure are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=0.955(96.3)(0.91)^{1 / 6}\left[\frac{5(0.333)}{0.015^{2}}\right]^{2 / 3}=34,400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)_{\max }=0.955(96.3)(0.91)^{-1 / 3}\left[\frac{5(0.333)}{0.015^{2}}\right]^{2 / 3}=36,060 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If the yield strength is greater than $36,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the corrugated tube should buckle laterally, that is, squirm, at an internal pressure of $96.3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

### 13.6 Thick Shells of Revolution

If the wall thickness of a vessel is more than about one-tenth the radius, the meridional and hoop stresses cannot be considered uniform throughout the thickness of the wall and the radial stress cannot be considered negligible. These stresses in thick vessels, called wall stresses, must be found by formulas that are quite different from those used in finding membrane stresses in thin vessels.

It can be seen from the formulas for cases 1 a and 1 b of Table 13.5 that the stress $\sigma_{2}$ at the inner surface of a thick cylinder approaches $q$ as the ratio of outer to inner radius approaches infinity. It is apparent, therefore, that if the stress is to be limited to some specified value $\sigma$, the pressure must never exceed $q=\sigma$, no matter how thick the wall is made. To overcome this limitation, the material at and near the inner surface must be put into a state of initial compression. This can be done by shrinking on one or more jackets (as explained in Sec. 3.12 and in the example which follows) or by subjecting the vessel to a high internal pressure that stresses the inner part into the plastic range and, when removed, leaves residual compression there and residual tension in the outer part. This procedure is called autofrettage, or selfhooping. If many successive jackets are superimposed on the original tube by shrinking or wrapping, the resulting structure is called a multilayer vessel. Such a construction has certain advantages, but it should be noted that the formulas for hoop stresses are based on the assumption that an isotropic material is used. In a multilayered vessel the effective radial modulus of elasticity is less than the tangential modulus, and in consequence the hoop stress at and near the outer wall is less than the formula would indicate; therefore, the outer layers of material contribute less to the strength of the vessel than might be supposed.
Cases 1 l and 1 f if in Table 13.5 represent radial body-force loading, which can be superimposed to give results for centrifugal loading, etc. (see Sec. 16.2). Case 1 f is directly applicable to thick-walled disks with embedded electrical conductors used to generate magnetic fields. In many such cases the magnetic field varies linearly through the wall to zero at the outside. If there is a field at the outer turn, cases 1e and if can be superimposed in the necessary proportions.

The tabulated formulas for elastic wall stresses are accurate for both thin and thick vessels, but formulas for predicted yield pressures do not always agree closely with experimental results (Refs. 21, 34-37, and 39). The expression for $q_{y}$ given in Table 13.5 is based on the minimum strain-energy theory of elastic failure. The expression for bursting pressure

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u}=2 \sigma_{u} \frac{a-b}{a+b} \tag{13.6-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

commonly known as the mean diameter formula, is essentially empirical but agrees reasonably well with experiment for both thin and thick cylindrical vessels and is convenient to use. For very thick vessels the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u}=\sigma_{u} \ln \frac{a}{b} \tag{13.6-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is preferable. Greater accuracy can be obtained by using with this formula a multiplying factor that takes into account the strain-hardening properties of the material (Refs. 10, 20, and 37). With the same objective, Faupel (Ref. 39) proposes (with different notation) the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{u}=\frac{2 \sigma_{y}}{\sqrt{3}}\left(2-\frac{\sigma_{y}}{\sigma_{u}}\right) \ln \frac{a}{b} \tag{13.6-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A rather extensive discussion of bursting pressure is given in Ref. 38, which presents a tabulated comparison between bursting pressures as calculated by a number of different formulas and as determined by actual experiment.

## EXAMPLE

At the powder chamber, the inner radius of a 3 -in gun tube is 1.605 in and the outer radius is 2.425 in . It is desired to shrink a jacket on this tube to produce a radial pressure between the tube and jacket of $7600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The outer radius of this jacket is 3.850 in . It is required to determine the difference between the inner radius of the jacket and the outer radius of the tube in order to produce the desired pressure, calculate the stresses in each part when assembled, and calculate the stresses in each part when the gun is fired, generating a powder pressure of $32,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
Solution. Using the formulas for Table 13.5, case 1c, it is found that for an external pressure of $7600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the stress $\sigma_{2}$ at the outer surface of the tube is $-19,430 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the stress $\sigma_{2}$ at the inner surface is $-27,050 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and the change in outer radius $\Delta \alpha=-0.001385 \mathrm{in}$; for an internal pressure of $7600 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the stress $\sigma_{2}$ at the inner surface of the jacket is $+17,630 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the stress $\sigma_{2}$ at the outer surface is $+10,050 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, and the change in inner radius $\Delta b=+0.001615 \mathrm{in}$. (In making these calculations the inner radius of the jacket is assumed to be 2.425 in .) The initial difference between the inner radius of the jacket and the outer radius of the tube must be equal to the sum of the radial deformations they suffer, or $0.001385+0.001615=0.0030 \mathrm{in}$; therefore the initial radius of the jacket should be $2.425-0.0030=2.422$ in.

The stresses produced by the powder pressure are calculated at the inner surface of the tube, at the common surface of tube and jacket ( $r=2.425 \mathrm{in}$ ), and at the outer surface of the jacket. These stresses are then superimposed on
those found previously. The calculations are as follows: For the tube at the inner surface,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & =+32,000 \frac{3.85^{2}+1.605^{2}}{3.85^{2}-1.605^{2}}=45,450 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{3} & =-32,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For tube and jacket at the interface,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2} & =+32,000 \frac{1.605^{2}}{2.425^{2}} \frac{3.85^{2}+2.425^{2}}{3.85^{2}-1.605^{2}}=+23,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{3} & =-32,000 \frac{1.605^{2}}{2.425^{2}} \frac{3.85^{2}-2.425^{2}}{3.85^{2}-1.605^{2}}=-10,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the jacket at the outer surface,

$$
\sigma_{2}=+32,000 \frac{1.605^{2}}{3.85^{2}} \frac{3.85^{2}+3.85^{2}}{3.85^{2}-1.605^{2}}=+13,500 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

These are the stresses due to the powder pressure. Superimposing the stresses due to the shrinkage, we have as the resultant stresses:

At inner surface of tube,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{2}=-27,050+45,450=+18,400 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{3}=0-32,000=-32,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

At outer surface of tube,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{2}=-19,430+23,500=+4070 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{3}=-7600-10,200=-17,800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

At inner surface of jacket,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{2}=+17,630+23,500=+41,130 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
& \sigma_{3}=-7600-10,200=-17,800 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

At outer surface of jacket,

$$
\sigma_{2}+10,050+13,500=+23,550 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
$$

### 13.7 Pipe on Supports at Intervals

For a pipe or cylindrical tank supported at intervals on saddles or pedestals and filled or partly filled with liquid, the stress analysis is difficult and the results are rendered uncertain by doubtful boundary conditions. Certain conclusions arrived at from a study of tests (Refs. 11 and 12) may be helpful in guiding design: See also Ref. 75.

1. For a circular pipe or tank supported at intervals and held circular at the supports by rings or bulkheads, the ordinary theory of flexure is applicable if the pipe is completely filled.
2. If the pipe is only partially filled, the cross section at points between supports becomes out of round and the distribution of longitudinal fiber stress is neither linear nor symmetrical across the section. The highest stresses occur for the half-full condition; then the maximum longitudinal compressive stress and the maximum circumferential bending stresses occur at the ends of the horizontal diameter, the maximum longitudinal tensile stress occurs at the bottom, and the longitudinal stress at the top is practically zero. According to theory (Ref. 4), the greatest of these stresses is the longitudinal compression, which is equal to the maximum longitudinal stress for the full condition divided by

$$
K=\left(\frac{L}{R} \sqrt{\frac{t}{R}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $R=$ pipe radius, $t=$ thickness, and $L=$ span. The maximum circumferential stress is about one-third of this. Tests (Ref. 11) on a pipe having $K=1.36$ showed a longitudinal stress that is somewhat less and a circumferential stress that is considerably greater than indicated by this theory.
3. For an unstiffened pipe resting in saddle supports, there are high local stresses, both longitudinal and circumferential, adjacent to the tips of the saddles. These stresses are less for a large saddle angle $\beta$ (total angle subtended by arc of contact between pipe and saddle) than for a small angle, and for the ordinary range of dimensions they are practically independent of the thickness of the saddle, i.e., its dimension parallel to the pipe axis. For a pipe that fits the saddle well, the maximum value of these localized stresses will probably not exceed that indicated by the formula

$$
\sigma_{\max }=k \frac{P}{t^{2}} \ln \frac{R}{t}
$$

where $P=$ total saddle reaction, $R=$ pipe radius, $t=$ pipe thickness, and $k=$ coefficient given by

$$
k=0.02-0.00012(\beta-90)
$$

where $\beta$ is in degrees. This stress is almost wholly due to circumferential bending and occurs at points about $15^{\circ}$ above the saddle tips.
4. The maximum value of $P$ the pipe can sustain is about 2.25 times the value that will produce a maximum stress equal to the yield point of the pipe material, according to the formula given above.
5. The comments in conclusion 3 above are based on the results of tests performed on very thin-walled pipe. Evces and O'Brien in Ref. 73 describe similar tests on thicker-walled ductile-iron pipe for which $R / t$ does not normally exceed 50 . They found that optimum saddle angles lie in the range $90^{\circ}>\beta>120^{\circ}$ and that for $R / t \geqslant 28$ the formulas for $\sigma_{\max }$ can be used if the value of $k$ is given by

$$
k=0.03-0.00017(\beta-90)
$$

The maximum stress will be located within $\pm 15^{\circ}$ of the tip if the pipe fits the saddle well.
6. For a pipe supported in flexible slings instead of on rigid saddles, the maximum local stresses occur at the points of tangency of sling and pipe section; in general, they are less than the corresponding stresses in the saddle-supported pipe but are of the same order of magnitude.

A different but closely related support system for horizontal cylindrical tanks consists of a pair of longitudinal line loads running the full length of the vessel. If the tank wall is thin, accounting for the deformations, which are normally ignored in standard stress formulas, it shows that the stresses are significantly lower. Cook in Ref. 79 uses a nonlinear analysis to account for deformations and reports results for various positions of the supports, radius/thickness ratios, and depths of fill in the tank.

### 13.8 Tables

## TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels

NOTATION: $P=$ axial load (force); $p=$ unit load (force per unit length); $q$ and $w=$ unit pressures (force per unit area); $\delta=$ density (force per unit volume); $\sigma_{1}=$ meridional stress; $\sigma_{2}=$ circumferential, or hoop, stress; $R_{1}=$ radius of curvature of a meridian, a principal radius of curvature of the shell surface; $R_{2}=$ length of the normal between the point on the shell and the axis of rotation, the second principal radius of curvature; $R=$ radius of curvature of a circumference; $\Delta R=$ radial displacement of a circumference; $\Delta y=$ change in the height dimension $y ; y=$ length of cylindrical or conical shell and is also used as a vertical position coordinate, positive upward, from an indicated origin in some cases; $\psi=$ rotation of a meridian from its unloaded position, positive when that meridional rotation represents an increase in $\Delta R$ when $y$ or $\theta$ increases; $E=$ modulus of elasticity; and $v=$ Poisson's ratio

Case no., form of vessel
Manner of loading
Formulas


1a. Uniform axial load, $p$ force/unit length

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{p}{t} \\
\sigma_{2} & =0 \\
\Delta R & =\frac{-p v R}{E t} \\
\Delta y & =\frac{p y}{E t} \\
\psi & =0
\end{aligned}
$$



1b. Uniform radial pressure, $q$ force/unit area

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =0 \\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{q R}{t} \\
\Delta R & =\frac{q R^{2}}{E t} \\
\Delta y & =\frac{-q R v y}{E t} \\
\psi & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

## TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| 1c. Uniform internal or external pressure, $q$ force/unit area (ends capped) | At points away from the ends $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{q R}{2 t} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{q R}{t} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{q R^{2}}{E t}\left(1-\frac{v}{2}\right) \\ \Delta y & =\frac{q R y}{E t}(0.5-v) \\ \psi & =0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1d. Linearly varying radial pressure, $q$ force/unit area | $q=\frac{q_{0} y}{l}$ <br> (where $y$ must be measured from a free end. If pressure starts away from the end, see case 6 in Table 13.2) $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{q R}{t}=\frac{q_{0} R y}{l t} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{q R^{2}}{E t}=\frac{q_{0} R^{2} y}{E t l} \\ \Delta y & =\frac{-q_{0} R v y^{2}}{2 E t l} \\ \psi & =\frac{q_{0} R^{2}}{E t l} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1e. Own weight, $\delta$ force/unit volume; top edge support, bottom edge free | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\delta y \\ \sigma_{2} & =0 \\ \Delta R & =\frac{-\delta v R y}{E} \\ \Delta y & =\frac{\delta y^{2}}{2 E} \\ \psi & =\frac{-\delta v R}{E} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| Case no., form of vessel | Manner of loading |  | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1f. Uniform rotation, $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, about central axis <br> $\delta_{m}=$ mass density | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \sigma_{2} & =\delta_{m} R^{2} \omega^{2} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{\delta_{m} R^{3} \omega^{2}}{E} \\ \Delta y & =\frac{-v \delta_{m} R^{2} \omega^{2} y}{E} \\ \psi & =0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2. Cone | 2a. Uniform internal or external pressure, $q$ force/unit area; tangential edge support | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{q R}{2 t \cos \alpha} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{q R}{t \cos \alpha} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{q R^{2}}{E t \cos \alpha}\left(1-\frac{v}{2}\right) \\ \Delta y & =\frac{q R^{2}}{4 E t \sin \alpha}\left(1-2 v-3 \tan ^{2} \alpha\right) \\ \psi & =\frac{3 q R \tan \alpha}{2 E t \cos \alpha} \end{aligned}$ |  |

2b. Filled to depth $d$ with liquid of density $\delta$ force/ unit volume; tangential edge support


2c. Own weight, $\delta$ force/unit
volume tangential top edge support

At any level $y$ below the liquid surface $y \leqslant d$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{\delta y \tan \alpha}{2 t \cos \alpha}\left(d-\frac{2 y}{3}\right), \quad\left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\max }=\frac{3 \delta^{2} \tan \alpha}{16 t \cos \alpha} \quad \text { when } y=\frac{3 d}{4} \\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{y(d-y) \delta \tan \alpha}{t \cos \alpha}, \quad\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=\frac{\delta d^{2} \tan \alpha}{4 t \cos \alpha} \quad \text { when } y=\frac{d}{2} \\
\Delta R & =\frac{\delta y^{2} \tan ^{2} \alpha}{E t \cos \alpha}\left[d\left(1-\frac{v}{2}\right)-y\left(1-\frac{v}{3}\right)\right] \\
\Delta y & =\frac{\delta y^{2} \sin \alpha}{E t \cos ^{4} \alpha}\left\{\frac{d}{4}(1-2 v)-\frac{y}{9}(1-3 v)-\sin ^{2} \alpha\left[\frac{d}{2}(2-v)-\frac{y}{3}(3-v)\right]\right\} \\
\psi & =\frac{\delta y \sin ^{2} \alpha}{6 E t \cos ^{3} \alpha}(9 d-16 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

At any level $y$ above the liquid level

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{\delta d^{3} \tan \alpha}{6 t y \cos \alpha}, \quad \sigma_{2}=0, \quad \Delta R=\frac{-v \delta d^{3} \tan ^{2} \alpha}{6 E t \cos \alpha} \\
\Delta y & =\frac{\delta d^{3} \sin \alpha}{6 E t \cos ^{4} \alpha}\left[\frac{5}{6}-v\left(1-\sin ^{2} \alpha\right)+\ln \frac{y}{d}\right] \\
\psi & =\frac{-\delta d^{3} \sin ^{2} \alpha}{6 E t \cos ^{3} \alpha} \frac{1}{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note: There is a discontinuity in the rate of increase in fluid pressure at the top of the liquid. This leads to some bending in this region and is indicated by a discrepancy in the two expressions for the meridional slope at $y=d$.)

## TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| Case no., form of vessel | Manner of loading |  | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2d. Tangential loading only; resultant load $=P$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{P}{2 \pi R t \cos \alpha} \\ \sigma_{2} & =0 \\ \Delta R & =\frac{-v P}{2 \pi E t \cos \alpha} \\ \Delta y & =\frac{P}{2 \pi E t \sin \alpha \cos ^{2} \alpha} \ln \frac{R}{r} \\ \psi & =\frac{-P \sin \alpha}{2 \pi E R t \cos ^{2} \alpha} \end{aligned}$ <br> $r$ must be fini | infinite stress and $r / t>10$ to be considered thin-walled |
|  | 2e. Uniform loading, force/ unit area; on the horizontal projected area; tangential top edge support | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{w R}{2 t \cos \alpha} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{w R \sin ^{2} \alpha}{t \cos \alpha} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{w R^{2}}{E t \cos \alpha}\left(\sin ^{2} \alpha-\frac{v}{2}\right) \\ \Delta y & =\frac{w R^{2}}{2 E t \cos ^{2} \alpha}\left[\frac{1}{2 \sin \alpha}+v(1-\sin \alpha)-2 \sin ^{2} \alpha\right] \\ \psi & =\frac{w R \sin ^{2} \alpha}{2 E t \cos ^{2} \alpha}\left(4 \sin ^{2} \alpha-1-2 v \cos ^{2} \alpha\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 2f. Uniform rotation, $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, about central axis $\delta_{m}=\text { mass density }$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \sigma_{2} & =\delta_{m} R^{2} \omega^{2} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{\delta_{m} R^{3} \omega^{2}}{E} \\ \Delta y & =\frac{-\delta_{m} R^{3} \omega^{2}}{E \cos \alpha}\left(\sin \alpha+\frac{v}{3 \sin \alpha}\right) \\ \psi & =\frac{\delta_{m} R^{2} \omega^{2} \tan \alpha}{E}(3+v) \end{aligned}$ |  |

TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)
3. Spherical


3a. Uniform internal or
external pressure, $q$ force/unit area; tangential edge support


$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\sigma_{2}=\frac{q R_{2}}{2 t} \\
\Delta R & =\frac{q R_{2}^{2}(1-v) \sin \theta}{2 E t} \\
\Delta R_{2} & =\frac{q R_{2}^{2}(1-v)}{2 E t} \\
\Delta y & =\frac{q R_{2}^{2}(1-v)(1-\cos \theta)}{2 E t} \\
\psi & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

3b. Filled to depth $d$ with liquid of density $\delta$ force/ unit volume; tangential edge support


At any level $y$ below the liquid surface, $y<d$

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{\delta R_{2}^{2}}{6 t}\left(3 \frac{d}{R_{2}}-1+\frac{2 \cos ^{2} \theta}{1+\cos \theta}\right)
$$

$$
\sigma_{2}=\frac{\delta R_{2}^{2}}{6 t}\left[3 \frac{d}{R_{2}}-5+\frac{(3+2 \cos \theta) 2 \cos \theta}{1+\cos \theta}\right]
$$

(Note: See the note in case 2 b regarding the discrepancy in the meridional slope at $y=d$ )
$\Delta R=\frac{\delta R_{2}^{3} \sin \theta}{6 E t}\left[3(1-v) \frac{d}{R_{2}}-5+v+2 \cos \theta \frac{3+(2-v) \cos \theta}{1+\cos \theta}\right]$
$\Delta y=\frac{\delta R_{2}^{3}}{6 E t}\left\{3(1-v)\left[\frac{d}{R_{2}}(1-\cos \theta)+\cos \theta\right]-(2-v) \cos ^{2} \theta+(1+v)\left(1+2 \ln \frac{2}{1+\cos \theta}\right)\right\}$
$\psi=\frac{-\delta R_{2}^{2}}{E t} \sin \theta \quad$ Weight of liquid $=P=\delta \pi d^{2}\left(R_{2}-\frac{d}{3}\right)$
At any level $y$ above the liquid level use case 3 d with the load equal to the entire weight of the liquid

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 3c. Own weight, } \delta \text { force/unit } \\
\text { volume; tangential } \\
\text { top edge support } & \sigma_{1}=\frac{\delta R_{2}}{1+\cos \theta}, \quad \sigma_{2}=-\delta R_{2}\left(\frac{1}{1+\cos \theta}-\cos \theta\right) \\
\text { Max tensile } \sigma_{2}=\frac{\delta R_{2}}{2} \quad \text { at } \theta=0 \\
\sigma_{2}=0 \quad \text { at } \theta=51.83^{\circ} \\
\Delta R=\frac{-\delta R_{2}^{2} \sin \theta}{E}\left(\frac{1+v}{1+\cos \theta}-\cos \theta\right) \\
\Delta y=\frac{\delta R_{2}^{2}}{E}\left[\sin ^{2} \theta+(1+v) \ln \frac{2}{1+\cos \theta}\right] \\
\psi=\frac{-\delta R_{2}}{E}(2+v) \sin \theta
\end{array}
$$

## TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| Case no., form of vessel | Manner of loading |  | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3d. Tangential loading only; resultant load $=P$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{2} t \sin ^{2} \theta}, \quad \sigma_{2}=-\sigma_{1} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{-P(1+v)}{2 \pi E t \sin \theta} \\ \Delta y & =\frac{P(1+v)}{2 \pi E t}\left[\ln \left(\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right)-\ln \left(\tan \frac{\theta_{o}}{2}\right)\right] \\ \psi & =0 \end{aligned}$ | (Note: $\theta_{o}$ is the angle to the lower edge and cannot go to zero without local bending occurring in the shell) |
|  | 3e. Uniform loading, $w$ force/ unit area; on the horizontal projected area; tangential top edge support | For $\theta \leqslant 90^{\circ}$ $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{w R_{2}}{2 t} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{w R_{2}}{2 t} \cos 2 \theta \\ \Delta R & =\frac{w R_{2}^{2} \sin \theta}{2 E t}(\cos 2 \theta-v) \\ \Delta y & =\frac{w R_{2}^{2}}{2 E t}\left[2\left(1-\cos ^{3} \theta\right)+(1+v)(1-\cos \theta)\right] \\ \psi & =\frac{-w R_{2}}{E t}(3+v) \sin \theta \cos \theta \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 3f. Uniform rotation, $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, about central axis $\delta_{m}=\text { mass density }$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \sigma_{2} & =\delta_{m} R^{2} \omega^{2} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{\delta_{m} R^{3} \omega^{2}}{E} \\ \Delta y & =\frac{-\delta_{m} R_{2}^{3} \omega^{2}}{E}\left(1+v-v \cos \theta-\cos ^{3} \theta\right) \\ \psi & =\frac{\delta_{m} R_{2}^{2} \omega^{2} \sin \theta \cos \theta}{E}(3+v) \end{aligned}$ |  |



## TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

4. Any smooth figure of revolution if $R_{2}$ is less than infinity


$$
\frac{R_{2}}{t}>10
$$

4a. Uniform internal or external pressure, $q$ force unit area; tangential edge support

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{q R_{2}}{2 t} \\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{q R_{2}}{2 t}\left(2-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right) \\
\Delta R & =\frac{q R_{2}^{2} \sin \theta}{2 E t}\left(2-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}-v\right) \\
\psi & =\frac{q R_{2}^{2}}{2 E t R_{1} \tan \theta}\left[3 \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}-5+\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\left(2+\frac{1}{R_{1}} \frac{d R_{1}}{d \theta} \tan \theta\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

4b. Filled to depth $d$ with
liquid of density $\delta$ force/
unit volume; tangential edge support. $W=$ weight of liquid contained to a depth $y$

$$
\text { At any level } y \text { below the liquid surface, } y<d \text {, }
$$

$\sigma_{1}=\frac{W}{2 \pi R_{2} t \sin ^{2} \theta}+\frac{\delta R_{2}(d-y)}{2 t}$
$\sigma_{2}=\frac{-W}{2 \pi R_{1} t \sin ^{2} \theta}+\frac{\delta R_{2}(d-y)}{2 t}\left(2-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right)$
$\Delta R=\frac{R_{2} \sin \theta}{E}\left(\sigma_{2}-v \sigma_{1}\right)$
At any level $y$ above the liquid level use case 4 d with the load equal to the entire weight of the liquid

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text { 4c. Own weight, } \delta \text { force/unit } \\
\text { volume; tangential top } \\
\text { edge support. } W=\text { weight } \\
\text { of vessel below the level } y
\end{array} ~ \sigma_{1}=\frac{W}{2 \pi R_{2} t \sin ^{2} \theta}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { 4d. Tangential loading only, } \\
\text { resultant load }=P
\end{aligned} \sigma_{1}=\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{2} t \sin ^{2} \theta}
$$

TABLE 13.1 Formulas for membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| Case no., form of vessel | Manner of loading | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4e. Uniform loading, $w$ force/unit area, on the horizontal projected area; tangential top edge support | For $\theta \leqslant 90^{\circ}$ $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{w R_{2}}{2 t} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{w R_{2}}{2 t}\left(2 \cos ^{2} \theta-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}\right) \\ \Delta R & =\frac{w R_{2}^{2} \sin \theta}{2 E t}\left(2 \cos ^{2} \theta-\frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}}-v\right) \\ \psi & =\frac{w}{2 E t R_{1} \tan \theta}\left[R_{1} R_{2}\left(4 \cos ^{2} \theta-1-2 v \sin ^{2} \theta\right)-R_{2}^{2}(7-2 \cos \theta)+\frac{R_{2}^{3}}{R_{1}}\left(2+\frac{\tan \theta}{R_{1}} \frac{d R_{1}}{d \theta}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4f. Uniform rotation, $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$, about central axis $\delta_{m}=\text { mass density }$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \sigma_{2} & =\delta_{m} R^{2} \omega^{2} \\ \Delta R & =\frac{\delta_{m} R^{3} \omega^{2}}{E} \\ \psi & =\frac{\delta_{m} R^{2} \omega^{2}}{E \tan \theta}(3+v) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5. Toroidal shell | 5a. Uniform internal or external pressure, $q$ force/unit area | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{1}=\frac{q b}{2 t} \frac{r+a}{r} \\ & \left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\max }=\frac{q b}{2 t} \frac{2 a-b}{a-b} \quad \text { at point } O \\ & \sigma_{2}=\frac{q b}{2 t} \quad \text { (throughout) } \\ & \Delta r=\frac{q b}{2 E t}[r-v(r+a)] \end{aligned}$ <br> [Note: There are some bending stresses at the top and bottom where $R_{2}$ (see case 4) is infinite (see Ref. 42)] |

## TABLE 13.2 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells under axisymmetric loading




 $v=$ Poisson's ratio; $R=$ mean radius; $t=$ wall thickness
The following constants and functions are hereby defined in order to permit condensing the tabulated formulas which follow:


| $F_{1}$ | $=\cosh \lambda x \cos \lambda x$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $F_{2}$ | $=\cosh \lambda x \sin \lambda x+\sinh \lambda x \cos \lambda x$ |
| $F_{3}$ | $=\sinh \lambda x \sin \lambda x$ |
| $F_{4}$ | $=\cosh \lambda x \sin \lambda x-\sinh \lambda x \cos \lambda x$ |
| $F_{a 1}$ | $=\langle x-a\rangle^{0} \cosh \lambda\langle x-a\rangle \cos \lambda\langle x-a\rangle$ |
| $F_{a 2}$ | $=\cosh \lambda\langle x-a\rangle \sin \lambda\langle x-a\rangle+\sinh \lambda\langle x-a\rangle \cos \lambda\langle x-a\rangle$ |
| $F_{a 3}$ | $=\sinh \lambda\langle x-a\rangle \sin \lambda\langle x-a\rangle$ |
| $F_{a 4}$ | $=\cosh \lambda\langle x-a\rangle \sin \lambda\langle x-a\rangle-\sinh \lambda\langle x-a\rangle \cos \lambda\langle x-a\rangle$ |
| $F_{a 5}$ | $=\langle x-a\rangle^{0}-F_{a 1}$ |
| $F_{a 6}$ | $=2 \lambda(x-a)\langle x-a\rangle^{0}-F_{a 2}$ |
| $A_{1}$ | $=\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda a} \cos \lambda a$ |
| $A_{2}$ | $=\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda a}(\sin \lambda a-\cos \lambda a)$ |
| $A_{3}$ | $=-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda a} \sin \lambda a$ |
| $A_{4}$ | $=\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda a}(\sin \lambda a+\cos \lambda a)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
C_{1}=\cosh \lambda l \cos \lambda l & C_{11}=\sinh ^{2} \lambda l-\sin ^{2} \lambda l \\
C_{2}=\cosh \lambda l \sin \lambda l+\sinh \lambda l \cos \lambda l & C_{12}=\cosh \lambda l \sinh \lambda l+\cos \lambda l \sin \lambda l \\
C_{3}=\sinh \lambda l \sin \lambda l & C_{13}=\cosh \lambda l \sinh \lambda l-\cos \lambda l \sin \lambda l \\
C_{4}=\cosh \lambda l \sin \lambda l-\sinh \lambda l \cos \lambda l & C_{14}=\sinh ^{2} \lambda l+\sin ^{2} \lambda l
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{a 1} & =\cosh \lambda(l-a) \cos \lambda(l-a) \\
C_{a 2} & =\cosh \lambda(l-a) \sin \lambda(l-a)+\sinh \lambda(l-a) \cos \lambda(l-a) \\
C_{a 3} & =\sinh \lambda(l-a) \sin \lambda(l-a) \\
C_{a 4} & =\cosh \lambda(l-a) \sin \lambda(l-a)-\sinh \lambda(l-a) \cos \lambda(l-a) \\
C_{a 5} & =1-C_{a 1} \\
C_{a 6} & =2 \lambda(l-a)-C_{a 2} \\
B_{1} & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda b} \cos \lambda b \\
B_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda b}(\sin \lambda b-\cos \lambda b) \\
B_{3} & =-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda b} \sin \lambda b \\
B_{4} & =\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda b}(\sin \lambda b+\cos \lambda b)
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 13.2 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells under axisymmetric loading


Meridional radial shear $=V=-y_{A} 2 D \lambda^{3} F_{2}-\psi_{A} 2 D \lambda^{2} F_{3}+L T_{V}$
Meridional bending moment $=M=-y_{A} 2 D \lambda^{2} F_{3}-\psi_{A} D \lambda F_{4}+L T_{M}$ (Note: The load terms $L T_{V}, L T_{M}$, etc., are given for each of the following Meridional slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} \lambda F_{4}+L T_{\psi}$ cases)

Radial deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\psi_{A}}{2 \lambda} F_{2}+L T_{y}$
Circumferential membrane stress $=\sigma_{2}=\frac{y E}{R}+v \sigma_{1}$
Meridional bending stress $=\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M}{t^{2}}$
Circumferential bending stress $=\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=v \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$
Meridional radial shear stress $=\tau=\frac{V}{t} \quad$ (average value)

| Loading and case no. | End deformations | Load terms or load and deformation equations | Selected values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Radial end load, $V_{o} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ <br> If $\lambda l>6$, see case 8 | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \frac{C_{14}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{3}} \frac{C_{13}}{C_{11}} \\ \psi_{B} & =\frac{V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \frac{2 C_{3}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{B} & =\frac{V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{3}} \frac{C_{4}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-V_{o} F_{1} \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-V_{o}}{2 \lambda} F_{2} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{-V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} F_{3} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-V_{o}}{4 D \lambda^{3}} F_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{1}=0 \quad\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=\frac{y_{A} E}{R} \\ & \psi_{\max }=\psi_{A} \\ & y_{\max }=y_{A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Intermediate radial load, $p \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ <br> If $\lambda l>6$, consider case 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \psi_{A}=\frac{p}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 2}-2 C_{3} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}} \\ & y_{A}=\frac{-p}{2 D \lambda^{3}} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 2}-C_{4} C_{a 1}}{C_{11}} \\ & \psi_{B}=\psi_{A} C_{1}-y_{A} \lambda C_{4}-\frac{p}{2 D \lambda^{2}} C_{a 3} \\ & y_{B}=y_{A} C_{1}+\frac{\psi_{A} C_{2}}{2 \lambda}-\frac{p}{4 D \lambda^{3}} C_{a 4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-p F_{a 1} \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-p}{2 \lambda} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{-p}{2 D \lambda^{2}} F_{a 3} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-p}{4 D \lambda^{3}} F_{a 4} \end{aligned}$ | $\sigma_{1}=0$ |

TABLE 13.2 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells under axisymmetric loading (Continued)

| 3. End moment, $M_{o} \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$ <br> If $\lambda l>6$, see case 10 | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{D \lambda} \frac{C_{12}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \frac{C_{14}}{C_{11}} \\ \psi_{B} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{D \lambda} \frac{C_{2}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{B} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{D \lambda^{2}} \frac{C_{3}}{C_{11}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-M_{o} \lambda F_{4} \\ L T_{M} & =M_{o} F_{1} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda} F_{2} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} F_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{y_{A} E}{R} \\ M_{\max } & =M_{o} \quad(\text { at } x=0) \\ \psi_{\max } & =\psi_{A} \\ y_{\max } & =y_{A} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Intermediate applied moment, $M_{o} \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$ <br> If $\lambda l>6$, consider case 11 | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{D \lambda} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 1}+C_{3} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 1}+C_{4} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \\ \psi_{B} & =\psi_{A} C_{1}-y_{A} \lambda C_{4}+\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda} C_{a 2} \\ y_{B} & =y_{A} C_{1}+\frac{\psi_{A}}{2 \lambda} C_{2}+\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} C_{a 3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-M_{o} \lambda F_{a 4} \\ L T_{M} & =M_{o} F_{a 1} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} F_{a 3} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5. Uniform radial pressure from $a$ to $l$ <br> If $\lambda l>6$, consider case 12 | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{q}{2 D \lambda^{3}} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{4}} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{4} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}} \\ \psi_{B} & =\psi_{A} C_{1}-y_{A} \lambda C_{4}-\frac{q}{4 D \lambda^{3}} C_{a 4} \\ y_{B} & =y_{A} C_{1}+\frac{\psi_{A}}{2 \lambda} C_{2}-\frac{q}{4 D \lambda^{4}} C_{a 5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =\frac{-q}{2 \lambda} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-q}{2 \lambda^{2}} F_{a 3} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{3}} F_{a 4} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{4}} F_{a 5} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6. Uniformly increasing pressure from $a$ to $l$ | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{4}(l-a)} \frac{2 C_{3} C_{a 3}-C_{2} C_{a 4}}{C_{11}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{5}(l-a)} \frac{C_{3} C_{a 4}-C_{4} C_{a 3}}{C_{11}} \\ \psi_{B} & =\psi_{A} C_{1}-y_{A} \lambda C_{4}-\frac{q C_{a 5}}{4 D \lambda^{4}(l-a)} \\ y_{B} & =y_{A} C_{1}+\frac{\psi_{A}}{2 \lambda} C_{2}-\frac{q C_{a 6}}{8 D \lambda^{5}(l-a)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =\frac{-q}{2 \lambda^{2}(l-a)} F_{a 3} \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-q}{4 \lambda^{3}(l-a)} F_{a 4} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{4}(l-a)} F_{a 5} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-q}{8 D \lambda^{5}(l-a)} F_{a 6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{y_{B} E}{R} \\ y_{\max } & =y_{B} \\ \psi_{\max } & =\psi_{B} \end{aligned}$ |

## tABLE 13.2 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells under axisymmetric loading

 (Continued)| Loading and case no. | End deformations | Load terms or load and deformation equations | Selected values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7. Axial load along the portion <br> from $a$ to $l$ only | $\psi_{A}=\frac{v H}{2 D \lambda^{3} R} \frac{C_{2} C_{a 3}-C_{3} C_{a 2}}{C_{11}}$ | $L T_{V}=\frac{-v H}{2 \lambda R} F_{a 2}$ | $\sigma_{1}=\frac{H}{t}\langle x-a\rangle^{0}$ |

Long shells with the left end free (right end more than $6 / \lambda$ units of length from the closest load)

$R / \dagger>10$

Meridional radial shear $=V=-y_{A} 2 D \lambda^{3} F_{2}-\psi_{A} 2 D \lambda^{2} F_{3}+L T_{V}$
Meridional bending moment $=M=-y_{A} 2 D \lambda^{2} F_{3}-\psi_{A} D \lambda F_{4}+L T_{M}$
(Note: The load terms $L T_{V}, L T_{M}$, etc., are given where needed in the following cases)

Meridional slope $=\psi=\psi_{A} F_{1}-y_{A} \lambda F_{4}+L T_{\psi}$
Radial deflection $=y=y_{A} F_{1}+\frac{\psi_{A}}{2 \lambda} F_{2}+L T_{y}$
Circumferential membrane stress $=\sigma_{2}=\frac{y E}{R}+v \sigma_{1}$
Meridional bending stress $=\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M}{t^{2}}$
Circumferential bending stress $=\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=v \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$
Meridional radial shear stress $=\tau=\frac{V}{t} \quad$ (average value)

| 8. Radial end load, $V_{o} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{3}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} V & =-V_{o} e^{-\lambda x}(\cos \lambda x-\sin \lambda x) \\ M & =\frac{-V_{o}}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda x} \sin \lambda x \\ \psi & =\frac{V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda x}(\cos \lambda x+\sin \lambda x) \\ y & =\frac{-V_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{3}} e^{-\lambda x} \cos \lambda x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} V_{\max } & =-V_{o} \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ M_{\max } & =-0.3224 \frac{V_{o}}{\lambda} \quad \text { at } x=\frac{\pi}{4 \lambda} \\ \psi_{\max } & =\psi_{A} \quad y_{\max }=y_{A} \\ \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{-2 V_{o} \lambda R}{t} \quad \text { at } x=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. Intermediate radial load, $p \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$ <br> If $\lambda a>3$, consider case 15 | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{-p}{D \lambda^{2}} A_{2} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-p}{D \lambda^{3}} A_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-p F_{a 1} \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-p}{2 \lambda} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{-p}{2 D \lambda^{2}} F_{a 3} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-p}{4 D \lambda^{3}} F_{a 4} \end{aligned}$ | $\sigma_{1}=0$ |

TABLE 13.2 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells under axisymmetric loading (Continued)

| 10. End moment, $M_{o} \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$ | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{-M_{o}}{D \lambda} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} V & =-2 M_{o} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \sin \lambda x \\ M & =M_{o} e^{-\lambda x}(\cos \lambda x+\sin \lambda x) \\ \psi & =\frac{-M_{o}}{D \lambda} e^{-i x} \cos \lambda x \\ y & =\frac{-M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda x}(\sin \lambda x-\cos \lambda x) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} V_{\max } & =-0.6448 M_{o} \lambda \quad \text { at } x=\frac{\pi}{4 \lambda} \\ M_{\max } & =M_{o} \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ \psi_{\max } & =\psi_{A}, \quad y_{\max }=y_{A} \\ \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{2 M_{o} \lambda^{2} R}{t} \quad \text { at } x=0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11. Intermediate applied moment, $M_{o} \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$ <br> If $\lambda a>3$, consider case 16 | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{-2 M_{o}}{D \lambda} A_{1} \\ y_{A} & =\frac{M_{o}}{D \lambda^{2}} A_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =-M_{o} \lambda F_{a 4} \\ L T_{M} & =M_{o} F_{a 1} \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda} F_{a 2} \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{M_{o}}{2 D \lambda^{2}} F_{a 3} \end{aligned}$ | $\sigma_{1}=0$ |
| 12. Uniform radial pressure from $a$ to $b$ | $\begin{aligned} \psi_{A} & =\frac{-q}{D \lambda^{3}}\left(B_{3}-A_{3}\right) \\ y_{A} & =\frac{-q}{2 D \lambda^{4}}\left(B_{2}-A_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =\frac{-q}{2 \lambda}\left(F_{a 2}-F_{b 2}\right) \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-q}{2 \lambda^{2}}\left(F_{a 3}-F_{b 3}\right) \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{3}}\left(F_{a 4}-F_{b 4}\right) \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{4}}\left(F_{a 5}-F_{b 5}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> For values of $F_{b 1}$ to $F_{b 6}$ substitute $b$ for $a$ in the expressions for $F_{a 1}$ to $F_{a 6}$ | $\sigma_{1}=0$ |
| 13. Uniformly increasing pressure from $a$ to $b$ | $\begin{aligned} & \psi_{A}=\frac{q}{D}\left[\frac{B_{4}-A_{4}}{2 \lambda^{4}(b-a)}-\frac{B_{3}}{\lambda^{3}}\right] \\ & y_{A}=\frac{q}{2 D}\left[\frac{B_{3}-A_{3}}{\lambda^{5}(b-a)}-\frac{B_{2}}{\lambda^{4}}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} L T_{V} & =\frac{-q}{2}\left[\frac{F_{a 3}-F_{b 3}}{\lambda^{2}(b-a)}-\frac{F_{b 2}}{\lambda}\right] \\ L T_{M} & =\frac{-q}{2}\left[\frac{F_{a 4}-F_{b 4}}{2 \lambda^{3}(b-a)}-\frac{F_{b 3}}{\lambda^{2}}\right] \\ L T_{\psi} & =\frac{-q}{4 D}\left[\frac{F_{a 5}-F_{b 5}}{\lambda^{4}(b-a)}-\frac{F_{b 4}}{\lambda^{3}}\right] \\ L T_{y} & =\frac{-q}{4 D}\left[\frac{F_{a 6}-F_{b 6}}{2 \lambda^{5}(b-a)}-\frac{F_{b 5}}{\lambda^{4}}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> See note in case 12 | $\sigma_{1}=0$ |

## TABLE 13.2 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells under axisymmetric loading

 (Continued)| Loading and case no. | End deformations | Load terms or load and deformation equations | Selected values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 14. Axial load along the portion |  |  |  |
| from $a$ to $b$ | $\psi_{A}=\frac{-v H}{R D \lambda^{3}}\left(B_{3}-A_{3}\right)$ | $L T_{V}=\frac{-v H}{2 R \lambda}\left(F_{a 2}-F_{b 2}\right)$ | $L T_{M}=\frac{-v H}{2 R \lambda^{2}}\left(F_{a 3}-F_{b 3}\right)$ |

Very long shells (both ends more than $6 / \lambda$ units of length from the nearest loading)

Circumferential membrane stress $=\sigma_{2}=\frac{y E}{R}+v \sigma_{1}$
Meridional bending stress $=\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M}{t^{2}}$
Circumferential bending stress $=\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=v \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$
Meridional radial shear stress $=\tau=\frac{V}{t}$

| Loading and case no. | Load and deformation equations | Selected values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15. Concentrated radial load, $p$ (lb/linear in of circumference) | $\begin{aligned} V & =\frac{-p}{2} e^{-\lambda x} \cos \lambda x \\ M & =\frac{p}{4 \lambda} e^{-\lambda x}(\cos \lambda x-\sin \lambda x) \\ \psi & =\frac{p}{4 D \lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda x} \sin \lambda x \\ y & =\frac{-p}{8 D \lambda^{3}} e^{-\lambda r}(\cos \lambda x+\sin \lambda x) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} V_{\max } & =\frac{-p}{2} \quad \text { at } x=0, \quad \sigma_{1}=0 \\ M_{\max } & =\frac{p}{4 \lambda} \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ \psi_{\max } & =0.0806 \frac{p}{D \lambda^{2}} \quad \text { at } x=\frac{\pi}{4 \lambda} \\ y_{\max } & =\frac{-p}{8 D \lambda^{3}} \quad \text { at } x=0 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 13.2 Shear, moment, slope, and deflection formulas for long and short thin-walled cylindrical shells under axisymmetric loading

 (Continued)| 16. Applied moment | $\begin{aligned} V & =\frac{-M_{o} \lambda}{2} e^{-\lambda x}(\cos \lambda x+\sin \lambda x) \\ M & =\frac{M_{o}}{2} e^{-\lambda x} \cos \lambda x \\ \psi & =\frac{-M_{o}}{4 D \lambda} e^{-\lambda x}(\cos \lambda x-\sin \lambda x) \\ y & =\frac{-M_{o}}{4 D \lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda x} \sin \lambda x \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{\max }=\frac{-M_{o} \lambda}{2} \quad \text { at } x=0, \quad \sigma_{1}=0 \\ & M_{\max }=\frac{M_{o}}{2} \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ & \psi_{\max }=\frac{-M_{o}}{4 D \lambda} \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ & y_{\max }=-0.0806 \frac{M_{o}}{D \lambda^{2}} \quad \text { at } x=\frac{\pi}{4 \lambda} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. Uniform pressure over a band of width $2 a$ | Superimpose cases 10 and 12 to make $\psi_{A}$ (at $x=0$ ) $=0$ [Note: $x$ is measured from the midlength of the loaded band] | $\begin{aligned} M_{\max } & =\frac{q}{2 \lambda^{2}} e^{-\lambda a} \sin \lambda a \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ y_{\max } & =\frac{-q}{4 D \lambda^{4}}\left(1-e^{-\lambda a} \cos \lambda a\right) \quad \text { at } x=0 \\ \sigma_{1} & =0 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels




 elasticity; $v=$ Poisson's ratio; and $D=E t^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)$

1. Partial spherical shells

$\beta=\left[3\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(\frac{R_{2}}{t}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 4}$
$K_{1}=1-\frac{1-2 v}{2 \beta} \cot (\phi-\omega)$
$K_{2}=1-\frac{1+2 v}{2 \beta} \cot (\phi-\omega)$
$K_{3}=\frac{e^{-\beta \omega}}{\sqrt{\sin (\phi-\omega)}}$
$\frac{R_{2}}{t}>10$

Meridional radial shear $=V_{1}=-C K_{3} \sin (\beta \omega+\zeta) \quad$ (Note: Expressions for $C$ and $\zeta$ are given below for the several loads)
Meridional bending moment $=M_{1}=\frac{C R_{2} K_{3}}{2 \beta}\left[K_{1} \cos (\beta \omega+\zeta)+\sin (\beta \omega+\zeta)\right]$
Circumferential bending moment $=M_{2}=\frac{C R_{2} K_{3}}{2 \beta}\left\{v \sin (\beta \omega+\zeta)+\left[2-\left(1-\frac{v}{2}\right)\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)\right] \cos (\beta \omega+\zeta)\right\}$
Change in meridional slope $=\psi=\frac{C 2 \beta^{2} K_{3}}{E t} \cos (\beta \omega+\zeta)$
Change in radius of circumference $=\Delta R=\frac{C R_{2} \beta K_{3} \sin (\phi-\omega)}{E t}\left[\cos (\beta \omega+\zeta)-K_{2} \sin (\beta \omega+\zeta)\right]$
Meridional membrane stress $=\sigma_{1}=\frac{-C K_{3} \cot (\phi-\omega)}{t} \sin (\beta \omega+\zeta)$
Meridional bending stress $=\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t^{2}}$
Circumferential membrane stress $=\sigma_{2}=\frac{C \beta K_{3}}{2 t}\left[2 \cos (\beta \omega+\zeta)-\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right) \sin (\beta \omega+\zeta)\right]$
Circumferential bending stress $=\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{2}}{t^{2}}$
Meridional radial shear stress $=\tau=\frac{V_{1}}{t} \quad$ (average value)
[Note: For reasonable accuracy $3 / \beta<\phi<\pi-3 / \beta$. Deformations and stresses due to edge loads and displacements are essentially zero when $\omega>3 / \beta$ (see discussion)]

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| Case no., loading | Formulas |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. Uniform radial force $Q_{o}$ at the edge | $C=\frac{Q_{o}(\sin \phi)^{3 / 2} \sqrt{1+K_{1}^{2}}}{K_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad \zeta=\tan ^{-1}\left(-K_{1}\right) \quad \text { where }-\frac{\pi}{2}<\zeta<\frac{\pi}{2}$ <br> Max value of $M_{1}$ occurs at $\omega=\pi / 4 \beta$ <br> At the edge where $\omega=0$, $\begin{aligned} V_{1} & =Q_{o} \sin \phi, \quad M_{1}=0, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=0, \quad \sigma_{1}=\frac{Q_{o} \cos \phi}{t} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{Q_{o} \beta \sin \phi}{2 t}\left(\frac{2}{K_{1}}+K_{1}+K_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } \\ M_{2} & =\frac{Q_{o} t^{2} B^{2} \cos \phi}{6 K_{1} R_{2}}, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{-Q_{o} B^{2} \cos \phi}{K_{1} R_{2}} \\ \psi & =\frac{Q_{o} 2 \beta^{2} \sin \phi}{E t K_{1}}, \quad \Delta R=\frac{Q_{o} R_{2} \beta \sin ^{2} \phi}{E t K_{1}}\left(1+K_{1} K_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | (Refs. 14 and 42) |
| 1b. Uniform edge moment $M_{o}$ | $C=\frac{M_{o} 2 \beta \sqrt{\sin \phi}}{R_{2} K_{1}}, \quad \zeta=0$ <br> At the edge where $\omega=0$, $\begin{aligned} V_{1} & =0, \quad \sigma_{1}=0, \quad M_{1}=M_{o}, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{o}}{t^{2}} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{M_{o} 2 \beta^{2}}{R_{2} K_{1} t}, \quad M_{2}=\frac{M_{o}}{2 v K_{1}}\left[\left(1+v^{2}\right)\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)-2 K_{2}\right], \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{2}}{t^{2}} \\ \psi & =\frac{M_{o} 4 \beta^{3}}{E t R_{2} K_{1}}, \quad \Delta R=\frac{M_{o} 2 \beta^{2} \sin \phi}{E t K_{1}} \end{aligned}$ | (Refs. 14 and 42) |
| 1c. Radial displacement $\Delta_{o}$; no edge rotation | $C=\frac{-\Delta_{o} E t}{R_{2} \beta K_{2} \sqrt{\sin \phi}}, \quad \zeta=\frac{\pi}{2}=90^{\circ}$ <br> At the edge where $\omega=0$, $V_{1}=\frac{\Delta_{o} E t}{R_{2} \beta K_{2} \sin \phi}, \quad \sigma_{1}=\frac{\Delta_{o} E \cos \phi}{R_{2} \beta K_{2} \sin ^{2} \phi}$ <br> Resultant radial edge force $=\frac{\Delta_{o} E t}{R_{2} \beta K_{2} \sin ^{2} \phi}$ $\begin{array}{rlrl} M_{1} & =\frac{-\Delta_{o} E t}{2 \beta^{2} K_{2} \sin \phi}, & \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{3 \Delta_{o} E}{t \beta^{2} K_{2} \sin \phi} \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{\Delta_{o} E\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)}{2 R_{2} K_{2} \sin \phi}, & M_{2}=\frac{-\Delta_{o} E t v}{2 \beta^{2} K_{2} \sin \phi}, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{3 \Delta_{o} E v}{t \beta^{2} K_{2} \sin \phi} \\ \psi & =0, \quad \Delta R=\Delta_{o} & & \end{array}$ |  |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)


TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)
3. Shallow spherical shell,
point load $P$ at the pole

$h<\frac{R}{8}$
$t<\frac{R_{2}}{10}$

3a. Edge vertically supported and guided

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Max deflection } y & =-A_{1} \frac{P R^{2}}{16 \pi D} \\
\text { Edge moment } M_{o} & =-B_{1} \frac{P}{4 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

3b. Edge fixed and held

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Max deflection } y & =-A_{2} \frac{P R^{2}}{16 \pi D} \\
\text { Edge moment } M_{o} & =-B_{2} \frac{P}{4 \pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $A$ and $B$ are numerical coefficients that depend upon $\alpha=2\left[\frac{3\left(1-v^{2}\right) h^{2}}{t^{2}}\right]^{1 / 4}$ and have the values tabulated below

| $\alpha$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $A_{1}$ | 1.000 | 0.996 | 0.935 | 0.754 | 0.406 | 0.321 | 0.210 | 0.148 | 0.111 | 0.085 | 0.069 |
| $B_{1}$ | 1.000 | 0.995 | 0.932 | 0.746 | 0.498 | 0.324 | 0.234 | 0.192 | 0.168 | 0.153 | 0.140 |
| $A_{2}$ | 1.000 | 0.985 | 0.817 | 0.515 | 0.320 | 0.220 | 0.161 | 0.122 | 0.095 | 0.075 | 0.061 |
| $B_{2}$ | 1.000 | 0.975 | 0.690 | 0.191 | -0.080 | -0.140 | -0.117 | -0.080 | -0.059 | -0.034 | -0.026 |

4. Long conical shells with edge loads. Expressions are accurate if $R /(t \cos \alpha)>10$ and $|k|>5$ everywhere in the region from the loaded end to the position where $\mu=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=1-\frac{1.326}{k}-\frac{0.218}{k^{3}}-\frac{0.317}{k^{4}} \\
& m=\frac{1.326}{k}-\frac{0.820}{k^{2}}-\frac{0.218}{k^{3}} \\
& s=1-\frac{1.679}{k}+\frac{1.233}{k^{3}}+\frac{0.759}{k^{4}} \\
& \\
& f=\frac{1.679}{k}-\frac{3.633}{k^{2}}+\frac{1.233}{k^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note: The subscript $A$ denotes that the quantity subscripted is evaluated at $R=R_{A}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{t}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{N_{2}}{t}, \quad \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t^{2}}, \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{2}}{t^{2}} \\
& V_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{\tan \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

$k=\frac{2}{\sin \alpha}\left[\frac{12\left(1-v^{2}\right) R^{2}}{t^{2} \sec ^{2} \alpha}\right]^{1 / 4}$
$\mu=\left|\frac{k_{A}-k}{\sqrt{2}}\right|$
$\beta=\left[12\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$
(Note: If the cone increases in radius below the section $A$, the angle $\alpha$ is negative, making $k$ negative as well. As indicated for a position $\alpha$, the positive values of $N_{1}$ and $M_{1}$ are as shown and $V_{1}$ is still positive when acting outward on the lower portion.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1} & =m l_{A}-l m_{A} \\
F_{2} & =l l_{A}+m m_{A} \\
F_{3} & =f s_{A}-s f_{A} \\
F_{4} & =s s_{A}+f f_{A} \\
F_{5} & =l\left(s_{A}-f_{A}\right)+m\left(s_{A}+f_{A}\right) \\
F_{6} & =l\left(s_{A}+f_{A}\right)-m\left(s_{A}-f_{A}\right) \\
F_{7} & =s\left(l_{A}-m_{A}\right)+f\left(l_{A}+m_{A}\right) \\
F_{8} & =s\left(l_{A}+m_{A}\right)-f\left(l_{A}-m_{A}\right) \\
F_{9} & =F_{5}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2} v}{k_{A}} F_{2} \\
F_{10} & =F_{6}-\frac{2 \sqrt{2} v}{k_{A}} F_{1} \\
F_{11} & =F_{4}+\frac{\sqrt{2} v}{k_{A}} F_{8} \\
F_{12} & =F_{3}+\frac{\sqrt{2} v}{k_{A}} F_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Note: At sections where $\mu>4$, the deformations and stresses have decreased to negligible values)

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)
For use at the loaded end where $R=R_{A}$,
$F_{1 A}=F_{3 A}=0$
$F_{4 A}=1-\frac{3.359}{k_{A}}+\frac{5.641}{k_{A}^{2}}-\frac{9.737}{k_{A}^{3}}+\frac{14.716}{k_{A}^{4}}$
$F_{10 A}=F_{7 A}=F_{6 A}=1-\frac{2.652}{k_{A}}+\frac{1.641}{k_{A}^{2}}-\frac{0.290}{k_{A}^{3}}-\frac{2.211}{k_{A}^{4}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{2 A}=1-\frac{2.652}{k_{A}}+\frac{3.516}{k_{A}^{2}}-\frac{2.610}{k_{A}^{3}}+\frac{0.038}{k_{A}^{4}} \\
& F_{8 A}=F_{5 A}=1-\frac{3.359}{k_{A}}+\frac{7.266}{k_{A}^{2}}-\frac{10.068}{k_{A}^{3}}+\frac{5.787}{k_{A}^{4}} \\
& F_{9 A}=C_{1}=F_{5 A}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2} v}{k_{A}} F_{2 A}
\end{aligned}
$$

| Case no., loading | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4a. Uniform radial force $Q_{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} N_{1} & =Q_{A} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{5 / 2} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{9} \cos \mu-F_{10} \sin \mu\right) \\ N_{2} & =Q_{A} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{k_{A}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{11} \cos \mu+F_{12} \sin \mu\right) \\ M_{1} & =Q_{A} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{k_{A} t}{\sqrt{2} \beta} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left[-\left(F_{12}-\frac{\sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{10}\right) \cos \mu+\left(F_{11}+\frac{\sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{9}\right) \sin \mu\right] \\ M_{2} & =Q_{A} v \sin \alpha\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{k_{A} t}{\sqrt{2} \beta} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left[-\left(F_{12}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v k} F_{10}\right) \cos \mu+\left(F_{11}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v k} F_{9}\right) \sin \mu\right] \\ \Delta R & =\frac{Q_{A} R}{E t} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{k_{A}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left[\left(F_{11}-\frac{\sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{9}\right) \cos \mu+\left(F_{12}+\frac{\sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{10}\right) \sin \mu\right] \\ \psi & =\frac{Q_{A} R_{A} \beta}{E t^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{10} \cos \mu+F_{9} \sin \mu\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> At the loaded end where $R=R_{A}$, $\begin{aligned} & N_{1 A}=Q_{A} \sin \alpha, \quad N_{2 A}=Q_{A} \sin \alpha \frac{k_{A}}{\sqrt{2} C_{1}}\left(F_{4 A}+\frac{\sqrt{2} v}{k_{A}} F_{8 A}\right) \\ & M_{1 A}=0, \quad M_{2 A}=Q_{A} \sin \alpha\left(1-v^{2}\right) \frac{t}{\beta C_{1}} F_{10 A} \\ & \Delta R_{A}=\frac{Q_{A} R_{A} \sin \alpha}{E t} \frac{k_{A}}{\sqrt{2} C_{1}}\left(F_{4 A}-\frac{4 v^{2}}{k_{A}^{2}} F_{2 A}\right), \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{Q_{A} R_{A} \beta}{E t^{2} C_{1}} F_{10 A} \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

4b. Uniform edge moment $M_{A}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{1} & =M_{A}\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{5 / 2} \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \beta}{t k_{A}} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{1} \cos \mu-F_{2} \sin \mu\right) \\
N_{2} & =M_{A}\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{\beta}{t} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{7} \cos \mu-F_{8} \sin \mu\right) \\
M_{1} & =M_{A}\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left[\left(F_{8}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{2}\right) \cos \mu+\left(F_{7}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{1}\right) \sin \mu\right] \\
M_{2} & =M_{A}\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} v \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left[\left(F_{8}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{v k} F_{2}\right) \cos \mu+\left(F_{7}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{v k} F_{1}\right) \sin \mu\right] \\
\Delta R & =M_{A}\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{\beta R}{E t^{2}} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left[\left(F_{7}-\frac{2 \sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{1}\right) \cos \mu-\left(F_{8}-\frac{2 \sqrt{2} v}{k} F_{2}\right) \sin \mu\right] \\
\psi & =M_{A}\left(\frac{k_{A}}{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \beta^{2} R_{A}}{E t^{3} k_{A} \sin \alpha} \frac{e^{-\mu}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{2} \cos \mu+F_{1} \sin \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

At the loaded end where $R=R_{A}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1 A}=0, \quad N_{2 A}=M_{A} \frac{\beta}{t C_{1}} F_{7 A} \\
& M_{1 A}=M_{A}, \quad M_{2 A}=M_{A}\left[v+\frac{2 \sqrt{2}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{k_{A} C_{1}} F_{2 A}\right] \\
& \Delta R_{A}=M_{A} \frac{\beta R_{A}}{E t^{2} C_{1}} F_{7 A}, \quad \psi_{A}=M_{A} \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \beta^{2} R_{A}}{E t^{3} k_{A} C_{1} \sin \alpha} F_{2 A}
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Short conical shells. Expressions are accurate if $R /(t \cos \alpha)>10$ and $|k|>5$ everywhere in the cone


$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\sigma_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{t}, & \sigma_{2}=\frac{N_{2}}{t} \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t^{2}}, & \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{2}}{t^{2}} \\
V_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{\tan \alpha} &
\end{array}
$$

5a. Uniform radial force $Q_{A}$ at the large end


$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{1} & =Q_{A} \sin \alpha K_{N 1}, & N_{2} & =Q_{A} \sin \alpha \frac{k_{A}}{\sqrt{2}} K_{N 2} \\
M_{1} & =Q_{A} \sin \alpha \frac{k_{A} t}{\sqrt{2} \beta} K_{M 1}, & M_{2} & =Q_{A} v \sin \alpha \frac{k_{A} t}{\sqrt{2} \beta} K_{M 2},
\end{aligned} \quad \Delta h=\frac{Q_{A} R_{A}}{E t} K_{\Delta h 1}-\frac{Q_{A} R_{A} \sin ^{2} \alpha}{E t \cos \alpha} \frac{k_{A}}{\sqrt{2}} K_{\Delta h 2}
$$

$$
\mu_{D}=\left|\frac{k_{A}-k_{B}}{\sqrt{2}}\right|,
$$

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)


TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\frac{k_{B}=19.434}{0.250}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.293 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=5.488$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ $k_{B}=19.151$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.294$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.247$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=18.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}$ | -0.296 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.368$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 20 | $K_{N 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.346 | -0.053 | -0.175 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.281 | -0.149 | -0.256 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.255 | -0.193 | -0.296 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 4.007 | 2.674 | 1.298 | -0.123 | -1.591 | 2.975 | 1.954 | 0.885 | -0.234 | -1.410 | 2.334 | 1.528 | 0.677 | -0.244 | -1.186 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.025 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.082 | 0.078 | 0.035 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.111 | 0.106 | 0.045 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 2.974 | 3.079 | 3.133 | 3.163 | 3.198 | 1.546 | 1.668 | 1.704 | 1.703 | 1.717 | 0.933 | 1.072 | 1.093 | 1.064 | 1.059 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 3.985 | 2.629 | 1.263 | -0.114 | -1.052 | 2.954 | 1.908 | 0.852 | -0.214 | -1.293 | 2.313 | 1.481 | 0.644 | -0.200 | -1.056 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 13.866 | 13.918 | 13.967 | 14.020 | 14.079 | 7.210 | 7.241 | 7.263 | 7.293 | 7.338 | 4.349 | 4.359 | 4.351 | 4.360 | 4.393 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=39.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.299$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=6.866$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=39.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.299$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.776$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=38.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.634$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 40 | $K_{N 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.238 | -0.192 | -0.275 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.216 | -0.225 | -0.304 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.209 | -0.238 | -0.317 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 4.692 | 2.998 | 1.277 | -0.471 | -2.248 | 3.234 | 1.060 | 0.860 | -0.366 | -1.621 | 2.459 | 1.565 | 0.649 | -0.289 | -1.255 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.055 | 0.051 | 0.021 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.084 | 0.077 | 0.030 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.112 | 0.103 | 0.040 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 1.851 | 1.922 | 1.934 | 1.921 | 1.917 | 0.863 | 0.957 | 0.959 | 0.924 | 0.906 | 0.498 | 0.617 | 0.611 | 0.555 | 0.524 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 4.681 | 2.974 | 1.261 | -0.458 | -2.185 | 3.223 | 2.036 | 0.844 | -0.351 | -1.553 | 2.448 | 1.541 | 0.634 | -0.273 | -1.185 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 17.256 | 17.286 | 17.311 | 17.341 | 17.377 | 8.048 | 8.058 | 8.059 | 8.069 | 8.092 | 4.645 | 4.637 | 4.612 | 4.603 | 4.617 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=38.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.446$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ | $k_{B}=37.737$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.847$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=35.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.053$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 40 | $K_{N 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.202 | -0.249 | -0.327 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.189 | -0.253 | -0.324 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.012 | -0.245 | -0.165 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 1.677 | 1.055 | 0.430 | -0.200 | -0.850 | 1.309 | 0.792 | 0.304 | -0.153 | -0.616 | 0.991 | 0.352 | 0.007 | -0.087 | -0.092 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.168 | 0.154 | 0.060 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.221 | 0.200 | 0.077 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.333 | 0.223 | 0.063 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 0.237 | 0.405 | 0.385 | 0.291 | 0.236 | 0.152 | 0.367 | 0.331 | 0.201 | 0.126 | 0.107 | 0.408 | 0.250 | 0.066 | -0.001 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 1.666 | 1.031 | 0.415 | -0.184 | -0.780 | 1.299 | 0.768 | 0.290 | -0.137 | -0.548 | 0.981 | 0.333 | 0.009 | -0.072 | -0.072 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 2.206 | 2.159 | 2.071 | 2.021 | 2.022 | 1.419 | 1.324 | 1.160 | 1.061 | 1.048 | 0.994 | 0.665 | 0.229 | 0.023 | -0.009 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=79.434 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300}{0.500}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=7.324$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.6 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=79.151 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.935$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=78.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}$ | -0.300 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.713$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 80 | $K_{N 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.202 | -0.235 | -0.305 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.196 | -0.245 | -0.313 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.194 | -0.248 | -0.317 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 4.917 | 3.098 | 1.264 | -0.584 | -2.446 | 3.309 | 2.083 | 0.846 | -0.403 | -1.667 | 2.494 | 1.568 | 0.635 | -0.306 | -1.259 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.056 | 0.050 | 0.019 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.084 | 0.076 | 0.029 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.112 | 0.101 | 0.038 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 0.985 | 1.045 | 1.043 | 1.017 | 1.002 | 0.444 | 0.531 | 0.524 | 0.479 | 0.454 | 0.253 | 0.367 | 0.355 | 0.293 | 0.257 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 4.912 | 3.086 | 1.256 | -0.576 | -2.412 | 3.303 | 2.071 | 0.838 | -0.395 | -1.631 | 2.489 | 1.556 | 0.627 | -0.298 | -1.224 |
|  | $K_{\psi /}$ | 18.365 | 18.378 | 18.386 | 18.399 | 18.416 | 8.287 | 8.285 | 8.272 | 8.270 | 8.280 | 4.725 | 4.707 | 4.672 | 4.654 | 4.658 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=159.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=7.452$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=159.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.980$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8 \quad k_{B}=158.869$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.738$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 160 | $K_{N 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.192 | -0.247 | -0.312 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.190 | -0.249 | -0.314 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.189 | -0.250 | -0.315 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 4.979 | 3.121 | 1.257 | -0.164 | -2.493 | 3.329 | 2.086 | 0.839 | -0.413 | -1.671 | 2.505 | 1.566 | 0.628 | -0.311 | -1.254 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.056 | 0.050 | 0.019 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.084 | 0.075 | 0.028 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.112 | 0.100 | 0.038 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 0.500 | 0.558 | 0.552 | 0.522 | 0.504 | 0.224 | 0.309 | 0.300 | 0.253 | 0.225 | 0.127 | 0.240 | 0.227 | 0.164 | 0.127 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 4.977 | 3.115 | 1.253 | -0.610 | -2.475 | 3.327 | 2.080 | 0.835 | -0.409 | -1.654 | 2.502 | 1.560 | 0.624 | -0.307 | -1.236 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 18.666 | 18.668 | 18.667 | 18.670 | 18.678 | 8.350 | 8.341 | 8.322 | 8.313 | 8.316 | 4.746 | 4.724 | 4.683 | 4.660 | 4.660 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\frac{k_{B}=158.303}{0.250}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.497$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=157.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.300$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=1.884 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ \hline 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=155.475}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.298$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=1.073}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 160 | $K_{N 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.185 | -0.251 | -0.312 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.171 | -0.250 | $-0.300$ | 0.000 | 1.000 | -0.008 | -0.223 | $-0.136$ | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 1.696 | 1.042 | 0.408 | -0.208 | -0.821 | 1.322 | 0.775 | 0.283 | -0.158 | -0.582 | 1.000 | 0.325 | -0.003 | -0.081 | -0.080 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.168 | 0.149 | 0.056 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.219 | 0.191 | 0.071 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.324 | 0.201 | 0.053 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 0.060 | 0.226 | 0.205 | 0.111 | 0.055 | 0.038 | 0.255 | 0.223 | 0.100 | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.341 | 0.207 | 0.054 | -0.000 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 1.693 | 1.036 | 0.404 | -0.204 | -0.804 | 1.319 | 0.769 | 0.280 | -0.154 | -0.565 | 0.998 | 0.320 | -0.003 | $-0.077$ | -0.076 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 2.230 | 2.171 | 2.074 | 2.015 | 2.006 | 1.429 | 1.323 | 1.151 | 1.048 | 1.030 | 0.999 | 0.644 | 0.210 | 0.018 | -0.010 |


| Case no., loading | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5b. Uniform edge moment $M_{A}$ at the large end | $\begin{aligned} N_{1} & =M_{A} \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \beta}{t k_{A}} K_{N 1}, & N_{2} & =M_{A} \frac{\beta}{t} K_{N 2} \\ M_{1} & =M_{A} K_{M 1}, & M_{2} & =M_{A} v K_{M 2} \\ \Delta R & =M_{A} \frac{\beta R_{A}}{E t^{2}} K_{\Delta R}, & \psi & =M_{A} \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \beta^{2} R_{A}}{E t^{3} k_{A} \sin \alpha} K_{\psi}, \end{aligned} \quad \Delta h=\frac{M_{A} R_{A} \beta}{E t^{2} \sin \alpha} K_{\Delta h 1}-\frac{M_{A} \beta R_{A} \sin \alpha}{E t^{2} \cos \alpha} K_{\Delta h 2}$ <br> For $R_{B} /(t \cos \alpha)>10$ and $k_{B}>5$ and for $v=0.3$, the following tables give the values of $K$ at several locations along the shell $\left[\Omega=\left(R_{A}-R\right) /\left(R_{A}-R_{B}\right)\right]$ |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=9.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.017$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=15.507$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.6 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=9.151 \\ \hline 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.026$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=9.766 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ $k_{B}=8.869$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.031$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=6.554}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 10 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.584 | -0.819 | -0.647 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.579 | -0.830 | -0.672 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.527 | $-0.772$ | -0.641 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 7.644 | 4.020 | 0.170 | -3.934 | -8.328 | 5.014 | 2.687 | 0.163 | -2.604 | -5.674 | 3.421 | 1.851 | 0.138 | -1.780 | -3.983 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.816 | 0.538 | 0.238 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.844 | 0.554 | 0.229 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.865 | 0.568 | 0.226 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 17.470 | 17.815 | 18.122 | 18.475 | 18.961 | 8.300 | 8.428 | 8.481 | 8.581 | 8.867 | 4.850 | 4.836 | 4.718 | 4.644 | 4.792 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 7.644 | 3.957 | 0.227 | -3.559 | -7.413 | 5.014 | 2.625 | 0.215 | -2.236 | -4.752 | 3.421 | 1.795 | 0.181 | -1.449 | -3.133 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 19.197 | 19.268 | 19.368 | 19.503 | 19.671 | 8.509 | 8.480 | 8.489 | 8.548 | 8.656 | 4.488 | 4.381 | 4.320 | 4.325 | 4.393 |


| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=8.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.038$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.401$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ | $k_{B}=7.737$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.042$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=2.086 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=5.475}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.050$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=0.953}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
|  | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.429 | -0.642 | -0.554 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.370 | -0.547 | -0.476 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.375 | -0.389 | -0.193 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 1.887 | 0.993 | 0.070 | -0.951 | -2.196 | 1.294 | 0.602 | -0.004 | -0.594 | -1.323 | 0.948 | 0.127 | -0.236 | -0.240 | -0.018 |
| 10 | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.890 | 0.590 | 0.227 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.899 | 0.596 | 0.225 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.816 | 0.395 | 0.071 | 0.000 |
| 10 | $K_{M 2}$ | 2.623 | 2.444 | 2.119 | 1.834 | 1.814 | 2.052 | 1.772 | 1.319 | 0.904 | 0.784 | 1.833 | 1.258 | 0.479 | -0.058 | -0.262 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 1.887 | 0.949 | 0.106 | -0.694 | -1.514 | 1.294 | 0.570 | 0.034 | -0.387 | -0.792 | 0.948 | 0.131 | -0.132 | -0.106 | -0.005 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.892 | 1.670 | 1.506 | 1.436 | 1.458 | 1.226 | 0.915 | 0.674 | 0.553 | 0.547 | 0.971 | 0.425 | 0.064 | -0.071 | -0.091 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=19.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.008$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=27.521$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=19.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.009$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=14.217$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=18.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=$ | $-0.010$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=8.477$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -1.050 | -1.435 | -1.104 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.821 | -1.135 | -0.883 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.660 | -0.919 | -0.722 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 13.866 | 7.110 | 0.149 | -7.036 | -14.461 | 7.210 | 3.726 | 0.107 | -3.672 | -7.642 | 4.349 | 2.246 | 0.072 | $-2.210$ | -4.638 |
| 20 | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.832 | 0.517 | 0.191 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.848 | 0.524 | 0.183 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.858 | 0.530 | 0.181 | 0.000 |
| 20 | $K_{M 2}$ | 15.905 | 15.971 | 15.910 | 15.860 | 15.967 | 6.219 | 6.150 | 5.930 | 5.721 | 5.697 | 3.421 | 3.286 | 2.988 | 2.703 | 2.617 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 13.866 | 7.055 | 0.204 | -6.696 | -13.655 | 7.210 | 3.683 | 0.149 | -3.408 | -7.007 | 4.349 | 2.212 | 0.105 | -1.999 | -4.128 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 34.745 | 34.800 | 34.881 | 34.998 | 35.145 | 12.165 | 12.103 | 12.079 | 12.107 | 12.178 | 5.643 | 5.504 | 5.415 | 5.395 | 5.431 |


| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=18.303 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.010 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.962$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=17.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.011$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.326$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=15.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}$ | 0.011 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=0.978$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 20 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.476 | -0.662 | -0.524 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.389 | -0.519 | -0.401 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.357 | -0.279 | -0.091 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 2.137 | 1.051 | 0.008 | -1.045 | -2.179 | 1.392 | 0.587 | -0.055 | -0.611 | -1.187 | 0.983 | 0.048 | -0.240 | -0.177 | 0.010 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.867 | 0.537 | 0.180 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.865 | 0.529 | 0.175 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.730 | 0.287 | 0.040 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 1.899 | 1.692 | 1.314 | 0.954 | 0.814 | 1.552 | 1.292 | 0.861 | 0.466 | 0.305 | 1.425 | 0.906 | 0.297 | -0.011 | -0.067 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 2.137 | 1.027 | 0.033 | -0.898 | -1.825 | 1.392 | 0.571 | -0.029 | -0.499 | -0.934 | 0.983 | 0.057 | -0.182 | -0.121 | 0.006 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 2.096 | 1.846 | 1.664 | 1.585 | 1.588 | 1.286 | 0.943 | 0.690 | 0.571 | 0.559 | 0.992 | 0.369 | 0.019 | -0.083 | -0.094 |


| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=39.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.002$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=34.371$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=39.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.002$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=15.957$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=38.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}$ | -0.002 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=9.123$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -1.300 | -1.755 | -1.333 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.910 | -1.234 | -0.942 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.698 | -0.948 | -0.726 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 17.256 | 8.734 | 0.089 | -8.689 | -17.610 | 8.048 | 4.080 | 0.052 | -4.054 | -8.255 | 4.645 | 2.339 | 0.024 | -2.327 | -4.743 |
| 40 | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.842 | 0.508 | 0.168 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.849 | 0.511 | 0.166 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.852 | 0.514 | 0.165 | 0.000 |
| 40 | $K_{M 2}$ | 10.272 | 10.179 | 9.917 | 9.657 | 9.577 | 3.910 | 3.768 | 3.449 | 3.134 | 3.009 | 2.290 | 2.125 | 1.780 | 1.441 | 1.298 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 17.256 | 8.700 | 0.124 | -8.478 | -17.115 | 8.048 | 4.056 | 0.076 | -3.907 | -7.909 | 4.645 | 2.321 | 0.043 | -2.214 | -4.478 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 43.228 | 43.226 | 43.250 | 43.310 | 43.397 | 13.566 | 13.468 | 13.409 | 13.403 | 13.438 | 6.015 | 5.852 | 5.741 | 5.700 | 5.714 |


| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\frac{k_{B}=38.303}{0.250}$ | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.003}{0.500}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.136$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=37.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.003$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.404$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=34.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=$ | 0.003 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=0.986$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 40 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.484 | -0.649 | -0.494 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -3.388 | -0.494 | -0.362 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.341 | -0.233 | -0.065 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 2.206 | 1.043 | -0.026 | -1.055 | -2.105 | 1.419 | 0.565 | -0.079 | -0.603 | -1.107 | 0.994 | 0.014 | -0.231 | -0.150 | 0.011 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.853 | 0.513 | 0.164 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.845 | 0.498 | 0.156 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.684 | 0.243 | 0.030 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 1.462 | 1.267 | 0.892 | 0.532 | 0.375 | 1.280 | 1.053 | 0.654 | 0.289 | 0.133 | 1.214 | 0.762 | 0.244 | 0.009 | -0.025 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 2.206 | 1.032 | -0.012 | -0.980 | -1.930 | 1.419 | 0.557 | -0.065 | -0.547 | -0.985 | 0.994 | 0.020 | -0.201 | -0.125 | 0.009 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 2.154 | 1.888 | 1.696 | 1.610 | 1.603 | 1.303 | 0.944 | 0.687 | 0.569 | 0.552 | 0.999 | 0.342 | 0.005 | -0.084 | -0.091 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=79.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=36.643$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=79.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=16.473$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=78.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=9.313$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 80 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -1.380 | -1.851 | -1.397 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.934 | -1.254 | -0.948 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.707 | -0.948 | -0.716 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 18.365 | 9.234 | 0.044 | -9.211 | -18.539 | 8.287 | 4.159 | 0.019 | -4.150 | -8.363 | 4.725 | 2.348 | -0.001 | -2.348 | -4.720 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.845 | 0.504 | 0.160 | -0.000 | 1.000 | 0.847 | 0.505 | 0.160 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.848 | 0.506 | 0.160 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 5.934 | 5.791 | 5.466 | 5.142 | 5.004 | 2.498 | 2.340 | 1.998 | 1.657 | 1.507 | 1.656 | 1.489 | 1.138 | 0.791 | 0.636 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 18.365 | 9.216 | 0.063 | -9.099 | -18.277 | 8.287 | 4.147 | 0.032 | -4.075 | -8.186 | 4.725 | 2.339 | 0.009 | -2.291 | -4.588 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 46.009 | 45.963 | 45.944 | 45.961 | 46.004 | 13.967 | 13.848 | 13.768 | 13.742 | 13.755 | 6.117 | 5.941 | 5.818 | 5.765 | 5.767 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=78.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.192$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ | $k_{B}=77.737$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.432$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=75.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=0.988$ |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 80 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.485 | -0.637 | -0.476 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.386 | -0.479 | -0.342 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.331 | -0.212 | -0.055 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 2.224 | 1.031 | -0.043 | -1.052 | -2.054 | 1.426 | 0.551 | -0.091 | -0.596 | -1.065 | 0.998 | -0.001 | -0.224 | $-0.138$ | 0.010 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | $1.000$ | $0.845$ | 0.501 | 0.157 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.834 | 0.483 | 0.148 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.660 | 0.224 | 0.027 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | $1.233$ | 1.051 | $0.687$ | 0.335 | $0.179$ | 1.140 | 0.937 | 0.558 | $0.211$ | $0.062$ | $1.107$ | 0.697 | 0.223 | 0.017 | $-0.011$ |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | $2.224$ | $1.025$ | -0.035 | -1.014 | $-1.968$ | $1.426$ | $0.547$ | -0.083 | -0.568 | -1.005 | 0.998 | 0.002 | -0.210 | -0.126 | 0.009 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 2.170 | 1.896 | 1.699 | 1.609 | 1.598 | 1.309 | 0.942 | 0.683 | 0.565 | 0.547 | 1.002 | 0.329 | -0.001 | -0.083 | -0.089 |


| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=159.434 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=37.272$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ $k_{B}=159.151$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=16.619$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=158.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=$ | -0.000 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=9.371$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 160 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -1.401 | -1.873 | -1.409 | -0.000 | 0.000 | -0.939 | -1.225 | -0.944 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.708 | -0.944 | -0.709 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 18.666 | 9.353 | 0.019 | -9.342 | -18.739 | 8.350 | 4.170 | 0.002 | -4.169 | -8.357 | 4.746 | 2.343 | -0.014 | -2.350 | -4.691 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.845 | 0.502 | 0.158 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.845 | 0.502 | 0.158 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.845 | 0.502 | 0.157 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 3.508 | 3.353 | 3.012 | 2.672 | 2.520 | 1.755 | 1.595 | 1.249 | 0.904 | 0.749 | 1.329 | 1.166 | 0.817 | 0.470 | 0.314 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 18.666 | 9.344 | 0.029 | -9.285 | -18.607 | 8.350 | 4.164 | 0.009 | -4.131 | -8.269 | 4.746 | 2.338 | -0.009 | -2.321 | -4.625 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 46.763 | 46.693 | 46.650 | 46.643 | 46.662 | 14.074 | 13.943 | 13.852 | 13.815 | 13.818 | 6.145 | 5.962 | 5.833 | 5.775 | 5.770 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=158.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}$ | 4.213 | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ | $k_{B}=157.737$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=$ | 0.000 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.443$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=155.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}$ | -0.000 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=0.990$ |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 160 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.484 | -0.630 | -0.466 | 0.000 | 1.000 | -0.385 | -0.471 | -0.332 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.325 | -0.202 | -0.051 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | 2.230 | 1.022 | -0.051 | -1.048 | -2.026 | 1.429 | 0.543 | -0.096 | -0.592 | -1.044 | 0.999 | -0.008 | -0.221 | -0.133 | 0.010 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 1.000 | 0.841 | 0.495 | 0.154 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.829 | 0.475 | 0.144 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.649 | 0.214 | 0.025 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | 1.117 | 0.943 | 0.587 | 0.242 | 0.087 | 1.070 | 0.879 | 0.512 | 0.175 | 0.030 | 1.054 | 0.666 | 0.214 | 0.020 | -0.005 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | 2.230 | 1.020 | -0.047 | -1.029 | -1.983 | 1.429 | 0.542 | -0.092 | -0.577 | -1.014 | 0.999 | -0.007 | -0.214 | -0.127 | 0.009 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 2.175 | 1.897 | 1.698 | 1.606 | 1.593 | 1.311 | 0.940 | 0.680 | 0.562 | 0.543 | 1.003 | 0.323 | -0.004 | -0.083 | -0.088 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)


|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=9.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.335$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.162$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=9.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.036$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.129$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=8.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.336$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.850$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 10 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.016 | 0.172 | 0.491 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.075 | 0.033 | 0.372 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.122 | -0.048 | 0.292 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -0.258 | 0.436 | 1.178 | 1.973 | 2.827 | -0.583 | 0.047 | 0.744 | 1.519 | 2.387 | -0.601 | -0.077 | 0.523 | 1.219 | 2.037 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.046 | 0.043 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.032 | 0.066 | 0.069 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.085 | 0.095 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -3.000 | -3.086 | -3.188 | -3.321 | -3.502 | $-1.866$ | -1.939 | -2.026 | -2.159 | -2.376 | -1.192 | -1.246 | -1.310 | -1.434 | -1.678 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -0.290 | 0.478 | 1.259 | 2.057 | 2.872 | -0.696 | 0.050 | 0.818 | 1.611 | 2.433 | -0.764 | -0.100 | 0.591 | 1.317 | 2.085 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 7.413 | 7.478 | 7.550 | 7.627 | 7.702 | 4.752 | 4.817 | 4.895 | 4.985 | 5.070 | 3.133 | 3.192 | 3.274 | 3.376 | 3.469 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=8.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.336$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.283$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=1.6 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=7.737 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.340$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=1.882 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=5.475 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.431$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=1.213}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 10 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.148 | -0.120 | 0.197 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.135 | -0.138 | 0.134 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.026 | -0.065 | -0.039 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -0.464 | -0.125 | 0.295 | 0.837 | 1.559 | -0.322 | -0.113 | 0.172 | 0.604 | 1.288 | -0.030 | -0.034 | -0.020 | 0.137 | 1.036 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.043 | 0.155 | 0.144 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.045 | 0.134 | 0.185 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.014 | 0.073 | 0.190 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.539 | -0.560 | -0.583 | -0.691 | -0.992 | $-0.263$ | -0.263 | -0.258 | -0.358 | -0.729 | -0.001 | 0.010 | 0.034 | -0.019 | -0.721 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -0.673 | -0.177 | 0.354 | 0.943 | 1.610 | -0.538 | -0.181 | 0.220 | 0.713 | 1.343 | -0.100 | -0.098 | -0.053 | 0.213 | 1.113 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.514 | 1.562 | 1.657 | 1.798 | 1.920 | 0.792 | 0.833 | 0.945 | 1.142 | 1.314 | 0.005 | 0.016 | 0.108 | 0.422 | 0.920 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=19.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.308$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=5.616$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=19.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.306$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.390$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=18.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.306$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.518$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 20 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.157 | -0.057 | 0.322 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.216 | -0.145 | 0.247 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.235 | -0.181 | 0.211 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -1.460 | -0.151 | 1.201 | 2.600 | 4.048 | $-1.238$ | -0.255 | 0.778 | 1.867 | 3.018 | -0.996 | -0.242 | 0.565 | 1.435 | 2.377 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.048 | 0.051 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.029 | 0.071 | 0.079 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.036 | 0.093 | 0.107 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -2.846 | -2.877 | -2.906 | -2.962 | -3.072 | -1.439 | -1.450 | -1.454 | -1.495 | -1.624 | -0.835 | -0.831 | -0.813 | -0.844 | -0.996 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -1.546 | -0.162 | 1.235 | 2.645 | 4.070 | $-1.350$ | -0.278 | 0.810 | 1.915 | 3.040 | -1.119 | -0.271 | 0.595 | 1.484 | 2.400 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 13.655 | 13.714 | 13.781 | 13.851 | 13.918 | 7.007 | 7.055 | 7.116 | 7.188 | 7.251 | 4.128 | 4.169 | 4.232 | 4.314 | 4.381 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=18.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.305$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.490$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ | $k_{B}=17.737$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.306$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.932$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=15.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.313$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.138$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 20 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.236 | -0.205 | 0.171 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.217 | -0.208 | 0.138 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.069 | -0.144 | -0.046 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -0.664 | -0.189 | 0.344 | 0.959 | 1.673 | $-0.457$ | -0.149 | 0.221 | 0.701 | 1.327 | $-0.052$ | -0.059 | -0.022 | 0.234 | 1.023 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.048 | 0.133 | 0.160 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.057 | 0.165 | 0.207 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.030 | 0.137 | 0.277 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.358 | -0.332 | -0.281 | -0.302 | -0.506 | -0.178 | -0.138 | -0.065 | -0.080 | -0.341 | 0.001 | 0.028 | 0.105 | 0.145 | -0.276 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -0.793 | -0.223 | 0.372 | 1.010 | 1.696 | $-0.581$ | -0.185 | 0.246 | 0.752 | 1.351 | -0.087 | -0.092 | -0.035 | 0.272 | 1.051 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.824 | 1.859 | 1.940 | 2.066 | 2.160 | 0.934 | 0.967 | 1.078 | 1.268 | 1.410 | -0.006 | 0.012 | 0.155 | 0.557 | 0.994 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=39.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.301$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=6.940$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=39.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.301$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.853$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=38.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.301$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.711$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.260 | -0.189 | 0.227 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.279 | -0.219 | 0.200 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.282 | -0.229 | 0.188 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -2.154 | -0.480 | 1.222 | 2.952 | 4.713 | $-1.520$ | -0.374 | 0.803 | 2.012 | 3.255 | -1.152 | -0.296 | 0.591 | 1.516 | 2.480 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.049 | 0.055 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.073 | 0.083 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.036 | 0.097 | 0.111 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -1.810 | -1.807 | -1.795 | -1.808 | -1.881 | -0.830 | -0.814 | -0.782 | -0.787 | -0.884 | -0.467 | -0.440 | -0.391 | -0.391 | -0.515 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -2.216 | -0.493 | 1.237 | 2.976 | 4.724 | $-1.587$ | -0.389 | 0.818 | 2.036 | 3.266 | -1.220 | -0.312 | 0.606 | 1.540 | 2.491 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 17.115 | 17.153 | 17.196 | 17.244 | 17.288 | 7.909 | 7.937 | 7.978 | 8.029 | 8.071 | 4.478 | 4.502 | 4.549 | 4.614 | 4.662 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=38.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.301$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.523$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ | $k_{B}=37.737$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.301$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.923$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=35.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}$ | 0.300 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.107$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.275 | -0.234 | 0.170 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.256 | -0.232 | 0.149 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.096 | -0.182 | -0.033 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -0.747 | -0.206 | 0.372 | 1.004 | 1.698 | $-0.517$ | -0.157 | 0.249 | 0.739 | 1.331 | $-0.064$ | -0.070 | -0.016 | 0.278 | 1.013 |
| 40 | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.051 | 0.141 | 0.164 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.063 | 0.177 | 0.214 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.040 | 0.167 | 0.303 | 0.000 |
| 40 | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.198 | -0.154 | -0.076 | -0.070 | -0.248 | -0.100 | -0.043 | 0.057 | 0.070 | -0.162 | 0.001 | 0.039 | 0.148 | 0.235 | -0.121 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -0.814 | -0.223 | 0.386 | 1.029 | 1.709 | -0.581 | -0.175 | 0.261 | 0.764 | 1.342 | -0.081 | -0.086 | -0.020 | 0.296 | 1.025 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.930 | 1.954 | 2.026 | 2.140 | 2.218 | 0.985 | 1.012 | 1.120 | 1.303 | 1.428 | -0.009 | 0.014 | 0.180 | 0.602 | 1.001 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $k_{B}=79.434$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=7.362$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ | $k_{B}=79.151$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=4.974$ | $\mu_{D}=0.8$ | $k_{B}=78.869$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}$ | 0.300 | $K_{\Delta h 2}=3.752$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.296 | -0.233 | 0.197 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.300 | -0.241 | 0.188 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.299 | -0.243 | 0.184 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -2.395 | -0.588 | 1.235 | 3.073 | 4.928 | -1.614 | -0.407 | 0.817 | 2.059 | 3.319 | -1.207 | -0.309 | 0.606 | 1.543 | 2.505 |
| 80 | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.050 | 0.056 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.074 | 0.084 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.098 | 0.112 | 0.000 |
| 80 | $K_{M 2}$ | $-0.973$ | -0.959 | -0.933 | -0.932 | -0.993 | -0.434 | -0.410 | -0.367 | -0.362 | -0.450 | -0.243 | -0.208 | -0.151 | -0.142 | -0.257 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -2.429 | -0.595 | 1.243 | 3.085 | 4.933 | -1.649 | -0.415 | 0.824 | 2.071 | 3.325 | -1.241 | -0.318 | 0.613 | 1.556 | 2.510 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 18.277 | 18.298 | 18.324 | 18.355 | 18.382 | 8.186 | 8.202 | 8.231 | 8.270 | 8.299 | 4.588 | 4.603 | 4.640 | 4.695 | 4.734 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=78.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.522$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ | $k_{B}=77.737$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.911$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ | $k_{B}=75.475$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.298$ |  | $K_{\Delta h 2}=1.093$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |
| 80 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.291 | -0.244 | 0.174 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.274 | -0.241 | 0.156 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.111 | -0.199 | -0.024 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -0.780 | -0.209 | 0.386 | 1.022 | 1.702 | -0.544 | -0.159 | 0.262 | 0.755 | 1.329 | -0.070 | -0.075 | -0.011 | 0.298 | 1.008 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.053 | 0.144 | 0.166 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.066 | 0.183 | 0.216 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.045 | 0.181 | 0.313 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.103 | -0.052 | 0.034 | 0.049 | -0.122 | -0.052 | 0.012 | 0.122 | 0.145 | -0.079 | 0.000 | 0.044 | 0.171 | 0.278 | -0.057 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -0.815 | -0.218 | 0.394 | 1.034 | 1.707 | -0.577 | -0.167 | 0.269 | 0.767 | 1.334 | -0.079 | -0.082 | -0.013 | 0.307 | 1.014 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.968 | 1.986 | 2.053 | 2.160 | 2.230 | 1.005 | 1.029 | 1.135 | 1.314 | 1.431 | -0.009 | 0.015 | 0.192 | 0.621 | 1.001 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\frac{k_{B}=159.434}{0.250}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=7.471$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.6 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=159.151}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=5.000}{1.000}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.8 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=158.869}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=3.758}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 160 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.307 | -0.245 | 0.189 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.307 | -0.247 | 0.186 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.306 | $-0.248$ | 0.184 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -2.466 | -0.616 | 1.242 | 3.109 | 4.984 | -1.645 | -0.415 | 0.824 | 2.074 | 3.335 | -1.227 | -0.312 | 0.613 | 1.554 | 2.510 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0.050 | 0.056 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.028 | 0.075 | 0.084 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.037 | 0.099 | 0.112 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.497 | -0.480 | -0.450 | -0.445 | -0.502 | -0.221 | -0.193 | -0.148 | -0.140 | -0.225 | -0.123 | -0.087 | -0.026 | -0.015 | -0.128 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -2.484 | -0.620 | 1.246 | 3.115 | 4.987 | -1.662 | -0.419 | 0.828 | 2.080 | 3.337 | -1.245 | -0.371 | 0.617 | 1.560 | 2.513 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 18.607 | 18.618 | 18.635 | 18.656 | 18.673 | 8.269 | 8.279 | 8.301 | 8.333 | 8.355 | 4.625 | 4.635 | 4.667 | 4.718 | 4.751 |


| $k_{A}$ | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $k_{B}=158.303$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=2.516$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=157.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.300$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=1.903 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=155.475 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=0.298$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=1.086}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Omega$ | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.500 | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
|  | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.299 | -0.247 | 0.177 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.283 | -0.245 | 0.161 | 1.000 | 0.000 | -0.119 | -0.207 | -0.019 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -0.796 | -0.210 | 0.394 | 1.030 | 1.701 | -0.557 | -0.159 | 0.269 | 0.762 | 1.327 | -0.074 | -0.077 | -0.009 | 0.307 | 1.006 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.054 | 0.146 | 0.167 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.068 | 0.186 | 0.217 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.048 | 0.188 | 0.317 | 0.000 |
| 160 | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.053 | 0.001 | 0.090 | 0.108 | -0.061 | -0.027 | 0.040 | 0.155 | 0.182 | -0.039 | 0.000 | 0.047 | 0.183 | 0.300 | -0.028 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -0.813 | -0.214 | 0.397 | 1.036 | 1.704 | -0.573 | -0.163 | 0.272 | 0.768 | 1.330 | -0.078 | -0.081 | -0.010 | 0.311 | 1.008 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.983 | 1.998 | 2.062 | 2.166 | 2.233 | 1.014 | 1.036 | 1.142 | 1.318 | 1.431 | -0.009 | 0.016 | 0.198 | 0.629 | 1.001 |


| Case no., loading | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5d. Uniform edge moment $M_{B}$ at the small end | $\begin{aligned} N_{1} & =-M_{B} \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \beta}{t k_{B}} K_{N 1}, & N_{2} & =M_{B} \frac{\beta}{t} K_{N 2} \\ M_{1} & =M_{B} K_{M 1}, & M_{2} & =M_{B} v K_{M 2}, \\ \Delta R & =M_{B} \frac{\beta R_{B}}{E t^{2}} K_{\Delta R}, & \psi & =-M_{B} \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \beta^{2} R_{B}}{E t^{3} k_{B} \sin \alpha} K_{\psi} \end{aligned}$ <br> For $R_{B} /(t \cos \alpha)>10$ and $k_{B}>5$ and for $v=0.3$, the following tables give the values of $K$ at several locations along the shell $\left[\Omega=\left(R_{A}-R\right) /\left(R_{A}-R_{B}\right)\right]$ |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=9.434 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.018 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-15.589$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.6 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=9.151 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.027$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-10.293 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.8 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=8.869 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.034$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-7.029}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 10 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.507 | -0.712 | -0.563 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.465 | -0.670 | -0.546 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.389 | -0.578 | -0.487 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -7.020 | -3.707 | -0.170 | 3.622 | 7.702 | -4.374 | -2.378 | -0.172 | 2.290 | 5.070 | $-2.800$ | -1.571 | -0.164 | 1.486 | 3.469 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.187 | 0.461 | 0.758 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.160 | 0.443 | 0.761 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.141 | 0.425 | 0.757 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -15.021 | -15.396 | -15.742 | -16.134 | -16.657 | -6.220 | -6.412 | -6.550 | -6.740 | -7.110 | -2.965 | -3.052 | -3.069 | -3.143 | -3.433 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -7.887 | -4.100 | -0.248 | 3.681 | 7.702 | -5.223 | -2.773 | -0.257 | 2.348 | 5.070 | -3.559 | -1.936 | -0.249 | 1.537 | 3.469 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 18.558 | 18.722 | 18.917 | 19.149 | 19.416 | 7.922 | 8.031 | 8.183 | 8.390 | 8.651 | 3.896 | 3.973 | 4.101 | 4.305 | 4.582 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=8.303 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.043 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-3.764$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=7.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.049$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-2.352 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=5.475 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.068$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-0.927}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 10 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.255 | -0.407 | -0.376 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.161 | -0.285 | -0.301 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.009 | -0.051 | -0.155 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -1.271 | -0.774 | -0.153 | 0.690 | 1.920 | -0.621 | -0.429 | -0.154 | 0.335 | 1.314 | -0.002 | -0.045 | -0.107 | -0.905 | 0.920 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.113 | 0.381 | 0.729 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.088 | 0.327 | 0.681 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.066 | 0.330 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.862 | -0.855 | -0.771 | -0.750 | -1.004 | -0.281 | -0.245 | -0.136 | -0.092 | -0.390 | 0.024 | 0.035 | 0.084 | 0.158 | -0.517 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -1.843 | -1.071 | -0.239 | 0.725 | 1.920 | -1.038 | -0.672 | -0.247 | 0.353 | 1.314 | -0.007 | -0.128 | -0.248 | -0.188 | 0.920 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.210 | 1.254 | 1.366 | 1.592 | 1.939 | 0.423 | 0.451 | 0.558 | 0.814 | 1.254 | -0.050 | -0.052 | -0.024 | 0.174 | 0.968 |

## TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=19.434 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.008 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=27.998$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ $k_{B}=19.151$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.009$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-14.589 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.8 \\ \hline 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=18.869}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.010$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-8.774}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 20 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.979 | -1.340 | -1.031 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.737 | -1.022 | -0.799 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.567 | -0.799 | -0.636 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -13.294 | -6.833 | -0.156 | 6.755 | 13.918 | -6.729 | -3.513 | -0.130 | 3.448 | 7.251 | -3.910 | -2.081 | -0.117 | 2.021 | 4.381 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.171 | 0.483 | 0.806 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.155 | 0.474 | 0.811 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.146 | 0.465 | 0.809 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -14.236 | -14.330 | -14.303 | -14.289 | -14.426 | $-4.790$ | -4.771 | -4.612 | -4.465 | -4.496 | -2.074 | -2.009 | -1.798 | -1.601 | -1.594 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -14.079 | -7.180 | -0.221 | 6.809 | 13.918 | $-7.338$ | -3.787 | -0.183 | 3.489 | 7.251 | -4.393 | -2.301 | -0.162 | 2.054 | 4.381 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 34.151 | 34.302 | 34.481 | 34.697 | 34.944 | 11.662 | 11.744 | 11.867 | 12.045 | 12.269 | 5.124 | 5.178 | 5.284 | 5.463 | 5.705 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\frac{k_{B}=18.303}{0.250}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.011 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-4.165$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=17.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.011$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-2.471 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ \hline 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=15.475}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.013$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-0.988}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 20 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.362 | -0.533 | -0.448 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.242 | -0.385 | -0.355 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.024 | -0.199 | -0.259 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -1.679 | -0.948 | -0.117 | 0.887 | 2.160 | $-0.835$ | -0.527 | -0.136 | 0.452 | 1.410 | 0.004 | -0.085 | -0.175 | -0.067 | 0.994 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.131 | 0.440 | 0.793 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.113 | 0.403 | 0.766 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.135 | 0.516 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.571 | -0.477 | -0.241 | -0.016 | 0.003 | -0.189 | -0.096 | 0.132 | 0.359 | 0.369 | 0.024 | 0.039 | 0.144 | 0.394 | 0.443 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -2.005 | -1.105 | -0.155 | 0.908 | 2.160 | $-1.061$ | -0.648 | -0.175 | 0.464 | 1.410 | 0.007 | -0.130 | -0.242 | -0.095 | 0.994 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.454 | 1.486 | 1.593 | 1.810 | 2.127 | 0.496 | 0.520 | 0.635 | 0.898 | 1.305 | -0.073 | -0.073 | -0.021 | 0.257 | 1.005 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=39.434 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.002 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-34.665$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ $k_{B}=39.151$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.002$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-16.163 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.8 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=38.869 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.003$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-9.280}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 40 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -1.256 | -1.696 | -1.289 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.861 | -1.172 | -0.898 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.644 | -0.885 | -0.685 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -16.889 | -8.564 | -0.100 | 8.514 | 17.288 | $-7.752$ | -3.966 | -0.080 | 3.926 | 8.071 | -4.360 | -2.259 | -0.075 | 2.221 | 4.662 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.159 | 0.492 | 0.830 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.153 | 0.487 | 0.831 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.149 | 0.482 | 0.828 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -9.047 | -8.972 | -8.731 | -8.493 | -8.432 | -2.761 | -2.648 | -2.363 | -2.082 | -1.985 | -1.157 | -1.031 | -0.734 | -0.440 | -0.335 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -17.377 | -8.778 | -0.139 | 8.548 | 17.288 | -8.092 | -4.116 | -0.107 | 3.950 | 8.071 | -4.617 | -2.373 | -0.097 | 2.238 | 4.662 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 42.783 | 42.880 | 43.003 | 43.163 | 43.351 | 13.153 | 13.203 | 13.292 | 13.437 | 13.623 | 5.552 | 5.586 | 5.672 | 5.832 | 6.048 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=38.303 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.003 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-4.240$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=37.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.003$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-2.476 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=35.475 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.003$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-0.992}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 40 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.412 | -0.584 | $-0.471$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.283 | -0.427 | -0.372 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.034 | -0.156 | -0.294 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -1.854 | -1.007 | -0.090 | 0.961 | 2.218 | $-0.933$ | -0.562 | -0.120 | 0.498 | 1.428 | 0.007 | -0.107 | -0.199 | -0.042 | 1.001 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.140 | 0.466 | 0.818 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.127 | 0.437 | 0.797 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.018 | 0.171 | 0.583 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.315 | -0.187 | 0.110 | 0.408 | 0.514 | -0.105 | 0.014 | 0.295 | 0.594 | 0.701 | 0.015 | 0.033 | 0.174 | 0.518 | 0.757 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -2.022 | -1.085 | -0.107 | 0.972 | 2.218 | -1.048 | -0.621 | -0.138 | 0.505 | 1.428 | 0.009 | -0.129 | -0.231 | -0.052 | 1.001 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.535 | 1.560 | 1.660 | 1.870 | 2.170 | 0.521 | 0.544 | 0.660 | 0.923 | 1.313 | -0.081 | -0.079 | -0.015 | 0.289 | 1.006 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=79.434 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=36.799$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.6 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=79.151 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-16.579 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.8 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=78.869}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-9.392}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 80 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -1.356 | -1.820 | -1.374 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.907 | -1.222 | $-0.927$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.675 | -0.916 | $-0.700$ | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -18.157 | -9.146 | -0.056 | 9.116 | 18.382 | -8.105 | -4.105 | -0.048 | 4.081 | 8.299 | -4.527 | -2.314 | -0.051 | 2.288 | 4.734 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.156 | 0.496 | 0.839 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.154 | 0.493 | 0.838 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.152 | 0.490 | 0.836 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -4.864 | -4.731 | -4.417 | -4.104 | -3.976 | -1.444 | -1.301 | -0.977 | -0.653 | -0.517 | -0.601 | -0.456 | -0.130 | 0.195 | 0.333 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -18.417 | -9.258 | -0.076 | 9.134 | 18.382 | -8.280 | -4.181 | -0.062 | 4.093 | 8.299 | -4.658 | -2.372 | -0.062 | 2.297 | 4.734 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 45.679 | 45.733 | 45.813 | 45.930 | 46.074 | 13.610 | 13.639 | 13.709 | 13.832 | 13.997 | 5.685 | 5.708 | 5.783 | 5.931 | 6.133 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=78.303 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-4.244$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=77.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-2.468 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=3.2 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=75.475}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.001$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-0.992}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 80 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.435 | -0.605 | -0.478 | -0.000 | 0.000 | -0.303 | -0.446 | -0.378 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.041 | -0.175 | -0.308 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -1.929 | -1.028 | -0.075 | 0.990 | 2.230 | -0.979 | -0.575 | -0.111 | 0.518 | 1.431 | 0.008 | -0.117 | -0.209 | -0.028 | 1.001 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.146 | 0.478 | 0.828 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.134 | 0.452 | 0.811 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.020 | 0.188 | 0.611 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.164 | -0.023 | 0.297 | 0.623 | 0.761 | -0.055 | 0.075 | 0.381 | 0.709 | 0.855 | 0.008 | 0.029 | 0.190 | 0.578 | 0.886 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -2.014 | -1.066 | -0.083 | 0.995 | 2.230 | -1.037 | -0.604 | -0.199 | 0.521 | 1.431 | 0.009 | -0.128 | -0.224 | -0.033 | 1.001 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.564 | 1.585 | 1.682 | 1.887 | 2.178 | 0.531 | 0.552 | 0.670 | 0.931 | 1.314 | -0.084 | -0.081 | -0.011 | 0.303 | 1.005 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | $\mu_{D}=0.4$ |  | $\begin{gathered} k_{B}=159.434 \\ 0.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-37.352$ |  | $\mu_{D}=0.6$ $k_{B}=159.151$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-16.672 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{D}=0.8 \\ 0.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{k_{B}=158.869}{0.250}$ | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-9.411}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 160 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -1.388 | -1.857 | -1.398 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.923 | -1.239 | -0.936 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.688 | -0.923 | -0.705 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -18.547 | -9.310 | -0.033 | 9.292 | 18.673 | -8.228 | -4.146 | -0.032 | 4.130 | 8.355 | -4.594 | -2.333 | -0.039 | 2.313 | 4.751 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.156 | 0.498 | 0.842 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.155 | 0.496 | 0.841 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.153 | 0.494 | 0.839 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -2.484 | -2.335 | -2.000 | -1.666 | -1.518 | -0.733 | -0.581 | -0.245 | 0.092 | 0.241 | -0.305 | -0.154 | 0.182 | 0.519 | 0.668 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -18.679 | -9.367 | -0.043 | 9.301 | 18.673 | -8.316 | -4.185 | -0.039 | 4.136 | 8.355 | -4.660 | -2.361 | -0.044 | 2.317 | 4.751 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 46.497 | 46.527 | 46.584 | 46.677 | 46.796 | 13.745 | 13.764 | 13.823 | 13.935 | 14.089 | 5.729 | 5.746 | 5.816 | 5.957 | 6.153 |


|  | $\mu_{D}=1.2$ |  | $\frac{k_{B}=158.303}{0.250}$ | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000 \\ 0.500 \end{gathered}$ | $K_{\Delta h 2}=-4.239$ |  | $\mu_{D}=1.6$ $k_{B}=157.737$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000$ |  | $\begin{gathered} K_{\Delta h 2}=-2.461 \\ 1.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\mu_{D}=3.2$ $k_{B}=155.475$ <br> 0.000 0.250 |  | $K_{\Delta h 1}=-0.000$ |  | $\frac{K_{\Delta h 2}=-0.991}{1.000}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{A}$ | $\Omega$ | 0.000 |  |  | 0.750 | 1.000 |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |  |  | 0.500 | 0.750 |  |
| 160 | $K_{N 1}$ | 0.000 | -0.446 | -0.614 | -0.480 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.313 | -0.455 | -0.381 | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.044 | -0.184 | -0.314 | 0.000 |
|  | $K_{N 2}$ | -1.964 | -1.036 | -0.067 | 1.002 | 2.233 | -1.001 | -0.581 | -0.106 | 0.527 | 1.431 | 0.009 | -0.122 | -0.213 | -0.022 | 1.001 |
|  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.000 | 0.148 | 0.484 | 0.833 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.137 | 0.460 | 0.817 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.022 | 0.197 | 0.624 | 1.000 |
|  | $K_{M 2}$ | -0.084 | 0.063 | 0.393 | 0.731 | 0.882 | -0.028 | 0.107 | 0.424 | 0.767 | 0.929 | 0.004 | 0.026 | 0.198 | 0.608 | 0.945 |
|  | $K_{\Delta R}$ | -2.006 | -1.055 | -0.071 | 1.005 | 2.233 | -1.030 | -0.596 | -0.110 | 0.529 | 1.431 | 0.010 | -0.128 | -0.221 | -0.024 | 1.001 |
|  | $K_{\psi}$ | 1.576 | 1.594 | 1.689 | 1.892 | 2.179 | 0.536 | 0.556 | 0.674 | 0.935 | 1.313 | -0.085 | -0.081 | -0.008 | 0.310 | 1.005 |

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)
Case no., loading

## TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

|  | 6c. Corrugated tube under internal pressure, $q$. If internal pressure on the ends must be carried by the walls, calculate the end load and use case 6b in addition (see Ref. 55 and Sec. 13.5 for a discussion of a possible instability due to internal pressure in a long bellows) | For $4<\mu<40$, <br> For $4<\mu<40$, Stretch per semicircular corrugation $= \pm 2.45\left(1-v^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\frac{a}{t}\right)^{4 / 3}\left(\frac{b}{t}\right)^{1 / 3} \frac{b q}{E}$ <br> Total stretch $=0$ if there are an equal number of inner and outer corrugations $\begin{aligned} & \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=0.955 q\left(1-v^{2}\right)^{1 / 6}\left(\frac{a b}{t^{2}}\right)^{2 / 3} \\ & \left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{\max }=0.955 q\left(1-v^{2}\right)^{-1 / 3}\left(\frac{a b}{t^{2}}\right)^{2 / 3} \end{aligned}$ <br> If $\mu<1$, the stretch per semicircular corrugation $= \pm 3.28\left(1-v^{2}\right) \frac{b^{4} q}{E t^{3}}$ <br> For U-shaped corrugations, see Ref. 41 <br> (Ref. 16) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Cylindrical shells with open ends | 7a. Diametrically opposite and equal concentrated loads, $P$ at mid-length | For $1<L / R<18$ and $R / t>10$, <br> Deflection under the load $=6.5 \frac{P}{E t}\left(\frac{R}{t}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{L}{R}\right)^{-3 / 4}$ <br> For $L / R>18$, the maximum stresses and deflections are approximately the same as for case 8 a <br> For loads at the extreme ends, the maximum stresses are approximately four times as great as for loading at midlength <br> See Refs. 24 and 25 |

## TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stress and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| Case no., loading |  | Formulas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Cylindrical shells with closed ends and end support | 8a. Radial load $P$ uniformly distributed over small area $A$, approximately square or round, located near midspan | Maximum stresses are circumferential stresses at center of loaded area and can be found from the following table. Values given are for $L / R=8$ but may be used for $L / R$ ratios between 3 and 40 . [Coefficients adapted from Bjilaard (Refs. 22, 23, 28)] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $A / R^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | $R / t$ | 0.0004 | 0.0016 | 0.0036 | 0.0064 | 0.010 | 0.0144 | 0.0196 | 0.0256 | 0.0324 | 0.040 | 0.0576 | 0.090 | 0.160 | 0.25 |
| $\square \longrightarrow$ |  | Values of $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\left(t^{2} / P\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 300 | 1.475 | 1.11 | 0.906 | 0.780 | 0.678 | 0.600 | 0.522 | 0.450 | 0.390 | 0.348 | 0.264 | 0.186 | 0.120 | 0.078 |
|  |  | 100 |  | 1.44 | 1.20 | 1.044 | 0.918 | 0.840 | 0.750 | 0.666 | 0.600 | 0.540 | 0.444 | 0.342 | 0.240 | 0.180 |
|  |  | 50 |  |  | 1.44 | 1.254 | 1.11 | 1.005 | 0.900 | 0.840 | 0.756 | 0.720 | 0.600 | 0.480 | 0.360 | 0.264 |
|  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.990 | 0.888 | 0.780 | 0.600 | 0.468 |
|  |  | Values of $\sigma_{2}(R t / P)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 300 | 58 | 53.5 | 49 | 44.5 | 40 | 35.5 | 32 | 28 | 24 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
|  |  | 100 |  | 33.5 | 30.5 | 27.6 | 25 | 25.5 | 20 | 17.5 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
|  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  | 9.6 | 9 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 3.1 |
|  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.25 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.56 |

For $A$ very small (nominal point loading) at point of load
$\sigma_{2}=\frac{0.4 P}{t^{2}} \quad \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{2.4 P}{t^{2}} \quad y=\frac{P}{E t}\left[0.48\left(\frac{L}{R}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{R}{t}\right)^{1.22}\right]$
(Approximate empirical formulas which are based on tests of Refs. 2 and 19)
For a more extensive presentation of Bjilaard's work in graphic form over an extended range of parameters, see Refs. 27 and 60 to 71

TABLE 13.3 Formulas for bending and membrane stresses and deformations in thin-walled pressure vessels (Continued)

| 8b. Center load, $P \mathrm{lb}$, concentrated on a very short length $2 b$ | At the top center, $\begin{aligned} & \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=-0.130 B P R^{3 / 4} b^{-3 / 2} t^{-5 / 4} \\ & \left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{\max }=-1.56 B^{-1} P R^{1 / 4} b^{-1 / 2} t^{-7 / 4} \\ & \left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\max }=-0.153 B^{3} P R^{1 / 4} b^{-1 / 2} t^{-7 / 4} \end{aligned}$ <br> Deflection $=0.0820 B^{5} P R^{3 / 4} L^{1 / 2} t^{-9 / 4} E^{-1}$ where $B=\left[12\left(1-v^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 8}$ | (Ref. 13) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8c. Uniform load, $P \mathrm{lb} /$ in, over entire length of top element | At the top center, $\begin{aligned} & \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=-0.492 B p R^{3 / 4} L^{-1 / 2} t^{-5 / 4} \\ & \left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{\max }=-1.217 B^{-1} p R^{1 / 4} L^{1 / 2} t^{-7 / 4} \\ & \left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\max }=-0.1188 B^{3} p R^{3 / 4} L^{3 / 2} t^{-9 / 4} E^{-1} \end{aligned}$ <br> Deflection $=0.0305 B^{5} p R^{3 / 4} L^{3 / 2} t^{-9 / 4} E^{-1}$ where $B$ is given in case 8 b <br> Quarter-span deflection $=0.774$ midspan deflection |  | (Ref. 13) |

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates


 are some exceptions in using the notation from the other tables when differences occur from one table to another


 Similar expressions hold for $b_{2}, a_{2}, D_{2}$, and $\lambda_{2}$.
$K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\
L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+T L_{B 2}+L T_{B C}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

See cases 1a to 1d for
these load terms
$C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}$,
$C_{A A 1}=\frac{E_{1}}{2 D_{1} \lambda_{1}^{3}}, \quad C_{A A 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1}}{2 R_{2} D_{2} \lambda_{2}^{3}}$
The stresses in the left cylinder at the junction are given by
$C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}$,
$C_{A B 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} t_{1}}{2 R_{2} D_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}}$
$\sigma_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}}$
$\sigma_{2}=\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1}$
$C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}, \quad C_{B B 1}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{D_{1} \lambda_{1}}, \quad C_{B B 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{R_{2} D_{2} \lambda_{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =v_{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

 $R / t>10$, they can be neglected.

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)



[^22]TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

| Loading and case no. | Load terms | Selected values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1b. Axial load $P$ | $\begin{aligned} & L T_{A 1}=\frac{-v_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{2 t_{1}^{2}}, \quad L T_{A C}=0 \\ & L T_{A 2}=\frac{E_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{2 t_{1}}\left(\frac{v_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2}}-\frac{R_{2}-R_{1}}{2 R_{2} D_{2} \lambda_{2}^{2}}\right) \\ & L T_{B 1}=0, \quad L T_{B C}=0 \\ & L T_{B 2}=\frac{-\left(R_{2}-R_{1}\right) R_{1}^{2} E_{1}}{2 R_{2} D_{2} \lambda_{2}} \end{aligned}$ | For axial tension, $b_{1}=b_{2}$ (smooth internal wall), $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3$, and for $R / t>5$.$\Delta R_{A}=\frac{P v_{1}}{2 \pi E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{P v_{1}}{2 \pi E_{1} t_{1}^{2}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{1} t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | At the junction of the two cylinders,$\begin{aligned} V_{1} & =\frac{P t_{1} K_{V 1}}{\pi R_{1}^{2}}, \quad M_{1}=\frac{P t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}}{\pi R_{1}^{2}}, \quad N_{1}=\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{1}} \\ \Delta R_{A} & =\frac{P t_{1}}{E_{1} \pi R_{1}^{2}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\ \psi_{A} & =\frac{P}{E_{1} \pi R_{1}^{2}}\left(K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ |  | 10 | 15 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 100 |
|  |  | $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0583 \\ & -0.1129 \\ & -0.2517 \\ & -0.4084 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0715 \\ & -0.1383 \\ & -0.3089 \\ & -0.5022 \\ & -0.6732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0825 \\ & -0.1598 \\ & -0.3571 \\ & -0.5811 \\ & -0.7800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1011 \\ & -0.1958 \\ & -0.4378 \\ & -0.7132 \\ & -0.9586 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1306 \\ & -0.2529 \\ & -0.5657 \\ & -0.9222 \\ & -1.2411 \end{aligned}$ | -0.1847 -0.3577 -0.8005 -1.3058 -1.7589 |
|  |  | $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1170 \\ & -0.2194 \\ & -0.4564 \\ & -0.6892 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1756 \\ & -0.3294 \\ & -0.6863 \\ & -1.0389 \\ & -1.2874 \end{aligned}$ | -0.2341 -0.4394 -0.9163 -1.3887 -1.7242 | -0.3513 -0.6594 -1.3761 -2.0883 -2.5981 | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5856 \\ & -1.0995 \\ & -2.2957 \\ & -3.4876 \\ & -4.3462 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-1.1714 \\ & -2.1995 \\ & -4.5949 \\ & -6.9860 \\ & -8.7167 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.9416 \\ & -0.8717 \\ & -0.6414 \\ & -0.3047 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9416 \\ -0.8716 \\ -0.6410 \\ -0.3033 \\ 0.0883 \end{array}$ | -0.9416 -0.8716 -0.6408 -0.3027 0.0900 | -0.9416 -0.8716 -0.6406 -0.3020 0.0918 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9416 \\ -0.8715 \\ -0.6405 \\ -0.3015 \\ 0.0931 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9416 \\ -0.8715 \\ -0.6404 \\ -0.3011 \\ 0.0942 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.0810 \\ & -0.1443 \\ & -0.2630 \\ & -0.3345 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0662 \\ & -0.1180 \\ & -0.2154 \\ & -0.2752 \\ & -0.2663 \end{aligned}$ | -0.0573 -0.1022 -0.1869 -0.2392 -0.2326 | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0468 \\ & -0.0835 \\ & -0.1528 \\ & -0.1961 \\ & -0.1915 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0363 \\ & -0.0647 \\ & -0.1185 \\ & -0.1524 \\ & -0.1494 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0257 \\ & -0.0458 \\ & -0.0839 \\ & -0.1080 \\ & -0.1062 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 1.1 | 0.0175 | 0.0175 | 0.0175 | 0.0175 | 0.0175 | 0.0175 |
|  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.0385 | 0.0385 | 0.0385 | 0.0385 | 0.0385 | 0.0385 |
|  |  | $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 1.5 | 0.1076 | 0.1077 | 0.1077 | 0.1078 | 0.1079 | 0.1079 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.2086 | 0.2090 | 0.2092 | 0.2094 | 0.2096 | 0.2097 |
|  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 0.3265 | 0.3270 | 0.3275 | 0.3279 | 0.3283 |

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

1c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction for $x_{1}>3 / \lambda_{1} \dagger$


Note: There is no axial load on the left cylinder. A small axial load on the right cylinder balances any axial pressure on the joint.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\
& L T_{A 2}=\frac{-b_{2} R_{2} E_{1}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $L T_{A C}$ use the expression from case 1a

$$
L T_{B 1}=\frac{-b_{1} R_{1}}{x_{1} t_{1}}, \quad L T_{B 2}=\frac{b_{2} R_{2} E_{1}}{x_{1} E_{2} t_{2}}
$$

For $L T_{B C}$ use the expression from case 1a

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { At the junction of the two cylinders, } \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}, \quad N_{1}=0 \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

For internal pressure, $b_{1}=b_{2}$ (smooth internal wall), $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3$, and for $R / t>5$.

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10 |  |  | 20 |  |  |
|  |  | $x_{1} / R_{1}$ |  |  | $x_{1} / R_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0536 \\ & 0.1041 \\ & 0.2445 \\ & 0.4556 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0539 \\ & 0.1054 \\ & 0.2509 \\ & 0.4751 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0541 \\ & 0.1060 \\ & 0.2542 \\ & 0.4848 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0802 0.1564 0.3707 0.6982 1.2385 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0805 \\ & 0.1577 \\ & 0.3775 \\ & 0.7187 \\ & 1.2870 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0807 \\ & 0.1583 \\ & 0.3809 \\ & 0.7290 \\ & 1.3113 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0107 \\ -0.0103 \\ 0.0406 \\ 0.2152 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0021 \\ 0.0071 \\ 0.0850 \\ 0.3022 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0022 \\ & 0.0158 \\ & 0.1073 \\ & 0.3456 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0119 \\ -0.0002 \\ 0.1407 \\ 0.5625 \\ 1.4882 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0009 \\ & 0.0258 \\ & 0.2068 \\ & 0.6918 \\ & 1.7159 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0073 \\ & 0.0388 \\ & 0.2399 \\ & 0.7565 \\ & 1.8298 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9029 \\ & 0.8619 \\ & 0.7647 \\ & 0.6507 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9055 \\ & 0.8667 \\ & 0.7741 \\ & 0.6636 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9068 \\ & 0.8691 \\ & 0.7788 \\ & 0.6701 \end{aligned}$ | 0.9269 0.8851 0.7852 0.6666 0.5090 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9289 \\ & 0.8886 \\ & 0.7922 \\ & 0.6761 \\ & 0.5187 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9298 \\ & 0.8904 \\ & 0.7957 \\ & 0.6809 \\ & 0.5235 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7442 \\ & 0.5781 \\ & 0.2511 \\ & 0.0226 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2912 \\ 0.1460 \\ -0.1259 \\ -0.2831 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0647 \\ -0.0701 \\ -0.3143 \\ -0.4360 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6875 \\ 0.4580 \\ 0.0173 \\ -0.2637 \\ -0.2905 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2231 \\ 0.0155 \\ -0.3671 \\ -0.5734 \\ -0.5060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0091 \\ & -0.2057 \\ & -0.5593 \\ & -0.7282 \\ & -0.6138 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9029 \\ & 0.8619 \\ & 0.7647 \\ & 0.6507 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9055 \\ & 0.8667 \\ & 0.7741 \\ & 0.6636 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9068 \\ & 0.8691 \\ & 0.7788 \\ & 0.6701 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9269 \\ & 0.8851 \\ & 0.7852 \\ & 0.6666 \\ & 0.5090 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9289 \\ & 0.8886 \\ & 0.7922 \\ & 0.6761 \\ & 0.5187 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9298 \\ & 0.8904 \\ & 0.7957 \\ & 0.6809 \\ & 0.5235 \end{aligned}$ |

[^23]$\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$ and verify that $\left|x_{1}\right|>3 / \lambda_{2}$.

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)


## TABLE 13．4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates（Continued）

2．Cylindrical shell connected to a conical shell．＊To ensure accuracy，evaluate $k_{A}$ and the value of $k$ in the cone at the position where $\mu=4$ ．The absolute values of $k$ at both positions should be greater
 junction．$R_{A}=R_{1}$ ．See Table 13．3，case 4，for formulas for $k_{A}, \beta, \mu, C_{1}$ ，and the $F$ functions．

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}} \begin{array}{l}
L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\
L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\text { See cases 2a-2d for } \\
\text { these load terms }
\end{array} \\
C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, & C_{A A 1}=\frac{E_{1}}{2 D_{1} \lambda_{1}^{3}}, \quad C_{A A 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} k_{A} \sin \alpha_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} \sqrt{2} C_{1}}\left(F_{4 A}-\frac{4 v_{2}^{2}}{k_{A}^{2}} F_{2 A}\right) \\
C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}, & C_{A B 1}=\frac{-E_{1} t_{1}}{2 D_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2}}, \quad C_{A B 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} t_{1} \beta F_{7 A}}{E_{2} t_{2}^{2} C_{1}} \\
C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}, & C_{B B 1}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{D_{1} \lambda_{1}},
\end{array} \quad C_{B B 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} t_{1}^{2} 2 \sqrt{2} \beta^{2} F_{2 A}}{E_{2} t_{2}^{3} k_{A} C_{1} \sin \alpha_{2}} \quad \sigma_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}} \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1} .
$$

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

| Loading and case no. | Load terms | Selected values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2a. Internal* pressure $q$ <br> Note: There is no axial load on the cylinder. An axial load on the right end of the cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the cone and the joint. For an enclosed pressure vessel superpose an axial load $P=q \pi b_{1}^{2}$ using case 2 b . | $\begin{aligned} L T_{A 1}= & \frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\ L T_{A 2}= & \frac{-b_{2} E_{1} R_{2}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}} \\ L T_{A C}= & \frac{t_{2} \sin \alpha_{2}}{2 t_{1}} C_{A A 2}+\frac{t_{2}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}{8 t_{1}^{2}} C_{A B 2} \\ & +\frac{E_{1} v_{2} R_{1}\left(t_{1}-t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}\right)}{2 E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}} \dagger \\ L T_{B 1}= & 0 \\ L T_{B 2}= & \frac{-2 E_{1} b_{2} \tan \alpha_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}} \\ L T_{B C}= & \frac{t_{2} \sin \alpha_{2}}{2 t_{1}} C_{A B 2}+\frac{t_{2}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}{8 t_{1}^{2}} C_{B B 2} \\ & +\frac{E_{1} \sin \alpha_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha_{2}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}\right) \dagger \end{aligned}$ | For internal pressure, $R_{1}=R_{2}, E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3$, and for $R / t>5$. (Note: No correction terms are used)$\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{1}=\frac{q R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | 20 |  |  |  | 40 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -30 | 30 | 45 | -30 | 30 | 45 |
|  |  | $K_{V 1}$ | 0.8 | $-0.3602$ | -0.3270 | -0.5983 | $-0.5027$ | -0.4690 | $-0.8578$ |
|  |  |  | 1.0 1.2 | -0.1377 0.0561 | -0.1419 0.0212 | -0.4023 -0.2377 | -0.1954 0.0725 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1994 \\ 0.0374 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.5633 \\ & -0.3165 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.3205 | 0.2531 | -0.0083 | 0.4399 | 0.3715 | 0.0225 |
|  | At the junction of the cylinder and cone$\begin{aligned} V_{1} & =q t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}, \quad N_{1}=0 \\ \Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\ \psi_{A} & =\frac{q}{E_{1}}\left(K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | 2.0 | 0.7208 | 0.6267 | 0.3660 | 1.0004 | 0.9040 | 0.5628 |
|  |  | $K_{M 1}$ | 0.8 | 0.4218 | -0.1915 | -0.4167 | 0.6693 | -0.2053 | -0.4749 |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | 0.3306 | -0.3332 | -0.6782 | 0.4730 | -0.4756 | -0.9638 |
|  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.3562 | -0.3330 | -0.7724 | 0.5175 | -0.4696 | -1.1333 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.5482 | -0.1484 | -0.6841 | 0.8999 | -0.1011 | -0.9487 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 1.0724 | 0.4091 | -0.1954 | 1.9581 | 0.9988 | 0.0084 |
|  |  | $K_{\Delta R A}$ | 0.8 | 1.2517 | 1.1314 | 1.2501 | 1.2471 | 1.1612 | 1.2969 |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.1088 | 1.0015 | 1.0942 | 1.1060 | 1.0293 | 1.1368 |
|  |  |  | 1.2 | 1.0016 | 0.9078 | 0.9840 | 1.0008 | 0.9335 | 1.0225 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.8813 | 0.8050 | 0.8667 | 0.8830 | 0.8281 | 0.9000 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.7378 | 0.6823 | 0.7323 | 0.7426 | 0.7026 | 0.7594 |
|  |  | $K_{\psi A}$ | 0.8 | 1.9915 | 0.7170 | 1.1857 | 2.5602 | 1.2739 | 2.1967 |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0831 | -0.1641 | 0.0411 | 1.2812 | 0.0201 | 0.5668 |
|  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.4913 | -0.7028 | -0.6817 | 0.4554 | -0.7544 | -0.4765 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | -0.0178 | -1.1184 | -1.2720 | -0.2449 | $-1.3635$ | -1.3488 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | -0.3449 | -1.2939 | -1.5808 | -0.6758 | -1.6457 | -1.8483 |
|  |  | $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 0.8 | 1.2517 | 1.1314 | 1.2501 | 1.2471 | 1.1612 | 1.2969 |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.1088 | 1.0015 | 1.0942 | 1.1060 | 1.0293 | 1.1368 |
|  |  |  | 1.2 | 1.0016 | 0.9078 | 0.9840 | 1.0008 | 0.9335 | 1.0225 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.8813 | 0.8050 | 0.8667 | 0.8830 | 0.8281 | 0.9000 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.7378 | 0.6823 | 0.7323 | 0.7426 | 0.7026 | 0.7594 |

[^24]TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)


$$
\begin{aligned}
L T_{A 1} & =\frac{-v_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{2 t_{1}^{2}} \\
L T_{A 2} & =\frac{v_{2} R_{1}^{2} E_{1}}{2 E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}}+\frac{R_{1} C_{A A 2}}{2 t_{1}} \tan \alpha_{2} \\
L T_{A C} & =0 \\
L T_{B 1} & =0 \\
L T_{B 2} & =\frac{E_{1} R_{1}^{2} \tan \alpha_{2}}{2 E_{2} R_{2} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}}+\frac{R_{1} C_{A B 2}}{2 t_{1}} \tan \alpha_{2} \\
L T_{B C} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

At the junction of the cylinder and cone,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =\frac{P t_{1} K_{V 1}}{\pi R_{1}^{2}}, \quad M_{1}=\frac{P t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}}{\pi R_{1}^{2}}, \quad N_{1}=\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{1}} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{P t_{1}}{E_{1} \pi R_{1}^{2}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{P}{E_{1} \pi R_{1}^{2}}\left(K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For axial tension, $R_{1}=R_{2}, E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3$, and for $R / t>5$.

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{P v_{1}}{2 \pi E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{P v_{1}}{2 \pi E_{1} t_{1}^{2}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{1} t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20 |  |  | 40 |  |  |
|  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | -30 | 30 | 45 | -30 | 30 | 45 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.9323 \\ & -2.7990 \\ & -2.6963 \\ & -2.5522 \\ & -2.3074 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9605 \\ & 2.7667 \\ & 2.6095 \\ & 2.3879 \\ & 2.0205 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8442 \\ & 4.5259 \\ & 4.2750 \\ & 3.9263 \\ & 3.3492 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.8775 \\ & -5.5886 \\ & -5.3637 \\ & -5.0493 \\ & -4.5212 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9175 \\ & 5.5429 \\ & 5.2411 \\ & 4.8171 \\ & 4.1161 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7022 \\ & 9.0767 \\ & 8.5862 \\ & 7.9088 \\ & 6.7938 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.5283 \\ & -4.9208 \\ & -5.0832 \\ & -5.0660 \\ & -4.7100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5208 \\ & 4.9206 \\ & 5.0531 \\ & 4.9455 \\ & 4.3860 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.4287 \\ & 8.0936 \\ & 8.3299 \\ & 8.1850 \\ & 7.3095 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -12.7003 \\ & -13.8055 \\ & -14.2489 \\ & -14.1627 \\ & -13.0797 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6851 \\ & 13.8053 \\ & 14.1890 \\ & 13.9229 \\ & 12.4341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.8134 \\ & 22.6838 \\ & 23.3674 \\ & 23.0120 \\ & 20.6655 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2495 \\ & 4.3064 \\ & 3.7337 \\ & 3.2008 \\ & 2.6544 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.3660 \\ & -6.1827 \\ & -5.4346 \\ & -4.7036 \\ & -3.9120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -11.3820 \\ -9.4297 \\ -8.2078 \\ -7.0309 \\ -5.7838 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9325 \\ & 6.5410 \\ & 5.6872 \\ & 4.8827 \\ & 4.0481 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -10.0493 \\ -8.4173 \\ -7.3879 \\ -6.3855 \\ -5.3060 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -15.8287 \\ -13.1035 \\ -11.3978 \\ -9.7578 \\ -8.0287 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3626 \\ -0.0327 \\ -0.2488 \\ -0.3965 \\ -0.4408 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3985 \\ 0.0683 \\ 0.3252 \\ 0.5013 \\ 0.5517 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.6321 \\ 0.1395 \\ 0.5655 \\ 0.8580 \\ 0.9392 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3938 \\ -0.0127 \\ -0.2359 \\ -0.3897 \\ -0.4381 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.4193 \\ 0.0378 \\ 0.2900 \\ 0.4639 \\ 0.5167 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.6840 \\ 0.0792 \\ 0.5024 \\ 0.7959 \\ 0.8847 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8748 \\ & 1.5919 \\ & 1.4201 \\ & 1.2602 \\ & 1.0963 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.9098 \\ & -1.5548 \\ & -1.3304 \\ & -1.1111 \\ & -0.8736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.1146 \\ & -2.5289 \\ & -2.1623 \\ & -1.8093 \\ & -1.4351 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6797 \\ & 2.2623 \\ & 2.0062 \\ & 1.7648 \\ & 1.5144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.7148 \\ & -2.2252 \\ & -1.9164 \\ & -1.6156 \\ & -1.2918 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.4486 \\ & -3.6310 \\ & -3.1193 \\ & -2.6273 \\ & -2.1086 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

| Loading and case no. | Load terms |  |  |  |  | ed values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction for $x_{1}>3 / \lambda_{1} \dagger$. If $x_{1}<3 / \lambda_{1}$ the discontinuity in pressure gradient introduces small deformations at the junction. | $\begin{aligned} & L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\ & L T_{A 2}=\frac{-b_{2} R_{2} E_{1}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}} \end{aligned}$ <br> For $L T_{A C}$ use the expression from case 2a | For int are use | pres | $R_{1}=R_{2}, x$ $\Delta R_{A}=$ | $\begin{aligned} & R_{1}, E_{1}=1 \\ & { }^{2} K_{\Delta R A}, \end{aligned}$ | $=v_{2}=0.3$ $=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi}$ | $\text { for } R / t>$ $\sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} F}{t_{1}}$ | ote: No cor | ion terms |
|  | $-b_{1} R_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $L T_{B 1}=\frac{}{x_{1} t_{1}}$ |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 40 |  |
| $\left\{x_{1} \rightarrow-a_{1}\right]$ | $L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{\kappa_{2}}{x_{1}}-2 \tan \alpha_{2}\right)$ |  |  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |
| Note: There is no axial load on | For $L T_{B C}$ use the expression from case 2 a |  | $\frac{\iota_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | -30 | 30 | 45 | -30 | 30 | 45 |
| right end of the cone balances any axial component of pressure in the cone and the joint. | At the junction of the cylinder and cone $\begin{aligned} V_{1} & =q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}, \quad N_{1}=0 \\ \Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3660 \\ -0.1377 \\ 0.0555 \\ 0.3107 \\ 0.6843 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3329 \\ -0.1418 \\ 0.0206 \\ 0.2429 \\ 0.5890 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.6082 \\ -0.4020 \\ -0.2346 \\ -0.0109 \\ 0.3392 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5085 \\ -0.1954 \\ 0.0719 \\ 0.4300 \\ 0.9639 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.4748 \\ -0.1994 \\ 0.0368 \\ 0.3614 \\ 0.8666 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.8678 \\ -0.5631 \\ -0.3134 \\ 0.0199 \\ 0.5363 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)$ | $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5047 \\ & 0.3698 \\ & 0.3442 \\ & 0.4542 \\ & 0.8455 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1081 \\ -0.2938 \\ -0.3451 \\ -0.2430 \\ 0.1802 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2814 \\ & -0.5779 \\ & -0.7175 \\ & -0.7070 \\ & -0.3526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7866 \\ & 0.5285 \\ & 0.5005 \\ & 0.7670 \\ & 1.6371 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.0876 \\ -0.4200 \\ -0.4866 \\ -0.2347 \\ 0.6759 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2840 \\ & -0.8224 \\ & -1.0559 \\ & -0.9811 \\ & -0.2128 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | 0.8 | 1.2688 | 1.1485 | 1.2781 | 1.2592 | 1.1733 | 1.3168 |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.1153 | 1.0080 | 1.1106 | 1.1106 | 1.0338 | 1.1485 |
|  |  | $K_{\Delta R A}$ | 1.2 | 1.0000 | 0.9062 | 0.9913 | 0.9996 | 0.9323 | 1.0277 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.8715 | 0.7952 | 0.8645 | 0.8761 | 0.8212 | 0.8983 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.7213 | 0.6662 | 0.7218 | 0.7310 | 0.6911 | 0.7519 |
|  |  |  | 0.8 | 3.1432 | 1.8696 | 2.4504 | 3.7245 | 2.4390 | 3.4736 |
|  |  |  | 1.0 | 2.1326 | 0.8856 | 1.2057 | 2.3432 | 1.0822 | 1.7437 |
|  |  | $K_{\psi A}$ | 1.2 | 1.4455 | 0.2515 | 0.3872 | 1.4221 | 0.2123 | 0.6049 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | 0.8113 | -0.2892 | -0.3320 | 0.5967 | -0.5218 | -0.3962 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.3197 | -0.6291 | -0.8157 | 0.0014 | -0.9683 | -1.0706 |
| * For external pressure, substitute $-q_{1}$ for $q_{1}, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms. <br> $\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$ and verify that $\left\|x_{1}\right\|$ is large enough to extend into the cone as far as the position where $\|\mu\|=4$. |  | $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 0.8 | 1.2688 | 1.1485 | 1.2781 | 1.2592 | 1.1733 | 1.3168 |
|  |  | 1.0 | 1.1153 | 1.0080 | 1.1106 | 1.1106 | 1.0338 | 1.1485 |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.0000 | 0.9062 | 0.9913 | 0.9996 | 0.9323 | 1.0277 |
|  |  | 1.5 | 0.8715 | 0.7952 | 0.8645 | 0.8761 | 0.8212 | 0.8983 |
|  |  | 2.0 | 0.7213 | 0.6662 | 0.7218 | 0.7310 | 0.6911 | 0.7519 |

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)


Note: $\delta=$ mass/unit volume
$L T_{A 1}=\frac{R_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}^{2}}$
$L T_{A 2}=\frac{-\delta_{2} R_{2}^{3} E_{1}}{\delta_{1} t_{1}^{2} E_{2} R_{1}}$
$L T_{A C}=0$
$L T_{B 1}=0, \quad L T_{B 2}=\frac{-\delta_{2} R_{2}^{2} E_{1}\left(3+v_{2}\right) \tan \alpha_{2}}{\delta_{1} E_{2} t_{1} R_{1}}$
$L T_{B C}=0$

At the junction of the cylinder and cone,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{3} K_{M 1} \\
N_{1} & =0 \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $R_{1}=R_{2}, E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, \delta_{1}=\delta_{2}$, and for $R / t>5$.

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{3}}{E_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20 |  |  | 40 |  |  |
|  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |  | $\alpha_{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | -30 | 30 | 45 | -30 | 30 | 45 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | 0.8 | -0.0248 | 0.0250 | 0.0425 | -0.0249 | 0.0251 | 0.0428 |
|  | 1.0 | -0.0000 | -0.0006 | -0.0022 | -0.0001 | -0.0004 | -0.0013 |
|  | 1.2 | 0.0308 | -0.0325 | -0.0581 | 0.0308 | -0.0320 | -0.0565 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.0816 | -0.0849 | -0.1507 | 0.0817 | -0.0840 | -0.1480 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.1645 | -0.1701 | -0.3023 | 0.1649 | -0.1687 | -0.2979 |
| $K_{M 1}$ | 0.8 | 0.3565 | -0.3583 | -0.5815 | 0.5043 | -0.5061 | -0.8207 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.4828 | -0.4851 | -0.7988 | 0.6829 | -0.6852 | -1.1269 |
|  | 1.2 | 0.6056 | -0.6089 | -1.0149 | 0.8566 | -0.8599 | -1.4309 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.7777 | -0.7831 | -1.3237 | 1.1000 | -1.1053 | -1.8646 |
|  | 2.0 | 1.0229 | -1.0320 | -1.7708 | 1.4469 | -1.4559 | -2.4920 |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | 0.8 | 1.0731 | 0.9264 | 0.8795 | 1.0518 | 0.9480 | 0.9148 |
|  | 1.0 | 1.0798 | 0.9202 | 0.8693 | 1.0565 | 0.9435 | 0.9074 |
|  | 1.2 | 1.0824 | 0.9181 | 0.8657 | 1.0582 | 0.9420 | 0.9047 |
|  | 1.5 | 1.0816 | 0.9194 | 0.8679 | 1.0576 | 0.9428 | 0.9061 |
|  | 2.0 | 1.0744 | 0.9273 | 0.8812 | 1.0525 | 0.9483 | 0.9152 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 0.8 | 0.7590 | -0.7633 | -1.2450 | 0.7597 | -0.7628 | -1.2438 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.9173 | -0.9194 | -1.5101 | 0.9176 | -0.9192 | -1.5094 |
|  | 1.2 | 1.0488 | -1.0494 | -1.7360 | 1.0488 | -1.0493 | -1.7354 |
|  | 1.5 | 1.2078 | -1.2071 | -2.0164 | 1.2074 | -0.2070 | -2.0156 |
|  | 2.0 | 1.3995 | -1.3984 | -2.3649 | 1.3988 | -1.3981 | -2.3631 |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 0.8 | 1.0731 | 0.9264 | 0.8795 | 1.0518 | 0.9480 | 0.9148 |
|  | 1.0 | 1.0798 | 0.9202 | 0.8693 | 1.0565 | 0.9435 | 0.9074 |
|  | 1.2 | 1.0824 | 0.9181 | 0.8657 | 1.0582 | 0.9420 | 0.9047 |
|  | 1.5 | 1.0816 | 0.9194 | 0.8679 | 1.0576 | 0.9428 | 0.9061 |
|  | 2.0 | 1.0744 | 0.9273 | 0.8812 | 1.0525 | 0.9483 | 0.9152 |

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)



 will be used if necessary.

$$
\left.K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad \begin{array}{l}
L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\
L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { See cases 3a-3d for } \\
& \text { these load terms }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, \quad C_{A A 1}=\frac{E_{1}}{2 D_{1} \lambda_{1}^{3}}, \quad C_{A A 2}=\frac{R_{A} E_{1} \beta_{2} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{K_{12}}+K_{22}\right)
$$

The stresses in the cylinder at the junction are given by

$$
C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}, \quad C_{A B 1}=\frac{-E_{1} t_{1}}{2 D_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2}}, \quad C_{A B 2}=\frac{2 E_{1} R_{A} t_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}}{E_{2} R_{2} t_{2} K_{12}}
$$

$$
C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}, \quad C_{B B 1}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{D_{1} \lambda_{1}}, \quad C_{B B 2}=\frac{4 E_{1} R_{A} t_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}^{3}}{R_{2}^{2} E_{2} t_{2} K_{12} \sin \phi_{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}} \\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1} \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =v_{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

 $R / t>10$, they can be neglected.
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## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)



TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

3c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction for $x_{1}>3 / \lambda_{1} \cdot \dagger$ If $x_{1}<3 / \lambda_{1}$ the discontinuity in pressure gradient introduces small deformations at the junction.


Note: There is no axial load on the cylinder. An axial load on the right end of the sphere balances the axial component of pressure in the sphere and on the joint.
$L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}}$
$L T_{A 2}=\frac{-b_{2}^{2} E_{1} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2}}$
For $L T_{A C}$ use the expressions from case 3a

$$
L T_{B 1}=\frac{-b_{1} R_{1}}{x_{1} t_{1}}
$$

$$
L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{2} R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} x_{1}}
$$

For $L T_{B C}$ use the expressions from case 3a

At the junction of the cylinder and sphere,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}, \quad N_{1}=0 \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For internal pressure, $x_{1}=R_{1}, E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}=R_{1}$, and for $R / t>5$. (Note: No correction terms are used)

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 10 |  |  | 20 |  |  |
|  |  | $\phi_{2}$ |  |  | $\phi_{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 60 | 90 | 120 | 60 | 90 | 120 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.5 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.5636 \\ -0.2279 \\ -0.0696 \\ 0.0628 \\ 0.2375 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.3928 \\ -0.1095 \\ -0.0292 \\ 0.1490 \\ 0.3119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5284 \\ -0.2169 \\ -0.0667 \\ 0.0607 \\ 0.2308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.7918 \\ -0.3360 \\ -0.1191 \\ 0.0644 \\ 0.3101 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.5598 \\ -0.1710 \\ 0.0212 \\ 0.1890 \\ 0.4201 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.7566 \\ -0.3247 \\ -0.1157 \\ 0.0629 \\ 0.3041 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3642 \\ & 0.1140 \\ & 0.0285 \\ & 0.0047 \\ & 0.0515 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2737 \\ 0.0615 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0037 \\ 0.0653 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3430 \\ & 0.1078 \\ & 0.0271 \\ & 0.0062 \\ & 0.0552 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6837 \\ & 0.1986 \\ & 0.0408 \\ & 0.0075 \\ & 0.1236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5180 \\ & 0.1088 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 0.0078 \\ & 0.1691 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6528 \\ & 0.1885 \\ & 0.0378 \\ & 0.0091 \\ & 0.1289 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5286 \\ & 1.1730 \\ & 1.0160 \\ & 0.9005 \\ & 0.7740 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3598 \\ & 1.0593 \\ & 0.9263 \\ & 0.8276 \\ & 0.7180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4929 \\ & 1.1620 \\ & 1.0131 \\ & 0.9027 \\ & 0.7806 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5431 \\ & 1.2010 \\ & 1.0502 \\ & 0.9392 \\ & 0.8171 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3824 \\ & 1.0913 \\ & 0.9628 \\ & 0.8676 \\ & 0.7615 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5178 \\ & 1.1928 \\ & 1.0477 \\ & 0.9403 \\ & 0.8215 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7909 \\ & 2.0095 \\ & 1.2564 \\ & 0.7551 \\ & 0.3036 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9832 \\ & 1.4769 \\ & 0.8535 \\ & 0.4477 \\ & 0.0949 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6176 \\ & 1.9564 \\ & 1.2431 \\ & 0.7659 \\ & 0.3355 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8904 \\ & 2.4627 \\ & 1.4459 \\ & 0.7764 \\ & 0.1849 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.8089 \\ 1.7466 \\ 0.9049 \\ 0.3652 \\ -0.0919 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.7155 \\ & 2.4061 \\ & 1.4291 \\ & 0.7842 \\ & 0.2149 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5286 <br> 1.1730 <br> 1.0160 <br> 0.9005 <br> 0.7740 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3598 \\ & 1.0593 \\ & 0.9263 \\ & 0.8276 \\ & 0.7180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4929 \\ & 1.1620 \\ & 1.0131 \\ & 0.9027 \\ & 0.7806 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5431 \\ & 1.2010 \\ & 1.0502 \\ & 0.9392 \\ & 0.8171 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3824 \\ & 1.0913 \\ & 0.9628 \\ & 0.8676 \\ & 0.7615 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5178 \\ & 1.1928 \\ & 1.0477 \\ & 0.9403 \\ & 0.8215 \end{aligned}$ |

[^26]$\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$ and verify that $\left|x_{1}\right|$ is large enough to extend into the sphere as far as the position where $\theta_{2}=3 / \beta_{2}$.
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## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

 plate, respectively. See Table 13.2 for formulas for $D_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1} . b_{1}=R_{1}-t_{1} / 2$ and $a_{1}=R_{1}+t_{1} / 2$. See Table 11.2 for the


$$
\left.K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad \begin{array}{l}
L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\
L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { See cases 4a-4d for } \\
& \text { these load terms }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, \quad C_{A A 1}=\frac{E_{1}}{2 D_{1} \lambda_{1}^{3}}, \quad C_{A A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2}^{2} R_{1} K_{P 1}}{6 D_{2}}
$$

The stresses in the left cylinder at the junction are given by
$C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}$,
$C_{A B 1}=\frac{-E_{1} t_{1}}{2 D_{1} \lambda_{1}^{2}}, \quad C_{A B 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1} t_{2} R_{1} K_{P 1}}{4 D_{2}}$
$\sigma_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}}$
$C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}, \quad C_{B B 1}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{D_{1} \lambda_{1}}, \quad C_{B B 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1}^{2} R_{1} K_{P 1}}{2 D_{2}}$
$\sigma_{2}=\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1}$
$\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}}$
$\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=v_{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)
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## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)



## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

| Loading and case no. | Load terms |  |  |  |  | d values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction for $x_{1}>3 / \lambda_{1} \cdot \dagger$ | $\begin{aligned} & L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}}, \quad L T_{A C}=0 \\ & L T_{A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2} b_{1}^{2}}{32 D_{2} t_{1} R_{1}} K_{P 2} \end{aligned}$ <br> where $\int\left(2 R_{2}^{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) K_{P 1} \quad \text { for } R_{2} \leqslant R_{1}$ | For in $R_{1} / t_{2}>$ |  | $E_{1}=E$ $\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1}}{E}$ | $=v_{2}=0$ <br> $\triangle R A$, | $c_{1}=R_{1},$ $\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}$ | $a_{1}, \quad R_{2}=$ $\sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}}$ | and | $t_{1}>5$ and |
|  | $\left\{\left(2 R_{2}^{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) K_{P 1}-2\left(R_{2}^{2}-R_{1}^{2}\right)\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $+4 R_{1}^{2} \ln \frac{\kappa_{2}}{R_{1}} \quad \text { for } R_{2} \geqslant R_{1}$ |  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 80 | 100 |
| the cylinder. The axial load on | $L T_{B 1}=\frac{-b_{1} R_{1}}{x_{1} t_{1}}, \quad L T_{B C}=0$ |  | 1.5 | 1.5304 | 1.7832 | 2.1457 | 2.3788 | 2.5486 | 2.3251 |
| annular line load |  | $K_{V 1}$ | 2.5 | 1.7652 | 2.0747 | 2.5466 | 2.8880 | 3.5041 | 3.5144 |
| $w_{2}=q_{1}\left(b_{1}^{2} / 2 R_{2}\right)$ at a radius $R_{2}$. | $\frac{16 D_{2} R_{1}}{} K_{P}$ |  | 3.0 | 1.8841 | 2.2228 | 2.7519 | 3.1509 | 4.0143 | 4.1593 |
|  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 2.4528 | 3.0704 | 3.5599 | 4.8249 | 5.1962 |
|  | At the junction of the cylinder and plate, |  | 1.5 | 0.9101 | 1.1645 | 1.4064 | 1.2602 | -3.5206 | -8.5313 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 1.2404 | 1.6448 | 2.2289 | 2.4780 | -0.2425 | -3.9630 |
|  | $V_{1}=q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}, \quad N_{1}=0$ | $K_{M 1}$ | 2.5 | 1.5946 | 2.1613 | 3.1188 | 3.8031 | 3.4026 | 1.1684 |
|  | $\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{11}-K_{V 1} C_{A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right)$ |  | 3.0 | 1.9073 | 2.6197 | 3.9156 | 4.9999 | 6.7971 | 6.0099 |
|  | $\Delta R_{A}=\frac{K_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right)$ |  | 4.0 |  | 3.2916 | 5.0872 | 6.7688 | 11.9491 | 13.4589 |
|  | $\psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)$ |  | 1.5 | 0.1513 | 0.1424 | 0.1311 | 0.1247 | 0.1158 | 0.1153 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.1525 | 0.1448 | 0.1355 | 0.1305 | 0.1266 | 0.1283 |
|  |  | $K_{\Delta R A}$ | 2.5 | 0.1463 | 0.1395 | 0.1316 | 0.1278 | 0.1271 | 0.1301 |
|  |  |  | 3.0 | 0.1362 | 0.1300 | 0.1230 | 0.1198 | 0.1207 | 0.1243 |
|  |  |  | 4.0 |  | 0.1089 | 0.1025 | 0.0997 | 0.1005 | 0.1039 |
|  |  |  | 1.5 | -2.0945 | -2.7054 | -3.9258 | -5.1808 | -10.7721 | -13.9361 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | -1.7263 | -2.2355 | -3.2576 | -4.3151 | -9.0908 | -11.8293 |
|  |  | $K_{\psi / A}$ | 2.5 | -1.3687 | -1.7750 | -2.5946 | -3.4475 | -7.3540 | -9.6258 |
|  |  |  | 3.0 | -1.0757 | -1.3940 | -2.0371 | -2.7086 | -5.8157 | -7.6438 |
|  |  |  | 4.0 |  | -0.8776 | $-1.2726$ | -1.6843 | -3.6009 | -4.7423 |
|  |  | $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 1.5 | 0.1513 | 0.1424 | 0.1311 | 0.1247 | 0.1158 | 0.1153 |
|  |  |  | 2.0 | 0.1525 | 0.1448 | 0.1355 | 0.1305 | 0.1266 | 0.1283 |
|  |  |  | 2.5 | 0.1463 | 0.1395 | 0.1316 | 0.1278 | 0.1271 | 0.1301 |
|  |  |  | 3.0 | 0.1362 | 0.1300 | 0.1230 | 0.1198 | 0.1207 | 0.1243 |
| * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q_{1}$ and $a_{1}$ for $b_{1}$ in the load terms. <br> $\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$. |  |  | 4.0 |  | 0.1089 | 0.1025 | 0.0997 | 0.1005 | 0.1039 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q_{1}$ and $a_{1}$ for $b_{1}$ in the load terms
$\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$.


## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

4d Rotation around the axis of symmetry at $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$


Note: $\delta=$ mass/unit volume

$$
\begin{aligned}
L T_{A 1} & =\frac{R_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}^{2}}, \quad L T_{A C}=0 \\
L T_{A 2} & =\frac{-E_{1} \delta_{2} t_{2}^{3}}{96 D_{2} \delta_{1} t_{1}^{2}}\left[\frac{a_{2}^{2}\left(3+v_{2}\right)}{1+v_{2}}-R_{1}^{2}\right] \\
L T_{B 1} & =0 \\
L T_{B 2} & =0 \\
L T_{B C} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

At the junction of the cylinder and plate,

$$
V_{1}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{3} K_{M 1}
$$

$$
N_{1}=0
$$

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}}
$$

$$
\times\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right)
$$

$$
\psi_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
$$

For $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, \delta_{1}=\delta_{2}, a_{2}=a_{1}$, and for $R_{1} / t_{1}>5$ and $R_{1} / t_{2}>4$.

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{3}}{E_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 80 | 100 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | 1.5 | 1.0683 | 1.2627 | 1.5887 | 1.8632 | 2.7105 | 3.0514 |
|  | 2.0 | 1.2437 | 1.4585 | 1.8143 | 2.1105 | 3.0101 | 3.3674 |
|  | 2.5 | 1.4257 | 1.6676 | 2.0656 | 2.3946 | 3.3815 | 3.7690 |
|  | 3.0 | 1.5852 | 1.8538 | 2.2957 | 2.6604 | 3.7505 | 4.1763 |
|  | 4.0 |  | 2.1297 | 2.6424 | 3.0676 | 4.3459 | 4.8472 |
| $K_{M 1}$ | 1.5 | 0.3416 | 0.4269 | 0.5778 | 0.7109 | 1.1434 | 1.3236 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.8797 | 1.1304 | 1.5883 | 2.0037 | 3.4081 | 4.0109 |
|  | 2.5 | 1.3881 | 1.8157 | 2.6188 | 3.3673 | 6.0009 | 7.1688 |
|  | 3.0 | 1.8077 | 2.3920 | 3.5118 | 4.5776 | 8.4561 | 10.2267 |
|  | 4.0 |  | 3.1982 | 4.7871 | 6.3383 | 12.2402 | 15.0458 |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | 1.5 | 0.3661 | 0.3447 | 0.3180 | 0.3014 | 0.2682 | 0.2593 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.3683 | 0.3483 | 0.3234 | 0.3076 | 0.2756 | 0.2668 |
|  | 2.5 | 0.3595 | 0.3414 | 0.3189 | 0.3048 | 0.2759 | 0.2680 |
|  | 3.0 | 0.3460 | 0.3295 | 0.3093 | 0.2968 | 0.2713 | 0.2643 |
|  | 4.0 |  | 0.3042 | 0.2871 | 0.2767 | 0.2565 | 0.2511 |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | 1.5 | -2.7811 | -3.3618 | -4.3536 | -5.2023 | -7.8711 | -8.9591 |
|  | 2.0 | -2.1801 | -2.6725 | -3.5321 | -4.2829 | -6.7099 | -7.7203 |
|  | 2.5 | -1.6664 | -2.0614 | -2.7641 | -3.3900 | -5.4746 | -6.3645 |
|  | 3.0 | -1.2732 | -1.5822 | -2.1392 | -2.6428 | -4.3620 | -5.1128 |
|  | 4.0 |  | -0.9623 | -1.3069 | -1.6231 | -2.7354 | -3.2359 |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 1.5 | 0.3661 | 0.3447 | 0.3180 | 0.3014 | 0.2682 | 0.2593 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.3683 | 0.3483 | 0.3234 | 0.3076 | 0.2756 | 0.2668 |
|  | 2.5 | 0.3595 | 0.3414 | 0.3189 | 0.3048 | 0.2759 | 0.2680 |
|  | 3.0 | 0.3460 | 0.3295 | 0.3093 | 0.2968 | 0.2713 | 0.2643 |
|  | 4.0 |  | 0.3042 | 0.2871 | 0.2767 | 0.2565 | 0.2511 |

5. Conical shell connected to another conical shell.* To ensure accuracy, for each cone evaluate $k_{A}$ and the value of $k$ in that cone at the position where $\mu=4$. The absolute values of $k$ at all four positions
 should be greater than $5 . R /(t \cos \alpha)$ should also be greater than 5 at all these positions. $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the moduli of elasticity and $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ the Poisson's ratios for the left and right cones, respectively. $b_{1}=R_{1}-\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right) / 2, a_{1}=R_{1}+\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right) / 2 . R_{A}=R_{1}$. Similar expressions are used for the right-hand cone. See Table 13.3, case 4, for formulas for $k_{A}, \beta, \mu, C_{1}$, and the $F$ functions for each of the two cones. Normally $R_{2}=R_{1}$, but if $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ is close to zero the midthickness radii may not be equal at the junction. Under this condition a different set of correction terms will be used if they are necessary. Note that rather than use an additional level of subscripting in the following equations, use has been made of subscripted parentheses or brackets to denote which cone the coefficients refer to.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, & \left.\begin{array}{l}
L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\
L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}
\text { See cases 5a-5d for } \\
\text { these load terms }
\end{array} \\
C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, & C_{A A 1}=\frac{R_{1} \sin \alpha}{t_{1} \sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{k_{A}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{4 A}-\frac{4 v_{1}^{2}}{k_{A}^{2}} F_{2 A}\right)\right]_{1}, & C_{A A 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} \sin \alpha_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} \sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{k_{A}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{4 A}-\frac{4 v_{2}^{2}}{k_{A}^{2}} F_{2 A}\right)\right]_{2} \\
C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}, & C_{A B 1}=\frac{-R_{1}}{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\beta F_{7 A}}{C_{1}}\right)_{1}, & C_{A B 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} t_{1}}{E_{2} t_{2}^{2}}\left(\frac{\beta F_{7 A}}{C_{1}}\right)_{2} \\
C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}, & C_{B B 1}=\frac{R_{1} 2 \sqrt{2}}{t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{\beta^{2} F_{2 A}}{k_{A} C_{1}}\right)_{1}, & C_{B B 2}=\frac{R_{1} E_{1} t_{1}^{2} 2 \sqrt{2}}{E_{2} t_{2}^{3} \sin \alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{\beta^{2} F_{2 A}}{k_{A} C_{1}}\right)_{2}
\end{array}
$$

The stresses in the left-hand cone at the junction are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1} \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6 V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{t_{1} \beta_{1}}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{F_{7 A}}{C_{1}}\right)_{1}+\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\left[v_{1}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{k_{A} C_{1}}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right) F_{2 A}\right]_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^28]
## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

5a. Internal* pressure $q$


Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the left cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the left cone, and an axial load on the right end of the right cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the cone and the joint. For an enclosed pressure vessel superpose an axial load $P=q \pi b_{1}^{2}$ using case 5 b.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L T_{A 1}= & \frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} \cos \alpha_{1}} \\
L T_{A 2}= & \frac{-b_{2} R_{2} E_{1}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}} \\
L T_{A C}= & \frac{t_{2} \sin \alpha_{2}+t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{2 t_{1}} C_{A A 2}+\frac{t_{2}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}{8 t_{1}^{2}} C_{A B 2} \\
& \quad+\frac{E_{1} R_{1} v_{2}\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}-t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}\right)}{2 E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}} \dagger
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
L T_{B 1}=\frac{-2 b_{1} \tan \alpha_{1}}{t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}}
$$

$$
L T_{B 2}=\frac{-2 E_{1} b_{2} \tan \alpha_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}}
$$

$$
L T_{B C}=\frac{t_{2} \sin \alpha_{2}+t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{2 t_{1}} C_{A B 2}+\frac{t_{2}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}{8 t_{1}^{2}} C_{B B 2}
$$

$$
+\frac{E_{1} \tan \alpha_{2}\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}-t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}\right)}{E_{2} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}} \pitchfork
$$

At the junction of the two cones,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =q t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}, \quad N_{1}=-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{q}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For internal pressure, $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, t_{1}=t_{2}, R_{1}=R_{2}$, and for $R / t \cos \alpha>5$. (Note: No correction terms are used)

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

[^29]$\dagger$ If $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ is zero or close to zero the following correction terms should be used:
$$
L T_{A C}=\frac{b_{1}^{2}-b_{2}^{2}}{4 t_{1}^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{a_{2}-b_{1}}{R_{1}} C_{A B 2}-\frac{2 v_{2} E_{1} t_{1} \cos \alpha_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2}}\right), \quad L T_{B C}=\frac{b_{1}^{2}-b_{2}^{2}}{4 t_{1}^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{a_{2}-b_{1}}{R_{1}} C_{B B 2}-\frac{2 E_{1} t_{1}^{2} \sin \alpha_{2}}{E_{2} R_{2} t_{2}}\right)
$$

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)


## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

5c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction if $|\mu|>4 \dagger$ at the position of zero pressure. If $|\mu|<4$ at this position the discontinuity in pressure gradient introduces
deformations at the junction.


Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the left cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the left cone, and an axial load on the right end of the right cone balances the axial component of pressure on the right cone and on the joint.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L T_{A 1} & =\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} \cos \alpha_{1}} \\
L T_{A 2} & =\frac{-b_{2} R_{2} E_{1}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $L T_{A C}$ use the expressions from case 5 a

$$
L T_{B 1}=\frac{-b_{1}}{t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{R_{1}}{x_{1}}+2 \tan \alpha_{1}\right)
$$

$$
L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} \cos \alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{R_{2}}{x_{1}}-2 \tan \alpha_{2}\right)
$$

For $L T_{B C}$ use the expressions from case 5 a

At the junction of the two cones

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}=q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1} \\
& \quad N_{1}=-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right)
$$

$$
\psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
$$

For internal pressure, $x_{1}=R_{1}, E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, t_{1}=t_{2}, R_{1}=R_{2}$, and for $R / t \cos \alpha>5$. (Note: No correction terms are used)

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\alpha_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -30 |  |  | 15 |  |  |
|  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 50 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30.0 \\ -15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 0.0746 \\ 0.0763 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.1844 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 0.1065 \\ 0.1081 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.2582 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ 0.1696 \\ 0.1711 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.4055 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0764 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0799 \\ -0.2704 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1081 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.1114 \\ -0.3744 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1712 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.1742 \\ -0.5831 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30.0 \\ -15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.4408 \\ 0.2988 \\ 0.1120 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.2010 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6374 \\ 0.4334 \\ 0.1623 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.2875 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0216 \\ 0.6958 \\ 0.2604 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.4572 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.1546 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.1940 \\ -0.3024 \\ -0.4955 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.2224 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.2809 \\ -0.4370 \\ -0.7113 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.3554 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.4502 \\ -0.6995 \\ -1.1335 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30.0 \\ -15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2502 \\ & 1.1449 \\ & 1.0818 \\ & 1.1047 \\ & 1.1829 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2351 \\ & 1.1429 \\ & 1.0972 \\ & 1.1297 \\ & 1.2239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2123 \\ & 1.1324 \\ & 1.1031 \\ & 1.1447 \\ & 1.2540 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0959 \\ & 0.9853 \\ & 0.9215 \\ & 0.9484 \\ & 1.0341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1071 \\ & 1.0103 \\ & 0.9640 \\ & 1.0003 \\ & 1.1016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1093 \\ & 1.0253 \\ & 0.9956 \\ & 1.0408 \\ & 1.1570 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi / A}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30.0 \\ -15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1047 \\ 0.4478 \\ -0.0986 \\ -0.1709 \\ -0.1440 \end{array}$ | 1.1297 0.3602 -0.2000 -0.1748 0.0905 | 1.1447 0.1621 -0.4064 -0.1771 0.5732 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1850 \\ & 1.5133 \\ & 0.9853 \\ & 0.9530 \\ & 1.0535 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3365 \\ & 1.5517 \\ & 1.0103 \\ & 1.0762 \\ & 1.4138 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5730 \\ & 1.5747 \\ & 1.0253 \\ & 1.2957 \\ & 2.1147 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -30.0 \\ -15.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 45.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2502 \\ & 1.1460 \\ & 1.0830 \\ & 1.1047 \\ & 1.1801 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2351 \\ & 1.1437 \\ & 1.0980 \\ & 1.1297 \\ & 1.2219 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2123 \\ & 1.1329 \\ & 1.1036 \\ & 1.1447 \\ & 1.2528 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0965 \\ & 0.9853 \\ & 0.9215 \\ & 0.9491 \\ & 1.0362 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1075 \\ & 1.0103 \\ & 0.9640 \\ & 1.0008 \\ & 1.1031 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1096 \\ & 1.0253 \\ & 0.9956 \\ & 1.0411 \\ & 1.1579 \end{aligned}$ |

[^30]$\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$ and verify that $\left|x_{1}\right|$ is large enough to extend into the right cone as far as the position where $|\mu|=4$.
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## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

6. Conical shell connected to a spherical shell.* To ensure accuracy, evaluate $k_{A}$ and the value of $k$ in the cone at the position where $\mu=4$. The absolute values of $k$ at both positions should be greater ( $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ than $5 . R /\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right)$ should also be greater than 5 at both these positions. The junction angle for the spherical shell must lie
 within the range $3 / \beta_{2}<\phi_{2}<\pi-3 / \beta_{2}$. The spherical shell must also extend with no interruptions such as a second junction or a cutout, such that $\theta_{2}>3 / \beta_{2}$. See the discussion on page $565 . E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the moduli of elasticity and $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ the Poisson's ratios for the cone and the sphere, respectively. $b_{1}=R_{1}-\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right) / 2, a_{1}=R_{1}+\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right) / 2$, and $R_{A}=R_{1}$. See Table 13.3, case 4, for formulas for $k_{A}, \beta_{1}, \mu, C_{1}$, and the $F$ functions for the cone. See Table 13.3, case 1, for formulas for $K_{12}, \dagger, K_{22}, \dagger$ and $\beta_{2}$ for the spherical shell. $b_{2}=R_{2}-t_{2} / 2$ and $a_{2}=R_{2}+t_{2} / 2$. Normally $R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}=R_{1}$, but if $\phi_{2}-\alpha_{1}=90^{\circ}$ or is close to $90^{\circ}$ the midthickness radii at the junction may not be equal. Under this condition different correction terms will be used if necessary.
$\left.K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad \begin{array}{l}L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\ L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}\end{array}\right\}$
See cases $6 \mathrm{a}-6 \mathrm{~d}$ for these load terms
$C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, \quad C_{A A 1}=\frac{R_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{t_{1} \sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{k_{A}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{4 A}-\frac{4 v_{1}^{2}}{k_{A}^{2}} F_{2 A}\right)\right], \quad C_{A A 2}=\frac{R_{A} E_{1} \beta_{2} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{K_{12}}+K_{22}\right)$
$C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}$,
$C_{A B 1}=\frac{-R_{1}}{t_{1}} \frac{\beta_{1} F_{7 A}}{C_{1}}$,
$C_{A B 2}=\frac{2 E_{1} R_{A} t_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}}{E_{2} R_{2} t_{2} K_{12}}$
$C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}$,
$C_{B B 1}=\frac{R_{1} 2 \sqrt{2}}{t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}} \frac{\beta_{1}^{2} F_{2 A}}{k_{A} C_{1}}$,
$C_{B B 2}=\frac{4 E_{1} R_{A} t_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}^{3}}{R_{2}^{2} E_{2} t_{2} K_{12} \sin \phi_{2}}$
The stresses in the left-hand cone at the junction are given by
$\begin{array}{ll}\sigma_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}}, & \sigma_{2}=\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}}, & \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{t_{1} \beta_{1}}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right) \frac{F_{7 A}}{C_{1}}+\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\left[v_{1}+\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{k_{A} C_{1}}\left(1-v_{1}^{2}\right) F_{2 A}\right]\end{array}$
 $R / t>10$, they can be neglected.

[^31]$\dagger$ The second subscript is added to refer to the right-hand shell.

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)



Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the cone, and an axial load on the right end of the sphere balances any axial component of the pressure on the sphere and on the joint. For an enclosed pressure vessel superpose an axial load $P=q \pi b_{1}^{2}$ using case 6 b .

$$
\begin{aligned}
L T_{A 1}= & \frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} \cos \alpha_{1}} \\
L T_{A 2}= & \frac{-b_{2}^{2} E_{1} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2}} \\
L T_{A C}= & \frac{t_{2} \cos \phi_{2}+t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{2 t_{1}} C_{A A 2}+\frac{t_{2}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}{8 t_{1}^{2}} C_{A B 2} \\
& +\frac{E_{1} R_{1}\left(1+v_{2}\right)\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}-t_{2} \sin \phi_{2}\right)}{2 E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \sin \phi_{2}} \dagger \\
L T_{B 1}= & \frac{-2 b_{1} \tan \alpha_{1}}{t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}} \\
L T_{B 2}= & 0 \\
L T_{B C}= & \frac{t_{2} \cos \phi_{2}+t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{2 t_{1}} C_{A B 2}+\frac{t_{2}^{2}-t_{1}^{2}}{8 t_{1}^{2}} C_{B B 2} \\
& +\frac{E_{1} \cos \phi_{2}\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}-t_{2} \sin \phi_{2}\right)}{E_{2} t_{2} \sin { }^{2} \phi_{2}} \dagger
\end{aligned}
$$

At the junction of the cone and sphere,

$$
V_{1}=q t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}, \quad N_{1}=-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}
$$

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right)
$$

$$
\psi_{A}=\frac{q}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
$$

Selected values
For internal pressure, $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, t_{1}=t_{2}, R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}=R_{1}$, and for $R / t \cos \alpha_{1}>5$ and $R_{2} / t_{2}>5$. (Note: No correction terms are used)

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\phi_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -30 |  |  | 15 |  |  |
|  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 50 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.1504 \\ 0.0303 \\ 0.1266 \\ 0.1050 \\ 0.0316 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2338 \\ 0.0220 \\ 0.1594 \\ 0.1287 \\ 0.0232 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.3900 \\ 0.0141 \\ 0.2327 \\ 0.1839 \\ 0.0150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2313 \\ -0.0468 \\ 0.0520 \\ 0.0296 \\ -0.0460 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3470 \\ -0.0877 \\ 0.0528 \\ 0.0215 \\ -0.0861 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5661 \\ -0.1592 \\ 0.0630 \\ 0.0138 \\ -0.1570 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2084 \\ & 0.2172 \\ & 0.2285 \\ & 0.2288 \\ & 0.2240 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3034 \\ & 0.3158 \\ & 0.3306 \\ & 0.3303 \\ & 0.3224 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4889 \\ & 0.5081 \\ & 0.5301 \\ & 0.5285 \\ & 0.5146 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0811 \\ & -0.0920 \\ & -0.0978 \\ & -0.0973 \\ & -0.0960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1182 \\ & -0.1335 \\ & -0.1416 \\ & -0.1408 \\ & -0.1388 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1908 \\ & -0.2146 \\ & -0.2270 \\ & -0.2255 \\ & -0.2221 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2913 \\ & 1.1526 \\ & 1.0809 \\ & 1.0979 \\ & 1.1538 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3082 \\ & 1.1698 \\ & 1.0969 \\ & 1.1137 \\ & 1.1703 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3113 \\ & 1.1735 \\ & 1.0997 \\ & 1.1164 \\ & 1.1736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1396 \\ & 0.9917 \\ & 0.9124 \\ & 0.9301 \\ & 0.9898 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1841 \\ & 1.0371 \\ & 0.9576 \\ & 0.9751 \\ & 1.0354 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2132 \\ & 1.0675 \\ & 0.9880 \\ & 1.0055 \\ & 1.0662 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1762 \\ & -0.7470 \\ & -1.0321 \\ & -0.9601 \\ & -0.7317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0897 \\ -0.7309 \\ -1.1548 \\ -1.0540 \\ -0.7212 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6014 \\ -0.7111 \\ -1.4058 \\ -1.2466 \\ -0.7058 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0674 \\ & 0.4315 \\ & 0.0909 \\ & 0.1658 \\ & 0.4181 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4565 \\ & 0.5731 \\ & 0.0946 \\ & 0.1993 \\ & 0.5574 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1836 \\ & 0.8128 \\ & 0.0646 \\ & 0.2288 \\ & 0.7961 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2891 \\ & 1.1531 \\ & 1.0828 \\ & 1.0995 \\ & 1.1543 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3064 \\ & 1.1700 \\ & 1.0981 \\ & 1.1146 \\ & 1.1705 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3101 \\ & 1.1735 \\ & 1.1004 \\ & 1.1169 \\ & 1.1736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1414 \\ & 0.9920 \\ & 0.9120 \\ & 0.9299 \\ & 0.9901 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1854 \\ & 1.0375 \\ & 0.9574 \\ & 0.9750 \\ & 1.0357 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2140 \\ & 1.0678 \\ & 0.9879 \\ & 1.0055 \\ & 1.0665 \end{aligned}$ |

[^32]$\dagger$ If $\phi_{2}-\alpha_{1}=90^{\circ}$ or is close to $90^{\circ}$ the following correction terms should be used:
$$
L T_{A C}=\frac{b_{1}^{2}-b_{2}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}{4 t_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}\left[\frac{a_{2} \sin \phi_{2}-b_{1}}{R_{1}} C_{A B 2}-\frac{2 E_{1} t_{1}\left(1+v_{2}\right) \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2}}\right], \quad L T_{B C}=\frac{b_{1}^{2}-b_{2}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}}{4 t_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{2}} \frac{a_{2} \sin \phi_{2}-b_{1}}{R_{1}} C_{B B 2}
$$

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)



$$
\begin{aligned}
& L T_{A 1}=\frac{-v_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{2 t_{1}^{2} \cos \alpha_{1}} \\
& L T_{A 2}=\frac{R_{1}^{2} E_{1}\left(1+v_{2}\right)}{2 E_{2} t_{1} t_{2} \sin \phi_{2}}+\frac{R_{1} C_{A A 2}}{2 t_{1}}\left(\tan \alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{\tan \phi_{2}}\right) \\
& L T_{A C}=0 \\
& L T_{B 1}=\frac{R_{1} \tan \alpha_{1}}{2 t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}} \\
& L T_{B C}=0 \\
& L T_{B 2}=\frac{R_{1} C_{A B 2}}{2 t_{1}}\left(\tan \alpha_{1}+\frac{1}{\tan \phi_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

At the junction of the cone and sphere,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =\frac{P t_{1} K_{V 1}}{\pi R_{1}^{2}}, \quad M_{1}=\frac{P t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1}}{\pi R_{1}^{2}} \\
N_{1} & =\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}}-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{P t_{1}}{E_{1} \pi R_{1}^{2}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{P}{E_{1} \pi R_{1}^{2}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For axial tension, $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, t_{1}=t_{2}, R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}=R_{1}$, and for $R / t \cos \alpha_{1}>5$ and $R_{2} / t_{2}>5$.

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{P v_{1}}{2 \pi E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{P v_{1}}{2 \pi E_{1} t_{1}^{2}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{P}{2 \pi R_{1} t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$



# TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued) 

| Loading and case no. | Load terms | Selected values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction when $\|\mu\|>4 \dagger$ at the position of zero pressure. If $\|\mu\|<4$ at this position the discontinuity in pressure gradient introduces small deformations at the junction. <br> Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the cone, and an axial load on the right end of the sphere balances any axial component of the pressure on the sphere and on the joint. | $\begin{aligned} L T_{A 1} & =\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} \cos \alpha_{1}} \\ L T_{A 2} & =\frac{-b_{2}^{2} E_{1} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{1} t_{2}} \end{aligned}$ <br> For $L T_{A C}$ use the expressions from case 6a $\begin{aligned} & L T_{B 1}=\frac{-b_{1}}{t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{R_{1}}{x_{1}}+2 \tan \alpha_{1}\right) \\ & L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{2} R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2} x_{1}} \end{aligned}$ <br> For $L T_{B C}$ use the expressions from case 6a | For internal pressure, $x_{1}=R_{1}, E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, t_{1}=t_{2}, R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}=R_{1}$, and for $R / t \cos \alpha_{1}>5$ and $R_{2} / t_{2}>5$. (Note: No correction terms are used)$\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\phi_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -30 |  |  | 15 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 50 |
|  | At the junction of the cone and sphere,$\begin{aligned} V_{1} & =q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1} \\ N_{1} & =-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} \\ \Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\ \psi_{A} & =\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $K_{V 1}$ | 45.0 60.0 | -0.1508 0.0303 | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2341 \\ 0.0220 \end{array}$ | $-0.3902$ | $-0.2320$ | $-0.3475$ | $-0.5664$ |
|  |  |  | 90.0 | 0.1266 | 0.1594 | 0.2327 | 0.0520 | 0.0528 | 0.0630 |
|  |  |  | 105.0 | 0.1050 | 0.1287 | 0.1839 | 0.0296 | 0.0215 | 0.0138 |
|  |  |  | 120.0 | 0.0316 | 0.0232 | 0.0150 | -0.0459 | -0.0860 | -0.1569 |
|  |  | $K_{M 1}$ | 45.0 | 0.2501 | 0.3628 | 0.5833 | -0.0185 | -0.0292 | -0.0492 |
|  |  |  | 60.0 | 0.2172 | 0.3158 | 0.5081 | -0.0710 | -0.1037 | -0.1673 |
|  |  |  | 90.0 | 0.2008 | 0.2914 | 0.4681 | -0.1043 | -0.1508 | -0.2416 |
|  |  |  | 105.0 | 0.2074 | 0.3002 | 0.4809 | $-0.0973$ | -0.1408 | -0.2255 |
|  |  |  | 120.0 | 0.2240 | 0.3224 | 0.5146 | -0.0744 | -0.1084 | -0.1743 |
|  |  | $K_{\Delta R A}$ | 45.0 | 1.3054 | 1.3182 | 1.3176 | 1.1607 | 1.1990 | 1.2226 |
|  |  |  | 60.0 | 1.1526 | 1.1698 | 1.1735 | 0.9987 | 1.0421 | 1.0707 |
|  |  |  | 90.0 | 1.0718 | 1.0904 | 1.0956 | 0.9103 | 0.9560 | 0.9870 |
|  |  |  | 105.0 | 1.0909 | 1.1087 | 1.1133 | 0.9301 | 0.9751 | 1.0055 |
|  |  |  | 120.0 | 1.1538 | 1.1703 | 1.1736 | 0.9968 | 1.0403 | 1.0694 |
|  |  | $K_{\psi / A}$ | 45.0 | 1.0506 | 1.3418 | 1.8688 | 2.2254 | 2.6400 | 3.3827 |
|  |  |  | 60.0 | 0.3577 | 0.3988 | 0.4336 | 1.4744 | 1.6411 | 1.8960 |
|  |  |  | 90.0 | $-0.0076$ | -0.1053 | $-0.3413$ | 1.0584 | 1.0871 | 1.0721 |
|  |  |  | 105.0 | 0.0830 | 0.0141 | -0.1634 | 1.1511 | 1.2096 | 1.2541 |
|  |  |  | 120.0 | 0.3730 | 0.4085 | 0.4389 | 1.4618 | 1.6261 | 1.8797 |
| * For external pressure, substitute $-q_{1}$ for $q_{1}, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in load terms. <br> $\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$ and verify that $\left\|x_{1}\right\|$ is large enough to extend into the sphere as far as the position where $\theta_{2}=3 / \beta_{2}$. |  | $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 45.0 | 1.3031 | 1.3164 | 1.3164 | 1.1625 | 1.2004 | 1.2235 |
|  |  | 60.0 | 1.1531 | 1.1700 | 1.1735 | 0.9990 | 1.0425 | 1.0709 |
|  |  | 90.0 | 1.0737 | 1.0916 | 1.0963 | 0.9099 | 0.9558 | 0.9869 |
|  |  | 105.0 | 1.0925 | 1.1097 | 1.1138 | 0.9299 | 0.9750 | 1.0055 |
|  |  | 120.0 | 1.1543 | 1.1705 | 1.1736 | 0.9971 | 1.0407 | 1.0696 |

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

| 6 d . Rotation around the axis of symmetry at $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ <br> Note: $\delta=$ mass/unit volume | $\begin{aligned} & L T_{A 1}=\frac{R_{1}^{2}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\ & L T_{A 2}=\frac{-\delta_{2} R_{2}^{3} E_{1} \sin ^{3} \phi_{2}}{\delta_{1} R_{1} E_{2} t_{1}^{2}} \\ & L T_{A C}=0 \\ & L T_{B 1}=\frac{-R_{1}\left(3+v_{1}\right) \tan \alpha_{1}}{t_{1}} \\ & L T_{B 2}=\frac{-\delta_{2} R_{2}^{2} E_{1}\left(3+v_{2}\right) \sin \phi_{2} \cos \phi_{2}}{\delta_{1} R_{1} t_{1} E_{2}} \\ & L T_{B C}=0 \end{aligned}$ | For $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, t_{1}=t_{2}, \delta_{1}=\delta_{2}, R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}=R_{1}$, and for $R / t \cos \alpha_{1}>5$ and $R_{2} / t_{2}>5$.$\Delta R_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{3}}{E_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2} K_{\sigma 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\phi_{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -30 |  |  | 15 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 50 |
|  | At the junction of the cone and sphere,$\begin{aligned} V_{1} & =\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{3} K_{M 1} \\ N_{1} & =-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} \\ \Delta R_{A} & =\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\ \psi_{A} & =\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}\right. \\ & \left.+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0023 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0001 \\ 0.0012 \\ 0.0034 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0015 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 0.0010 \\ & 0.0027 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0009 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 0.0001 \\ & 0.0007 \\ & 0.0019 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0078 \\ & 0.0035 \\ & 0.0002 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 0.0008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0053 \\ & 0.0024 \\ & 0.0001 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 0.0006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0033 \\ & 0.0015 \\ & 0.0001 \\ & 0.0000 \\ & 0.0004 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2240 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.3414 \\ 0.4988 \\ 0.6678 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3189 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.4828 \\ 0.7041 \\ 0.9408 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5073 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.7636 \\ 1.1117 \\ 1.4829 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.6913 \\ -0.4906 \\ -0.1635 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.1845 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9836 \\ -0.6961 \\ -0.2310 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.2597 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.5636 \\ -1.1039 \\ -0.3651 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.4090 \end{array}$ |
|  |  | $K_{\Delta R A}$ | 45.0 | 0.9243 | 0.9464 | 0.9661 | 0.7667 | 0.8350 | 0.8957 |
|  |  |  | 60.0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8360 | 0.8840 | 0.9267 |
|  |  |  | 90.0 | 1.1127 | 1.0798 | 1.0505 | 0.9461 | 0.9619 | 0.9759 |
|  |  |  | 105.0 | 1.1637 | 1.1159 | 1.0733 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
|  |  |  | 120.0 | 1.2177 | 1.1541 | 1.0975 | 1.0600 | 1.0424 | 1.0268 |
|  |  | $K_{\psi A}$ | 45.0 | -2.5613 | -2.5631 | -2.5646 | -1.0229 | -1.0292 | -1.0349 |
|  |  |  | 60.0 | -1.9053 | -1.9053 | -1.9053 | -0.4625 | -0.4653 | -0.4679 |
|  |  |  | 90.0 | -0.9169 | -0.9173 | -0.9177 | 0.4386 | 0.4385 | 0.4384 |
|  |  |  | 105.0 | -0.4656 | -0.4676 | -0.4694 | 0.8842 | 0.8842 | 0.8842 |
|  |  |  | 120.0 | 0.0161 | 0.0113 | 0.0071 | 1.3838 | 1.3829 | 1.3821 |
|  |  | $K_{\sigma 2}$ | 45.0 | 0.9243 | 0.9464 | 0.9661 | 0.7666 | 0.8350 | 0.8957 |
|  |  |  | 60.0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.8360 | 0.8840 | 0.9267 |
|  |  |  | 90.0 | 1.1127 | 1.0798 | 1.0505 | 0.9461 | 0.9619 | 0.9759 |
|  |  |  | 105.0 | 1.1637 | 1.1159 | 1.0733 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
|  |  |  | 120.0 | 1.2177 | 1.1541 | 1.0975 | 1.0600 | 1.0424 | 1.0268 |


| Loading and case no. | Load terms | Selected values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


 than $5 . R /\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right)$ should also be greater than 5 at both these positions. $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the moduli of elasticity and $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ the Poisson's ratios for the cone and the plate, respectively. $b_{1}=R_{1}-\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right) / 2, a_{1}=R_{1}+\left(t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}\right) / 2$, and $R_{A}=R_{1}$. See Table 13.3, case 4 , for formulas $k_{A}, \beta_{1}, \mu, C_{1}$, and the $F$ functions for the cone. See Table 11.2 for the formulas for $D_{2}$.
$K_{P 1}=1+\frac{R_{1}^{2}\left(1-v_{2}\right)}{a_{2}^{2}\left(1+v_{2}\right)}$
$K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad \begin{aligned} & L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\ & L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}\end{aligned}$
See cases 7a-7d for
these load terms
$C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, \quad C_{A A 1}=\frac{R_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{t_{1} \sqrt{2}}\left[\frac{k_{A}}{C_{1}}\left(F_{4 A}-\frac{4 v_{1}^{2}}{k_{A}^{2}} F_{2 A}\right)\right]$,

$$
C_{A A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2}^{2} R_{1} K_{P 1}}{6 D_{2}}
$$

$C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}, \quad C_{A B 1}=\frac{-R_{1}}{t_{1}}\left(\frac{\beta F_{7 A}}{C_{1}}\right)$,
$C_{A B 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1} t_{2} R_{1} K_{P 1}}{4 D_{2}}$
$C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}, \quad C_{B B 1}=\frac{R_{1} 2 \sqrt{2}}{t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{\beta^{2} F_{2 A}}{k_{A} C_{1}}\right)_{1}$,

$$
C_{B B 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{1}^{2} R_{1} K_{P 1}}{2 D_{2}}
$$

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)
Loading and case no.

Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the cone, and an axial load on the plate is reacted by the annular line load $w_{2}=q b_{1}^{2} /\left(2 R_{2}\right)$ at a radius $R_{2}$. For an enclosed pressure vessel superpose an axial load $P=q \pi b_{1}^{2}$ using case 7b.

$$
L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} \cos \alpha_{1}}, \quad L T_{A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2} b_{1}^{2}}{32 D_{2} t_{1} R_{1}} K_{P 2}
$$

where
$K_{P 2}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}\left(2 R_{2}^{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) K_{P 1} \quad \text { for } R_{2} \leqslant R_{1} \\ \left(2 R_{2}^{2}-b_{1}^{2}\right) K_{P 1}-2\left(R_{2}^{2}-R_{1}^{2}\right) \\ +4 R_{1}^{2} \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{1}} \text { for } R_{2} \geqslant R_{1}\end{array}\right.$
$L T_{A C}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1} t_{2} \sin \alpha_{1}}{12 D_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\frac{3 t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{8}\right) K_{P 1}$
$L T_{B 1}=\frac{-2 b_{1} \tan \alpha_{1}}{t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}}$
$L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1}^{2}}{16 D_{2} R_{1}} K_{P 2}$
$L T_{B C}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1} t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{8 D_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\frac{t_{1} \sin \alpha_{1}}{2}\right) K_{P 1}$

At the junction of the cone and plate,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =q t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1} \\
N_{1} & =-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{q}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

7c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction when $|\mu|>4 \dagger$ at position of zero pressure. If $|\mu|<4$ at this position, the discontinuity in pressure gradient introduces small deformations at the junction.


Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the cone balances any axial component of the pressure on the cone, and the axial load on the plate is reacted by the annular line load
$w_{2}=q_{1} b_{1}^{2} /\left(2 R_{2}\right)$ at a radius $R_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} \cos \alpha_{1}} & \\
L T_{A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2} b_{1}^{2}}{32 D_{2} t_{1} R_{1}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { For } K_{P 2} \text { use the } \\
\text { expressions from case } 7 \mathrm{a}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

For $L T_{A C}$ use the expression from case 7a
$L T_{B 1}=\frac{-b_{1}}{t_{1} \cos \alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{R_{1}}{x_{1}}+2 \tan \alpha_{1}\right)$
$L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1}^{2}}{16 D_{2} R_{1}} K_{P 2}$
For $L T_{B C}$ use the expression from case 7a

At the junction of the cone and plate,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1} \\
N_{1} & =-V_{1} \sin \alpha_{1} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For internal pressure, $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, x_{1}=R_{1}, a_{2}=a_{1}, R_{2}=0.7 R_{1}$, and for $R / t \cos \alpha>5$ and $R_{1} / t_{2}>4$. (Note: No correction terms are used.)

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -30 |  |  | 30 |  |  |
|  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 15 | 30 | 50 | 15 | 30 | 50 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7764 \\ & 1.9832 \\ & 2.2020 \\ & 2.3954 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4985 \\ & 2.8038 \\ & 3.1423 \\ & 3.4503 \\ & 3.9122 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9693 \\ & 3.3944 \\ & 3.8805 \\ & 4.3338 \\ & 5.0243 \end{aligned}$ | 1.7997 1.9143 2.0538 2.1871 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5362 \\ & 2.7550 \\ & 3.0132 \\ & 3.2577 \\ & 3.6388 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0107 \\ & 3.3559 \\ & 3.7634 \\ & 4.1520 \\ & 4.7582 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0786 \\ & 1.6780 \\ & 2.2541 \\ & 2.7328 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4887 \\ & 2.7748 \\ & 4.0782 \\ & 5.1990 \\ & 6.7888 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.6370 \\ 2.9876 \\ 5.4554 \\ 7.6390 \\ 10.7995 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5358 \\ & 0.8544 \\ & 1.2072 \\ & 1.5247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8534 \\ & 1.7465 \\ & 2.7112 \\ & 3.5749 \\ & 4.8492 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline-0.0645 \\ 1.7969 \\ 3.8157 \\ 5.6432 \\ 8.3528 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2290 \\ & 0.2295 \\ & 0.2173 \\ & 0.1997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1873 \\ & 0.1929 \\ & 0.1856 \\ & 0.1718 \\ & 0.1410 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1652 \\ & 0.1745 \\ & 0.1706 \\ & 0.1591 \\ & 0.1307 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1656 \\ & 0.1667 \\ & 0.1604 \\ & 0.1501 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1505 \\ & 0.1550 \\ & 0.1505 \\ & 0.1408 \\ & 0.1179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1407 \\ & 0.1486 \\ & 0.1461 \\ & 0.1372 \\ & 0.1142 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi / A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.4953 \\ & -2.7619 \\ & -2.1234 \\ & -1.6313 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5.9545 \\ & -4.8159 \\ & -3.7579 \\ & -2.9042 \\ & -1.7760 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9.1782 \\ & -7.5439 \\ & -5.9594 \\ & -4.6343 \\ & -2.8303 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -2.2131 \\ & -1.8429 \\ & -1.4738 \\ & -1.1675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.4588 \\ & -3.6961 \\ & -2.9444 \\ & -2.3150 \\ & -1.4529 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.5197 \\ & -6.2610 \\ & -5.0049 \\ & -3.9328 \\ & -2.4417 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2467 \\ & 0.2493 \\ & 0.2393 \\ & 0.2236 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1998 \\ & 0.2069 \\ & 0.2013 \\ & 0.1890 \\ & 0.1606 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1741 \\ & 0.1847 \\ & 0.1822 \\ & 0.1721 \\ & 0.1458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1476 \\ & 0.1475 \\ & 0.1399 \\ & 0.1282 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1379 \\ & 0.1413 \\ & 0.1355 \\ & 0.1245 \\ & 0.0997 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1317 \\ & 0.1385 \\ & 0.1348 \\ & 0.1248 \\ & 0.0999 \end{aligned}$ |
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## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

8. Spherical shell connected to another spherical shell. To ensure accuracy, $R / t>5$ and the junction angles for each of the spherical shells must lie within the range $3 / \beta<\phi<\pi-3 / \beta$. Each spherical
 shell must also extend with no interruptions such as a second junction or a cutout, such that $\theta>3 / \beta$. See the discussion on page 565. $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the moduli of elasticity and $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ the Poisson's ratios for the left and right spheres, respectively. $b_{1}=R_{1}-t_{1} / 2, a_{2}=R_{1}+t_{1} / 2$, and $R_{A}=R_{1} \sin \phi_{1}$. See Table 13.3, case 1, for formulas $K_{11},{ }^{*} K_{21},{ }^{*}$ and $\beta_{1}$ for the left-hand spherical shell. Similar expressions hold for $b_{2}, a_{2}, \beta_{2}$ and $K_{12}{ }^{*}$ and $K_{22}{ }^{*}$ for the right- hand sphere. Normally $R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}=R_{4}$, but if $\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}=180^{\circ}$ or close to $180^{\circ}$ the midthickness radii may not be equal at the junction. Under this condition a different set of correction terms will be used if necessary.
$K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\
L T_{B}=L T_{B 1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

See cases 8a-8d for these load terms
$C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, \quad C_{A A 1}=\frac{R_{1} \beta_{1} \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}}{t_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{K_{11}}+K_{21}\right), \quad C_{A A 2}=\frac{R_{A} E_{1} \beta_{2} \sin \phi_{2}}{E_{2} t_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{K_{12}}+K_{22}\right)$
$C_{A B}=C_{A B 1}+C_{A B 2}, \quad C_{A B 1}=\frac{-2 \beta_{1}^{2} \sin \phi_{1}}{K_{11}}, \quad C_{A B 2}=\frac{2 E_{1} R_{A} t_{1} \beta_{2}^{2}}{E_{2} R_{2} t_{2} K_{12}}$
$C_{B B}=C_{B B 1}+C_{B B 2}, \quad C_{B B 1}=\frac{4 t_{1} \beta_{1}^{3}}{R_{1} K_{11}}, \quad C_{B B 2}=\frac{4 E_{1} R_{A} t_{1}^{2} \beta_{2}^{3}}{R_{2}^{2} E_{2} t_{2} K_{12} \sin \phi_{2}}$
The stresses in the left sphere at the junction are given by
$\sigma_{1}=\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}}$
$\sigma_{2}=\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1}$
$\sigma_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}}$
$\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{V_{1} \beta_{1}^{2} \cos \phi_{1}}{K_{11} R_{1}}-\frac{6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} K_{11}}\left(v_{1}+\frac{1-v_{1} / 2}{\beta_{1} \tan \phi_{1}}\right)$
 $R / t>10$, they can be neglected.
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Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the left sphere balances any axial component of the pressure on the left sphere, and an axial load on the right end of the right sphere balances any axial component of the pressure on the right sphere and on the joint. For an enclosed pressure vessel superpose an axial load $P=q \pi b_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}$ using case 8 b .


* For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms.
$\dagger$ If $\phi_{1}+\phi_{2}=180^{\circ}$ or is close to $180^{\circ}$ the following correction terms should be used:
$L T_{A C}=\frac{b_{1}^{2}-b_{2}^{2}}{4 t_{1}^{2}}\left[\frac{a_{2}-b_{1}}{R_{1}} C_{A B 2}-\frac{2 E_{1} t_{1}}{E_{2} t_{2}}\left(1+v_{2}\right) \sin \phi_{2}\right], \quad L T_{B C}=\frac{b_{1}^{2}-b_{2}^{2}}{4 t_{1}^{2} R_{1}}\left(a_{2}-b_{1}\right) C_{B B 2}$
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## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

8d. Rotation around the axis of symmetry at $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$
$L T_{A 1}=\frac{R_{1}^{2} \sin ^{3} \phi_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}}$


Note: $\delta=$ mass/unit volume
$L T_{A 2}=\frac{-\delta_{2} R_{2}^{3} E_{1} \sin ^{3} \phi_{2}}{\delta_{1} R_{1} E_{2} t_{1}^{2}}$
$L T_{A C}=0$
$L T_{B 1}=\frac{-R_{1} \sin \phi_{1} \cos \phi_{1}\left(3+v_{1}\right)}{t_{1}}$
$L T_{B 2}=\frac{-\delta_{2} R_{2}^{2} E_{1}\left(3+v_{2}\right) \sin \phi_{2} \cos \phi_{2}}{\delta_{1} R_{1} t_{1} E_{2}}$
$L T_{B C}=0$

At the junction of the two spheres,
$V_{1}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{V 1}$
$M_{1}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{3} K_{M 1}$
$N_{1}=-V_{1} \cos \phi_{1}$
$\Delta R_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right)$
$\psi_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)$

For $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, t_{1}=t_{2}, R_{1} \sin \phi_{1}=R_{2} \sin \phi_{2}, \delta_{1}=\delta_{2}$, and for $R / t>5$.

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{3}}{E_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\delta_{1} \omega^{2} R_{1}^{2} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\phi_{2}$ | $\phi_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 75 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
|  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 10 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 20 | 50 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 75.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 135.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0047 \\ 0.0015 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0004 \\ 0.0047 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0033 \\ 0.0010 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0003 \\ 0.0034 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0020 \\ 0.0006 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0002 \\ 0.0021 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0034 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0014 \\ -0.0017 \\ 0.0025 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0024 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0010 \\ -0.0012 \\ 0.0018 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0015 \\ 0.0000 \\ -0.0006 \\ -0.0008 \\ 0.0012 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 75.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 135.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.6507 \\ -0.4616 \\ -0.3030 \\ -0.1538 \\ 0.3931 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9283 \\ -0.6568 \\ -0.4302 \\ -0.2180 \\ 0.5534 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.4790 \\ -1.0441 \\ -0.6826 \\ -0.3453 \\ 0.8714 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.1829 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.1475 \\ 0.2795 \\ 0.7152 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.2595 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.2082 \\ 0.3937 \\ 1.0009 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.4114 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.3285 \\ 0.6201 \\ 1.5678 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 75.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 135.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6872 \\ & 0.7508 \\ & 0.8032 \\ & 0.8518 \\ & 1.0249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7500 \\ & 0.7949 \\ & 0.8319 \\ & 0.8663 \\ & 0.9886 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8056 \\ & 0.8340 \\ & 0.8574 \\ & 0.8791 \\ & 0.9565 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5965 \\ & 0.6495 \\ & 0.6915 \\ & 0.7284 \\ & 0.8470 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6121 \\ & 0.6495 \\ & 0.6792 \\ & 0.7053 \\ & 0.7891 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6259 \\ & 0.6495 \\ & 0.6683 \\ & 0.6848 \\ & 0.7378 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 75.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 135.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9617 \\ -0.4365 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.4076 \\ 1.8800 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9655 \\ -0.4377 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.4080 \\ 1.8754 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9689 \\ -0.4388 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.4083 \\ 1.8713 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.9161 \\ -1.4289 \\ -1.0397 \\ -0.6940 \\ 0.4324 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.9188 \\ -1.4289 \\ -1.0385 \\ -0.6924 \\ 0.4299 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.9213 \\ -1.4289 \\ -1.0374 \\ -0.6911 \\ 0.4278 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45.0 \\ 60.0 \\ 75.0 \\ 90.0 \\ 135.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7115 \\ & 0.7773 \\ & 0.8315 \\ & 0.8818 \\ & 1.0610 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7764 \\ & 0.8229 \\ & 0.8613 \\ & 0.8968 \\ & 1.0235 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8340 \\ & 0.8634 \\ & 0.8876 \\ & 0.9101 \\ & 0.9902 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6888 \\ & 0.7500 \\ & 0.7984 \\ & 0.8411 \\ & 0.9780 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7068 \\ & 0.7500 \\ & 0.7842 \\ & 0.8144 \\ & 0.9112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7227 \\ & 0.7500 \\ & 0.7716 \\ & 0.7907 \\ & 0.8519 \end{aligned}$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
K_{P 1}=1+\frac{R_{A}^{2}\left(1-v_{2}\right)}{a_{2}^{2}\left(1+v_{2}\right)} & \\
K_{V 1}=\frac{L T_{A} C_{B B}-L T_{B} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, \quad K_{M 1}=\frac{L T_{B} C_{A A}-L T_{A} C_{A B}}{C_{A A} C_{B B}-C_{A B}^{2}}, & L T_{A}=L T_{A 1}+L T_{A 2}+L T_{A C} \\
C_{A A}=C_{A A 1}+C_{A A 2}, & C_{A A 1}=\frac{R_{1} \beta \sin _{B 1} \phi_{1}+L T_{B 2}+L T_{B C}}{t_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{K_{1}}+K_{2}\right),
\end{array} \quad C_{A A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2}^{2} R_{A} K_{P 1}}{6 D_{2}} . \begin{aligned}
& \text { See cases 9a-9d for } \\
& \text { these load terms }
\end{aligned}
$$

9. Spherical shell connected to a circular plate. Expressions are accurate if $R_{1} / t_{1}>5$ and $R_{1} / t_{2}>4$. The junction angle for each the spherical shells must lie within the range $3 / \beta<\phi_{1}<\pi-3 / \beta$. The spherical shell must also extend with no interruptions such as a second junction or a cutout, such that $\theta_{1}>3 / \beta$. See the discussion on page 565 . $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are the moduli of elasticity and $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ the Poisson's ratios for the sphere and plate, respectively. $b_{1}=R_{1}-t_{1} / 2, a_{1}=R_{1}+t_{1} / 2$, and $\sin \phi_{1}=R_{A} / R_{1}$. See Table 13.3, case 1, for formulas for $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and $\beta$ for the spherical shell. See Table 11.2 for the formula for $D_{2}$.

The stresses in the left sphere at the junction are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{N_{1}}{t_{1}} \\
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{\Delta R_{A} E_{1}}{R_{A}}+v_{1} \sigma_{1} \\
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{-6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\
\sigma_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{V_{1} \beta^{2} \cos \phi_{1}}{K_{1} R_{1}}-\frac{6 M_{1}}{t_{1}^{2} K_{1}}\left(v_{1}+\frac{1-v_{1} / 2}{\beta \tan \phi_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The use of joint load correction terms $L T_{A C}$ and $L T_{B C}$ depends upon the accuracy desired and the relative values of the thicknesses and the radii. Read Sec. 13.4 carefully. For thin-walled shells, $R / t>10$, they can be neglected.

TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)


Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the left sphere
balances any axial component of the pressure on sphere, and the axial load on the plate is reacted by the annular line load $w_{2}=q b_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{1} /\left(2 R_{2}\right)$ at a radius $R_{2}$. For an enclosed pressure vessel superpose an axial load $P=q \pi b_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}$ using case 9 b .
Load terms
$L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1}^{2} \sin \phi_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} . \quad L T_{A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2} b_{1}^{2} \sin \phi_{1}}{32 D_{2} t_{1} R_{1}} K_{p_{2}}$
where $K_{P 2}=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{rr}
\left(2 R_{2}^{2}-b_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right) K_{P 1} & \text { for } R_{2} \leqslant R_{A} \\
\left(2 R_{2}^{2}-b_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{1}\right) K_{P 1}-2\left(R_{2}^{2}-R_{A}^{2}\right)+4 R_{A}^{2} \ln \frac{R_{2}}{R_{A}}
\end{array}\right. \\
& L T_{A C}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1} t_{2} \sin \phi_{1} \cos \phi_{1}}{12 D_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\frac{3 t_{1} \cos \phi_{1}}{8}\right) R_{P 1}
\end{aligned} ~\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L T_{B 1}=0 \\
L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1}^{2} \sin \phi_{1}}{16 D_{2} R_{1}} K_{P 2} \\
L T_{B C}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1} t_{1} \sin \phi_{1} \cos \phi_{1}}{8 D_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\frac{t_{1} \cos \phi_{1}}{2}\right) K_{P 1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

At the junction of the sphere and plate,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =q t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1} \\
N_{1} & =-V_{1} \cos \phi_{1} \\
\Delta R_{A} & =\frac{q t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A} & =\frac{q}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Selected values

For internal pressure, $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, a_{2}=a_{1} \sin \phi_{1}, R_{2}=0.8 a_{2}$, and for $R / t>5$ and $R_{A} / t_{2}>4$. (Note: No correction terms are used.)

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $\phi_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
|  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 15 | 30 | 50 | 15 | 30 | 50 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7234 \\ & 3.4877 \\ & 3.3436 \\ & 3.2675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1706 \\ & 7.3413 \\ & 6.6565 \\ & 6.1516 \\ & 5.5526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15.4808 \\ 13.6666 \\ 11.9903 \\ 10.6380 \\ 8.8672 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4307 \\ & 3.1733 \\ & 3.0014 \\ & 2.8981 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6706 \\ & 6.8420 \\ & 6.1506 \\ & 5.6384 \\ & 5.0264 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6997 \\ 12.9155 \\ 11.2632 \\ 9.9338 \\ 8.2023 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2795 \\ & 4.6874 \\ & 4.3589 \\ & 4.1955 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.5856 \\ & 16.5098 \\ & 14.1907 \\ & 12.5748 \\ & 10.7573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.6523 \\ & 44.7285 \\ & 37.1658 \\ & 31.3788 \\ & 24.1731 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.2058 \\ & 4.4942 \\ & 4.0654 \\ & 3.8241 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.4818 \\ 16.1774 \\ 13.6690 \\ 11.9177 \\ 9.9411 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.5198 \\ & 44.2151 \\ & 36.3338 \\ & 30.3314 \\ & 22.9142 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\Delta R A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0508 \\ & 0.0484 \\ & 0.0535 \\ & 0.0582 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0690 \\ & -0.0825 \\ & -0.0722 \\ & -0.0556 \\ & -0.0261 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1820 \\ & -0.2140 \\ & -0.2040 \\ & -0.1778 \\ & -0.1218 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0121 \\ & 0.0121 \\ & 0.0207 \\ & 0.0290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1011 \\ & -0.1124 \\ & -0.0984 \\ & -0.0780 \\ & -0.0427 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2113 \\ & -0.2414 \\ & -0.2277 \\ & -0.1975 \\ & -0.1355 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9467 \\ 0.3077 \\ -0.0078 \\ -0.1516 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1118 \\ & 4.6745 \\ & 3.0100 \\ & 1.9308 \\ & 0.8078 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.4155 \\ 14.8148 \\ 10.4710 \\ 7.3488 \\ 3.7130 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5646 \\ & 0.7591 \\ & 0.3206 \\ & 0.0922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.1319 \\ & 5.4222 \\ & 3.5412 \\ & 2.3109 \\ & 1.0178 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.9534 \\ 15.9567 \\ 11.2759 \\ 7.9116 \\ 4.0052 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0214 \\ & 0.0210 \\ & 0.0283 \\ & 0.0345 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1205 \\ & -0.1320 \\ & -0.1167 \\ & -0.0949 \\ & -0.0579 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2566 \\ & -0.2881 \\ & -0.2716 \\ & -0.2372 \\ & -0.1673 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0483 \\ & 0.0457 \\ & 0.0539 \\ & 0.0624 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0784 \\ & -0.0956 \\ & -0.0829 \\ & -0.0619 \\ & -0.0242 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1999 \\ & -0.2400 \\ & -0.2991 \\ & -0.1982 \\ & -0.1319 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)



## TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)

9c. Hydrostatic internal* pressure $q_{1}$ at the junction where the angle to the position of zero pressure, $\theta>3 / \beta$. $\dagger$ If $\theta<3 / \beta$ the discontinuity in pressure gradient introduces small deformations at the junction.


Note: There is no axial load on the junction. An axial load on the left end of the sphere balances any axial component of the pressure on the sphere, and the axial load on the plate is reacted by the annular line load $w_{2}=q_{1} b_{1}^{2} \sin ^{2} \phi_{1} /\left(2 R_{2}\right)$ at a radius $R_{2}$.
$\begin{array}{ll}L T_{A 1}=\frac{b_{1}^{2} \sin \phi_{1}}{t_{1}^{2}} \\ L T_{A 2}=\frac{E_{1} t_{2} b_{1}^{2} \sin \phi_{1}}{32 D_{2} t_{1} R_{1}} K_{P 2} & \begin{array}{l}\text { For } K_{P 2} \text { use the } \\ \text { expressions from case 9a }\end{array}\end{array}$
For $L T_{A C}$ use the expression from case 9 a
$L T_{B 1}=\frac{-b_{1} R_{1} \sin \phi_{1}}{x_{1} t_{1}}$
$L T_{B 2}=\frac{E_{1} b_{1}^{2} \sin \phi_{1}}{16 D_{2} R_{1}} K_{P 2}$
For $L T_{B C}$ use the expression from case 9 a

At the junction of the sphere and plate,

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{1}=q_{1} t_{1} K_{V 1}, \quad M_{1}=q_{1} t_{1}^{2} K_{M 1} \\
N_{1}=-V_{1} \cos \phi_{1} \\
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} t_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(L T_{A 1}-K_{V 1} C_{A A 1}-K_{M 1} C_{A B 1}\right) \\
\psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1}}{E_{1}}\left(-L T_{B 1}+K_{V 1} C_{A B 1}+K_{M 1} C_{B B 1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For internal pressure, $E_{1}=E_{2}, v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3, x_{1}=R_{1}, a_{2}=a_{1} \sin \phi_{1}, R_{2}=0.8 a_{2}$, and for $R / t>5$ and $R_{A} / t_{2}>4$. (Note: No correction terms are used.)

$$
\Delta R_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}^{2}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\Delta R A}, \quad \psi_{A}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{E_{1} t_{1}} K_{\psi A}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{q_{1} R_{1}}{t_{1}} K_{\sigma 2}
$$

|  | $\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ | $\phi_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
|  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  | $R_{1} / t_{1}$ |  |  |
|  |  | 15 | 30 | 50 | 15 | 30 | 50 |
| $K_{V 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6924 \\ & 3.4106 \\ & 3.2236 \\ & 3.1120 \end{aligned}$ | 8.1443 <br> 7.2712 <br> 6.5428 <br> 6.0007 <br> 5.3486 | $\begin{array}{r} 15.4580 \\ 13.6031 \\ 11.8838 \\ 10.4934 \\ 8.6670 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4040 \\ & 3.1050 \\ & 2.8953 \\ & 2.7617 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6470 \\ & 6.7779 \\ & 6.0468 \\ & 5.5011 \\ & 4.8425 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.6788 \\ 12.8563 \\ 11.1641 \\ 9.7996 \\ 8.0177 \end{array}$ |
| $K_{M 1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0776 \\ & 4.3702 \\ & 3.9440 \\ & 3.7048 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.3466 \\ 16.1090 \\ 13.6425 \\ 11.9073 \\ 9.9290 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.3882 \\ & 44.2642 \\ & 36.5081 \\ & 30.5580 \\ & 23.1258 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0013 \\ & 4.1753 \\ & 3.6524 \\ & 3.3402 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.2411 \\ 15.7756 \\ 13.1232 \\ 11.2576 \\ 9.1306 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.2544 \\ & 43.7503 \\ & 35.6786 \\ & 29.5179 \\ & 21.8848 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\triangle R A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0262 \\ & 0.0235 \\ & 0.0302 \\ & 0.0371 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0831 \\ & -0.0973 \\ & -0.0864 \\ & -0.0685 \\ & -0.0364 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1912 \\ & -0.2239 \\ & -0.2137 \\ & -0.1867 \\ & -0.1290 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0122 \\ -0.0122 \\ -0.0016 \\ 0.0090 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1152 \\ & -0.1270 \\ & -0.1122 \\ & -0.0904 \\ & -0.0525 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2205 \\ & -0.2512 \\ & -0.2371 \\ & -0.2062 \\ & -0.1424 \end{aligned}$ |
| $K_{\psi A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4212 \\ & 0.6584 \\ & 0.2494 \\ & 0.0391 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.6631 \\ & 5.0979 \\ & 3.3278 \\ & 2.1689 \\ & 0.9467 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.0176 \\ 15.2914 \\ 10.8366 \\ 7.6264 \\ 3.8764 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0380 \\ & 1.1033 \\ & 0.5689 \\ & 0.2736 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6826 \\ & 5.8410 \\ & 3.8521 \\ & 2.5416 \\ & 1.1502 \end{aligned}$ | 22.5550 <br> 16.4299 <br> 11.6362 <br> 8.1831 <br> 4.1631 |
| $K_{\sigma 2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 2.0 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.0067 \\ -0.0070 \\ 0.0026 \\ 0.0117 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.1367 \\ & -0.1487 \\ & -0.1325 \\ & -0.1091 \\ & -0.0688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2671 \\ & -0.2994 \\ & -0.2824 \\ & -0.2470 \\ & -0.1749 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0199 \\ & 0.0170 \\ & 0.0271 \\ & 0.0380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.0948 \\ & -0.1128 \\ & -0.0993 \\ & -0.0769 \\ & -0.0364 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2106 \\ & -0.2515 \\ & -0.2403 \\ & -0.2087 \\ & -0.1404 \end{aligned}$ |

[^36]TABLE 13.4 Formulas for discontinuity stresses and deformations at the junctions of shells and plates (Continued)


## TABLE 13.5 Formulas for thick-walled vessels under internal and external loading

NOTATION: $q=$ unit pressure (force per unit area); $\delta$ and $\delta_{b}=$ radial body forces (force per unit volume); $a=$ outer radius; $b=$ inner radius; $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\sigma_{3}$ are normal stresses in the longitudinal, circumferential, and radial directions, respectively (positive when tensile); $E=$ modulus of elasticity; $v=$ Poisson's ratio. $\Delta a, \Delta b$, and $\Delta l$ are the changes in the radii $a$ and $b$ and in the length $l$, respectively. $\varepsilon_{1}=$ unit normal strain in the longitudinal direction

| Case no., form of vessel | Case no., manner of loading | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cylindrical disk or shell | 1a. Uniform internal radial pressure $q$, longitudinal pressure zero or externally balanced; for a disk or a shell | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{q b^{2}\left(a^{2}+r^{2}\right)}{r^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}, \quad\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=q \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}, \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \sigma_{3} & =\frac{-q b^{2}\left(a^{2}-r^{2}\right)}{r^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}, \quad\left(\sigma_{3}\right)_{\max }=-q, \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \tau_{\max } & =\frac{\sigma_{2}-\sigma_{3}}{2}=q \frac{a^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}, \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \Delta a & =\frac{q}{E} \frac{2 a b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}, \quad \Delta b=\frac{q b}{E}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}+v\right), \quad \Delta l=\frac{-q v l}{E} \frac{2 b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |

1b. Uniform internal pressure $q$, in all directions; ends capped; for a disk or a shell

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\frac{q b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \quad\left[\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3},\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max },\left(\sigma_{3}\right)_{\max }, \text { and } \tau_{\max } \text { are the same as for case 1a }\right] \\
\Delta a & =\frac{q a}{E} \frac{b^{2}(2-v)}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \\
\Delta b & =\frac{q b}{E} \frac{a^{2}(1+v)+b^{2}(1-2 v)}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \\
\Delta l & =\frac{q l}{E} \frac{b^{2}(1-2 v)}{a^{2}-b^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

1c. Uniform external radial pressure $q$, longitudinal pressure zero or externally

$$
\sigma_{1}=0
$$ balanced; for a disk or a shell

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\sigma_{2} & =\frac{-q a^{2}\left(b^{2}+r^{2}\right)}{r^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}, & \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{-q 2 a^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}, \quad \text { at } r=b \\
\sigma_{3} & =\frac{-q a^{2}\left(r^{2}-b^{2}\right)}{r^{2}\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}, & \left(\sigma_{3}\right)_{\max } & =-q, \quad \text { at } r=a \\
\tau_{\max } & =\frac{\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }}{2}=\frac{q a^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}} & \text { at } r=b \\
\Delta a & =\frac{-q a}{E}\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}-v\right), & \Delta b & =\frac{-q}{E} \frac{2 a^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}, \quad \Delta l=\frac{q v l}{E} \frac{2 a^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

| Case no., form of vessel | Case no., manner of loading | Formulas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1d. Uniform external pressure $q$ in all directions; ends capped; for a disk or a shell | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\frac{-q a^{2}}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \quad\left[\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3},\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max },\left(\sigma_{3}\right)_{\max }, \text { and } \tau_{\max } \text { are the same as for case } 1 \mathrm{c}\right] \\ \Delta a & =\frac{-q a}{E} \frac{a^{2}(1-2 v)+b^{2}(1+v)}{a^{2}-b^{2}}, \quad \Delta b=\frac{-q b}{E} \frac{a^{2}(2-v)}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \\ \Delta l & =\frac{-q l}{E} \frac{a^{2}(1-2 v)}{a^{2}-b^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1e. Uniformly distributed radial body force $\delta$ acting outward throughout the wall; for a disk only | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =0 \\ \sigma_{2} & =\frac{\delta(2+v)}{3(a+b)}\left[a^{2}+a b+b^{2}-(a+b)\left(\frac{1+2 v}{2+v}\right) r+\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{r^{2}}\right] \\ \left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{\delta a^{2}}{3}\left[\frac{2(2+v)}{a+b}+\frac{b}{a^{2}}(1-v)\right] \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \sigma_{3} & =\frac{\delta(2+v)}{3(a+b)}\left[a^{2}+a b+b^{2}-(a+b) r-\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{r^{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: $\sigma_{3}=0$ at both $r=b$ and $r=a$.) $\begin{aligned} \tau_{\max } & =\frac{\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }}{2} \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \Delta a & =\frac{\delta a^{2}}{3 E}\left[1-v+\frac{2(2+v) b^{2}}{a(a+b)}\right], \quad \Delta b=\frac{\delta a b}{3 E}\left[\frac{b}{a}(1-v)+\frac{2 a(2+v)}{a+b}\right] \\ \varepsilon_{1} & =\frac{-\delta a v}{E}\left[\frac{2\left(a^{2}+a b+b^{2}\right)}{3 a(a+b)}(2+v)-\frac{r}{a}(1+v)\right] \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1f. Linearly varying radial body force from $\delta_{b}$ outward at $r=b$ to zero at $r=a$; for a disk only | $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{1}=0 \\ & \sigma_{2}=\delta_{b}\left[\frac{(7+5 v) a^{4}-8(2+v) a b^{3}+3(3+v) b^{4}}{24(a-b)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}-\frac{(1+2 v) a}{3(a-b)} r+\frac{1+3 v}{8(a-b)} r^{2}+\frac{b^{2} a^{2}}{24 r^{2}} \frac{(7+5 v) a^{2}-8(2+v) a b+3(3+v) b^{2}}{(a-b)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}\right] \\ & \sigma_{3}=\delta_{b}\left[\frac{(7+5 v) a^{4}-8(2+v) a b^{3}+3(3+v) b^{4}}{24(a-b)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}-\frac{(2+v) a}{3(a-b)} r+\frac{(3+v)}{8(a-b)} r^{2}-\frac{b^{2} a^{2}}{24 r^{2}} \frac{(7+5 v) a-3(3+v) b}{a^{2}-b^{2}}\right] \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: $\sigma_{3}=0$ at both $r=b$ and $r=a$ ) $\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=\frac{\delta_{b}}{12} \frac{2 a^{4}+(1+v) a^{2}\left(5 a^{2}-12 a b+6 b^{2}\right)-(1-v) b^{3}(4 a-3 b)}{(a-b)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)} \quad \text { at } r=b$ |

## TABLE 13.5 Formulas for thick-walled vessels under internal and external loading (Continued)

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} \tau_{\max } & =\frac{\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }}{2} \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \Delta a & =\frac{\delta_{b} a}{12 E} \frac{(1-v) a^{4}-8(2+v) a b^{3}+3(3+v) b^{4}+6(1+v) a^{2} b^{2}}{(a-b)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)} \\ \Delta b & =\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max } \frac{b}{E} \\ \varepsilon_{1} & =\frac{-\delta_{b} v}{E}\left[\frac{(7+5 v) a^{4}-8(2+v) a b^{3}+3(3+v) b^{4}}{12(a-b)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)}-\frac{1+v}{a-b}\left(a-\frac{r}{2}\right) r\right] \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Spherical | 2a. Uniform internal pressure $q$ | $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1} & =\sigma_{2}=\frac{q b^{3}}{2 r^{3}} \frac{a^{3}+2 r^{3}}{a^{3}-b^{3}}, & \left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\max }=\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=\frac{q}{2} \frac{a^{3}+2 b^{3}}{a^{3}-b^{3}} \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \sigma_{3} & =\frac{-q b^{3}}{r^{3}} \frac{a^{3}-r^{3}}{a^{3}-b^{3}}, & \left(\sigma_{3}\right)_{\max }=-q \quad \text { at } r=b \\ \tau_{\max } & =\frac{q 3 a^{3}}{4\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)} \quad \text { at } r=b & \end{aligned}$ <br> The inner surface yields at $q=\frac{2 \sigma_{y}}{3}\left(1-\frac{b^{3}}{a^{3}}\right) \quad$ (Ref. 20) $\begin{equation*} \Delta a=\frac{q a}{E} \frac{3(1-v) b^{3}}{2\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)}, \quad \Delta b=\frac{q b}{E}\left[\frac{(1-v)\left(a^{3}+2 b^{3}\right)}{2\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)}+v\right] \tag{Ref.3} \end{equation*}$ |
|  | 2b. Uniform external pressure $q$ | $\begin{align*} & \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\frac{-q a^{3}}{2 r^{3}} \frac{b^{3}+2 r^{3}}{a^{3}-b^{3}}, \quad\left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\max }=\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\max }=\frac{-q 3 a^{3}}{2\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)} \quad \text { at } r=b \\ & \sigma_{3}=\frac{-q a^{3}}{r^{3}} \frac{r^{3}-b^{3}}{a^{3}-b^{3}}, \quad\left(\sigma_{3}\right)_{\max }=-q \quad \text { at } r=a \\ & \Delta a=\frac{-q a}{E}\left[\frac{(1-v)\left(b^{3}+2 a^{3}\right)}{2\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)}-v\right], \quad \Delta b=\frac{-q b}{E} \frac{3(1-v) a^{3}}{2\left(a^{3}-b^{3}\right)} \quad \text { (Ref. 3) } \tag{Ref.3} \end{align*}$ |
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## 14

## Bodies under Direct Bearing and Shear Stress

### 14.1 Stress due to Pressure between Elastic Bodies

The stresses caused by the pressure between elastic bodies are of importance in conection with the design or investigation of ball and roller bearings, gears, trunnions, expansion rollers, track stresses, etc. Hertz (Ref. 1) developed the mathematical theory for the surface stresses and deformations produced by pressure between curved bodies, and the results of his analysis are supported by experiment. Formulas based on this theory give the maximum compressive stresses, which occur at the center of the surfaces of contact, but not the maximum shear stresses, which occur in the interiors of the compressed parts, nor the maximum tensile stress, which occurs at the boundary of the contact area and is normal thereto.
Both surface and subsurface stresses were studied by Belajef (Refs. 28 and 29), and some of his results are cited in Ref. 6. A tabulated summary of surface and subsurface stresses, greatly facilitating calculation, is given in Ref. 33. For a cylinder on a plane and for crossed cylinders Thomas and Hoersch (Ref. 2) investigated mathematically surface compression and internal shear and checked the calculated value of the latter experimentally. The stresses due to the pressure of a sphere on a plate (Ref. 3) and of a cylinder on a plate (Ref. 4) have also been investigated by photoelasticity. The deformation and contact area for a ball in a race were measured by Whittemore and Petrenko (Ref. 8) and compared with the theoretical values. Additionally, investigations have considered the influence of tangential loading combined with normal loading (Refs. 35, 47-49, and 58).

In Table 14.1, formulas are given for the elastic stress and deformation produced by pressure between bodies of various forms, and for the
dimensions of the circular, elliptical, or rectangular area of contact formed by the compressed surfaces. Except where otherwise indicated, these equations are based on Hertz's theory, which assumes the length of the cylinder and dimensions of the plate to be infinite. For a very short cylinder and for a plate having a width less than five or six times that of the contact area or a thickness less than five or six times the depth to the point of maximum shear stress, the actual stresses may vary considerably from the values indicated by the theory (see Refs. 4, 45, and 50). Tu (Ref. 50) discusses the stresses and deformations for a plate pressed between two identical elastic spheres with no friction; graphs are also presented. Pu and Hussain (Ref. 51) consider the unbonded contact between a flat plate and an elastic half-space when a normal load is applied to the plate. Graphs of the contact radii are presented for a concentrated load and two distributed loadings on circular areas.

Hertz (Ref. 1) based his work on the assumption that the contact area was small compared with the radius of the ball or cylinder; Goodman and Keer (Ref. 52) compare the work of Hertz with a solution which permits the contact area to be larger, such as the case when the negative radius of one surface is only very slightly larger ( 1.01 to 1 ) than the positive radius of the other. Cooper (Ref. 53) presents some reformulated hertzian coefficients in a more easily interpolated form and also points out some numerical errors in the coefficients originally published by Hertz. Dundurs and Stippes (Ref. 54) discuss the effect of Poisson's ratio on contact stress problems.

The use of the formulas of Table 14.1 is illustrated in the example at the end of this section. The general formula for case 4 can be used, as in the example, for any contact-stress problems involving any geometrically regular bodies except parallel cylinders, but for bearing calculations use should be made of charts such as those given in Refs. 33 and 34 , which not only greatly facilitate calculations but provide for influences not taken into account in the formulas.

Because of the very small area involved in what initially approximates a point or line contact, contact stresses for even light loads are very high; but as the formulas show, the stresses do not increase in proportion to the loading. Furthermore, because of the facts that the stress is highly localized and triaxial, the actual stress intensity can be very high without producing apparent damage. To make use of the Hertz formulas for purposes of design or safe-load determination, it is necessary to know the relationship between theoretical stresses and likelihood of failure, whether from excessive deformation or fracture. In discussing this relationship, it is convenient to refer to the computed stress as the Hertz stress, whether the elastic range has been exceeded or not. Some of the available information showing the Hertz stress corresponding to loadings found to be safe and to loadings
that produced excessive deformations or fracture may be summarized as follows.

## Static or near-static conditions

Cylinder. The American Railway Engineering Association gives as the allowable loading for a steel cylinder on a flat steel plate the formulas

$$
p= \begin{cases}\frac{\sigma_{y}-13,000}{20,000} 600 d & \text { for } d<25 \text { in } \\ \frac{\sigma_{y}-13,000}{20,000} 3000 \sqrt{d} & \text { for } 25<d<125 \text { in }\end{cases}
$$

Here (and in subsequent equations) $p$ is the load per linear inch in pounds, $d$ is the diameter of the cylinder in inches, and $\sigma_{y}$ is the tensile yield point of the steel in the roller or plate, whichever is lower. If $\sigma_{y}$ is taken as $32,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, the Hertz stress corresponding to this loading is constant at $76,200 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ for any diameter up to 25 in and decreases as $d^{-1 / 4}$ to 50,900 at $d=125 \mathrm{in}$. See Ref. 10 .

Wilson (Refs. 7, 11, and 32) carried out several series of static and slow-rolling tests on large rollers. From static tests on rollers of medium-grade cast steel having diameters of 120 to 720 in , he concluded that the load per linear inch required to produce appreciable permanent set could be represented by the empirical formula $p=500+110 d$, provided the bearing plates were 3 in thick or more. He found that $p$ increased with the axial length of the roller up to a length of 6 in , after which it remained practically constant (Ref. 32). Slow-rolling tests (Ref. 11) undertaken to determine the load required to produce a permanent elongation or spread of $0.001 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{in}$ in the bearing plate led to the empirical formula

$$
p=(18,000+120 d) \frac{\sigma_{y}-13,000}{23,000}
$$

for rollers with $d>120 \mathrm{in}$. Wilson's tests indicated that the average pressure on the area of contact required to produce set was greater for small rollers than for large rollers, and that there was little difference in bearing capacity under static and slow-rolling conditions, though the latter showed more tendency to produce surface deterioration.

Jensen (Ref. 4), making use of Wilson's test results and taking into account the three-dimensional aspect of the problem, proposed for the load-producing set the formula

$$
p=\left(1+\frac{1.78}{1+d^{2} / 800 L^{2}}\right) \frac{\sigma_{y}^{2} d \pi}{E}
$$

where $L$ is the length of the cylinder in inches and $E$ is the modulus of elasticity in pounds per square inch. For values of the ratio $d / L$ from 0.1 to 10 , the corresponding Hertz stress ranges from $1.66 \sigma_{y}$ to $1.72 \sigma_{y}$.

Whittemore (Ref. 8) found that the elastic limit load for a flexible roller of hardened steel (tensile strength about 265,000 lb/in ${ }^{2}$ ) tested between slightly hardened races corresponded to a Hertz stress of about $436,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The roller failed before the races.

Sphere. Tests reported in Whittemore and Petrenko (Ref. 8) gave, for balls $1,1 \frac{1}{4}$, and $1 \frac{1}{2}$ in in diameter, tested between straight races, Hertz stresses of $239,000,232,000$, and $212,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, respectively, at loads producing a permanent strain of 0.0001 . The balls were of steel having sclerescope hardness of 60 to 68 , and the races were of approximately the same hardness. The critical strain usually occurred first in the races.

From the results of crushing tests of a sphere between two similar spheres, SKF derived the empirical formula $P=1960(8 d)^{1.75}$, where $P$ is the crushing load in pounds and $d$ is the diameter of the sphere in inches. The test spheres were made of steel believed to be of hardness 64 to 66 Rockwell C, and the formula corresponds to a Hertz stress of about $4,000,000 \times d^{-1 / 12}$.

Knife-edge. Knife-edge pivots are widely used in scales and balances, and if accuracy is to be maintained, the bearing loads must not cause excessive deformation. It is impossible for a truly sharp edge to bear against a flat plane without suffering plastic deformation, and so pivots are not designed on the supposition that the contact stresses will be elastic; instead, the maximum load per inch consistent with the requisite degree of accuracy in weighing is determined by experience or by testing. In Wilson et al. (Ref. 9), the National Bureau of Standards is quoted as recommending that for heavy service the load per linear inch should not exceed $5000 \mathrm{lb} /$ in for high-carbon steels or 6000 for special alloy steels; for light service the values can be increased to 6000 and 7000, respectively. In the tests described in Ref. 9, the maximum load that could be sustained without damagethe so-called critical load-was defined as the load per linear inch that produced an increase in the edge width of 0.0005 in or a sudden increase in the load rate of vertical deformation. The two methods gave about the same results when the bearing was harder than the pivot, as it should be for good operation. The conclusions drawn from the reported tests may be summarized as follows.

The bearing value of a knife-edge or pivot varies approximately with the wedge angle for angles of $30^{\circ}-120^{\circ}$, the bearing value of a flat pivot varies approximately with the width of the edge for widths of $0.004-$ 0.04 in, and the bearing value of pivots increases with the hardness for
variations in hardness of 45-60 on the Rockwell C scale. Successive applications of a load less than the critical load will cause no plastic flow; the edge of a pivot originally sharp will increase in width with the load, but no further plastic deformation is produced by successive applications of the same or smaller loads. The application of a load greater than the critical load will widen the edge at the first application, but additional applications of the same load will not cause additional flow; the average unit pressure on $90^{\circ}$ pivots having a hardness represented by Rockwell C numbers of $50-60$ is about $400,000-500,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ at the critical load. This critical unit pressure appears to be independent of the width of the edge but increases with the pivot angle and the hardness of the material (Ref. 9).

These tests and the quoted recommendations relate to applications involving heavy loads (thousands of pounds) and reasonable accuracy. For light loads and extreme accuracy, as in analytical balances, the pressures are limited to much smaller values. Thus, in Ref. 39, on the assumptions that an originally sharp edge indents the bearing and that the common surface becomes cylindrical, it is stated that the radius of the loaded edge must not exceed $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (approximately 0.00001 in ) if satisfactory accuracy is to be attained, and that the corresponding loading would be about $35,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ of contact area.

Dynamic conditions. If the motion involved is a true rolling motion without any slip, then under conditions of slow motion (expansion rollers, bascules, etc.) the stress conditions are comparable with those produced by static loading. This is indicated by a comparison of the conclusions reached in Ref. 7, where the conditions are truly static, with those reached in Ref. 11, where there is a slow-rolling action. If there is even a slight amount of slip, however, the conditions are very much more severe and failure is likely to occur through mechanical wear. The only guide to proper design against wear is real or simulated service testing (Refs. 24, 41, and 46).
When the motion involved is at high speed and produces cyclic loading, as in ball and roller bearings, fatigue is an important consideration. A great many tests have been made to determine the fatigue properties of bearings, especially ball bearings, and such tests have been carried out to as many as 1 billion cycles and with Hertz stresses up to $750,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ (Ref. 37). The number of cycles to damage (either spalling or excessive deformation) has been found to be inversely proportional to the cube of the load for point contact (balls) and to the fourth power for line contact; this would be inversely proportional to the ninth and eighth powers, respectively, of the Hertz stress. Styri (Ref. 40) found the cycles to failure to vary as the ninth power of the Hertz stress and was unable to establish a true endurance limit. Some of these tests show that ball bearings can run for a great number of
cycles at very high stresses; for example, $\frac{1}{2}$-in balls of SAE 52,100 steel (RC 63-64) withstood $17,500,000$ cycles at a stress of $174,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ before $10 \%$ failures occurred, and withstood $700,000,000$ cycles at that stress before $90 \%$ failures occurred.

One difficulty in correlating different tests on bearings is the difference in criteria for judging damage; some experimenters have defined failure as a certain permanent deformation, others as visible surface damage through spalling. Palmgren (Ref. 36) states that a permanent deformation at any one contact point of rolling element and bearing ring combined equal to 0.001 times the diameter of the rolling element has no significant influence on the functioning of the bearing. In the tests of Ref. 37, spalling of the surface was taken as the sign of failure; this spalling generally originated on plates of maximum shear stress below the surface.

Large-diameter bearings, usually incorporating integral gearing, are heat-treated to produce a hardened case to resist wear and fatigue and a tough machinable core. Sague in Ref. 56 describes how high subsurface shear stresses have produced yielding in the core with subsequent failure of the case due to lack of support.
It is apparent from the foregoing discussion that the practical design of parts that sustain direct bearing must be based largely on experience since this alone affords a guide as to whether, at any given load and number of stress cycles, there is enough deformation or surface damage to interfere with proper functioning. The rated capacities of bearings and gears are furnished by the manufacturers, with proper allowance indicated for the conditions of service and recommendations as to proper lubrication (Ref. 38). Valid and helpful conclusions, however, can often be drawn from a comparison of service records with calculated stresses.

## EXAMPLE

A ball 1.50 in in diameter, in a race which has a diameter of 10 in and a groove radius of 0.80 in , is subjected to a load of 2000 lb . It is required to find the dimensions of the contact area, the combined deformation of ball and race at the contact, and the maximum compressive stress.
Solution. The formulas and table of case 4 (Table 14.1) are used. The race is taken as body 1 and the ball as body 2 ; hence $R_{1}=-0.80$ in, $R_{1}^{\prime}=-5$ in, and $R_{2}=R_{2}^{\prime}=0.75 \mathrm{in}$. Taking $E_{1}=E_{2}=30,000,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and $v_{1}=v_{2}=0.3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{E} & =\frac{2(1-0.09)}{30\left(10^{6}\right)}=6.067\left(10^{-8}\right) \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{lb} \\
K_{D} & =\frac{1.5}{-1.25+1.33-0.20+1.33}=1.233 \mathrm{in} \\
\cos \theta & =\frac{1.233}{1.5} \sqrt{(-1.25+0.20)^{2}+0+0}=0.863
\end{aligned}
$$

From the table, by interpolation

$$
\alpha=2.710, \quad \beta=0.495, \quad \lambda=0.546
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =2.710 \sqrt[3]{2000(1.233)(6.067)\left(10^{-8}\right)}=0.144 \mathrm{in} \\
d & =0.495 \sqrt[3]{2000(1.233)(6.067)\left(10^{-8}\right)}=0.0263 \mathrm{in} \\
\left(\sigma_{c}\right)_{\max } & =\frac{1.5(20000)}{0.144(0.0263) \pi}=252,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
y & =0.546 \sqrt[3]{\frac{2000^{2}\left(6.067^{2}\right)\left(10^{-16}\right)}{1.233}}=0.00125 \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the contact area is an ellipse with a major axis of 0.288 in and a minor axis of 0.0526 in .

### 14.2 Rivets and Riveted Joints

Although the actual state of stress in a riveted joint is complex, it is customary-and experience shows it is permissible-to ignore such considerations as the stress concentration at the edges of rivet holes, unequal division of load among rivets, and nonuniform distribution of shear stress across the section of the rivet and of the bearing stress between rivet and plate. Simplifying assumptions are made, which may be summarized as follows: (1) The applied load is assumed to be transmitted entirely by the rivets, friction between the connected plates being ignored; (2) when the centroid of the rivet areas is on the line of action of the load, all the rivets of the joint are assumed to carry equal parts of the load if they are of the same size, or to be loaded proportionally to their respective section areas if they are of different sizes; (3) the shear stress is assumed to be uniformly distributed across the rivet section; (4) the bearing stress between plate and rivet is assumed to be uniformly distributed over an area equal to the rivet diameter times the plate thickness; (5) the stress in a tension member is assumed to be uniformly distributed over the net area; and (6) the stress in a compression member is assumed to be uniformly distributed over the gross area.

The design of riveted joints on the basis of these assumptions is the accepted practice, although none of them is strictly correct and methods of stress calculation that are supposedly more accurate have been proposed (Ref. 12).

Details of design and limitations. The possibility of secondary failure due to secondary causes, such as the shearing or tearing out of a plate between the rivet and the edge of a plate or between adjacent rivets, the bending or insufficient upsetting of long rivets, or tensile failure
along a zigzag line when rivets are staggered, is guarded against in standard specifications (Ref. 13) by detailed rules for edge clearance, maximum grip of rivets, maximum pitch, and computing the net width of riveted parts. Provision is made for the use of high-strength bolts in place of rivets under certain circumstances (Ref. 42). Joints may be made by welding instead of riveting, but the use of welding in conjunction with riveting is not approved on new work; the division of the load as between the welds and the rivets would be indeterminate.

Tests on riveted joints. In general, tests on riveted joints show that although under working loads the stress conditions may be considerably at variance with the usual assumptions, the ultimate strength may be closely predicted by calculations based thereon. Some of the other conclusions drawn from such tests may be summarized as follows.
In either lap or double-strap butt joints in very wide plates, the unit tensile strength developed by the net section is greater than that developed by the plate itself when tested in full width and is practically equal to that developed by narrow tension specimens cut from the plate. The rivets in lap joints are as strong relative to undriven rivets tested in shear as are the rivets in butt joints. Lap joints bend sufficiently at stresses below the usual design stresses to cause opening of caulked joints (Ref. 14).
Although it is frequently specified that rivets shall not be used in tension, tests show that hot-driven buttonhead rivets develop a strength in direct tension greater than the strength of the rod from which they are made, and that they may be relied upon to develop this strength in every instance. Although the initial tension in such rivets due to cooling usually amounts to $70 \%$ or more of the yield strength, this initial tension does not reduce the ability of the rivets to resist an applied tensile load (see also Sec. 3.12). Unless a joint is subjected to reversals of primary load, the use of rivets in tension appears to be justified; but when the primary load producing shear in the rivets is reversed, the reduction in friction due to simultaneous rivet tension may permit slip to occur, with possible deleterious effects (Ref. 15).

With respect to the form of the rivet head, the rounded or buttonhead type is standard; but countersunk rivets are often used, and tests show that these develop the same ultimate strength, although they permit much more slip and deformation at working loads than do the buttonhead rivets (Ref. 16).

In designing riveted joints in very thin metals, especially the light alloys, it may be necessary to take into account factors that are not usually considered in ordinary structural-steel work, such as the
radial stresses caused at the hole edges by closing pressure and the buckling of the plates under rivet pressure (Ref. 17).

Eccentric loading. When the rivets of a joint are so arranged that the centroid $G$ of the areas of the rivet group lies not on the line of action of the load but at a distance $e$ therefrom, the load $P$ can be replaced by an equal and parallel load $P^{\prime}$ acting through $G$ and a couple $P e$. The load on any one of the $n$ rivets is then found by vectorially adding the load $P / n$ due to $P^{\prime}$ and the load $Q$ due to the couple $P e$. This load $Q$ acts normal to the line from $G$ to the rivet and is given by the equation $Q=P e A_{1} r_{1} / J$, where $A_{1}$ is the area of the rivet in question, $r_{1}$ is its distance from $G$, and $J=\sum A r^{2}$ for all the rivets of the group. When all rivets are of the same size, as is usually the case, the formula becomes $Q=P e_{1} / \sum r^{2}$. Charts and tables are available which greatly facilitate the labor of the calculation involved, and which make possible direct design of the joint without recourse to trial and error (Ref. 18). (The direct procedure, as outlined previously, is illustrated in the following example.)

The stiffness or resistance to angular displacement of a riveted joint determines the degree of fixity that should be assumed in the analysis of beams with riveted ends or of rectangular frames. Tests (Ref. 19) have shown that although joints made with wide gusset plates are practically rigid, joints made by simply riveting through clip angles are not even approximately so. A method of calculating the elastic constraint afforded by riveted joints of different types, based on an extensive series of tests, has been proposed by Rathbun (Ref. 20). Brombolich (Ref. 55) describes the use of a finite-element-analysis procedure to determine the effect of yielding, interference fits, and load sequencing on the stresses near fastener holes.

## EXAMPLE

Figure 14.1 represents a lap joint in which three 1-in rivets are used to connect a 15 -in channel to a plate. The channel is loaded eccentrically as shown. It is required to determine the maximum shear stress in the rivets. (This is not, of course, a properly designed joint intended to develop the full strength of the channel. It represents a simple arrangement of rivets assumed for the purpose of illustrating the calculation of rivet stress due to a moment.)

Solution. The centroid of the rivet areas is found to be at $G$. The applied load is replaced by an equal load through $G$ and a couple equal to

$$
15,000 \times 5=75,000 \mathrm{lb} \text {-in }
$$

as shown in Fig. 14.1(b). The distances $r_{1}, r_{2}$, and $r_{3}$ of rivets 1, 2, and 3, respectively, from $G$ are as shown; the value of $\sum r^{2}$ is 126 . The loads on the


Figure 14.1
rivets due to the couple of $75,000 \mathrm{lb}$-in are therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{1}=Q_{2}=\frac{(75,000)(6.7)}{126}=3990 \mathrm{lb} \\
& Q_{3}=\frac{(75,000)(6)}{126}=3570 \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

These loads act on the rivets in the directions shown. In addition, each rivet is subjected to a load in the direction of $P^{\prime}$ of $P / n=5000 \mathrm{lb}$. The resultant load on each rivet is then found by graphically (or algebraically) solving for the resultant of $Q$ and $P / n$ as shown. The resultant loads are $R_{1}=R_{2}=7670 \mathrm{lb}$; $R_{3}=1430 \mathrm{lb}$. The maximum shear stress occurs in rivets 1 and 2 and is $\tau=7670 / 0.785=9,770 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

### 14.3 Miscellaneous Cases

In most instances, the stress in bodies subjected to direct shear or pressure is calculated on the basis of simplifying assumptions such as are made in analyzing a riveted joint. Design is based on rules justified by experience rather than exact theory, and a full discussion does not properly come within the scope of this book. However, a brief consideration of a number of cases is given here; a more complete treatment of these cases may be found in books on machine and structural design and in the references cited.

Pins and bolts. These are designed on the basis of shear and bearing stress calculated in the same way as for rivets. In the case of pins bearing on wood, the allowable bearing stress must be reduced to provide for nonuniformity of pressure when the length of bolt is more than five or six times its diameter. When the pressure is inclined to the grain, the safe load is found by the formula

$$
N=\frac{P Q}{P \sin ^{2} \theta+Q \cos ^{2} \theta}
$$

where $N$ is the safe load for the case in question, $P$ is the safe load applied parallel to the grain, $Q$ is the safe load applied transverse to the grain, and $\theta$ is the angle $N$ makes with the direction of the grain (Ref. 21).

Hollow pins and rollers are thick-walled but can be analyzed as circular rings by the appropriate use of the formulas of Table 9.2. The loading is essentially as shown in Fig. 14.2, and the greatest circumferential stresses, which occur at points $1-4$, may be found by the formula

$$
\sigma=K \frac{2 p}{\pi b}
$$

where $p=$ load/unit length of the pin and the numerical coefficient $K$ depends on the ratio $a / b$ and has the following values [a plus sign for $K$ indicates tensile stress and a minus sign compressive stress (Ref. 30)]:

| Point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
|  | -5.0 | -5.05 | -5.30 | -5.80 | -7.00 | -9.00 | -12.9 | -21.4 |
| 2 | +3.0 | +3.30 | +3.80 | +4.90 | +7.00 | +10.1 | +16.0 | +31.0 |
| 3 | 0 | +0.06 | +0.20 | +1.0 | +1.60 | +3.0 | +5.8 | +13.1 |
| 4 | +0.5 | +0.40 | 0 | -0.50 | -1.60 | -3.8 | -8.4 | -19.0 |

For changes in the mean vertical and horizontal diameters see case 1 in Table 9.2. Durelli and Lin in Ref. 59 have made extensive use of Nelson's equations for diametrically loaded hollow circular cylinders from Ref. 60 and present, in graphical form, stress factors and radial displacements at all angular positions along both inner and outer boundaries. Results are plotted for the radius ratio $a / b$ from near zero to 0.92 .

Gear teeth. Gear teeth may be investigated by considering the tooth as a cantilever beam, the critical stress being the tensile bending stress at the base. This stress can be calculated by the modified Heywood formula for a very short cantilever beam (Sec. 8.10) or by a combination of the modified Lewis formula and stress concentration factor given for case 21 in Table 17.1 (see also Refs. 22-24). The allowable stress is reduced according to speed of operation by one of

several empirical formulas (Ref. 24). Under certain conditions, the bearing stress between teeth may become important (especially as this stress affects wear), and this stress may be calculated by the formula for case 2 b , Table 14.1. The total deformation of the tooth, the result of direct compression at the point of contact and of beam deflection and shear, may be calculated by the formula of case 2 b and the methods of Sec. 8.1 (Ref. 23).

Keys. Keys are designed for a total shearing force $F=T / r$ (Fig. 14.3), where $T$ represents the torque transmitted. The shear stress is assumed to be uniformly distributed over the horizontal section $A B$, and the bearing stress is assumed to be uniformly distributed over half the face. These assumptions lead to the following formulas: $\tau=F / L b$; $\sigma_{b}=2 F / t L$ on the sides; and $\sigma_{b}=2 F t / b^{2} L$ on top and bottom. Here $L$ is the length of the key; in conventional design $4 b<L<16 b$. As usually made, $b \geqslant t$; hence the bearing stress on the sides is greater than that on the top and bottom.

Photoelastic analysis of the stresses in square keys shows that the shear stress is not uniform across the breadth $b$ but is greatest at $A$ and $B$, where it may reach a value of from two or four times the average value (Ref. 25). Undoubtedly the shear stress also varies in intensity along the length of the key. The bearing stresses on the surfaces of the key are also nonuniform, that on the sides being greatest near the common surface of shaft and hub, and that on the top and bottom being greatest near the corners $C$ and $D$. When conservative working stresses are used, however, and the proportions of the key are such as have been found satisfactory in practice, the approximate methods of stress calculation that have been indicated result in satisfactory design.

Fillet welds. These are successfully designed on the basis of uniform distribution of shear stress on the longitudinal section of least area, although analysis and tests show that there is considerable variation in the intensity of shear stress along the length of the fillet (Refs. 26 and 27). (Detailed recommendations for the design of welded structural joints are given in Ref. 13.)


Screwthreads. The strength of screwthreads is of great importance in the design of joints, where the load is transferred to a bolt or stud by a nut. A major consideration is the load distribution. The load is not transferred uniformly along the engaged thread length; both mathematical analysis and tests show that the maximum load per linear inch of thread, which occurs near the loaded face of the nut, is several times as great as the average over the engaged length. This ratio, called the thread-load concentration factor and denoted by $H$, is often 2 , 3, or even 4 (Ref. 43). The maximum load per linear inch on a screwthread is therefore the total load divided by the helical length of the engaged screwthread times $H$. The maximum stress due to this loading can be computed by the Heywood-Kelley-Pedersen formula for a short cantilever, as given in Sec. 8.10. It is important to note that in some cases the values of $k_{f}$ given in the literature are for loading through a nut, and so include $H$, while in other cases (as in rotatingbeam tests) this influence is absent. Because of the combined effects of reduced area, nonuniform load distribution, and stress concentration, the efficiency of a bolted joint under reversed repeated loading is likely to be quite small. In Ref. 28 of Chap. 3, values from 18\% (for a $60,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ steel with rolled threads) to $6.6 \%$ (for a $200,000 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$ steel with machine-cut threads) are cited.

The design of bolted connections has received much study, and an extensive discussion and bibliography are given in Heywood (Chap. 3, Ref. 28) and in some of the papers of Ref. 32 of Chap. 3.

### 14.4 TABLES

## TABLE 14.1 Formulas for stress and strain due to pressure on or between elastic bodies


 $E=$ modulus of elasticity. Subscripts 1 and 2 refer to bodies 1 and 2 , respectively. To simplify expressions let

$$
C_{E}=\frac{1-v_{1}^{2}}{E_{1}}+\frac{1-v_{2}^{2}}{E_{2}}
$$



## TABLE 14.1 Formulas for stress and strain due to pressure on or between elastic bodies (Continued)



TABLE 14.1 Formulas for stress and strain due to pressure on or between elastic bodies (Continued)


## TABLE 14.1 Formulas for stress and strain due to pressure on or between elastic bodies (Continued)

| 7. Uniform pressure $q$ over length $L$ across edge of semi-infinite plate | At any point $O_{1}$ outside loaded area, $\quad y=\frac{2 q}{\pi E}\left[\left(L+x_{1}\right) \ln \frac{d}{L+x_{1}}-x_{1} \ln \frac{d}{x_{1}}\right]+q L \frac{1-v}{\pi E}$ At any point $O_{2}$ inside loaded area, $\quad y=\frac{2 q}{\pi E}\left[\left(L-x_{2}\right) \ln \frac{d}{L-x_{2}}+x_{2} \ln \frac{d}{x_{2}}\right]+q L \frac{1-v}{\pi E}$ where $y=$ deflection relative to a remote point $A$ a distance $d$ from edge of loaded area At any point $Q$, $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{c} & =0.318 q(\alpha+\sin \alpha) \\ \tau & =0.318 q \sin \alpha \end{aligned}$ | (Ref. 6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. Rigid cylindrical die of radius $R$ on surface of semi-infinite body; total load $P$ | $y=\frac{P\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{2 R E}$ <br> At any point $Q$ on surface of contact $\quad \sigma_{c}=\frac{P}{2 \pi R \sqrt{R^{2}-r^{2}}}$ <br> $\left(\sigma_{c}\right)_{\max }=\infty \quad$ at edge (theoretically) <br> $\left(\sigma_{c}\right)_{\min }=\frac{P}{2 \pi R^{2}} \quad$ at center | (Ref. 6) |
| 9. Uniform pressure $q$ over circular area of radius $R$ on surface of semi-infinite body | $y_{\text {max }}=\frac{2 q R\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E} \quad$ at center <br> $y$ at edge $=\frac{4 q R\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{\pi E}$ <br> $\tau_{\max }=0.33 q \quad$ at point $0.638 R$ below center of loaded area |  |
| 10. Uniform pressure $q$ over square area of sides $2 b$ on the surface of semi-infinite body | $\begin{aligned} & y_{\max }=\frac{2.24 q b\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E} \quad \text { at center } \\ & y=\frac{1.12 q b\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E} \quad \text { at corners } \\ & y_{\text {average }}=\frac{1.90 q b\left(1-v^{2}\right)}{E} \end{aligned}$ | (Ref. 6) |
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##  15

## Elastic Stability

### 15.1 General Considerations

Failure through elastic instability has been discussed briefly in Sec. 3.13 , where it was pointed out that it may occur when the bending or twisting effect of an applied load is proportional to the deformation it produces. In this chapter, formulas for the critical load or critical unit stress at which such failure occurs are given for a wide variety of members and conditions of loading.

Such formulas can be derived mathematically by integrating the differential equation of the elastic curve or by equating the strain energy of bending to the work done by the applied load in the corresponding displacement of its point of application, the form of the elastic curve being assumed when unknown. Of all possible forms of the curve, that which makes the critical load a minimum is the correct one; but almost any reasonable assumption (consistent with the boundary conditions) can be made without gross error resulting, and for this reason the strain-energy method is especially adapted to the approximate solution of difficult cases. A very thorough discussion of the general problem, with detailed solutions of many specified cases, is given in Timoshenko and Gere (Ref. 1), from which many of the formulas in this chapter are taken. Formulas for many cases are also given in Refs. 35 and 36; in addition Ref. 35 contains many graphs of numerically evaluated coefficients.

At one time, most of the problems involving elastic stability were of academic interest only since engineers were reluctant to use compression members so slender as to fail by buckling at elastic stresses and danger of corrosion interdicted the use of very thin material in exposed structures. The requirements for minimum-weight construction in the fields of aerospace and transportation, however, have given great
impetus to the theoretical and experimental investigation of elastic stability and to the use of parts for which it is a governing design consideration.
There are certain definite advantages in lightweight construction, in which stability determines strength. One is that since elastic buckling may occur without damage, part of a structure-such as the skin of an airplane wing or web of a deep beam-may be used safely at loads that cause local buckling, and under these circumstances the resistance afforded by the buckled part is definitely known. Furthermore, members such as Euler columns may be loaded experimentally to their maximum capacity without damage or permanent deformation and subsequently incorporated in a structure.

### 15.2 Buckling of Bars

In Table 15.1, formulas are given for the critical loads on columns, beams, and shafts. In general, the theoretical values are in good agreement with test results as long as the assumed conditions are reasonably well-satisfied. It is to be noted that even slight changes in the amount of end constraint have a marked effect on the critical loads, and therefore it is important that such constraint be closely estimated. Slight irregularities in form and small accidental eccentricities are less likely to be important in the case of columns than in the case of thin plates. For latticed columns or columns with tie plates, a reduced value of $E$ may be used, calculated as shown in Sec. 12.3. Formulas for the elastic buckling of bars may be applied to conditions under which proportional limit is exceeded if a reduced value of $E$ corresponding to the actual stress is used (Ref. 1), but the procedure requires a stress-strain diagram for the material and, in general, is not practical.

In Table 15.1, cases $1-3$, the tabulated buckling coefficients are worked out for various combinations of concentrated and distributed axial loads. Tensile end loads are included so that the effect of axial end restraint under axial loading within the column length can be considered (see the example at the end of this section). Carter and Gere (Ref. 46) present graphs of buckling coefficients for columns with single tapers for various end conditions, cross sections, and degrees of taper. Culver and Preg (Ref. 47) investigate and tabulate buckling coefficients for singly tapered beam-columns in which the effect of torsion, including warping restraint, is considered for the case where the loading is by end moments in the stiffer principal plane.

Kitipornchai and Trahair describe (Ref. 55) the lateral stability of singly tapered cantilever and doubly tapered simple I-beams, including the effect of warping restraint; experimental results are favorably compared with numerical solutions. Morrison (Ref. 57) considers the
effect of lateral restraint of the tensile flange of a beam under lateral buckling; example calculations are presented. Massey and McGuire (Ref. 54) present graphs of buckling coefficients for both stepped and tapered cantilever beams; good agreement with experiments is reported. Tables of lateral stability constants for laminated timber beams are presented in Fowler (Ref. 53) along with two design examples.

Clark and Hill (Ref. 52) derive a general expression for the lateral stability of unsymmetrical I-beams with boundary conditions based on both bending and warping supports; tables of coefficients as well as nomographs are presented. Anderson and Trahair (Ref. 56) present tabulated lateral buckling coefficients for uniformly loaded and endloaded cantilevers and center- and uniformly loaded simply supported beams having unsymmetric I-beam cross sections; favorable comparisons are made with extensive tests on cantilever beams.

The Southwell plot is a graph in which the lateral deflection of a column or any other linearly elastic member undergoing a manner of loading which will produce buckling is plotted versus the lateral deflection divided by the load; the slope of this line gives the critical load. For columns and some frameworks, significant deflections do occur within the range where small-deflection theory is applicable. If the initial imperfections are such that experimental readings of lateral deflection must be taken beyond the small-deflection region, then the Southwell procedure is not adequate. Roorda (Ref. 93) discusses the extension of this procedure into the nonlinear range.

Bimetallic beams. Burgreen and Manitt (Ref. 48) and Burgreen and Regal (Ref. 49) discuss the analysis of bimetallic beams and point out some of the difficulties in predicting the snap-through instability of these beams under changes in temperature. The thermal expansion of the support structure is an important design factor.

Rings and arches. Austin (Ref. 50) tabulates in-plane buckling coefficients for circular, parabolic, and catenary arches for pinned and fixed ends as well as for the three-hinged case; he considers cases where the cross section varies with the position in the span as well as the usual case of a uniform cross section. Uniform loads, unsymmetric distributed loads, and concentrated center loads are considered, and the stiffening effect of tying the arch to the girder with columns is also evaluated. (The discussion referenced with the paper gives an extensive bibliography of work on arch stability.)

A thin ring shrunk by cooling and inserted into a circular cavity usually will yield before buckling unless the radius/thickness ratio is very large and the elastic-limit stress is high. Chicurel (Ref. 51)
derives approximate solutions to this problem when the effect of friction is considered. He suggests a conservative expression for the no-friction condition: $P_{o} / A E=2.67(k / r)^{1.2}$, where $P_{o}$ is the prebuckling hoop compressive force, $A$ is the hoop cross-sectional area, $E$ is the modulus of elasticity, $k$ is the radius of gyration of the cross section, and $r$ is the radius of the ring.

## EXAMPLE

A 4 -in steel pipe is to be used as a column to carry 8000 lb of transformers centered axially on a platform 20 ft above the foundation. The factor of safety FS is to be determined for the following conditions, based on elastic buckling of the column.
(a) The platform is supported only by the pipe fixed at the foundation.
(b) A $3 \frac{1}{2}$-in steel pipe is to be slipped into the top of the 4 -in pipe a distance of 4 in , welded in place, and extended 10 ft to the ceiling above, where it will extend through a close-fitting hole in a steel plate.
(c) This condition is the same as in (b) except that the $3 \frac{1}{2}$-in pipe will be welded solidly into a heavy steel girder passing 10 ft above the platform.
Solution. A 4-in steel pipe has a cross-sectional area of $3.174 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and a bending moment of inertia of $7.233 \mathrm{in}^{4}$. For a $3 \frac{1}{2}$-in pipe these are $2.68 \mathrm{in}^{2}$ and 4.788 in $^{4}$, respectively.
(a) This case is a column fixed at the bottom and free at the top with an end load only. In Table 15.1, case la, for $I_{2} / I_{1}=1.00$ and $P_{2} / P_{1}=0, K_{1}$ is given as 0.25 . Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}^{\prime}=0.25 \frac{\pi^{2} 30\left(10^{6}\right)(7.233)}{240^{2}}=9295 \mathrm{lb} \\
& F S=\frac{9295}{8000}=1.162
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) This case is a column fixed at the bottom and pinned at the top with a load at a distance of two-thirds the 30 -ft length from the bottom: $I_{1}=4.788 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, $I_{2}=7.233 \mathrm{in}^{4}$, and $I_{2} / I_{1}=1.511$. In Table 15.1, case 2d, for $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}=1.5$, $P_{1} / P_{2}=0$, and $\alpha / l=\frac{2}{3}, K_{2}$ is given as 6.58. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{2}^{\prime} & =6.58 \frac{\pi^{2} 30\left(10^{6}\right)(4.788)}{360^{2}}=72,000 \mathrm{lb} \\
F S & =\frac{72,000}{8000}=9
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) This case is a column fixed at both ends and subjected to an upward load on top and a downward load at the platform. The upward load depends to some extent on the stiffness of the girder to which the top is welded, and so we can only bracket the actual critical load. If we assume the girder is infinitely rigid and permits no vertical deflection of the top, the elongation of the upper 10 ft would equal the reduction in length of the lower 20 ft .

Equating these deformations gives

$$
\frac{P_{1}(10)(12)}{2.68(30)\left(10^{6}\right)}=\frac{\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)(20)(12)}{3.174(30)\left(10^{6}\right)} \quad \text { or } \quad P_{1}=0.628 P_{2}
$$

From Table 15.1, case 2 e , for $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}=1.5$ and $a / l=\frac{2}{3}$, we find the following values of $K_{2}$ for the several values of $P_{1} / P_{2}$ :

| $P_{1} / P_{2}$ | 0 | 0.125 | 0.250 | 0.375 | 0.500 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $K_{2}$ | 8.34 | 9.92 | 12.09 | 15.17 | 19.86 |

By extrapolation, for $P_{1} / P_{2}=0.628, K_{2}=26.5$.
If we assume the girder provides no vertical load but does prevent rotation of the top, then $K_{2}=8.34$. Therefore, the value of $P_{2}$ ranges from 91,200 to $289,900 \mathrm{lb}$, and the factor of safety lies between 11.4 and 36.2 . A reasonable estimate of the rotational and vertical stiffness of the girder will allow a good estimate to be made of the actual factor of safety from the values calculated.

### 15.3 Buckling of Flat and Curved Plates

In Table 15.2, formulas are given for the critical loads and critical stresses on plates and thin-walled members. Because of the greater likelihood of serious geometrical irregularities and their greater relative effect, the critical stresses actually developed by such members usually fall short of the theoretical values by a wider margin than in the case of bars. The discrepancy is generally greater for pure compression (thin tubes under longitudinal compression or external pressure) than for tension and compression combined (thin tubes under torsion or flat plates under edge shear), and increases with the thinness of the material. The critical stress or load indicated by any one of the theoretical formulas should therefore be regarded as an upper limit, approached more or less closely according to the closeness with which the actual shape of the member approximates the geometrical form assumed. In Table 15.2, the approximate discrepancy to be expected between theory and experiment is indicated wherever the data available have made this possible.

Most of the theoretical analyses of the stability of plates and shells require a numerical evaluation of the resulting equations. Considering the variety of shapes and combinations of shapes as well as the multiplicity of boundary conditions and loading combinations, it is not possible in the limited space available to present anything like a comprehensive coverage of plate and shell buckling. As an alternative, Table 15.2 contains many of the simpler loadings and shapes. The following paragraphs and the References contain some, but by no means all, of the more easily acquired sources giving results in tabular
or graphic form that can be applied directly to specific problems. See also Refs. 101-104, and 109-111.

Rectangular plates. Stability coefficients for orthotropic rectangular plates with several combinations of boundary conditions and several ratios of the bending stiffnesses parallel to the sides of the plate are tabulated in Shuleshko (Ref. 60); these solutions were obtained by reducing the problem of plate buckling to that of an isotropic bar that is in a state of vibration and under tension. Srinivas and Rao (Ref. 63) evaluate the effect of shear deformation on the stability of simply supported rectangular plates under edge loads parallel to one side; the effect becomes noticeable for $h / b>0.05$ and is greatest when the loading is parallel to the short side.

Skew plates. Ashton (Ref. 61) and Durvasula (Ref. 64) consider the buckling of skew (parallelogram) plates under combinations of edge compression, edge tension, and edge shear. Since the loadings evaluated are generally parallel to orthogonal axes and not to both sets of the plate edges, we would not expect to find the particular case desired represented in the tables of coefficients; the general trend of results is informative.

Circular plates. Vijayakumar and Joga Rao (Ref. 58) describe a technique for solving for the radial buckling loads on a polar orthotropic annular plate. They give graphs of stability coefficients for a wide range of rigidity ratios and for the several combinations of free, simply supported, and fixed inner and outer edges for the radius ratio (outer to inner) $2: 1$. Two loadings are presented: outer edge only under uniform compression and inner and outer edges under equal uniform compression.
Amon and Widera (Ref. 59) present graphs showing the effect of an edge beam on the stability of a circular plate of uniform thickness.

Sandwich plates. There is a great amount of literature on the subject of sandwich construction. References 38 and 100 and the publications listed in Ref. 39 provide initial sources of information.

### 15.4 Buckling of Shells

Baker, Kovalevsky, and Rish (Ref. 97) discuss the stability of unstiffened orthotropic composite, stiffened, and sandwich shells. They represent data based on theory and experiment which permit the designer to choose a loading or pressure with a $90 \%$ probability of no
stability failure; the work is extensively referenced. For similar collected data see Refs. 41 and 42.

Stein (Ref. 95) discusses some comparisons of theory with experimentation in shell buckling. Rabinovich (Ref. 96) describes in some detail the work in structural mechanics, including shell stability, in the U.S.S.R. from 1917 to 1957.

In recent years, there have been increasing development and application of the finite-element method for the numerical solution of shell problems. Navaratna, Pian, and Witmer (Ref. 94) describe a finiteelement method of solving axisymmetric shell problems where the element considered is either a conical frustum or a frustum with a curved meridian; examples are presented of cylinders with uniform or tapered walls under axial load, a truncated hemisphere under axial tension, and a conical shell under torsion. Bushnell (Ref. 99) presents a very general finite-element program for shell analysis and Perrone (Ref. 98) gives a compendium of such programs. See also Refs. 101 to 108.

Cylindrical and conical shells. In general, experiments to determine the axial loads required to buckle cylindrical shells yield results that are between one-half and three-fourths of the classical buckling loads predicted by theory. The primary causes of these discrepancies are the deviations from a true cylindrical form in most manufactured vessels and the inability to accurately define the boundary conditions. Hoff (Refs. 67 and 68) shows that removing the in-plane shear stress at the boundary of a simply supported cylindrical shell under axial compression can reduce the theoretical buckling load by a factor of 2 from that predicted by the more usual boundary conditions associated with a simply supported edge. Baruch, Harari, and Singer (Ref. 84) find similar low-buckling loads for simply supported conical shells under axial load but for a different modification of the boundary support. Tani and Yamaki (Ref. 83) carry out further work on this problem, including the effect of clamped edges.

The random nature of manufacturing deviations leads to the use of the statistical approach, as mentioned previously (Ref. 97) and as Hausrath and Dittoe have done for conical shells (Ref. 77). Weingarten, Morgan, and Seide (Ref. 80) have developed empirical expressions for lower bounds of stability coefficients for cylindrical and conical shells under axial compression with references for the many data they present.

McComb, Zender, and Mikulas (Ref. 44) discuss the effects of internal pressure on the bending stability of very thin-walled cylindrical shells. Internal pressure has a stabilizing effect on axially and/or torsionally loaded cylindrical and conical shells. This subject is
discussed in several references: Seide (Ref. 75), Weingarten (Ref. 76), and Weingarten, Morgan, and Seide (Ref. 82) for conical and cylindrical shells; Ref. 97 contains much information on this subject as well.
Axisymmetric snap-buckling of conical shells is discussed by Newman and Reiss (Ref. 73), which leads to the concept of the Belleville spring for the case of shallow shells. (See also Sec. 11.8.)

External pressure as a cause of buckling is examined by Singer (Ref. 72) for cones and by Newman and Reiss (Ref. 73) and Yao and Jenkins (Ref. 69) for elliptic cylinders. External pressure caused by pretensioned filament winding on cylinders is analyzed by Mikulas and Stein (Ref. 66); they point out that material compressibility in the thickness direction is important in this problem.

The combination of external pressure and axial loads on cylindrical and conical shells is very thoroughly examined and referenced by Radkowski (Ref. 79) and Weingarten and Seide (Ref. 81). The combined loading on orthotropic and stiffened conical shells is discussed by Singer (Ref. 74).

Attempts to manufacture nearly perfect shells in order to test the theoretical results have led to the construction of thin-walled shells by electroforming; Sendelbeck and Singer (Ref. 85) and Arbocz and Babcock (Ref. 91) describe the results of such tests.
A very thorough survey of buckling theory and experimentation for conical shells of constant thickness is presented by Seide (Ref. 78).

Spherical shells. Experimental work is described by Loo and EvanIwanowski on the effect of a concentrated load at the apex of a spherical cap (Ref. 90) and the effect of multiple concentrated loads (Ref. 89). Carlson, Sendelbeck, and Hoff (Ref. 70) report on the experimental study of buckling of electroformed complete spherical shells; they report experimental critical pressures of up to $86 \%$ of those predicted by theory and the correlation of flaws with lower test pressures.

Burns (Ref. 92) describes tests of static and dynamic buckling of thin spherical caps due to external pressure; both elastic and plastic buckling are considered and evaluated in these tests. Wu and Cheng (Ref. 71) discuss in detail the buckling due to circumferential hoop compression which is developed when a truncated spherical shell is subjected to an axisymmetric tensile load.

Toroidal shells. Stein and McElman (Ref. 86) derive nonlinear equations of equilibrium and buckling equations for segments of toroidal shells; segments that are symmetric with the equator are considered for both inner and outer diameters, as well as segments centered at the crown. Sobel and Flügge (Ref. 87) tabulate and graph the mini-
mum buckling external pressures on full toroidal shells. Almroth, Sobel, and Hunter (Ref. 88) compare favorably the theory in Ref. 87 with experiments they performed.

Corrugated tubes or bellows. An instability can develop when a corrugated tube or bellows is subjected to an internal pressure with the ends partially or totally restrained against axial displacement. (This instability can also occur in very long cylindrical vessels under similar restraints.) For a discussion and an example of this effect, see Sec. 13.5 .

### 15.5 Tables

TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams
notation: $P^{\prime}=$ critical load (force); $p^{\prime}=$ critical unit load (force per unit length); $T^{\prime}=$ critical torque (force-length); $M^{\prime}=$ critical bending moment (force-length); $E=$ modulus of elasticity (force per unit area); and $I=$ moment of inertia of cross section about central axis perpendicular to plane of buckling

| Reference number, form of bar, and manner of loading and support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a. Stepped straight bar under end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; upper end free, lower end fixed $P_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{1}$ is tabulated below |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{1}$ | $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.500 |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ |
|  | 0.0 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.250 | 0.279 | 0.312 | 0.342 | 0.364 | 0.373 | 0.296 | 0.354 | 0.419 | 0.471 | 0.496 |
|  | 0.5 | 0.249 | 0.243 | 0.228 | 0.208 | 0.187 | 0.279 | 0.306 | 0.317 | 0.306 | 0.279 | 0.296 | 0.350 | 0.393 | 0.399 | 0.372 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.248 | 0.237 | 0.210 | 0.177 | 0.148 | 0.278 | 0.299 | 0.295 | 0.261 | 0.223 | 0.296 | 0.345 | 0.370 | 0.345 | 0.296 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.246 | 0.222 | 0.178 | 0.136 | 0.105 | 0.277 | 0.286 | 0.256 | 0.203 | 0.158 | 0.295 | 0.335 | 0.326 | 0.267 | 0.210 |
|  | 4.0 | 0.242 | 0.195 | 0.134 | 0.092 | 0.066 | 0.274 | 0.261 | 0.197 | 0.138 | 0.099 | 0.294 | 0.314 | 0.257 | 0.184 | 0.132 |
|  | 8.0 | 0.234 | 0.153 | 0.088 | 0.056 | 0.038 | 0.269 | 0.216 | 0.132 | 0.084 | 0.057 | 0.290 | 0.266 | 0.174 | 0.112 | 0.076 |

1b. Stepped straight bar under end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; both ends pinned $P_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{1}$ is tabulated below

| $P_{1}$ | $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.500 |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $P_{2}^{a / l}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ |
| $E_{2} I_{2}$ | 0.0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.010 | 1.065 | 1.180 | 1.357 | 1.479 | 1.014 | 1.098 | 1.297 | 1.633 | 1.940 |
| $1{ }^{0}$ | 0.5 | 0.863 | 0.806 | 0.797 | 0.789 | 0.740 | 0.876 | 0.872 | 0.967 | 1.091 | 1.098 | 0.884 | 0.908 | 1.069 | 1.339 | 1.452 |
| 1. | 1.0 | 0.753 | 0.672 | 0.663 | 0.646 | 0.584 | 0.769 | 0.736 | 0.814 | 0.908 | 0.870 | 0.776 | 0.769 | 0.908 | 1.126 | 1.153 |
| 4 | 2.0 | 0.594 | 0.501 | 0.493 | 0.473 | 0.410 | 0.612 | 0.557 | 0.615 | 0.676 | 0.613 | 0.621 | 0.587 | 0.694 | 0.850 | 0.814 |
| 7171717 | 4.0 | 0.412 | 0.331 | 0.325 | 0.307 | 0.256 | 0.429 | 0.373 | 0.412 | 0.442 | 0.383 | 0.438 | 0.397 | 0.470 | 0.566 | 0.511 |
|  | 8.0 | 0.254 | 0.197 | 0.193 | 0.180 | 0.147 | 0.267 | 0.225 | 0.248 | 0.261 | 0.220 | 0.272 | 0.240 | 0.284 | 0.336 | 0.292 |

TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams (Continued)

1c. Stepped straight bar under end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; upper end guided, lower end fixed $P_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{1}$ is tabulated below

|  | $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.500 |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ |
|  | 0.0 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.113 | 1.208 | 1.237 | 1.241 | 1.309 | 1.184 | 1.367 | 1.452 | 1.461 | 1.565 |
| a $E_{2} I_{2}$ | 0.5 | 0.986 | 0.904 | 0.792 | 0.711 | 0.672 | 1.105 | 1.117 | 1.000 | 0.897 | 0.885 | 1.177 | 1.288 | 1.192 | 1.063 | 1.063 |
| $\cdots$ | 1.0 | 0.972 | 0.817 | 0.650 | 0.549 | 0.507 | 1.094 | 1.026 | 0.830 | 0.697 | 0.669 | 1.171 | 1.206 | 1.000 | 0.832 | 0.805 |
| 71717171. | 2.0 | 0.937 | 0.671 | 0.472 | 0.377 | 0.339 | 1.073 | 0.872 | 0.612 | 0.482 | 0.449 | 1.156 | 1.047 | 0.745 | 0.578 | 0.542 |
|  | 4.0 | 0.865 | 0.480 | 0.304 | 0.231 | 0.204 | 1.024 | 0.642 | 0.397 | 0.297 | 0.270 | 1.126 | 0.794 | 0.486 | 0.358 | 0.327 |
|  | 8.0 | 0.714 | 0.299 | 0.176 | 0.130 | 0.114 | 0.910 | 0.406 | 0.232 | 0.169 | 0.151 | 1.042 | 0.511 | 0.284 | 0.203 | 0.182 |

1d. Stepped straight bar under end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; upper end pinned, lower end fixed $P_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{1}$ is tabulated below

| $P_{1}$ | $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.500 |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $P_{2} / P_{1}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ |
| $\pi$ | 0.0 | 2.046 | 2.046 | 2.046 | 2.046 | 2.046 | 2.241 | 2.289 | 2.338 | 2.602 | 2.976 | 2.369 | 2.503 | 2.550 | 2.983 | 3.838 |
| a $11 E_{2} I_{2}$ | 0.5 | 1.994 | 1.814 | 1.711 | 1.700 | 1.590 | 2.208 | 2.071 | 1.991 | 2.217 | 2.344 | 2.344 | 2.286 | 2.196 | 2.570 | 3.066 |
| , - 12 | 1.0 | 1.938 | 1.613 | 1.464 | 1.450 | 1.290 | 2.167 | 1.869 | 1.727 | 1.915 | 1.918 | 2.313 | 2.088 | 1.915 | 2.250 | 2.525 |
| 誛 11777 | 2.0 | 1.820 | 1.300 | 1.130 | 1.111 | 0.933 | 2.076 | 1.535 | 1.355 | 1.506 | 1.390 | 2.250 | 1.742 | 1.518 | 1.796 | 1.844 |
|  | 4.0 | 1.570 | 0.918 | 0.773 | 0.753 | 0.594 | 1.874 | 1.107 | 0.941 | 1.042 | 0.891 | 2.097 | 1.277 | 1.065 | 1.270 | 1.184 |
|  | 8.0 | 1.147 | 0.569 | 0.469 | 0.454 | 0.343 | 1.459 | 0.697 | 0.582 | 0.643 | 0.514 | 1.727 | 0.812 | 0.664 | 0.796 | 0.686 |

TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams (Continued)

| Reference number, form of bar, and manner of loading and support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1e. Stepped straight bar under end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate $\operatorname{load} P_{2}$; both ends fixed $P_{1}^{\prime}=K_{1} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{1}$ is tabulated below |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}$ <br> $a / l$ <br> $P_{2} / P_{1}$ <br> 0.0 <br> 0.5 <br> 1.0 <br> 2.0 <br> 4.0 <br> 8.0 |  | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.500 |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ |
|  |  | 4.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 4.000 | 4.389 | 4.456 | 4.757 | 5.359 | 5.462 | 4.657 | 4.836 | 5.230 | 6.477 | 6.838 |
|  |  | 3.795 | 3.298 | 3.193 | 3.052 | 2.749 | 4.235 | 3.756 | 3.873 | 4.194 | 3.795 | 4.545 | 4.133 | 4.301 | 5.208 | 4.787 |
|  |  | 3.572 | 2.779 | 2.647 | 2.443 | 2.094 | 4.065 | 3.211 | 3.254 | 3.411 | 2.900 | 4.418 | 3.568 | 3.648 | 4.297 | 3.671 |
|  |  | 3.119 | 2.091 | 1.971 | 1.734 | 1.414 | 3.679 | 2.459 | 2.459 | 2.452 | 1.968 | 4.109 | 2.766 | 2.782 | 3.136 | 2.496 |
|  |  | $2.365$ | 1.388 | 1.297 | 1.088 | 0.857 | 2.921 | $1.659$ | 1.649 | $1.555$ | 1.195 | 3.411 | 1.882 | 1.885 | 2.008 | 1.523 |
|  |  | 1.528 | 0.826 | 0.769 | 0.623 | 0.479 | 1.943 | 1.000 | 0.992 | 0.893 | 0.671 | 2.334 | 1.138 | 1.141 | 1.158 | 0.854 |

2a. Stepped straight bar under end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; upper end free, lower end fixed $P_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{2}$ is tabulated below


TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams (Continued)

2b. Stepped straight bar under tensile end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; both ends pinned $P_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{2}$ is tabulated below

| ${ }_{1} P_{1}$ | $E_{2} I_{2} / E_{1} I_{1}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  | 1.500 |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{2} E_{1} I_{1}$ | $P_{1} / P_{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ | $\frac{5}{6}$ |
| 1 E $E_{2} I_{2}$ | 0 | 2.60 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 1.73 | 1.36 | 2.77 | 2.24 | 2.47 | 2.54 | 2.04 | 2.86 | 2.41 | 2.89 | 3.30 | 2.72 |
|  | 0.125 | 3.51 | 2.49 | 2.43 | 2.14 | 1.62 | 3.81 | 2.93 | 3.26 | 3.18 | 2.43 | 3.98 | 3.21 | 3.89 | 4.19 | 3.24 |
| - | 0.250 | 5.03 | 3.41 | 3.32 | 2.77 | 1.99 | 5.63 | 4.15 | 4.64 | 4.15 | 2.99 | 5.99 | 4.65 | 5.75 | 5.52 | 3.98 |
|  | 0.375 | 7.71 | 5.16 | 4.96 | 3.76 | 2.55 | 8.98 | 6.61 | 7.26 | 5.63 | 3.82 | 9.80 | 7.67 | 9.45 | 7.50 | 5.09 |
|  | 0.500 | 12.87 | 9.13 | 8.00 | 5.36 | 3.48 | 15.72 | 12.55 | 12.00 | 7.96 | 5.18 | 17.71 | 15.45 | 16.00 | 10.54 | 6.87 |

2c. Stepped straight bar under tensile end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; upper end guided, lower end fixed $P_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{2}$ is tabulated below


## TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams (Continued)

Reference number, form of bar, and manner of loading and support
2d. Stepped straight bar under tensile end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; upper end pinned, lower end fixed $P_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{2}$ is tabulated below


2e. Stepped straight bar under tensile end load $P_{1}$ and intermediate load $P_{2}$; both ends fixed $P_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} \frac{\pi^{2} E_{1} I_{1}}{l^{2}}$ where $K_{2}$ is tabulated below


## TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams (Continued)

 for $P / p a$ means the end load is tensile)

 for $P / p a$ means the end load is tensile)


Reference number, form of bar, and manner of loading and support
 below (a negative value for $P / p a$ means the end load is tensile)

 deflection)


$$
P^{\prime}=\frac{\pi^{2} E I}{l^{2}}\left(m^{2}+\frac{k l^{4}}{m^{2} \pi^{4} E I}\right)
$$

where $m$ represents the number of half-waves into which the bar buckles and is equal to the lowest integer greater than

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{1+\frac{4 l^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{k}{E I}}}-1\right)
$$

 direction of the deflection ( $p=k_{1} y$ for deflection toward the softer foundation; $p=k_{2} y$ for deflection toward the harder foundation); these are also called unattached foundations


| $P^{\prime}=\frac{\pi^{2} E I}{l^{2}}\left(m^{2}+\frac{k_{2} l^{4}}{m^{2} \pi^{4} E I} \phi^{\alpha}\right) \quad$ where $\phi=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}$ and $\alpha$ depends upon $m$ as given below |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $m$ | $\alpha$ |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |
| 2 | $1+\phi\left(0.23-0.017 l^{2} \sqrt{k_{2} / E I}\right)$ |  |
| 3 | $0.75-0.56 \phi$ |  |

 carried out for values of $m=1,2$, and 3 , and the lowest one governs
6. Straight bar, middle portion uniform, end portions tapered and alike; end load; $I=$ moment of inertia of cross section of middle portion; $I_{0}=$ moment of inertia of end cross sections; $I_{x}=$ moment of inertia of section $x$

(For singly tapered columns see Ref. 46.)

6a. $I_{x}=I \frac{x}{b}$
for example, rectangular section tapering uniformly in width


6b. $I_{x}=I\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{2}$
$P^{\prime}=\frac{K E I}{l^{2}}$ where $K$ depends on $\frac{I_{0}}{I}$ and $\frac{a}{l}$ and may be found from the following table:

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$P^{\prime}=\frac{K E I}{l^{2}}$ where $K$ may be found from the following table:

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Reference number, form of bar, and manner of loading and support


## TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams (Continued)

7. Uniform straight bar under end loads $P$ and end twisting couples $T$; cross section of bar has same $I$ for all central axes; both
combination of $P$ and $T$ is given by
$\frac{T^{2}}{4(E I)^{2}}+\frac{P}{E I}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{l^{2}}$

## ends hinged

If $P=0$, the formula gives critical twisting moment $T^{\prime}$ which, acting alone, would cause buckling
If for a given value of $T$ the formula gives a negative value for $P, T>T^{\prime}$ and $P$ represents tensile load required to prevent buckling
For thin circular tube of diameter $D$ and thickness $t$ under torsion only, critical shear stress
$\tau=\frac{\pi E D}{l(1-v)}\left(1-\frac{t}{D}+\frac{1}{3} \frac{t^{2}}{D^{2}}\right) \quad$ for helical buckling only (not for shell-type buckling in the thin wall)

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}=\frac{3 E I}{r^{3}} \tag{Ref.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

8. Uniform circular ring under uniform radial pressure $p \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$; mean radius of ring $r$

9. Uniform circular arch under uniform radial pressure $p \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$; mean radius $r$; ends
$p^{\prime}=\frac{E I}{r^{3}}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{a^{2}}-1\right)$
hinged
(For symmetrical arch of any form under central concentrated loading, see Ref. 40; for parabolic and catenary arches, see Ref. 50)
10. Uniform circular arch under uniform radial pressure $p \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}$; mean radius $r$; ends fixed

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}=\frac{E I}{r^{3}}\left(k^{2}-1\right) \tag{Ref.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ depends on $\alpha$ and is found by trial from the equation: $k \tan \alpha \cot k \alpha=1$ or from the following table:


| $\alpha$ | $15^{\circ}$ | $30^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $75^{\circ}$ | $90^{\circ}$ | $120^{\circ}$ | $180^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $k$ | 17.2 | 8.62 | 5.80 | 4.37 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 2.36 | 2.00 |

(For parabolic and catenary arches, see Ref. 50)
11. Straight uniform beam of narrow
rectangular section under pure
bending

> Reference number, form of bar, and manner of loading and support

13. Straight uniform beam of narrow rectangular section under center load applied at a point a distance $a$ above ( $a$ positive) or below ( $a$ negative) centroid of section: ends of beam simply supported and constrained against twisting


For ends held vertical but not fixed in horizontal plane:

$$
M^{\prime}=\frac{\pi b^{3} d \sqrt{E G\left(1-0.63 \frac{b}{d}\right)}}{6 l}
$$

For ends held vertical and fixed in horizontal plane
$M^{\prime}=\frac{2 \pi b^{3} d \sqrt{E G\left(1-0.63 \frac{b}{d}\right)}}{6 l}$
$P^{\prime}=\frac{0.669 b^{3} d \sqrt{\left(1-0.63 \frac{b}{d}\right) E G}}{l^{2}}\left[1-\frac{a}{2 l} \sqrt{\frac{E}{G\left(1-0.63 \frac{b}{d}\right)}}\right]$
For a load $W$ uniformly distributed along the beam the critical load, $W^{\prime}=3 P^{\prime}$ (approximately) (For tapered and stepped beams, see Ref. 54)
$P^{\prime}=\frac{2.82 b^{3} d \sqrt{\left(1-0.63 \frac{b}{d}\right) E G}}{l^{2}}\left[1-\frac{1.74 a}{l} \sqrt{\frac{E}{G\left(1-0.63 \frac{b}{d}\right)}}\right]$
For a uniformly distributed load, the critical load $W^{\prime}=1.67 P^{\prime}$ (approximately)
If $P$ is applied at an intermediate point, a distance $C$ from one end, its critical value is practically the same as for central loading if $0.4 l<C<0.5 l$ : if $C<0.4 l$, the critical load is given approximately by multiplying the $P^{\prime}$ for central loading by $0.36+0.28 \frac{l}{C}$
If the ends of the beam are fixed and the load $P$ is applied at the centroid of the middle cross section,
$P^{\prime}=\frac{4.43 b^{3} d}{l^{2}} \sqrt{\left(1-0.63 \frac{b}{d}\right) E G}$

## TABLE 15.1 Formulas for elastic stability of bars, rings, and beams (Continued)



## TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells

 width should be greater than 10 times the thickness unless otherwise specified.


## TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells (Continued)



## TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells (Continued)



## TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells (Continued)



TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells (Continued)


TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells (Continued)
14. Curved panel under uniform shear on all edges


$$
b / t>10 \text {. See case } 13 \text { for } b \text { and } r \text {. }
$$

15. Thin-walled circular tube under uniform longitudinal compression (radius of tube $=r$ )

$\stackrel{r}{t}>10$
16. Thin-walled circular tube under a transverse bending moment $M$ (radius of tube $=r$ )

$\frac{r}{\bar{t}}>10$
17. Thin-walled circular tube under a twisting moment $T$ that produces a uniform circumferential shear stress:

$$
\tau=\frac{T}{2 \pi r^{2} t}
$$

(length of tube $=l$; radius of tube $=r$ )

$\frac{r}{t}>10$

14a. All edges simply supported $\quad \tau^{\prime}=0.1 E \frac{t}{r}+5 E\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2}$
$\tau^{\prime}=0.1 E \frac{t}{r}+7.5 E\left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^{2}$
(Ref. 6)
Tests show $\tau^{\prime}=0.075 E \frac{t}{r}$ for panels curved to form quadrant of a circle
(See also Refs. 27, 29)
$\sigma^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{E}{\sqrt{1-v^{2}}} \frac{t}{r}$
(Refs. 6, 12, 13, 24)
Most accurate for very long tubes, but applicable if length is several times as great as $1.72 \sqrt{r t}$, which is the length of a half-wave of buckling. Tests indicate an actual buckling strength of $40-60 \%$ of this theoretical value, or $\sigma^{\prime}=0.3 E t / r$ approximately
$M^{\prime}=K \frac{E}{1-v^{2}} r t^{2}$
Here the theoretical value of $K$ for pure bending and long tubes is 0.99 . The average value of $K$ determined by tests is 1.14 , and the minimum value is 0.72 . Except for very short tubes, length effect is negligible and a small transverse shear produces no appreciable reduction in $M^{\prime}$. A very short cylinder under transverse (beam) shear may fail by buckling at neutral axis when shear stress there reaches a value of about $1.25 \tau^{\prime}$ for case 17a

Refs. 6, 14, 15)

17a. Ends hinged, i.e., wall free to change angle with cross section, but circular section maintained

17b. Ends clamped, i.e., wall held perpendicular to cross section and circular section maintained
$\tau^{\prime}=\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\frac{t}{l}\right)^{2}\left(1.27+\sqrt{9.64+0.466 H^{1.5}}\right)$
where $H=\sqrt{1-v^{2}} \frac{l^{2}}{t r}$
Tests indicate that the actual buckling stress is $60-75 \%$ of this theoretical value, with the majority of the data points nearer $75 \%$
(Refs. 6, 16, 18, 25)
$\tau^{\prime} \frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left(\frac{t}{l}\right)^{2}\left(-2.39+\sqrt{96.9+0.605 H^{1.5}}\right)$
where $H$ is given in part 17a.
(Refs. 6, 16, 18, 25 )

The statement in part a regarding actual buckling stress applies here as well
Form of plate or shell and manner of
loading
19. Thin tube under uniform lateral external pressure (radius of tube $=r$ )

$\stackrel{r}{t}>10$
20. Thin tube with closed ends under uniform external pressure, lateral and longitudinal (length of tube $=l$ radius of tube $=r$ )

$\frac{r}{t}>10$

Manner of support
Formulas for critical unit compressive stress $\sigma^{\prime}$, unit shear stress $\tau^{\prime}$, load $P^{\prime}$, bending moment $M^{\prime}$, or unit external pressure $q^{\prime}$ at which elastic buckling occurs

18a. Edges hinged as in case 17a.

18b. Edges clamped as in case 17b.

The equation $1-\frac{\sigma^{\prime}}{\sigma_{o}^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau_{o}^{\prime}}\right)^{n}$ holds, where $\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ are the critical compressive and shear stresses for the combined loading, $\sigma_{o}^{\prime}$ is the critical compressive stress for the cylinder under compression alone (case 15), and $\tau_{o}^{\prime}$ is the critical shear stress for the cylinder under torsion alone (case 17 a or 17 b according to end conditions). Tests indicate that $n$ is approximately 3 . If $\sigma$ is tensile, then $\sigma^{\prime}$ should be considered negative.
(Ref. 6)
(See also Ref. 26. For square tube, see Ref. 32)

19a. Very long tube with free ends; length $l$

19b. Short tube, of length $l$, ends held circular, but not otherwise constrained, or long tube held circular at intervals $l$
$q^{\prime}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{E}{1-v^{2}} \frac{t^{3}}{r^{3}}$
Applicable when $l>4.90 r \sqrt{\frac{r}{t}}$
$q^{\prime}=0.807 \frac{E t^{2}}{l r} \sqrt[4]{\left(\frac{1}{1-v^{2}}\right)^{3} \frac{t^{2}}{r^{2}}} \quad$ approximate formula
(Refs. 19, 20)
where $n=$ number of lobes formed by the tube in buckling. To determine $q^{\prime}$ for tubes of a given $t / r$, plot a group of curves, one curve for each integral value of $n$ of 2 or more, with $l / r$ as ordinates and $q^{\prime}$ as abscissa; that curve of the group which gives the least value of $q^{\prime}$ is then used to find the $q^{\prime}$ corresponding to a given $l / r$. If $60<\left(\frac{l}{r}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)<2.5\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{2}$, the critical pressure can be approximated by $q^{\prime}=\frac{0.92 E}{\left(\frac{l}{r}\right)\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{2.5}}$
For other approximations see ref. 109


Values of experimentally determined critical pressures range $20 \%$ above and below the theoretical values given by the expressions above. A recommended probable minimum critical pressure is $0.80 q$.
20a. Ends held circular

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime}=\frac{E \frac{t}{r}}{1+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\pi r}{n l}\right)^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{n^{2}\left[1+\left(\frac{n l}{\pi r}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}+\frac{n^{2} t^{2}}{12 r^{2}\left(1-v^{2}\right)}\left[1+\left(\frac{\pi r}{n l}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{Refs.19,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells (Continued)
21. Curved panel under uniform radial pressure (radius of curvature $r$, central angle $2 \alpha$, when $2 \alpha=\operatorname{arc} A B / r)$
$A_{r / t>10}^{q}+\frac{\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow L_{B}}{a}$

21a. Curved edges free, straight edges at $A$ and $B$ simply supported (i.e., hinged)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime}=\frac{E t^{3}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}-1\right)}{12 r^{3}\left(1-v^{2}\right)} \tag{Ref.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

21b. Curved edges free, straight edges at $A$ and $B$ clamped

$$
q^{\prime}=\frac{E t^{3}\left(k^{2}-1\right)}{12 r^{3}\left(1-v^{2}\right)} \quad \begin{array}{l|lllllll}
\alpha & 15^{\circ} & 30^{\circ} & 60^{\circ} & 90^{\circ} & 120^{\circ} & 150^{\circ} & 180^{\circ}  \tag{Ref.1}\\
& 17.2 & 8.62 & 4.37 & 3.0 & 2.36 & 2.07 & 2.0
\end{array}
$$

$q^{\prime}=\frac{2 E t^{2}}{r^{2} \sqrt{3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}} \quad$ (for ideal case)
$q^{\prime}=\frac{0.365 E t^{2}}{r^{2}} \quad\left(\right.$ probable actual minimum $\left.q^{\prime}\right)$
For spherical cap, half-central angle $\phi$ between 20 and $60^{\circ}, R / t$ between 400 and 2000,
$q^{\prime}=\left[1-0.00875\left(\phi^{\circ}-20^{\circ}\right)\right]\left(1-0.000175 \frac{R}{t}\right)(0.3 E)\left(\frac{t}{R}\right)^{2} \quad$ (Empirical formula, Ref. 43)
$q^{\prime}$ can be found from the formula of case 20a if the slant length of the cone is substituted for the length of the cylinder and if the average radius of curvature of the wall of the cone normal to the meridian $\left(R_{A}+R_{B}\right) /(2 \cos \alpha)$ is substituted for the radius of the cylinder. The same recommendation of a probable minimum critical pressure of $0.8 q^{\prime}$ is made from the examination of experimental data for cones.
(Refs. 78, 81)


$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{t}>10
$$

24. Thin truncated conical shell under axial load

$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{t}>10$

23a. Ends held circular

24a. Ends held circular

$$
P^{\prime}=\frac{2 \pi E t^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha}{\sqrt{3\left(1-v^{2}\right)}} \quad \text { (theoretical) }
$$

Tests indicate an actual buckling strength of from 40 to $60 \%$ of the above theoretical value, or $P^{\prime}=0.3\left(2 \pi E t^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha\right)$ approximately
In Ref. 77 it is stated that $P^{\prime}=0.277\left(2 \pi E t^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha\right)$ will give $95 \%$ confidence in at least $90 \%$ of the cones carrying more than this critical load. This is based on 170 tests.

## TABLE 15.2 Formulas for elastic stability of plates and shells (Continued)

| Form of plate or shell and manner of loading | Manner of support | Formulas for critical unit compressive stress $\sigma^{\prime}$, unit shear stress $\tau^{\prime}$, load $P^{\prime}$, bending moment $M^{\prime}$, or unit external pressure $q^{\prime}$ at which elastic buckling occurs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25. Thin truncated conical shell under combined axial load and internal pressure | 25a. Ends held circular | $P^{\prime}-q \pi R_{B}^{2}=K_{A} 2 \pi E t^{2} \cos ^{2} \alpha$ <br> The probable minimum values of $K_{A}$ are tabulated for several values of $K_{P}=\frac{q}{E}\left(\frac{R_{B}}{t \cos \alpha}\right)^{2}$.$k_{B}=2\left[\frac{12\left(1-v^{2}\right) R_{B}^{2}}{t^{2} \tan ^{2} \alpha \sin ^{2} \alpha}\right]^{1 / 4}$$K_{p}$ 0.00 0.25 0.50 1.00 1.50 2.00 3.00 <br> for $k_{B} \leqslant 150$ 0.30 0.52 0.60 0.68 0.73 0.76 0.80 <br> for $k_{B}>150$ 0.20 0.36 0.48 0.60 0.64 0.66 0.69 <br> (Ref. 78)) |
| 26. Thin truncated conical shell under combined axial load and external pressure | 26a. Ends held circular | The following conservative interaction formula may be used for design. It is applicable equally to theoretical values or to minimum probable values of critical load and pressure. $\frac{P^{\prime}}{P_{\text {case } 24}^{\prime}}+\frac{q^{\prime}}{q_{\text {case 23 }}^{\prime}}=1$ <br> This expression can be used for cylinders if the angle $\alpha$ is set equal to zero or use is made of cases 15 and 20 . For small values of $P^{\prime} / P_{\text {case } 24}^{\prime}$ the external pressure required to collapse the shell is greater than that required to initiate buckling. See Ref. 78. |
| 27. Thin truncated conical shell under torsion | 27a. Ends held circular | Let $T=\tau^{\prime} 2 \pi r_{e}^{2} t$ and for $\tau^{\prime}$ use the formulas for thin-walled circular tubes, case 17 , substituting for the radius $r$ of the tube the equivalent radius $r_{e}$, where $r_{e}=R_{B} \cos \alpha\left\{1+\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{R_{A}}{R_{B}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}-\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{R_{A}}{R_{B}}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}\right\} \cdot l$ and $t$ remain the axial length and wall thickness, respectively. <br> (Ref.17) |
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## Chapter <br> 16

## Dynamic and Temperature Stresses

### 16.1 Dynamic Loadings; General Conditions

Dynamic loading was defined in Chap. 3 as any loading during which the parts of the body cannot be considered to be in static equilibrium. It was further pointed out that two kinds of dynamic loading can be distinguished: (1) that in which the body has imposed upon it a particular kind of motion involving known accelerations, and (2) impact, of which sudden loading may be considered a special case. In the following sections, specific cases of each kind of dynamic loading will be considered.

### 16.2 Body in a Known State of Motion

The acceleration $a$ of each particle of mass $d m$ being known, the effective force on each particle is $d m \times a$, directed like $a$. If to each particle a force equal and opposite to the effective force were applied, equilibrium would result. If then such reversed effective forces are assumed to be applied to all the constituent particles of the body, the body may be regarded as being in equilibrium under these forces and the actual forces (loads and reactions) that act upon it, and the resulting stresses can be found exactly as for a body at rest. The reversed effective forces are imaginary forces exerted on the particles but are equal to and directed like the actual reactions the particles exert on whatever gives them their acceleration, i.e., in general, on the rest of the body. Since these reactions are due to the inertia of the particles, they are called inertia forces, and the body may be thought of as loaded by these inertia forces. Similarly, any attached mass will exert on a body inertia forces equal and opposite to the forces which the body has to exert on the attached mass to accelerate it.

The results of applying this method of analysis to a number of more or less typical problems are given below. In all cases, in finding the accelerations of the particles, it has been assumed that the effect of deformation could be ignored; i.e., the acceleration of each particle has been found as though the body were rigid. For convenience, stresses, bending moments, and shears due to inertia forces only are called inertia stresses, moments, and shears; they are calculated as though the body were outside the field of gravitation. Stresses, moments, and shears due to balanced forces (including gravity) may be superimposed thereon. The gravitational acceleration constant $g$ depends upon the units used for the imposed acceleration.

1. A slender uniform rod of weight $W$, length $L$, section area $A$, and modulus of elasticity $E$ is given a motion of translation with an acceleration of $a$ parallel to its axis by a pull (push) applied at one end. The maximum tensile (compressive) stress occurs at the loaded end and is $\sigma=W a / g A$. The elongation (shortening) due to the inertia stresses is

$$
e=\frac{1}{2} \frac{W}{g} \frac{a L}{A E}
$$

2. The rod described in problem 1 is given a motion of translation with an acceleration of $a$ normal to its axis by forces applied at each end. The maximum inertia bending moment occurs at the middle of the bar and is $M=\frac{1}{8} W a L / g$. The maximum inertia vertical (transverse) shear occurs at the ends and is $V=\frac{1}{2} W a / g$.
3. The rod described in problem 1 is made to rotate about an axis through one end normal to its length at a uniform angular velocity of $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. The maximum tensile inertia stress occurs at the pinned end and is

$$
\sigma=\frac{1}{2} \frac{W}{g} \frac{L \omega^{2}}{A}
$$

The elongation due to inertia stress is

$$
e=\frac{1}{3} \frac{W}{g} \frac{L^{2} \omega^{2}}{A E}
$$

4. The rod described in problem 1 is pinned at the lower end and allowed to swing down under the action of gravity from an initially vertical position. When the rod reaches a position where it makes with the vertical the angle $\theta$, it is subjected to a positive bending moment (owing to its weight and the inertia forces) which has its
maximum value at a section a distance $\frac{1}{3} L$ from the pinned end. This maximum value is $M=\frac{1}{27} W L \sin \theta$. The maximum positive inertia shear occurs at the pinned end and is $V=\frac{1}{4} W \sin \theta$. The maximum negative inertia shear occurs at a section a distance $\frac{2}{3} L$ from the pinned end and is $V=-\frac{1}{12} W \sin \theta$. The axial force at any section $x$ in from the pinned end is given by

$$
H=\frac{3 W}{2}\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)-\frac{W \cos \theta}{2}\left(5-2 \frac{x}{L}-3 \frac{x^{2}}{L^{2}}\right)
$$

and becomes tensile near the free end when $\theta$ exceeds $41.4^{\circ}$. (This case represents approximately the conditions existing when a chimney or other slender structure topples over, and the bending moment $M$ explains the tendency of such a structure to break near the one-third point while falling.)
5. The rod described in problem 1 is pinned at the lower end and, while in the vertical position, has imposed upon its lower end a horizontal acceleration of $a$. The maximum inertia bending moment occurs at a section a distance $\frac{1}{3} L$ from the lower end and is $M=\frac{1}{27} W l a / g$. The maximum inertia shear is in the direction of the acceleration, is at the lower end, and is $V=\frac{1}{4} W a / g$. The maximum inertia shear in the opposite direction occurs at a section a distance $\frac{2}{3} L$ from the lower end and is $V=\frac{1}{12} W a / g$. (This case represents approximately the conditions existing when a chimney or other slender structure without anchorage is subjected to an earthquake shock.)
6. A uniform circular ring of mean radius $R$ and weight per unit volume $\delta$, having a thickness in the plane of curvature that is very small compared with $R$, rotates about its own axis with a uniform angular velocity of $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. The ring is subjected to a uniform tangential inertial stress

$$
\sigma=\frac{\delta R^{2} \omega^{2}}{g}
$$

7. A solid homogeneous circular disk of uniform thickness (or a solid cylinder) of radius $R$, Poisson's ratio $v$, and weight per unit volume $\delta$ rotates about its own axis with a uniform angular velocity of $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. At any point a distance $r$ from the center there is a radial tensile inertial stress

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g}\left[(3+v)\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right)\right] \tag{16.2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a tangential tensile inertia stress

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g}\left[(3+v) R^{2}-(1+3 v) r^{2}\right] \tag{16.2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum radial stress and maximum tangential stress are equal, occur at the center, and are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\left(\sigma_{t}\right)_{\max }=\frac{1}{8} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g}(3+v) R^{2} \tag{16.2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

8. A homogeneous annular disk of uniform thickness outer radius $R$, and weight per unit volume $\delta$, with a central hole of radius $R_{0}$, rotates about its own axis with a uniform angular velocity of $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. At any point a distance $r$ from the center there is a radial tensile inertia stress

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}=\frac{3+v}{8} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g}\left(R^{2}+R_{0}^{2}-\frac{R^{2} R_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}-r^{2}\right) \tag{16.2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a tangential tensile inertia stress

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g}\left[(3+v)\left(R^{2}+R_{0}^{2}+\frac{R^{2} R_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)-(1+3 v) r^{2}\right] \tag{16.2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum radial stress occurs at $r=\sqrt{R R_{0}}$ and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{r}\right)_{\max }=\frac{3+v}{8} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g}\left(R-R_{0}\right)^{2} \tag{16.2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the maximum tangential stress occurs at the perimeter of the hole and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sigma_{t}\right)_{\max }=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g}\left[(3+v) R^{2}+(1-v) R_{0}^{2}\right] \tag{16.2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The change in the outer radius is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta R=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g} \frac{R}{E}\left[(1-v) R^{2}+(3+v) R_{0}^{2}\right] \tag{16.2-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the change in the inner radius is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta R_{0}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\delta \omega^{2}}{g} \frac{R_{0}}{E}\left[(3+v) R^{2}+(1-v) R_{0}^{2}\right] \tag{16.2-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there are radial pressures or pulls distributed uniformly along either the inner or outer perimeter of the disk, such as a radial


Figure 16.1
pressure from the shaft or a centrifugal pull from parts attached to the rim, the stresses due thereto can be found by the formula for thick cylinders (Table 13.5) and superimposed upon the inertia stresses given by the preceding formulas.
9. A homogeneous circular disk of conical section (Fig. 16.1) of density $\delta \mathrm{lb} /$ in $^{3}$ rotates about its own axis with a uniform angular velocity of $N \mathrm{rpm}$. At any point a distance $r$ from the center, the tensile inertia stresses $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{t}$ are given, in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{r} & =T K_{r}+A p_{1}+B p_{2}  \tag{16.2-10}\\
\sigma_{t} & =T K_{t}+A q_{1}+B q_{2} \tag{16.2-11}
\end{align*}
$$

Tabulated values of coefficients

| $r / R$ | $K_{r}$ | $K_{t}$ | $p_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 0.00 | 0.1655 | 0.1655 | 1.435 | 1.435 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 0.05 | 0.1709 | 0.1695 | 1.475 | 1.497 | -273.400 | 288.600 |
| 0.10 | 0.1753 | 0.1725 | 1.559 | 1.518 | -66.620 | 77.280 |
| 0.15 | 0.1782 | 0.1749 | 1.627 | 1.565 | -28.680 | 36.550 |
| 0.20 | 0.1794 | 0.1763 | 1.707 | 1.617 | -15.540 | 21.910 |
| 0.25 | 0.1784 | 0.1773 | 1.796 | 1.674 | -9.553 | 14.880 |
| 0.30 | 0.1761 | 0.1767 | 1.898 | 1.738 | -6.371 | 10.890 |
| 0.35 | 0.1734 | 0.1757 | 2.015 | 1.809 | -4.387 | 8.531 |
| 0.40 | 0.1694 | 0.1739 | 2.151 | 1.890 | -3.158 | 6.915 |
| 0.45 | 0.1635 | 0.1712 | 2.311 | 1.983 | -2.328 | 5.788 |
| 0.50 | 0.1560 | 0.1675 | 2.501 | 2.090 | -1.743 | 4.944 |
| 0.55 | 0.1465 | 0.1633 | 2.733 | 2.217 | -1.309 | 4.301 |
| 0.60 | 0.1355 | 0.1579 | 3.021 | 2.369 | -0.9988 | 3.816 |
| 0.65 | 0.1229 | 0.1525 | 3.390 | 2.556 | -0.7523 | 3.419 |
| 0.70 | 0.1094 | 0.1445 | 3.860 | 2.794 | -0.5670 | 3.102 |
| 0.75 | 0.0956 | 0.1370 | 4.559 | 3.111 | -0.4161 | 2.835 |
| 0.80 | 0.0805 | 0.1286 | 5.563 | 3.557 | -0.2971 | 2.614 |
| 0.85 | 0.0634 | 0.1193 | 7.263 | 4.276 | -0.1995 | 2.421 |
| 0.90 | 0.0442 | 0.1100 | 10.620 | 5.554 | -0.1203 | 2.263 |
| 0.95 | 0.0231 | 0.0976 | 20.645 | 8.890 | -0.0555 | 2.140 |
| 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.0840 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | -0.0000 | 2.051 |

where $T=0.0000282 R^{2} N^{2} \delta$ (or for steel, $T=0.000008 R^{2} N^{2}$ ); $K_{r}$, $K_{t}, p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}$, and $q_{2}$ are given by the preceding table; and $A$ and $B$ are constants which may be found by setting $\sigma_{r}$ equal to its known or assumed values at the outer perimeter and solving the resulting equations simultaneously for $A$ and $B$, as in the example on pages 750 and 751. [See papers by Hodkinson and Rushing (Refs. 1 and 2) from which Eqs. (16.2-8) and (16.2-9) and the tabulated coefficients are taken.]
10. A homogeneous circular disk of hyperbolic section (Fig. 16.2) of density $\delta \mathrm{lb} /$ in $^{3}$ rotates about its own axis with uniform angular velocity $\omega \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. The equation $t=c r^{a}$ defines the section, where if $t_{1}=$ thickness at radius $r_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ at radius $r_{2}$,

$$
a=\frac{\ln \left(t_{1} / t_{2}\right)}{\ln \left(r_{1} / r_{2}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\ln c=\ln t_{1}-a \ln r_{1}=\ln t_{2}-a \ln r_{2}
$$

(For taper toward the rim, $a$ is negative; and for uniform $t, a=0$.) At any point a distance $r$ in from the center the tensile inertia stresses $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{t}$, in lb/in ${ }^{2}$, are
$\sigma_{r}=\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left[(3+v) F r^{2}+\left(m_{1}+v\right) A r^{m_{1}-1}+\left(m_{2}+v\right) B r^{m_{2}-1}\right]$
$\sigma_{t}=\frac{E}{1-v^{2}}\left[(1+3 v) F r^{2}+\left(1+m_{1} v\right) A r^{m_{1}-1}+\left(1+m_{2} v\right) B r^{m_{2}-1}\right]$

$$
\text { where } \begin{align*}
F & =\frac{-\left(1-v^{2}\right) \delta \omega^{2} / 386.4}{E[8+(3+v) a]}  \tag{16.2-13}\\
m_{1} & =-\frac{a}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}-a v+1} \\
m_{2} & =-\frac{a}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}-a v+1}
\end{align*}
$$


$A$ and $B$ are constants, found by setting $\sigma_{r}$ equal to its known or assumed values at the inner and outer perimeters and solving the two resulting equations simultaneously for $A$ and $B$. [Equations (16.2-12) and (16.2-13) are taken from Stodola (Ref. 3) with some changes in notation.]
11. A homogeneous circular disk with section bounded by curves and straight lines (Fig. 16.3) rotates about its own axis with a uniform angular velocity $N \mathrm{rpm}$. The disk is imagined divided into annular rings of such width that each ring can be regarded as having a section with hyperbolic outline, as in problem 10 . For each ring, $a$ is calculated by the formulas of problem 10, using the inner and outer radii and the corresponding thicknesses. Then, if $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ represent, respectively, the inner and outer radii of any ring, the tangential stresses $\sigma_{t_{1}}$ and $\sigma_{t_{2}}$ at the inner and outer boundaries of the ring are related to the corresponding radial stresses $\sigma_{r_{1}}$ and $\sigma_{r_{2}}$, in $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{t_{1}} & =A r_{2}^{2}-B \sigma_{r_{1}}+C \sigma_{r_{2}} \\
\sigma_{t_{2}} & =D r_{2}^{2}-E \sigma_{r_{1}}+F \sigma_{r_{2}} \\
B & =\frac{m_{2} K^{m_{1}-1}-m_{1} K^{m_{2}-1}}{K^{m_{2}-1}-K^{m_{1}-1}} \\
K & =\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}} \\
E & =-\frac{m_{2}-m_{1}}{K^{m_{2}-1}-K^{m_{1}-1}} \\
C & =\frac{E}{K^{a+2}} \\
F & =B+a \\
A & =-\frac{7.956(N / 1000)^{2}}{8+3.3 a}\left[1.9 K^{2}+3.3\left(K^{2} B-C\right)\right] \\
D & =-\frac{7.956(N / 1000)^{2}}{8+3.3 a}\left[1.9+3.3\left(K^{2} E-F\right)\right] \\
m_{1} & =-\frac{a}{2}-\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}-0.3 a+1} \\
m_{2} & =-\frac{a}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}}{4}-0.3 a+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The preceding formulas, which are given by Loewenstein (Ref. 4), are directly applicable to steel, for which the values $v=0.3$ and $\delta=0.28 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ have been assumed.
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Two values of $\sigma_{r}$ are known or can be assumed, viz. the values at the inner and outer perimeters of the disk. Then, by setting the tangential stress at the outer boundary of each ring equal to the tangential stress at the inner boundary of the adjacent larger ring, one equation in $\sigma_{r}$ will be obtained for each common ring boundary. In this case, the modulus of elasticity is the same for adjacent rings and the radial stress $\sigma_{r}$ at the boundary is common to both rings, and so the tangential stresses can be equated instead of the tangential strains [Eq. (16.2-15) for the smaller ring equals Eq. (16.2-14) for the larger ring]. Therefore there are as many equations as there are unknown boundary radial stresses, and hence the radial stress at each boundary can be found. The tangential stresses can then be found by Eqs. (16.2$14)$ and (16.2-15), and then the stresses at any point in a ring can be found by using, in Eq. (16.2-14), the known values of $\sigma_{t_{1}}$ and $\sigma_{r_{1}}$ and substituting for $\sigma_{r_{2}}$ the unknown radial stress $\sigma_{r}$, and for $r_{2}$ the corresponding radius $r$.

A fact of importance with reference to turbine disks or other rotating bodies is that geometrically similar disks of different sizes will be equally stressed at corresponding points when running at the same peripheral velocity. Furthermore, for any given peripheral velocity, the axial and radial dimensions of a rotating body may be changed independently of each other and in any ratio without affecting the stresses at similarly situated points.

## EXAMPLE

The conical steel disk shown in section in Fig. 16.4 rotates at 2500 rpm . To its rim it has attached buckets whose aggregate mass amounts to $w=0.75 \mathrm{lb} /$ linear in of rim; this mass may be considered to be centered 30 in from the axis. it is desired to determine the stresses at a point 7 in from the axis.

Solution. From the dimensions of the section, $R$ is found to be 28 in. The values of $r / R$ for the inner and outer perimeters and for the circumference $r=7$ are calculated, and the corresponding coefficients $K_{r}, K_{t}$, etc., are determined from the table on page 747 by graphic interpolation. The results are tabulated here for convenience:
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|  | $r / R$ | $K_{r}$ | $K_{t}$ | $p_{1}$ | $q_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $q_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inner rim | 0.143 | 0.1780 | 0.1747 | 1.616 | 1.558 | -32.5 | 40.5 |
| Outer rim | 0.714 | 0.1055 | 0.1425 | 4.056 | 2.883 | -0.534 | 3.027 |
| $r=7$ in | 0.25 | 0.1784 | 0.1773 | 1.796 | 1.674 | -9.553 | 14.88 |

The attached mass exerts on the rim outward inertia forces which will be assumed to be uniformly distributed; the amount of force per linear inch is

$$
p=\frac{w}{g} \omega^{2} r=\frac{0.75}{386.4}\left(261.5^{2}\right)(30)=3980 \mathrm{lb} / \text { linear in }
$$

Therefore at the outer rim $\sigma_{r}=7960 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.
It is usual to design the shrink fit so that in operation the hub pressure is a few hundred pounds per square inch; it will be assumed that the radial stress at the inner rim $\sigma_{r}=-700 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$. The value of $T=$ $0.000008\left(28^{2}\right)\left(2500^{2}\right)=39,200$. Having two values of $\sigma_{r}$, Eq. (16.2-10) can now be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
-700 & =(39,200)(0.1780)+A(1.616)+B(-32.5) \quad(\text { inner rim }) \\
7960 & =(39,200)(0.1055)+A(4.056)+B(-0.534) \quad(\text { outer rim })
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution gives

$$
A=973, \quad B=285
$$

The stresses at $r=7$ are now found by Eqs. (16.2-10) and (16.2-11) to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r} & =(39,200)(0.1784)+(973)(1.796)+(285)(-9.553)=6020 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2} \\
\sigma_{t} & =(39,200)(0.1773)+(973)(1.674)+(285)(14.88)=12,825 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Bursting speed. The formulas given above for stresses in rotating disks presuppose elastic conditions; when the elastic limit is exceeded, plastic yielding tends to equalize the stress intensity along a diametral plane. Because of this, the average stress $\sigma_{a}$ on such a plane is perhaps
a better criterion of margin of safety against bursting than is the maximum stress computed for elastic conditions. For a solid disk of uniform thickness (case 7),

$$
\sigma_{a}=\frac{\delta \omega^{2} R^{2}}{3 g}
$$

For a pierced disk (case 8),

$$
\sigma_{a}=\frac{\delta \omega^{2}\left(R^{3}-R_{0}^{3}\right)}{3 g\left(R-R_{0}\right)}
$$

Tests (Refs. 12 and 13) have shown that for some materials, rupture occurs in both solid and pierced disks when $\sigma_{a}$, computed for the original dimensions, becomes equal to the ultimate tensile strength of the material as determined by a conventional test. On the other hand, some materials fail at values of $\sigma_{a}$ as low as $61.5 \%$ of the ultimate strength, and the lowest values have been observed in tests of solid disks. The ratio of $\sigma_{a}$ at failure to the ultimate strength does not appear to be related in any consistent way to the ductility of the material; it seems probable that it depends on the form of the stressstrain diagram. In none of the tests reported did the weakening effect of a central hole prove to be nearly as great as the formulas for elastic stress would seem to indicate.

### 16.3 Impact and Sudden Loading

When a force is suddenly applied to an elastic body (as by a blow), a wave of stress is propagated, which travels through the body with a velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sqrt{\frac{g E}{\delta}} \tag{16.3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the modulus of elasticity of the material and $\delta$ is the weight of the material per unit volume.

Bar with free ends. When one end of an unsupported uniform elastic bar is subjected to longitudinal impact from a rigid body moving with velocity $v$, a wave of compressive stress of intensity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{v}{V} E=v \sqrt{\frac{\delta E}{g}} \tag{16.3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is propagated. The intensity of stress is seen to be independent of the mass of the moving body, but the length of the stressed zone, or volume
of material simultaneously subjected to this stress, does depend on the mass of the moving body. If this mass is infinite (or very large compared with that of the bar), the wave of compression is reflected back from the free end of the bar as a wave of tension and returns to the struck end after a period $t_{1}=2 L / V \mathrm{~s}$, where $L$ is the length of the bar and the period $t_{1}$ is the duration of contact between bar and body.

If the impinging body is very large compared with the bar (so that its mass may be considered infinite), the bar, after breaking contact, moves with a velocity $2 v$ in the direction of the impact and is free of stress. If the mass of the impinging body is $\mu$ times the mass of the bar, the average velocity of the bar after contact is broken is

$$
\mu v\left(1-e^{-2 / \mu}\right)
$$

and it is left vibrating with a stress of intensity

$$
\sigma=\frac{v}{V} E e^{-\beta t_{1}}
$$

where $\beta=A \sqrt{\delta E g} / W, A$ being the section area of the bar and $W$ the weight of the moving body.

Bar with one end fixed. If one end of a bar is fixed, the wave of compressive stress resulting from impact on the free end is reflected back unchanged from the fixed end and combines with advancing waves to produce a maximum stress very nearly equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\max }=\frac{v}{V} E\left(1+\sqrt{\mu+\frac{2}{3}}\right) \tag{16.3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as before, $\mu$ denotes the ratio of the mass of the moving body to the mass of the bar. The total time of contact is approximately

$$
t_{1}=\frac{L}{V}\left[\pi \sqrt{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right] \mathrm{s}
$$

[The above formulas are taken from the paper by Donnell (Ref. 5); see also Ref. 17.]

Sudden loading. If a dead load is suddenly transferred to the free end of a bar, the other end being fixed, the resulting state of stress is characterized by waves, as in the case of impact. The space-average value of the pull exerted by the bar on the load is not half the maximum tension, as is usually assumed, but is somewhat greater than that, and therefore the maximum stress that results from sudden
loading is somewhat less than twice that which results from static loading. Love (Ref. 6) shows that if $\mu$ (the ratio of the mass of the load to that of the bar) is 1 , sudden loading causes 1.63 times as much stress as static loading; for $\mu=2$, the ratio is 1.68 ; for $\mu=4$, it is 1.84 ; and it approaches 2 as a limit as $\mu$ increases. It can be seen that the ordinary assumption that sudden loading causes twice as much stress and deflection as static loading is always a safe one to make.

Moving load on beam. If a constant force moves at uniform speed across a beam with simply supported ends, the maximum deflection produced exceeds the static deflection that the same force would produce. If $v$ represents the velocity of the force, $l$ the span, and $\omega$ the lowest natural vibration frequency of the (unloaded) beam, then theoretically the maximum value of the ratio of dynamic to static deflection is 1.74 ; it occurs for $v=\omega l / 1.64 \pi$ and at the instant when the force has progressed at a distance $0.757 l$ along the span (Refs. 15 and 16).

If a constant mass $W$ moves across a simple beam of relatively negligible mass, then the maximum ratio of dynamic to static deflection is $\left[1+\left(v^{2} / g\right)(W l / 3 E I)\right]$ (see Ref. 30). (Note that consistent units must be used in the preceding equations.)

Vibration. A very important type of dynamic loading occurs when an elastic body vibrates under the influence of a periodic impulse. This occurs whenever a rotating or reciprocating mass is unbalanced and also under certain conditions of fluid flow. The most serious situation arises when the impulse synchronizes (or nearly synchronizes) with the natural period of vibration, and it is of the utmost importance to guard against this condition of resonance (or near resonance). There is always some resistance to vibration, whether natural or introduced; this is called damping and tends to prevent vibrations of excessive amplitude. In the absence of effective damping, the amplitude $y$ for near-resonance vibration will much exceed the deflection $y_{s}$ that would be produced by the same force under static conditions. The ratio $y / y_{s}$, called the relative amplification factor, in the absence of damping, is $1 /\left[1-\left(f / f_{n}\right)^{2}\right]$, where $f$ is the frequency of the forcing impulse and $f_{n}$ is the natural frequency of the elastic system. Obviously, it is necessary to know at least approximately the natural period of vibration of a member in order to guard against resonance.

Thomson and Dahleh (Ref. 19) describes in detail analytical and numerical techniques for determining resonant frequencies for systems with single and multiple degrees of freedom; they also describe methods and gives numerous examples for torsional and lateral vibrations of rods and beams. Huang (Ref. 22) has tabulated
the first five resonant frequencies as well as deflections, slopes, bending moments, and shearing forces for each frequency at intervals of $0.02 l$ for uniform beams; these are available for six combinations of boundary conditions. He has also included the first five resonant frequencies for all combinations of 7 different amounts of correction for rotary inertia and 10 different amounts of correction for lateral shear deflection; many mode shapes for these corrections are also included. In Table 16.1, the resonant frequencies and nodal locations are listed for several boundary conditions with no corrections for rotary inertia or shear deflection. (Corrections for rotary inertia and shear deflection have a relatively small effect on the fundamental frequency but a proportionally greater effect on the higher modes.)
Leissa (Ref. 20) has compiled, compared, and in some cases extended most of the known work on the vibration of plates; where possible, mode shapes are given in addition to the many resonant frequencies. Table 16.1 lists only a very few simple cases. Similarly, Leissa (Ref. 21) has done an excellent job of reporting the known work on the vibration of shells. Since, in general, this work must involve three additional variables-the thickness/radius ratio, length/radius ratio, and Poisson's ratio-no results are included here. Blevins, in Ref. 24, gives excellent coverage, by both formulas and tables, of resonant frequencies and mode shapes for cables, straight and curved beams, rings, plates, and shells.

A simple but close approximation for the fundamental frequency of a uniform thin plate of arbitrary shape having any combination of fixed, partially fixed, or simply supported boundaries is given by Jones in Ref. 23. The equation

$$
f=\frac{1.2769}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{g}{\delta_{\max }}}
$$

is based on his work where $\delta_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum static deflection produced by the weight of the plate and any uniformly distributed mass attached to the plate and vibrating with it. It is based on the expression for the fundamental frequency of a clamped elliptical plate but, as Jones points out with several examples of triangular, rectangular, and circular plates having various combinations of boundary conditions, it should hold equally well for all uniform plates having no free boundaries. In the 16 examples he presents, the maximum error in frequency is about $3 \%$.

### 16.4 Impact and Sudden Loading; Approximate Formulas

If it is assumed that the stresses due to impact are distributed throughout any elastic body exactly as in the case of static loading,
then it can be shown that the vertical deformation $d_{i}$ and stress $\sigma_{i}$ produced in any such body (bar, beam, truss, etc.) by the vertical impact of a body falling from a height of $h$ are greater than the deformation $d$ and stress $\sigma$ produced by the weight of the same body applied as a static load in the ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{i}}{d}=\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma}=1+\sqrt{1+2 \frac{h}{d}} \tag{16.4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h=0$, we have the case of sudden loading, and $d_{i} / d=\sigma_{i} / \sigma=2$, as is usually assumed.

If the impact is horizontal instead of vertical, the impact deformation and stress are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{i}}{d}=\frac{\sigma_{i}}{\sigma}=\sqrt{\frac{v^{2}}{g d}} \tag{16.4-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, as before, $d$ is the deformation the weight of the moving body would produce if applied as a static load in the direction of the velocity and $v$ is the velocity of impact.

Energy losses. The above approximate formulas are derived on the assumptions that impact strains the elastic body in the same way (though not in the same degree) as static loading and that all the kinetic energy of the moving body is expended in producing this strain. Actually, on impact, some kinetic energy is dissipated; and this loss, which can be found by equating the momentum of the entire system before and after impact, is most conveniently taken into account by multiplying the available energy (measured by $h$ or by $v^{2}$ ) by a factor $K$, the value of which is as follows for a number of simple cases involving members of uniform section:

1. A moving body of mass $M$ strikes axially one end of a bar of mass $M_{1}$, the other end of which is fixed. Then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{1}{3} \frac{M_{1}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{1}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

If there is a body of mass $M_{2}$ attached to the struck end of the bar, then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{1}{3} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

2. A moving body of mass $M$ strikes transversely the center of a simple beam of mass $M_{1}$. Then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{17}{25} \frac{M_{1}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{5}{8} \frac{M_{1}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

If there is a body of mass $M_{2}$ attached to the beam at its center, then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{17}{35} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{5}{8} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

3. A moving body of mass $M$ strikes transversely the end of a cantilever beam of mass $M_{1}$. Then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{33}{140} \frac{M_{1}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{3}{8} \frac{M_{1}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

If there is a body of mass $M_{2}$ attached to the beam at the struck end, then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{33}{140} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{3}{8} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

4. A moving body of mass $M$ strikes transversely the center of a beam with fixed ends and of mass $M_{1}$. Then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{13}{35} \frac{M_{1}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{1}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

If there is a body of mass $M_{2}$ attached to the beam at the center, then

$$
K=\frac{1+\frac{13}{35} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}}{\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{1}}{M}+\frac{M_{2}}{M}\right)^{2}}
$$

### 16.5 Remarks on Stress due to Impact

It is improbable that in any actual case of impact the stresses can be calculated accurately by any of the methods or formulas given above. Equation (16.3-3), for instance, is supposedly very nearly precise if the conditions assumed are realized, but those conditions-perfect elasticity of the bar, rigidity of the moving body, and simultaneous contact of the moving body with all points on the end of the rod-are obviously unattainable. On the one hand, the damping of the initial stress wave by elastic hysteresis in the bar and the diminution of the intensity of that stress wave by the cushioning effect of the actually nonrigid moving body would serve to make the actual maximum stress less than the theoretical value; on the other hand, uneven contact between the moving body and the bar would tend to make the stress conditions nonuniform across the section and would probably increase the maximum stress.

The formulas given in Sec. 16.4 are based upon an admittedly false assumption, viz. that the distribution of stress and strain under impact loading is the same as under static loading. It is known, for instance, that the elastic curve of a beam under impact is different from that under static loading. Such a difference exists in any case, but it is less marked for low than for high velocities of impact, and Eqs. (16.4-1) and (16.4-2) probably give reasonably accurate values for the deformation and stress (especially the deformation) resulting from the impact of a relatively heavy body moving at low velocity. The lenitive effect of the inertia of the body struck and of attached bodies, as expressed by $K$, is greatest when the masses of these parts are large compared with that of the moving body. When this is the case, impact can be serious only if the velocity is relatively high, and under such circumstances the formulas probably give only a rough indication of the actual stresses and deformations to be expected. (See Ref. 18.)

### 16.6 Temperature Stresses

Whenever the expansion or contraction that would normally result from the heating or cooling of a body is prevented, stresses are developed that are called thermal, or temperature, stresses. It is convenient to distinguish two different sets of circumstances under which thermal stresses occur: (1) The form of the body and the temperature conditions are such that there would be no stresses except for the constraint of external forces; in any such case, the stresses may be found by determining the shape and dimensions the body would assume if unconstrained and then calculating the stresses produced by forcing it back to its original shape and dimensions (see Sec. 7.2, Example 2). (2) The form of the body and the temperature
conditions are such that stresses are produced in the absence of external constraint solely because of the incompatibility of the natural expansions or contractions of the different parts of the body.

A number of representative examples of each type of thermal stress will now be considered.* In all instances the modulus of elasticity $E$ and the coefficient of thermal expansion $\gamma$ are assumed to be constant for the temperature range involved and the increment or difference in temperature $\Delta T$ is assumed to be positive; when $\Delta T$ is negative, the stress produced is of the opposite kind. Also, it is assumed that the compressive stresses produced do not produce buckling and that yielding does not occur; if either buckling or yielding is indicated by the stress levels found, then the solution must be modified by appropriate methods discussed in previous chapters.

## Stresses due to external constraint

1. A uniform straight bar is subjected to a temperature change $\Delta T$ throughout while held at the ends; the resulting unit stress is $\Delta T \gamma E$ (compression). (For other conditions of end restraint see Table 8.2 cases $1 \mathrm{q}-12 \mathrm{q}$.)
2. A uniform flat plate is subjected to a temperature change $\Delta T$ throughout while held at the edges; the resulting unit stress is $\Delta T \gamma E /(1-v)$ (compression).
3. A solid body of any form is subjected to a temperature change $\Delta T$ throughout while held to the same form and volume; the resulting stress is $\Delta T \gamma E /(1-2 v)$ (compression).
4. A uniform bar of rectangular section has one face at a uniform temperature $T$ and the opposite face at a uniform temperature $T+\Delta T$, the temperature gradient between these faces being linear. The bar would normally curve in the arc of the circle of radius $d / \Delta T \gamma$, where $d$ is the distance between the hot and cold faces. If the ends are fixed, the bar will be held straight by end couples $E I \Delta T \gamma / d$, and the maximum resulting bending stress will be $\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E$ (compression on the hot face; tension on the cold face). (For many other conditions of end restraint and partial heating, see Table 8.1, cases 6a-6f; Table 8.2, cases $1 \mathrm{r}-12 \mathrm{r}$; Table 8.5, case 7; Table 8.6, case 7; Table 8.8, cases 6a-6f; and Table 8.9 cases 6a-6f.)
5. A flat plate of uniform thickness $t$ and of any shape has one face at a uniform temperature $T$ and the other face at a uniform tempera-

[^37]ture $T+\Delta T$, the temperature gradient between the faces being linear. The plate would normally assume a spherical curvature with radius $t /(\Delta T \gamma)$. If the edges are fixed, the plate will be held flat by uniform edge moments and the maximum resulting bending stress will be $\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E /(1-v)$ (compression on the hot face; tension on the cold face). (For many other conditions of edge restraint and axisymmetric partial heating, see Table 11.2, cases $8 \mathrm{a}-8 \mathrm{~h}$; a more general treatment of the solid circular plate is given in Table 11.2, case 15.)
6. If the plate described in case 5 is circular, no stress is produced by supporting the edges in a direction normal to the plane of the plate.
7. If the plate described in case 5 has the shape of an equilateral triangle of altitude $a$ (sides $2 a / \sqrt{3}$ ) and the edges are rigidly supported so as to be held in a plane, the supporting reactions will consist of a uniform load $\frac{1}{8} \Delta T \gamma E t^{2} / a$ per unit length along each edge against the hot face and a concentrated load $\sqrt{3} \Delta T \gamma E t^{2} / 12$ at each corner against the cold face. The maximum resulting bending stress is $\frac{3}{4} \Delta T \gamma E$ at the corners (compression on the hot face; tension on the cold face). There are also high shear stresses near the corners (Ref. 8).
8. If the plate described in case 5 is square, no simple formula is available for the reactions necessary to hold the edges in their original plane. The maximum bending stress occurs near the edges, and its value approaches $\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E$. There are also high shear stresses near the corners (Ref. 8).

## Stresses due to internal constraint

9. Part or all of the surface of a solid body is suddenly subjected to a temperature change $\Delta T$; a compressive stress $\Delta T \gamma E /(1-v)$ is developed in the surface layer of the heated part (Ref. 7).
10. A thin circular disk at uniform temperature has the temperature changed $\Delta T$ throughout a comparatively small central circular portion of radius $a$. Within the heated part there are radial and tangential compressive stresses $\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E$. At points outside the heated part a distance $r$ from the center of the disk but still close to the central portion, the stresses are $\sigma_{r}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E a^{2} / r^{2}$ (compression) and $\sigma_{t}=\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E a^{2} / r^{2}$ (tension); at the edge of the heated portion, there is a maximum shear stress $\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E$ (Ref. 7).
11. If the disk of case 10 is heated uniformly throughout a small central portion of elliptical instead of circular outline, the maxi-
mum stress is the tangential stress at the ends of the ellipse and is $\sigma_{t}=\Delta T \gamma E /[1+(b / a)]$, where $a$ is the major and $b$ the minor semiaxis of the ellipse (Ref. 7).
12. If the disk of case 10 is heated symmetrically about its center and uniformly throughout its thickness so that the temperature is a function of the distance $r$ from the center only, the radial and tangential stresses at any point a distance $r_{1}$ from the center are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r_{1}} & =\gamma E\left(\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{0}^{R} \operatorname{Tr} d r-\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \int_{0}^{r_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} d r\right) \\
\sigma_{t_{1}} & =\gamma E\left(-T+\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{0}^{R} \operatorname{Tr} d r+\frac{1}{r_{1}^{2}} \int_{0}^{r_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} d r\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the radius of the disk and $T$ is the temperature at any point a distance $r$ from the center minus the temperature of the coldest part of the disk. [In the preceding expressions, the negative sign denotes compressive stress (Ref. 7).]
13. A rectangular plate or strip $A B C D$ (Fig. 16.5) is heated along a transverse line $F G$ uniformly throughout the thickness and across the width so that the temperature varies only along the length with $x$. At $F G$ the temperature is $T_{1}$; the minimum temperature in the plate is $T_{0}$. At any point along the edges of the strip where the temperature is $T$, a tensile stress $\sigma_{x}=E \gamma\left(T-T_{0}\right)$ is developed; this stress has its maximum value at $F$ and $G$, where it becomes $E \gamma\left(T_{1}-T_{0}\right)$. Halfway between $F$ and $G$, a compressive stress $\sigma_{y}$ of equal intensity is developed along line $F G$ (Ref. 7).
14. The plate of case 13 is heated as described except that the lower face of the plate is cooler than the upper face, the maximum temperature there being $T_{2}$ and the temperature gradient through the thickness being linear. The maximum tensile stress at $F$ and $G$ is (see Ref. 7)

$$
\sigma_{x}=\frac{1}{2} E \gamma\left[T_{1}+T_{2}-2 T_{0}+\frac{1-v}{3+v}\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)\right]
$$

15. A long hollow cylinder with thin walls has the outer surface at the uniform temperature $T$ and the inner surface at the uniform


Figure 16.5
temperature $T+\Delta T$. The temperature gradient through the thickness is linear. At points remote from the ends, the maximum circumferential stress is $\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E /(1-v)$ (compression at the inner surface; tension at the outer surface) and the longitudinal stress is $\frac{1}{2} \Delta T \gamma E /(1-v)$ (compression at the inside; tension at the outside). (These formulas apply to a thin tube of any cross section.) At the ends, if these are free, the maximum tensile stress in a tube of circular section is about $25 \%$ greater than the value given by the formula (Ref. 7).
16. A hollow cylinder with thick walls of inner radius $b$ and outer radius $c$ has the outer surface at the uniform temperature $T$ and the inner surface at the uniform temperature $T+\Delta T$. After steady-state heat flow is established the temperature decreases logarithmically with $r$ and then the maximum stresses, which are circumferential and which occur at the inner and outer surfaces, are
(Outer surface)

$$
\sigma_{t}=\frac{\Delta T \gamma E}{2(1-v) \ln (c / b)}\left(1-\frac{2 b^{2}}{c^{2}-b^{2}} \ln \frac{c}{b}\right) \quad \text { tension }
$$

(Inner surface)

$$
\sigma_{t}=\frac{\Delta T \gamma E}{2(1-v) \ln (c / b)}\left(1-\frac{2 c^{2}}{c^{2}-b^{2}} \ln \frac{c}{b}\right) \quad \text { compression }
$$

At the inner and outer surfaces, the longitudinal stresses are equal to the tangential stresses (Ref. 7).
17. If the thick tube of case 16 has the temperature of the outer surface raised at the uniform rate of $\mathrm{m}^{\circ} / \mathrm{s}$ then, after a steady rate of temperature rise has been reached throughout, the maximum tangential stresses are
(Outer surface)

$$
\sigma_{t}=\frac{E \gamma m}{8 A(1-v)}\left(3 b^{2}-c^{2}-\frac{4 b^{4}}{c^{2}-b^{2}} \ln \frac{c}{b}\right) \quad \text { compression }
$$

(Inner surface)

$$
\sigma_{t}=\frac{E \gamma m}{8 A(1-v)}\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-\frac{4 b^{2} c^{2}}{c^{2}-b^{2}} \ln \frac{c}{b}\right) \quad \text { tension }
$$

where $A$ is the coefficient of thermal diffusivity equal to the coefficient of thermal conductivity divided by the product of density of the material and its specific heat. (For steel, $A$ may be
taken as $0.027 \mathrm{in}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$ at moderate temperatures.) [At the inner and outer surfaces, the longitudinal stresses are equal to the tangential stresses (Ref. 9).] The stated conditions in this case 17 as well as those in cases 19 to 21 are difficult to create in a short time except for small parts heated or cooled in liquids.
18. A solid rod of circular section is heated or cooled symmetrically with respect to its axis, the condition being uniform along the length, so that the temperature is a function of $r$ (the distance from the axis) only. The stresses are equal to those given by the formulas for case 12 divided by $1-v$ (Ref. 7).
19. If the solid rod of case 18 has the temperature of its convex surface raised at the uniform rate of $\mathrm{m}^{\circ} / \mathrm{s}$, then, after a steady rate of temperature rise has been reached throughout, the radial, tangential, and longitudinal stresses at any point a distance $r$ from the center are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r} & =\frac{E \gamma m}{1-v} \frac{c^{2}-r^{2}}{16 A} \\
\sigma_{t} & =\frac{E \gamma m}{1-v} \frac{c_{2}-3 r^{2}}{16 A} \\
\sigma_{x} & =\frac{E \gamma m}{1-v} \frac{c^{2}-2 r^{2}}{8 A}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $A$ has the same meaning as in case 17 and $c$ is the radius of the shaft, [A negative result indicates compression, a positive result tension (Ref. 9).]
20. A solid sphere of radius $c$ is considered instead of a solid cylinder but with all other conditions kept the same as in case 19. The radial and tangential stresses produced at any point a distance $r$ from the center are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r} & =\frac{E \gamma m}{15 A(1-v)}\left(c^{2}-r^{2}\right) \\
\sigma_{t} & =\frac{E \gamma m}{15 A(1-v)}\left(c^{2}-2 r^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[A negative result indicates compression, a positive result tension (Ref. 9).]
21. If the sphere is hollow, with outer radius $c$ and inner radius $b$, and with all other conditions kept as stated in case 17, the stresses at
any point are
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{r} & =\frac{E \gamma m}{15 A(1-v)}\left(-r^{2}-\frac{5 b^{3}}{r}+\phi-\psi\right) \\
\sigma_{t} & =\frac{E \gamma m}{15 A(1-v)}\left(-2 r^{2}-\frac{5 b^{3}}{2 r}+\phi+\frac{\psi}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi=\frac{c^{5}+5 c^{2} b^{3}-6 b^{5}}{c^{3}-b^{3}} \\
& \psi=\frac{c^{5} b^{3}-6 c^{3} b^{5}+5 c^{2} b^{6}}{r^{3}\left(c^{3}-b^{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

[A negative result indicates compression, a positive result tension (Ref. 9).]

Other problems involving thermal stress, the solutions of which cannot be expressed by simple formulas, are considered in the references cited above and in Refs. 3, 10 and 25 to 29; charts for the solution of thermal stresses in tubes are given in Ref. 11. Derivations for many of the thermal loadings shown above along with thermal loadings on many other examples of bars, rings, plates, and cylindrical and spherical shells are given in Ref. 28.

### 16.7 Tables

## TABLE 16.1 Natural frequencies of vibration for continuous members

 elasticity; $I=$ area moment of inertia; $D=E t^{3} / 12\left(1-v^{2}\right)$


## TABLE 16.1 Natural frequencies of vibration for continuous members (Continued)



| 8. Uniform shaft or bar in torsional vibration; one end fixed, the other end free | 8a. Concentrated end mass of $J$ mass moment of inertia, shaft weight negligible | $G$ is the shear modulus of elasticity and $K$ is the torsional stiffness constant (see Chap. 10) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8b. Uniform distribution of mass moment of inertia along shaft; $J_{s}=$ total distributed mass moment of inertia | $f_{n}=\frac{K_{n}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{G K}{J_{s} l}} \quad$ where $K_{1}=1.57 \quad K_{2}=4.71 \quad K_{3}=7.85$ |  |
|  | 8c. Uniformly distributed inertia plus a concentrated end mass | $f_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{G K}{\left(J+J_{s} / 3\right) l}} \quad \text { approximately }$ |  |
| 9. String vibrating laterally under a tension $T$ with both ends fixed | 9a. Uniform load $w$ per unit length including own weight | $f=\frac{K_{n}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{T g}{w l^{2}}} \quad$ where $K_{1}=\pi \quad K_{2}=2 \pi \quad K_{3}=3 \pi$ |  |
| 10. Circular flat plate of uniform thickness $t$ and radius $r$; edge fixed | 10a. Uniform load $w$ per unit area including own weight | $f=\frac{K_{n}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{D g}{w r^{4}}} \quad$ where$K_{1}=10.2$ fundamental <br>   <br> $K_{2}=21.3$ one nodal diameter <br> $K_{3}=34.9$ two nodal diameters <br>  $K_{4}=39.8$ <br> one nodal circle  | $\text { Ref. } 20$ |
| 11. Circular flat plate of uniform thickness $t$ and radius $r$; edge simply supported | 11a. Uniform load $w$ per unit area including own weight; $v=0.3$ | $f=\frac{K_{n}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{D g}{w r^{4}}} \quad$ where$K_{1}=4.99$ fundamental <br>   <br> $K_{2}=13.9$ one nodal diameter <br> $K_{3}=25.7$ two nodal diameters <br>  $K_{4}=29.8$ <br> one nodal circle  | Ref. 20 |
| 12. Circular flat plate of uniform thickness $t$ and radius $r$; edge free | 12a. Uniform load $w$ per unit area including own weight; $v=0.33$ | $f=\frac{K_{n}}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{D g}{w r^{4}}} \quad$ where$K_{1}=5.25$ two nodal diameters <br>  <br>  <br> $K_{2}=9.08$ one nodal circle <br> $K_{3}=12.2$ three nodal diameters <br> $K_{4}=20.5$ one nodal diameter and one nodal circle | Ref. 20 |

TABLE 16.1 Natural frequencies of vibration for continuous members (Continued)
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## Chapter <br> 17

## Stress Concentration

When a large stress gradient occurs in a small, localized area of a structure, the high stress is referred to as a stress concentration. Near changes in geometry of a loaded structure, the flow of stress is interfered with, causing high stress gradients where the maximum stress and strain may greatly exceed the average or nominal values based on simple calculations. Contact stresses, as discussed in Chapter 14, also exhibit high stress gradients near the point of contact, which subside quickly as one moves away from the contact area. Thus, the two most common occurrences of stress concentrations are due to (1) discontinuities in continuum and (2) contact forces. Discontinuities in continuum include changes in geometry and material properties. This chapter is devoted to geometric changes.

Rapid geometry changes disrupt the smooth flow of stresses through the structure between load application areas. Plates in tension or bending with holes, notches, steps, etc. are simple examples involving direct normal stresses. Shafts in tension, bending, and torsion, with holes, notches, steps, keyways, etc., are simple examples involving direct and bending normal stresses and torsional shear stresses. More complicated geometries must be analyzed either by experimental or numerical techniques such as the finite element method. Other, less obvious, geometry changes include rough surface finishes and external and internal cracks.

Changes in material properties are discussed in Chap. 7, and demonstrated in an example where a change in modulus of elasticity drastically changed the stress distribution. Changes in material properties can occur both at macroscopic and microscopic levels which include alloy formulation, grain size and orientation, foreign materials, etc.

### 17.1 Static Stress and Strain Concentration Factors

Consider the plate shown in Fig. 17.1, loaded in tension by a force per unit area, $\sigma$. Although not drawn to scale, consider that the outer dimensions of the plate are infinite compared with the diameter of the hole, $2 a$. It can be shown, from linear elasticity, that the tangential stress throughout the plate is given by (see Ref. 60)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\theta}=\frac{\sigma}{2}\left[1+\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}-\left(1+3 \frac{a^{4}}{r^{4}}\right) \cos 2 \theta\right] \tag{17.1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum stress is $\sigma_{\theta}=3 \sigma$ at $r=a$ and $\theta= \pm 90^{\circ}$. Figure 17.2 shows how the tangential stress varies along the $x$ and $y$ axes of the plate. For the top (and bottom) of the hole, we see the stress gradient is extremely large compared with the nominal stress, and hence the term stress concentratiom applies. Along the surface of the hole, the tangential stress is $-\sigma$ at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$, and increases, as $\theta$ increases, to $3 \sigma$ at $\theta=90^{\circ}$ and $270^{\circ}$.


Figure 17.1 Circular hole in a plate loaded in tension.


Figure 17.2 Tangential stress distribution for $\theta=0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$.

The static stress concentration factor in the elastic range, $K_{t}$, is defined as the ratio of the maximum stress, $\sigma_{\max }$, to the nominal stress, $\sigma_{\text {nom }}$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{t}=\frac{\sigma_{\max }}{\sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}} \tag{17.1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the infinite plate containing a hole and loaded in tension, $\sigma_{\text {nom }}=\sigma$, $\sigma_{\max }=3 \sigma$, and thus $K_{t}=3$.*

The analysis of the plate in tension with a hole just given is for a very wide plate (infinite in the limit). As the width of the plate decreases, the maximum stress becomes less than three times the nominal stress at the zone containing the hole. Figure 17.3(a) shows a plate of thickness $t=0.125 \mathrm{in}$, width $D=1.50 \mathrm{in}$, with a hole of diameter $2 r=0.50 \mathrm{in}$, and an applied uniform stress of $\sigma_{0}=320 \mathrm{psi}$.


Figure 17.3 Stress distribution for a plate in tension containing a centrally located hole.

[^38]A photoelastic* model is shown in Fig. 17.3(b). From a photoelastic analysis, the stresses at points $a, b$, and $c$ are found to be

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { zone } A-A: & \sigma_{a}=320 \mathrm{psi} & \\
\text { zone } B-B: & \sigma_{b}=280 \mathrm{psi}, & \sigma_{c}=1130 \mathrm{psi}
\end{array}
$$

The nominal stress in zone $B-B$ is

$$
\sigma_{\text {nom }}=\frac{D}{D-2 r} \sigma_{0}=\frac{1.50}{1.50-0.5} 320=480 \mathrm{psi}
$$

If the stress was uniform from $b$ to $c$, the stress would be 480 psi. However, the photoelastic analysis shows the stress to be nonuniform, ranging from 280 psi at $b$ to a maximum stress at $c$ of 1130 psi . Thus, for this example, the stress concentration factor is found to be

$$
K_{t}=\frac{\sigma_{\max }}{\sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}}=\frac{1130}{480}=2.35
$$

The static stress concentration factor for a plate containing a centrally located hole in which the plate is loaded in tension depends on the ratio $2 r / D$ as given for case 7 a of Table 17.1. For our example here, $2 r / D=0.5 / 1.5=\frac{1}{3}$. The equation for $K_{t}$ from Table 17.1 gives

$$
K_{t}=3.00-3.13\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+3.66\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{2}-1.53\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{3}=2.31
$$

which is within $2 \%$ of the results from the photoelastic model.
Table 17.1 provides the means to evaluate the static stress concentration factors in the elastic range for many cases that apply to fundamental forms of geometry and loading conditions.

Neuber's Formula for Nonlinear Material Behavior. If the load on a structure exceeds the value for which the maximum stress at a stress concentration equals the elastic limit of the material, the stress distribution changes from that within the elastic range. Neuber (Ref. 61) presented a formula which includes stress and strain. Defining an effective stress concentration factor, $K_{\sigma}=\sigma_{\max } /$ $\sigma_{\text {nom }}$, and an effective strain concentration factor, $K_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon_{\max } / \varepsilon_{\text {nom }}$, Neuber established that $K_{t}$ is the geometric means of the stress and strain factors. That is, $K_{t}=\left(K_{\sigma} K_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\sigma}=\frac{K_{t}^{2}}{K_{\varepsilon}} \tag{17.1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^39]In terms of the stresses and strains, Eq. (17.1-3) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\max } \varepsilon_{\max }=K_{t}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{nom}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{nom}} \tag{17.1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K_{t}$ and $\sigma_{\text {nom }}$ are obtained exactly the same as when the max stress is within the elastic range. The determination of $\varepsilon_{\text {nom }}$ is found from the material's elastic stress-strain curve using the nominal stress.

## EXAMPLE

A circular shaft with a square shoulder and fillet is undergoing bending (case 17 b of Table 17.1). A bending moment of $500 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{m}$ is being transmitted at the fillet section. For the shaft, $D=50 \mathrm{~mm}, h=9 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $r=3 \mathrm{~mm}$. The stressstrain data for the shaft material is tabulated below and plotted in Fig. 17.4. Determine the maximum stress in the shaft.

| $\varepsilon, 10^{-5}$ | 0 | 25 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 200 | 225 | 250 | 275 | 300 | 325 | 350 | 375 | 400 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\sigma,(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 235 | 252 | 263 | 267 | 272 | 276 | 279 | 282 | 285 | 287 | 289 | 290 |

Solution. From the given dimensions, $h / r=9 / 3=3$. From case 17 b of Table 17.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=1.225+0.831 \sqrt{3}-0.010(3)=2.634 \\
& C_{2}=-3.790+0.958 \sqrt{3}-0.257(3)=-2.902 \\
& C_{3}=7.374-4.834 \sqrt{3}+0.862(3)=1.587 \\
& C_{4}=-3.809+3.046-0.595(3)=-0.3182
\end{aligned}
$$

With $2 h / D=18 / 50=0.36$,

$$
K_{t}=2.634-2.902(0.36)+1.587(0.36)^{2}-0.3182(0.36)^{3}=1.780
$$



Figure 17.4

The nominal stress at the minor radius of the step shaft is

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}=\frac{32 M}{\pi(D-2 h)^{3}}=\frac{32(500)}{\pi[50-2(9)]^{3}\left(10^{-3}\right)^{3}}=155.4\left(10^{6}\right) \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=155.4 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

If $\sigma_{\text {max }}$ is in the elastic range, then

$$
\sigma_{\max }=K_{t} \sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}=1.780(155.4)=276.6 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

However, as one can see from the stress-strain plot that this exceeds the elastic limit of 200 MPa . Thus, $\sigma_{\max }$ must be determined from Neuber's equation.

The modulus of elasticity in the elastic range of the material is $E=20 \mathrm{GPa}$. Thus, the nominal strain is found to be $\varepsilon_{\text {nom }}=\sigma_{\text {nom }} / E=155.4\left(10^{6}\right) / 20\left(10^{9}\right)=$ $77.7\left(10^{-5}\right)$. Thus,

$$
K_{t}^{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{nom}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{nom}}=(1.780)^{2}(155.4)(77.7)\left(10^{-5}\right)=0.3826 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

From the tabulated data, the product $\sigma \varepsilon$ can be tabulated as a function of $\sigma$. This results in the following:

| $\sigma(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 0 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 235 | 252 | 263 | 267 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma \varepsilon(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 0 | 0.0125 | 0.05 | 0.1125 | 0.2 | 0.29375 | 0.378 | 0.46025 | 0.534 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sigma(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 272 | 276 | 279 | 282 | 285 | 287 | 289 | 290 |  |
| $\sigma \varepsilon(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 0.612 | 0.69 | 0.76725 | 0.846 | 0.92625 | 1.0045 | 1.08375 | 1.16 |  |

Since, based on Eq. (17.4), we are looking for the value of $\sigma_{\max } \varepsilon_{\max }=0.3826$, we will interpolate $\sigma \varepsilon$ between 0.378 and 0.46025 . Thus,

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\max }-252}{0.3826-0.378}=\frac{263-252}{0.46025-0.378}
$$

This yields $\sigma_{\text {max }}=252.6 \mathrm{MPa}$.
For dynamic problems where loading is cycling, the fatigue stress concentration factor is more appropriate to use. See Sec. 3.20 for a discussion of this.

### 17.2 Stress Concentration Reduction Methods

Intuitive methods such as the flow analogy are sometimes helpful to the analyst faced with the task of reducing stress concentrations. When dealing with a situation where it is necessary to reduce the cross section abruptly, the resulting stress concentration can often be mini-
mized by a further reduction of material. This is contrary to the common advice "if it is not strong enough, make it bigger." This can be explained by examining the flow analogy.
The governing field equations for ideal irrotational fluid flow are quite similar to those for stress. Thus, there exists an analogy between fluid flow lines, velocity, and pressure gradients on the one hand, and stress trajectories, magnitudes, and principal stresses on the other. The flow analogy for the plate in Fig. 17.3 is shown in Fig. 17.5(a), where stress-free surface boundaries are replaced by solid-channel boundaries for the fluid (wherever stress cannot exist, fluid flow cannot exist). The uniformly applied loads are replaced by a uniform


Figure 17.5 Stress-flow analogy.
fluid flow field. Along the entrance section $A-A$ of Fig. 17.5(a), the flow is uniform, and, owing to symmetry, the flow is uniform at the exit of the channel. However, as the fluid particles approach section $B-B$, the streamlines need to adjust to move around the circular obstacle. In order to accomplish this, particles close to streamline 1 must make the greatest adjustment and must accelerate until they reach section $B-B$, where they reach maximum velocity, and then decelerate to their original uniform velocity some distance from $B-B$. Thus, the velocity at point $c$ is the maximum. The compaction of the streamlines at $c$ will lead to the development of a pressure gradient, which will actually cause the velocity of point $b$ to be less than that of the incoming velocity of streamline 6 at $A-A$. Note also that when a particle on streamline 1 reaches point $d$, the particle theoretically takes on a velocity perpendicular to the net flow. This analogy agrees with that of the plate loaded in tension with a centrally located hole. The stress is a maximum at the edge of the hole corresponding to point $c$ in Fig. 17.5(a). The stress in the plate corresponding to point $b$ is lower than the applied stress, and for point $d$ the stress in the plate is compressive perpendicular to the axial direction.

This analogy can be used to suggest improvements to reduce stress concentrations. For example, for the plate with the hole, the hole can be elongated to an ellipse as shown in Fig. 17.5(b), which will improve the flow transition into section $B-B$ (note that this is a reduction of material). An ellipse, however, is not a practical solution, but it can effectively be approximated by drilling two smaller relief holes in line



Relief holes (plate)


Figure 17.6 (continued) Stress concentration reductions.
and in close proximity to the original hole as shown in Fig. 17.5(c). The material between the holes, provided the holes are close, will be a stagnation area where the flow (stresses) will be low. Consequently, the configuration acts much like that of an elliptical hole.

At first, this might not seem to make sense, since this is a reduction of more material-and if one hole weakens the part, obviously more
holes will make things worse. One must keep in mind that the first hole increased the stress in two ways: (1) by reducing the crosssectional area and (2) by changing the shape of the stress distribution. The two additional holes in Fig. 17.5(c) do not change the area reduction unless they are larger than the original hole. However, as stated, the additional holes will improve the flow transition, and consequently reduce the stress concentration. Another way of improving the plate with the hole is to elongate the hole in the axial direction to a slot.

Some other examples of situations where stress concentrations occur and possible methods of improvements are given in Fig. 17.6. Note that in each case, improvement is made by reducing material.
This is not a hard and fast rule, however; most reductions in high stress concentrations are made by removing material from adjacent low-stressed areas. This "draws" the high stresses away from the stress concentration area towards the low-stressed area, which decreases the stress in the high-stressed areas.

### 17.3 Table

## TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$

The elastic stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ is the ratio of the maximum stress in the stress raiser to the nominal stress computed by the ordinary mechanics-of-materials formulas, using the dimensions of the net cross section unless defined otherwise in specific cases.

For those data presented in the form of equations, the equations have been developed to fit as closely as possible the many data points given in the literature referenced in each case. Over the majority of the ranges specified for the variables, the curves fit the data points with much less than a $5 \%$ error.
It is not possible to tabulate all the available values of stress concentration factors found in the literature, but the following list of topics and sources of data will be helpful. All the following references have extensive bibliographies.
Fatigue stress concentration factors ( $K_{f}$ ); see the 4th edition of this book, Ref. 23.
Stress concentration factors for rupture ( $K_{r}$ ); see the 4th edition of this book, Ref. 23.
Stress concentration factors pertaining to odd-shaped holes in plates, multiple holes arranged in various patterns, and reinforced holes under multiple loads; see Refs. 1 and 24 . Stress concentration around holes in pressure vessels; see Ref. 1.
For a discussion of the effect of stress concentration on the response of machine elements and structures, see Ref. 25.

| Type of form irregularity or stress raiser | Stress condition and manner of loading | Stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ for various dimensions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Two U-notches in a member of rectangular section | 1a. Elastic stress, axial tension | $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$ <br> where $0.1 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 2.0$ | $2.0 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 50.0$ |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{lr} C_{1} & 0.850+2.628 \sqrt{h / r}-0.413 h / r \\ C_{2} & -1.119-4.826 \sqrt{h / r}+2.575 h / r \\ C_{3} & 3.563-0.514 \sqrt{h / r}-2.402 h / r \\ C_{4} & -2.294+2.713 \sqrt{h / r}+0.240 h / r \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.833+2.069 \sqrt{h / r}-0.009 h / r \\ 2.732-4.157 \sqrt{h / r}+0.176 h / r \\ -8.859+5.327 \sqrt{h / r}-0.320 h / r \\ 6.294-3.239 \sqrt{h / r}+0.154 h / r \end{array}$ |

For the semicircular notch $(h / r=1)$

$$
K_{t}=3.065-3.370\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+0.647\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+0.658\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}
$$

TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)

| Type of form irregularity or stress raiser | Stress condition and manner of loading | Stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ for various dimensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1b. Elastic stress, in-plane bending |  |
|  | 1c. Elastic stress, out-of-plane bending | $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$ <br> where for $0.25 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 4.0$ and $h / t$ is large $\begin{aligned} & C_{1}=1.031+0.831 \sqrt{h / r}+0.014 h / r \\ & C_{2}=-1.227-1.646 \sqrt{h / r}+0.117 h / r \\ & C_{3}=3.337-0.750 \sqrt{h / r}+0.469 h / r \\ & C_{4}=-2.141+1.566 \sqrt{h / r}-0.600 h / r \end{aligned}$ <br> (Refs. 1, 9, 13, and 14) <br> For the semicircular notch $(h / r=1)$ $K_{t}=1.876-2.756\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+3.056\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}-1.175\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$ |
| 2. Two V-notches in a member of rectangular section | 2a. Elastic stress, axial tension | The stress concentration factor for the V-notch, $K_{\theta}$, is the smaller of the values $K_{t \theta}=K_{t u}$ <br> or $K_{t \theta}=1.11 K_{t u}-\left[0.0275+0.000145 \theta+0.0164\left(\frac{\theta}{120}\right)^{8}\right] K_{t u}^{2} \quad \text { for } \frac{2 h}{D}=0.40 \text { and } \theta \leqslant 120^{\circ}$ <br> or $K_{t \theta}=1.11 K_{t u}-\left[0.0275+0.00042 \theta+0.0075\left(\frac{\theta}{120}\right)^{8}\right] K_{t u}^{2} \quad \text { for } \frac{2 h}{D}=0.667 \text { and } \theta \leqslant 120^{\circ}$ <br> where $K_{t u}$ is the stress concentration factor for a U-notch, case 1a, when the dimensions $h, r$, and $D$ are the same as for the V-notch and $\theta$ is the notch angle in degrees. <br> (Refs. 1 and 15) |

## TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)

| 3. One U-notch in a member of rectangular section | 3a. Elastic stress, axial tension | $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{3}$ <br> where for $0.5 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 4.0$ $\begin{aligned} & C_{1}=0.721+2.394 \sqrt{h / r}-0.127 h / r \\ & C_{2}=1.978-11.489 \sqrt{h / r}+2.211 h / r \\ & C_{3}=-4.413+18.751 \sqrt{h / r}-4.596 h / r \\ & C_{4}=2.714-9.655 \sqrt{h / r}+2.512 h / r \end{aligned}$ <br> (Refs. 16 and 17) <br> For the semicircular notch $(h / r=1)$ $K_{t}=2.988-7.300\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)+9.742\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{2}-4.429\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3b. Elastic stress, in-plane bending | where for $0.5 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 4.0$ $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{3}$ $\begin{aligned} & C_{1}=0.721+2.394 \sqrt{h / r}-0.127 h / r \\ & C_{2}=-0.426-8.827 \sqrt{h / r}+1.518 h / r \\ & C_{3}=2.161+10.968 \sqrt{h / r}-2.455 h / r \\ & C_{4}=-1.456-4.535 \sqrt{h / r}+1.064 h / r \end{aligned}$ <br> (Refs. 17 and 18) <br> For the semicircular notch $(h / r=1)$ $K_{t}=2.988-7.735\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)+10.674\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{2}-4.927\left(\frac{h}{D}\right)^{3}$ |
| 4. One V-notch in a member of rectangular section | 4b. Elastic stress, in-plane bending | The stress concentration factor for the V-notch, $K_{t \theta}$, is the smaller of the values $K_{t \theta}=K_{t u}$ <br> or $K_{t \theta}=1.11 K_{t u}-\left[0.0275+0.1125\left(\frac{\theta}{150}\right)^{4}\right] K_{t u}^{2} \quad \text { for } \theta \leqslant 150^{\circ}$ <br> where $K_{t u}$ is the stress concentration factor for a U-notch, case 3 b , when the dimensions $h, r$ and $D$ are the same as for the V -notch and $\theta$ is the notch angle in degrees. <br> (Ref. 18) |

## TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)

| Type of form irregularity or stress raiser | Stress condition and manner of loading | Stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ for various dimensions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Square shoulder with fillet in a member of rectangular section | 5a. Elastic stress, axial tension | $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$ <br> where $\frac{L}{D}>\frac{3}{[r /(D-2 h)]^{1 / 4}}$ and where <br> For cases where $\frac{L}{D}<\frac{3}{[r /(D-2 h)]^{1 / 4}}$ see Refs. 1, 21, and 22. | (Refs. 1, 8, 11, and 19) |
|  | 5b. Elastic stress, in-plane bending | $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$ <br> where $\frac{L}{D}>\frac{0.8}{[r /(D-2 h)]^{1 / 4}}$ and where <br> For cases where $\frac{L}{D}<\frac{0.8}{[r /(D-2 h)]^{1 / 4}}$ see Refs. 1 and 20 . | (Refs. 1, 11, and 20) |

TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)
6. Circular hole in an infinite plate

7. Central circular hole in a member of rectangular cross section


6a. Elastic stress, in-plane normal stress
(a1) Uniaxial stress, $\sigma_{2}=0$

$$
\sigma_{A}=3 \sigma_{1} \quad \sigma_{B}=-\sigma_{1}
$$

(a2) Biaxial stress, $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{1}$

$$
\sigma_{A}=\sigma_{B}=2 \sigma_{1}
$$

(a3) Biaxial stress, $\sigma_{2}=-\sigma_{1} \quad$ (pure shear)

$$
\sigma_{A}=-\sigma_{B}=4 \sigma_{1}
$$

(b1) Simple bending, $M_{2}=0$

$$
\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \frac{6 M_{1}}{t^{2}}
$$

where $K_{t}=1.79+\frac{0.25}{0.39+(2 r / t)}+\frac{0.81}{1+(2 r / t)^{2}}-\frac{0.26}{1+(2 r / t)^{3}}$
(b2) Cylindrical bending, $M_{2}=v M_{1}$
$\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \frac{6 M_{1}}{t^{2}}$
where $K_{t}=1.85+\frac{0.509}{0.70+(2 r / t)}-\frac{0.214}{1+(2 r / t)^{2}}+\frac{0.335}{1+(2 r / t)^{3}} \quad$ for $v=0.3$
(b3) Isotropic bending, $M_{2}=M_{1}$

$$
\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \frac{6 M_{1}}{t^{2}}
$$

where $K_{t}=2$ (independent of $r / t$ )

$$
\sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}
$$

where $\sigma_{\text {nom }}=\frac{P}{t(D-2 r)}$

$$
K_{t}=3.00-3.13\left(\frac{2 r}{D}\right)+3.66\left(\frac{2 r}{D}\right)^{2}-1.53\left(\frac{2 r}{D}\right)^{3}
$$

TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)

| Type of form irregularity or stress raiser | Stress condition and manner of loading | Stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ for various dimensions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7b. Elastic stress, in-plane bending | The maximum stress at the edge of the hole is $\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \sigma_{\text {nom }}$ <br> where $\sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}=\frac{12 M r}{t\left[D^{3}-(2 r)^{3}\right]} \quad$ (at the edge of the hole) $\left.K_{t}=2 \quad \text { (independent of } r / D\right)$ <br> The maximum stress at the edge of the plate is not directly above the hole but is found a short distance away in either side, points $X$. <br> where $\sigma_{\text {nom }}=\frac{6 M D}{t\left[D^{3}-(2 r)^{3}\right]}$ $\sigma_{X}=\sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}$ <br> (at the edge of the plate) |
|  | 7c. Elastic stress, out-of-plane bending <br> Note: see case 6b for interpretation of $M_{2}$. | (c1) Simple bending, $M_{2}=0$ $\sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \frac{6 M_{1}}{t^{2}(D-2 r)}$ <br> where $K_{t}=\left[1.79+\frac{0.25}{0.39+(2 r / t)}+\frac{0.81}{1+(2 r / t)^{2}}-\frac{0.26}{1+(2 r / t)^{3}}\right]\left[1-1.04\left(\frac{2 r}{D}\right)+1.22\left(\frac{2 r}{D}\right)^{2}\right] \quad$ for $\frac{2 r}{D}<0.3$ <br> (c2) Cylindrical bending (plate action), $M_{2}=v M_{1}$ $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\max } & =\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \frac{6 M_{1}}{t^{2}(D-2 r)} \\ \text { where } K_{t}= & {\left[1.85+\frac{0.509}{0.70+(2 r / t)}-\frac{0.214}{1+(2 r / t)^{2}}+\frac{0.335}{1+(2 r / t)^{3}}\right] } \\ & \times\left[1-1.04\left(\frac{2 r}{D}\right)+1.22\left(\frac{2 r}{D}\right)^{2}\right] \quad \text { for } \frac{2 r}{D}<0.3 \text { and } v=0.3 \end{aligned}$ <br> (Refs. 1 and 27 to 29) |


| 8. Off-center circular hole in a member of rectangular cross section | 8a. Elastic stress, axial tension | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\max }=\sigma_{A}=K_{t} \sigma_{\mathrm{nom}} \\ \text { where } \sigma_{\mathrm{nom}}=\frac{P}{D t} \frac{\sqrt{1-(r / c)^{2}}}{1-(r / c)} \frac{1-(c / D)}{1-(c / D)\left[2-\sqrt{\left.1-(r / c)^{2}\right]}\right.} \\ K_{t}=3.00-3.13\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)+3.66\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^{2}-1.53\left(\frac{r}{c}\right)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | (Refs. 1 and 32) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8b. Elastic stress, in-plane bending | $\begin{aligned} & \text { where } \sigma_{\text {nom }}=\frac{12 M}{t D^{3}}\left(\frac{D}{2}-c+r\right) \\ & \text { and } K_{t}=3.0 \quad \text { if } r / c<0.05 \\ & \text { or } K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 c}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 c}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 c}{D}\right)^{3} \end{aligned}$ <br> where for $0.05 \leqslant r / c \leqslant 0.5$ $\begin{aligned} & C_{1}=3.022-0.422 r / c+3.556(r / c)^{2} \\ & C_{2}=-0.569+2.664 r / c-4.397(r / c)^{2} \\ & C_{3}=3.138-18.367 r / c+28.093(r / c)^{2} \\ & C_{4}=-3.591+16.125 r / c-27.252(r / c)^{2} \end{aligned}$ | (Ref. 31) |
| 9. Elliptical hole in an infinite plate $r_{A}=\frac{b^{2}}{a}$ | 9a. Elastic stress, in-plane normal stress | (a1) Uniaxial stress, $\sigma_{2}=0$ $\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{A}=\left(1+\frac{2 a}{b}\right) \sigma_{1} \quad \text { or } \quad \sigma_{A}=\left(1+2 \sqrt{\frac{a}{r_{A}}}\right) \sigma_{1} \\ & \sigma_{B}=-\sigma_{1} \end{aligned}$ <br> (a2) Biaxial stress, $\sigma_{2}=\sigma_{1}$ $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A} & =2 \frac{a}{b} \sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{B} & =2 \frac{b}{a} \sigma_{1} \end{aligned}$ <br> (a3) Biaxial stress, $\sigma_{2}=-\sigma_{1}$ $\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A} & =2\left(1+\frac{a}{b}\right) \sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{B} & =-2\left(1+\frac{b}{a}\right) \sigma_{1} \end{aligned}$ <br> This stress condition would also be created by pure shear inclined at $45^{\circ}$ to the axes of the ellipse. |  |

## TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)

| Type of form irregularity <br> or stress raiser |
| :--- |
| 10. Central elliptical hole in a <br> member of rectangular cross <br> section |
| 10a. Elastic stress, axial tension |
| manner of loading |

TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)
12. Rectangular hole with round corners in an infinite plate

13. Lateral slot with circular ends in a member of rectangular section


The equivalent ellipse has a width $2 b_{\text {eq }}$ where $b_{\text {eq }}=\sqrt{r_{A} a}$
14. Reinforced circular hole in a wide plate


12a. Elastic stress, axial tension

$\sigma_{\max }=K_{t} \sigma_{1} \quad$ and $\quad K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{3}$
where for $\leqslant 0.2 \leqslant r / b \leqslant 1.0 \quad$ and $\quad 0.3 \leqslant b / a \leqslant 1.0$
$C_{1}=14.815-15.774 \sqrt{r / b}+8.149 r / b$
$C_{2}=-11.201-9.750 \sqrt{r / b}+9.600 r / b$
$C_{3}=0.202+38.622 \sqrt{r / b}-27.374 r / b$
$C_{4}=3.232-23.002 \sqrt{r / b}+15.482 r / b$
(Refs. 38-40)
A very close approximation to the maximum stress $\sigma_{A}$ can be obtained by using the maximum stress for the given loading with the actual slot replaced by an ellipse having the same overall dimension normal to the loading direction, $2 a$, and the same end radius $r_{A}$. See cases $9 a, 10 a$, and 11a.

As above, but see case 10 b.

13a. Elastic stress, axial tension

13b. Elastic stress, in-plane bending

$$
\sigma_{\max }=K_{t} \sigma_{1} \text { where for } r_{f} \geqslant 0.6 t \text { and } w \geqslant 3 t
$$

$$
K_{t}=1.0+\frac{1.66}{1+A}-\frac{2.182}{(1+A)^{2}}+\frac{2.521}{(1+A)^{3}}
$$

$A$ is the ratio of the transverse area of the added reinforcement to the transverse area of the hole:

$$
A=\frac{\left(r_{b}-r\right)(w-t)+0.429 r_{f}^{2}}{r t}
$$

TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)


| 16. V-notch in a circular shaft | 16c. Elastic stress, torsion | The stress concentration factor for the V-notch, $K_{t \theta}$, is the smaller of the values $K_{t \theta}=K_{t u} \quad \text { or } \quad K_{t \theta}=1.065 K_{t u}-\left[0.022+0.137\left(\frac{\theta}{135}\right)^{2}\right]\left(K_{t u}-1\right) K_{t u}$ <br> for $\frac{r}{D-2 h} \leqslant 0.01$ and $\theta \leqslant 135^{\circ}$ where $K_{t u}$ is the stress concentration factor for a U-notch, case 15 c, when the dimensions $h, r$, and $D$ are the same as for the V -notch and $\theta$ is the notch angle in degrees. <br> (Refs. 1 and 44) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. Square shoulder with fillet in circular shaft | 17a. Elastic stress, axial tension | $\sigma_{\max }=K_{t} \frac{4 P}{\pi(D-2 h)^{2}}$ where $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$  <br> where  <br>   <br> $C_{1}$  <br> $C_{2}$ $\|$$0.927+1.149 \sqrt{h / r}-0.086 h / r$ $1.225+0.831 \sqrt{h / r}-0.010 h / r$ <br> $C_{3}$ $0.011-3.029 \sqrt{h / r}+0.948 h / r$ <br> $C_{4}$ $-1.831-0.318 \sqrt{h / r}-0.049 h / r$ <br>  $0.366-2.979 \sqrt{h / r}-1.737 h / r$ <br> (Refs. 1, 19, and 47) |
|  | 17b. Elastic stress, bending | where $\quad \sigma_{\max }=K_{t} \frac{32 M}{\pi(D-2 h)^{3}}$ where $K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$where   <br>  $0.25 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 2.0$ $2.0 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 20.0$ <br> $C_{1}$ $0.927+1.149 \sqrt{h / r}-0.086 h / r$ $1.225+0.831 \sqrt{h / r}-0.010 h / r$ <br> $C_{2}$ $0.015-3.281 \sqrt{h / r}+0.837 h / r$ $-3.790+0.958 \sqrt{h / r}-0.257 h / r$ <br> $C_{3}$ $0.847+1.716 \sqrt{h / r}-0.506 h / r$ $7.374-4.834 \sqrt{h / r}+0.862 h / r$ <br> $C_{4}$ $-0.790+0.417 \sqrt{h / r}-0.246 h / r$ $-3.809+3.046 \sqrt{h / r}-0.595 h / r$ <br> (Refs. 1, 20, and 48) |
|  | 17c. Elastic stress, torsion | $\sigma_{\max }=K_{t} \frac{16 T}{\pi(D-2 h)^{3}} \text { where } K_{t}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)+C_{3}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{2}+C_{4}\left(\frac{2 h}{D}\right)^{3}$ <br> where for $0.25 \leqslant h / r \leqslant 4.0$ $\begin{aligned} & C_{1}=0.953+0.680 \sqrt{h / r}-0.053 h / r \\ & C_{2}=-0.493-1.820 \sqrt{h / r}+0.517 h / r \\ & C_{3}=1.621+0.908 \sqrt{h / r}-0.529 h / r \\ & C_{4}=-1.081+0.232 \sqrt{h / r}+0.065 h / r \end{aligned}$ <br> (Refs. 1, 19, 46, 49, and 50) |

## TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)



TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)


TABLE 17.1 Stress concentration factors for elastic stress $\left(K_{t}\right)$ (Continued)
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# Appendix <br> A 

## Properties of a Plane Area

Because of their importance in connection with the analysis of bending and torsion, certain relations for the second-area moments, commonly referred to as moments of inertia, are indicated in the following paragraphs. The equations given are in reference to Fig. A.1, and the notation is as follows:
$A$ area of the section
$X, Y$ rectangular axes in the plane of the section at arbitrary point O
$x, y \quad$ rectangular axes in the plane of the section parallel to $X, Y$, respectively with origin at the centroid, $C$, of the section


Figure A. 1 Plane area.
$z$ polar axis through $C$
$x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ rectangular axes in the plane of the section, with origin at $C$, inclined at a counterclockwise angle $\theta$ from $x, y$
1,2 principal axes at $C$ inclined at a counterclockwise angle $\theta_{p}$ from $x, y$
$r \quad$ the distance from $C$ to the $d A$ element, $r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$
By definition,
Moments of inertia: $\quad I_{x}=\int_{A} y^{2} d A, \quad I_{y}=\int_{A} x^{2} d A$
Polar moment of inertia:

$$
I_{z}=J=\int_{A} r^{2} d A=I_{x}+I_{y}=I_{x^{\prime}}+I_{y^{\prime}}=I_{1}+I_{2}
$$

Product of inertia: $\quad I_{x y}=\int_{A} x y d A$
Radii of gyration: $\quad k_{x}=\sqrt{I_{x} / A}, \quad k_{y}=\sqrt{I_{y} / A}$
Parallel axis theorem:

$$
I_{X}=I_{x}+A y_{c}^{2}, \quad I_{Y}=I_{y}+A x_{c}^{2}, \quad I_{X Y}=I_{x y}+A x_{c} y_{c}
$$

Transformation equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{x^{\prime}}=I_{x} \cos ^{2} \theta+I_{y} \sin ^{2} \theta-I_{x y} \sin 2 \theta \\
& I_{y^{\prime}}=I_{x} \sin ^{2} \theta+I_{y} \cos ^{2} \theta+I_{x y} \sin 2 \theta \\
& I_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{x}-I_{y}\right) \sin 2 \theta+I_{x y} \cos 2 \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Principal moments of inertia and directions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1,2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(I_{x}+I_{y}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(I_{y}-I_{x}\right)^{2}+4 I_{x y}^{2}}\right], \quad I_{12}=0 \\
\theta_{p} & =\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{2 I_{x y}}{I_{y}-I_{x}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon the determination of the two principal moments of inertia, $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$, two angles, $90^{\circ}$ apart, can be solved for from the equation for $\theta_{p}$. It may be obvious which angle corresponds to which principal moment of inertia. If not, one of the angles must be substituted into the equations $I_{x^{\prime}}$ and $I_{y^{\prime}}$ which will again yield the principal moments of inertia but also their orientation.

Note, if either one of the $x y$ axes is an axis of symmetry, $I_{x y}=0$, with $I_{x}$ and $I_{y}$ being the principal moments of inertia of the section.

If $I_{1}=I_{2}$ for a set of principal axes through a point, it follows that the moments of inertia for all $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}$ axes through that point, in the same plane, are equal and $I_{x^{\prime} y^{\prime}}=0$ regardless of $\theta$. Thus the moment of inertia of a square, an equilateral triangle, or any section having
two or more axes of identical symmetry is the same for any central axis.
The moment of inertia and radius of gyration of a section with respect to a centroidal axis are less than for any other axis parallel thereto.

The moment of inertia of a composite section (one regarded as made up of rectangles, triangles, circular segments, etc.) about an axis is equal to the sum of the moments of inertia of each component part about that axis. Voids are taken into account by subtracting the moment of inertia of the void area.

Expressions for the area, distances of centroids from edges, moments of inertia, and radii of gyration are given in Table A. 1 for a number of representative sections. The moments of products of inertia for composite areas can be found by addition; the centroids of composite areas can be found by using the relation that the statical moment about any line of the entire area is equal to the sum of the statical moments of its component parts.

Although properties of structural sections-wide-flange beams, channels, angles, etc.-are given in structural handbooks, formulas are included in Table A. 1 for similar sections. These are applicable to sections having a wider range of web and flange thicknesses than normally found in the rolled or extruded sections included in the handbooks.

Plastic or ultimate strength design is discussed in Secs. 8.15 and 8.16, and the use of this technique requires the value of the fully plastic bending moment-the product of the yield strength of a ductile material and the plastic section modulus $Z$. The last column in Table A. 1 gives for many of the sections the value or an expression for $Z$ and the location of the neutral axis under fully plastic pure bending. This neutral axis does not, in general, pass through the centroid, but instead divides the section into equal areas in tension and compression.

## TABLE A. 1 Properties of sections

 Sec. 8.15 for applications of $Z$ and SF

| Form of section | Area and distances from centroid to extremities | Moments and products of inertia and radii of gyration about central axes | Plastic section moduli, shape factors, and locations of plastic neutral axes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Square | $\begin{aligned} A & =a^{2} \\ y_{c} & =x_{c}=\frac{a}{2} \\ y_{c}^{\prime} & =0.707 a \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}-\alpha\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=I_{y}=I_{x}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{12} a^{4} \\ & r_{x}=r_{y}=r_{x}^{\prime}=0.2887 a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x} & =Z_{y}=0.25 a^{3} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\mathrm{SF}_{y}=1.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2. Rectangle | $\begin{aligned} A & =b d \\ y_{c} & =\frac{d}{2} \\ x_{c} & =\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{1}{12} b d^{3} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{1}{12} d b^{3} \\ & I_{x}>I_{y} \quad \text { if } d>b \\ & r_{x}=0.2887 d \\ & r_{y}=0.2887 b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x} & =0.25 b d^{2} \\ Z_{y} & =0.25 d b^{2} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\mathrm{SF}_{y}=1.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3. Hollow rectangle | $\begin{aligned} A & =b d-b_{i} d_{i} \\ y_{c} & =\frac{d}{2} \\ x_{c} & =\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{b d^{3}-b_{i} d_{i}^{3}}{12} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{d b^{3}-d_{i} b_{i}^{3}}{12} \\ & r_{x}=\left(\frac{I_{x}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \\ & r_{y}=\left(\frac{I_{y}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x} & =\frac{b d^{2}-b_{i} d_{i}^{2}}{4} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\frac{Z_{x} d}{2 I_{x}} \\ Z_{x} & =\frac{d b^{2}-d_{i} b_{i}^{2}}{4} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{y} & =\frac{Z_{y} b}{2 I_{y}} \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE A. 1 Properties of sections (Continued)

| 4. Tee section | $\begin{aligned} & A=t b+t_{w} d \\ & y_{c}=\frac{b t^{2}+t_{w} d(2 t+d)}{2\left(t b+t_{w} d\right)} \\ & x_{c}=\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{b}{3}(d+t)^{3}-\frac{d^{3}}{3}\left(b-t_{w}\right)-A\left(d+t-y_{c}\right)^{2} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{t b^{3}}{12}+\frac{d t_{w}^{3}}{12} \\ & r_{x}=\left(\frac{I_{x}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \\ & r_{y}=\left(\frac{I_{y}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ | If $t_{w} d \geqslant b t$, then $Z_{x}=\frac{d^{2} t_{w}}{4}-\frac{b^{2} t^{2}}{4 t_{w}}+\frac{b t(d+t)}{2}$ <br> Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $\left(b t / t_{w}+d\right) / 2$ from the bottom. <br> If $t_{w} d \leqslant b t$, then $Z_{x}=\frac{t^{2} b}{4}+\frac{t_{w} d\left(t+d-t_{w} d / 2 b\right)}{2}$ <br> Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $\left(t_{w} d / b+t\right) / 2$ from the top. $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\frac{Z_{x}\left(d+t-y_{c}\right)}{I_{1}} \\ Z_{y} & =\frac{b^{2} t+t_{w}^{2} d}{4} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{y} & =\frac{Z_{y} b}{2 I_{y}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Channel section | $\begin{aligned} & A=t b+2 t_{w} d \\ & y_{c}=\frac{b t^{2}+2 t_{w} d(2 t+d)}{2\left(t b+2 t_{w} d\right)} \\ & x_{c}=\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{b}{3}(d+t)^{3}-\frac{d^{3}}{3}\left(b-2 t_{w}\right)-A\left(d+t-y_{c}\right)^{2} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{(d+t) b^{3}}{12}-\frac{d\left(b-2 t_{w}\right)^{3}}{12} \\ & r_{x}=\left(\frac{I_{x}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \\ & r_{y}=\left(\frac{I_{y}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ | If $2 t_{w} d \geqslant b t$, then $Z_{x}=\frac{d^{2} t_{w}}{2}-\frac{b^{2} t^{2}}{8 t_{w}}+\frac{b t(d+t)}{2}$ <br> Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance <br> $\left(b t / 2 t_{w}+d\right) / 2$ from the bottom. <br> If $2 t_{w} d \leqslant b t$, then $Z_{x}=\frac{t^{2} b}{4}+t_{w} d\left(t+d-\frac{t_{w} d}{b}\right)$ <br> Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $t_{w} d / b+t / 2$ from the top. $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\frac{Z_{x}\left(d+t-y_{c}\right)}{I_{x}} \\ Z_{y} & =\frac{b^{2} t}{4}+t_{w} d\left(b-t_{w}\right) \\ \mathrm{SF}_{y} & =\frac{Z_{y} b}{2 I_{y}} \end{aligned}$ |

Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $\left(b t / t_{w}+d\right) / 2$
from the bottom.
$Z_{x}=\frac{t^{2} b}{4}+\frac{t_{w} d\left(t+d-t_{w} d / 2 b\right)}{2}$
Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $\left(t_{w} d / b+t\right) / 2$ from the top.
$\mathrm{SF}_{x}=\frac{Z_{x}\left(d+t-y_{c}\right)}{I_{1}}$
$Z_{y}=\frac{b^{2} t+t_{w}^{2} d}{4}$
$\mathrm{SF}_{y}=\frac{Z_{y} b}{2 I_{y}}$
If $2 t_{w} d \geqslant b t$, then
$Z_{x}=\frac{d^{2} t_{w}}{2}-\frac{b^{2} t^{2}}{8 t_{w}}+\frac{b t(d+t)}{2}$
eutral axis $x$ is located a distance
$\left(b t / 2 t_{w}+d\right) / 2$ from the bottom.
$Z_{x}=\frac{t^{2} b}{4}+t_{w} d\left(t+d-\frac{t_{w} d}{b}\right)$
Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $t_{w} d / b+t / 2$ top
$\mathrm{SF}_{x}=\frac{Z_{x}\left(d+t-y_{c}\right)}{I_{x}}$
$Z_{y}=\frac{b^{2} t}{4}+t_{w} d\left(b-t_{w}\right)$
$\mathrm{SF}_{y}=\frac{Z_{y} b}{2 I_{y}}$

| Form of section | Area and distances from centroid to extremities | Moments and products of inertia and radii of gyration about central axes | Plastic section moduli, shape factors, and locations of plastic neutral axes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. Wide-flange beam with equal flanges | $\begin{aligned} & A=2 b t+t_{w} d \\ & y_{c}=\frac{d}{2}+t \\ & x_{c}=\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{b(d+2 t)^{3}}{12}-\frac{\left(b-t_{w}\right) d^{3}}{12} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{b^{3} t}{6}+\frac{t_{w}^{3} d}{12} \\ & r_{x}=\left(\frac{I_{x}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \\ & r_{y}=\left(\frac{I_{y}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x} & =\frac{t_{w} d^{2}}{4}+b t(d+t) \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\frac{Z_{x} y_{c}}{I_{x}} \\ Z_{y} & =\frac{b^{2} t}{2}+\frac{t_{w}^{2} d}{4} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{y} & =\frac{Z_{y} x_{c}}{I_{y}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Equal-legged angle | $\begin{aligned} A & =t(2 a-t) \\ y_{c 1} & =\frac{0.7071\left(a^{2}+a t-t^{2}\right)}{2 a-t} \\ y_{c 2} & =\frac{0.7071 a^{2}}{2 a-t} \\ x_{c} & =0.7071 a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{a^{4}-b^{4}}{12}-\frac{0.5 t a^{2} b^{2}}{a+b} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{a^{4}-b^{4}}{12} \quad \text { where } b=a-t \\ & r_{x}=\left(\frac{I_{x}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \\ & r_{y}=\left(\frac{I_{y}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ | Let $y_{p}$ be the vertical distance from the top corner to the plastic neutral axis. If $t / a \geqslant 0.40$, then $\begin{aligned} & y_{p}=a\left[\frac{t}{a}-\frac{(t / a)^{2}}{2}\right]^{1 / 2} \\ & Z_{x}=A\left(y_{c 1}-0.6667 y_{p}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> If $t / a \leqslant 0.4$, then $\begin{aligned} & y_{p}=0.3536(a+1.5 t) \\ & Z_{x}=A y_{c 1}-2.8284 y_{p}^{2} t+1.8856 t^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| 8. Unequal-legged angle | $\begin{aligned} & A=t(b+d-t) \\ & x_{c}=\frac{b^{2}+d t-t^{2}}{2(b+d-t)} \\ & y_{c}=\frac{d^{2}+b t-t^{2}}{2(b+d-t)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{x} & =\frac{1}{3}\left[b d^{3}-(b-t)(d-t)^{3}\right]-A\left(d-y_{c}\right)^{2} \\ I_{y} & =\frac{1}{3}\left[d b^{3}-(d-t)(b-t)^{3}\right]-A\left(b-x_{c}\right)^{2} \\ I_{x y} & =\frac{1}{4}\left[b^{2} d^{2}-(b-t)^{2}(d-t)^{2}\right]-A\left(b-x_{c}\right)\left(d-y_{c}\right) \\ r_{x} & =\left(\frac{I_{x}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \\ r_{y} & =\left(\frac{I_{y}}{A}\right)^{1 / 2} \end{aligned}$ |  |


| 9. Equilateral triangle | $\begin{aligned} A & =0.4330 a^{2} \\ y_{c} & =0.5774 a \\ x_{c} & =0.5000 a \\ y_{c}^{\prime} & =0.5774 a \cos \alpha \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=I_{y}=I_{x^{\prime}}=0.01804 a^{4} \\ & r_{x}=r_{y}=r_{x^{\prime}}=0.2041 a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x}= & 0.0732 a^{3}, \quad Z_{y}=0.0722 a^{3} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x}= & 2.343, \quad \mathrm{SF}_{y}=2.000 \\ & \text { Neutral axis } x \text { is } 0.2537 a \text { from the base. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. Isosceles triangle | $\begin{aligned} A & =\frac{b d}{2} \\ y_{c} & =\frac{2}{3} d \\ x_{c} & =\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{1}{36} b d^{3} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{1}{48} d b^{3} \\ & I_{x}>I_{y} \quad \text { if } d>0.866 b \\ & r_{x}=0.2357 d \\ & r_{y}=0.2041 b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x}= & 0.097 b d^{2}, \quad Z_{y}=0.0833 d b^{2} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x}= & 2.343, \quad \mathrm{SF}_{y}=2.000 \\ & \text { Neutral axis } x \text { is } 0.2929 d \text { from the base. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 11. Triangle | $\begin{aligned} A & =\frac{b d}{2} \\ y_{c} & =\frac{2}{3} d \\ x_{c} & =\frac{2}{3} b-\frac{1}{3} a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{x} & =\frac{1}{36} b d^{3} \\ I_{y} & =\frac{1}{36} b d\left(b^{2}-a b+a^{2}\right) \\ I_{x y} & =\frac{1}{72} b d^{2}(b-2 a) \\ \theta_{x} & =\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1} \frac{d(b-2 a)}{b^{2}-a b+a^{2}-d^{2}} \\ r_{x} & =0.2357 d \\ r_{y} & =0.2357 \sqrt{b^{2}-a b+a^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 12. Parallelogram | $\begin{aligned} A & =b d \\ y_{c} & =\frac{d}{2} \\ x_{c} & =\frac{1}{2}(b+a) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{x} & =\frac{1}{12} b d^{3} \\ I_{y} & =\frac{1}{12} b d\left(b^{2}+a^{2}\right) \\ I_{x y} & =-\frac{1}{12} a b d^{2} \\ \theta_{x} & =\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 a d}{b^{2}+a^{2}-d^{2}} \\ r_{x} & =0.2887 d \\ r_{y} & =0.2887 \sqrt{b^{2}+a^{2}} \end{aligned}$ |  |

TABLE A. 1 Properties of sections (Continued)

| Form of section | Area and distances from centroid to extremities | Moments and products of inertia and radii of gyration about central axes | Plastic section moduli, shape factors, and locations of plastic neutral axes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. Diamond | $\begin{aligned} A & =\frac{b d}{2} \\ y_{c} & =\frac{d}{2} \\ x_{c} & =\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{1}{48} b d^{3} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{1}{48} d b^{3} \\ & r_{x}=0.2041 d \\ & r_{y}=0.2041 b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x} & =0.0833 b d^{2}, \quad Z_{y}=0.0833 d b^{2} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\mathrm{SF}_{y}=2.000 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14. Trapezoid | $\begin{aligned} A & =\frac{d}{2}(b+c) \\ y_{c} & =\frac{d}{3} \frac{2 b+c}{b+c} \\ x_{c} & =\frac{2 b^{2}+2 b c-a b-2 a c-c^{2}}{3(b+c)} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{x}= & \frac{d^{3}}{36} \frac{b^{2}+4 b c+c^{2}}{b+c} \\ I_{y}= & \frac{d}{36(b+c)}\left[b^{4}+c^{4}+2 b c\left(b^{2}+c^{2}\right)\right. \\ & -a\left(b^{3}+3 b^{2} c-3 b c^{2}-c^{3}\right) \\ & \left.+a^{2}\left(b^{2}+4 b c+c^{2}\right)\right] \\ I_{x y}= & \frac{d^{2}}{72(b+c)}\left[c\left(3 b^{2}-3 b c-c^{2}\right)\right. \\ & \left.+b^{3}-a\left(2 b^{2}+8 b c+2 c^{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 15. Solid circle | $\begin{aligned} A & =\pi R^{2} \\ y_{c} & =R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=I_{y}=\frac{\pi}{4} R^{4} \\ & r_{x}=r_{y}=\frac{R}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x}=Z_{y} & =1.333 R^{3} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =1.698 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE A. 1 Properties of sections (Continued)

| 16. Hollow circle | $\begin{aligned} A & =\pi\left(R^{2}-R_{i}^{2}\right) \\ y_{c} & =R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=I_{y}=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(R^{4}-R_{i}^{4}\right) \\ & r_{x}=r_{y}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R^{2}+R_{i}^{2}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x}=Z_{y} & =1.333\left(R^{3}-R_{i}^{3}\right) \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =1.698 \frac{R^{4}-R_{i}^{3} R}{R^{4}-R_{i}^{4}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17. Very thin annulus | $\begin{aligned} A & =2 \pi R t \\ y_{c} & =R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=I_{y}=\pi R^{3} t \\ & r_{x}=r_{y}=0.707 R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x}=Z_{y} & =4 R^{2} t \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x}=\mathrm{SF}_{y} & =\frac{4}{\pi} \end{aligned}$ |
| 18. Sector of solid circle | $\begin{aligned} A & =\alpha R^{2} \\ y_{c 1} & =R\left(1-\frac{2 \sin \alpha}{3 \alpha}\right) \\ y_{c 2} & =\frac{2 R \sin \alpha}{3 \alpha} \\ x_{c} & =R \sin \alpha \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{R^{4}}{4}\left(\alpha+\sin \alpha \cos \alpha-\frac{16 \sin ^{2} \alpha}{9 \alpha}\right) \\ & I_{y}=\frac{R^{4}}{4}(\alpha-\sin \alpha \cos \alpha) \end{aligned}$ <br> (Note: If $\alpha$ is small, $\alpha-\sin \alpha \cos \alpha=\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{3}-\frac{2}{15} \alpha^{5}$ ) $\begin{aligned} & r_{x}=\frac{R}{2} \sqrt{1+\frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{\alpha}-\frac{16 \sin ^{2} \alpha}{9 \alpha^{2}}} \\ & r_{y}=\frac{R}{2} \sqrt{1-\frac{\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}{\alpha}} \end{aligned}$ | If $\alpha \leqslant 54.3^{\circ}$, then $Z_{x}=0.6667 R^{3}\left[\sin \alpha-\left(\frac{\alpha^{3}}{2 \tan \alpha}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ <br> Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $R(0.5 \alpha / \tan \alpha)^{1 / 2}$ from the vertex. <br> If $\alpha \geqslant 54.3^{\circ}$, then <br> $Z_{x}=0.6667 R^{3}\left(2 \sin ^{3} \alpha_{1}-\sin \alpha\right)$ where the expression $2 \alpha_{1}-\sin 2 \alpha_{1}=\alpha$ is solved for the value of $\alpha_{1}$. <br> Neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $R \cos \alpha_{1}$ from the vertex. <br> If $\alpha \leqslant 73.09^{\circ}$, then $\mathrm{SF}_{x}=\frac{Z_{x} y_{c 2}}{I_{x}}$ <br> If $73.09^{\circ} \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 90^{\circ}$, then $\mathrm{SF}_{x}=\frac{Z_{x} y_{c 1}}{I_{x}}$ $Z_{y}=0.6667 R^{3}(1-\cos \alpha)$ <br> If $\alpha \leqslant 90^{\circ}$, then $\mathrm{SF}_{y}=2.6667 \sin \alpha \frac{1-\cos \alpha}{\alpha-\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}$ <br> If $\alpha \geqslant 90^{\circ}$, then $\mathrm{SF}_{y}=2.6667 \frac{1-\cos \alpha}{\alpha-\sin \alpha \cos \alpha}$ |


| Form of section |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## TABLE A. 1 Properties of sections (Continued)

|  | Note: If $\alpha$ is small: $\begin{gathered} \frac{\sin \alpha}{\alpha}=1-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{6}+\frac{\alpha^{4}}{120} \\ \cos =1-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2}+\frac{\alpha^{4}}{24} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha-\sin \alpha \cos \alpha=\frac{2}{3} \alpha^{3}\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{5}+\frac{2 \alpha^{4}}{105}\right), \quad \frac{\sin ^{2} \alpha}{\alpha}=\alpha\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{3}+\frac{2 \alpha^{4}}{45}\right) \\ & \alpha+\sin \alpha \cos \alpha-\frac{2 \sin ^{2} \alpha}{\alpha}=\frac{2 \alpha^{5}}{45}\left(1-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{7}+\frac{\alpha^{4}}{105}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22. Solid semicircle | $\begin{aligned} A & =\frac{\pi}{2} R^{2} \\ y_{c 1} & =0.5756 R \\ y_{c 2} & =0.4244 R \\ x_{c} & =R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{x} & =0.1098 R^{4} \\ I_{y} & =\frac{\pi}{8} R^{4} \\ r_{x} & =0.2643 R \\ r_{y} & =\frac{R}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rlrl} Z_{x} & =0.3540 R^{3}, & Z_{y}=0.6667 R^{3} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =1.856, \quad \mathrm{SF}_{y}=1.698 \end{array}$ <br> Plastic neutral axis $x$ is located a distance $0.4040 R$ from the base. |
| 23. Hollow semicircle <br> Note: $\begin{aligned} b & =\frac{R+R_{i}}{2} \\ t & =R-R_{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} A & =\frac{\pi}{2}\left(R^{2}-R_{i}^{2}\right) \\ y_{c 2} & =\frac{4}{3 \pi} \frac{R^{3}-R_{i}^{2}}{R^{2}-R_{i}^{2}} \end{aligned}$ <br> or $\begin{aligned} y_{c 2} & =\frac{2 b}{\pi}\left[1+\frac{(t / b)^{2}}{12}\right] \\ y_{c 1} & =R-y_{c 2} \\ x_{c} & =R \end{aligned}$ | $I_{x}=\frac{\pi}{8}\left(R^{4}-R_{i}^{4}\right)-\frac{8}{9 \pi} \frac{\left(R^{3}-R_{i}^{3}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}-R_{i}^{2}}$ <br> or $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=0.2976 t b^{3}+0.1805 b t^{3}-\frac{0.00884 t^{5}}{b} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{\pi}{8}\left(R^{4}-R_{i}^{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> or $I_{y}=1.5708 b^{3} t+0.3927 b t^{3}$ | Let $y_{p}$ be the vertical distance from the bottom to the plastic neutral axis. $\begin{aligned} & y_{p}=\left(0.7071-0.2716 C-0.4299 C^{2}+0.3983 C^{3}\right) R \\ & Z_{x}=\left(0.8284-0.9140 C+0.7245 C^{2}\right. \\ &\left.-0.2850 C^{3}\right) R^{2} t \\ & \text { where } C=t / R \\ & Z_{y}= 0.6667\left(R^{3}-R_{i}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 24. Solid ellipse | $\begin{aligned} A & =\pi a b \\ y_{c} & =a \\ x_{c} & =b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{\pi}{4} b a^{3} \\ & I_{y}=\frac{\pi}{4} a b^{3} \\ & r_{x}=\frac{a}{2} \\ & r_{y}=\frac{b}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x} & =1.333 a^{2} b, \quad Z_{y}=1.333 b^{2} a \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =\mathrm{SF}_{y}=1.698 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE A. 1 Properties of sections (Continued)

| Form of section | Area and distances from centroid to extremities | Moments and products of inertia and radii of gyration about central axes | Plastic section moduli, shape factors, and locations of plastic neutral axes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25. Hollow ellipse | $\begin{aligned} & A=\pi\left(a b-a_{i} b_{i}\right) \\ & y_{c}=a \\ & x_{c}=b \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{x}=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(b a^{3}-b_{i} a_{i}^{3}\right) \\ & I_{y}=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(a b^{3}-a_{i} b_{i}^{3}\right) \\ & r_{x}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{b a^{3}-b_{i} a_{i}^{3}}{a b-a_{i} b_{i}}} \\ & r_{y}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{a b^{3}-a_{i} b_{i}^{3}}{a b-a_{i} b_{i}}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} Z_{x} & =1.333\left(a^{2} b-a_{i}^{2} b_{i}\right) \\ Z_{y} & =1.333\left(b^{2} a-b_{i}^{2} a_{i}\right) \\ \mathrm{SF}_{x} & =1.698 \frac{a^{3} b-a_{i}^{2} b_{i} a}{a^{3} b-a_{i}^{3} b_{i}} \\ \mathrm{SF}_{y} & =1.698 \frac{b^{3} a-b_{i}^{2} a_{i} b}{b^{3} a-b_{i}^{3} a_{i}} \end{aligned}$ |
| Note: For this case the inner and outer perimeters are both ellipses and the wall thickness is not constant. For a cross section with a constant wall thickness see case 26 . |  |  |  |
| 26. Hollow ellipse with constant wall thickness $t$. The midthickness perimeter is an ellipse (shown dashed). $0.2<a / b<5$ | $A=\pi t(a+b)\left[1+K_{1}\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^{2}\right]$ <br> where $K_{1}=0.2464+0.002222\left(\frac{a}{b}+\frac{b}{a}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{x} & =\frac{\pi}{4} t a^{2}(a+3 b)\left[1+K_{2}\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^{2}\right] \\ & +\frac{\pi}{16} t^{3}(3 a+b)\left[1+K_{3}\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^{2}\right] \end{aligned}$ | $Z_{x}=1.3333 t a(a+2 b)\left[1+K_{4}\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^{2}\right]+\frac{t^{3}}{3}$ where $K_{4}=0.1835+0.895 \frac{a}{b}-0.00978\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$ |



See the note on maximum wall thickness in case 27.
where
$K_{2}=0.1349+0.1279 \frac{a}{b}-0.01284\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{3}=0.1349+0.1279 \frac{b}{a}-0.01284\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}$
For $I_{y}$ interchange $a$ and $b$ in the expressions for $I_{x}, K_{2}$, and $K_{3}$
$K_{4}=0.1835+0.895 \frac{a}{b}-0.00978\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
For $Z_{y}$ interchange $a$ and $b$ in the expression for $Z_{x}$ and $K_{4}$.
27. Hollow semiellipse with constant wall thickness $t$. The midthickness perimeter is an ellipse
(shown dashed).
$0.2<a / b<5$


Note: There is a limit on the maximum wall thickness allowed in this case. Cusps will form in the perimeter at the ends of the major axis if this maximum is exceeded. If $\frac{a}{b} \leqslant 1$, then $t_{\text {max }}=\frac{2 a^{2}}{b}$ If $\frac{a}{b} \geqslant 1$, then $t_{\max }=\frac{2 b^{2}}{a}$
$I_{X}=\frac{\pi}{8} t a^{2}(a+3 b)\left[1+K_{4}\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^{2}\right]$

$$
+\frac{\pi}{32} t^{3}(3 a+b)\left[1+K_{5}\left(\frac{a-b}{a+b}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

where
$K_{4}=0.1349+0.1279 \frac{a}{b}-0.01284\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2}$
$K_{5}=0.1349+0.1279 \frac{b}{a}-0.01284\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{2}$
$I_{x}=I_{X}-A y_{c 2}^{2}$
For $I_{y}$ use one-half the value for $I_{y}$ in case 26.

Let $y_{p}$ be the vertical distance from the bottom to the plastic neutral axis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad y_{p}=\left[C_{1}+\frac{C_{2}}{a / b}+\frac{C_{3}}{(a / b)^{2}}+\frac{C_{4}}{(a / b)^{3}}\right] a \\
& \text { where if } 0.25<a / b \leqslant 1 \text {, then }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=0.5067-0.5588 D+1.3820 D^{2} \\
& C_{2}=0.3731+0.1938 D-1.4078 D^{2} \\
& C_{3}=-0.1400+0.0179 D+0.4885 D^{2} \\
& C_{4}=0.0170-0.0079 D-0.0565 D^{2} \\
& \text { or if } 1 \leqslant a / b<4, \text { then } \\
& C_{1}=0.4829+0.0725 D-0.1815 D^{2} \\
& C_{2}=0.1957-0.6608 D+1.4222 D^{2} \\
& C_{3}=0.0203+1.8999 D-3.4356 D^{2} \\
& C_{4}=0.0578-1.6666 D+2.6012 D^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } D=t / t_{\max } \text { and where } 0.2<D \leqslant 1
$$

$$
Z_{x}=\left[C_{5}+\frac{C_{6}}{a / b}+\frac{C_{7}}{(a / b)^{2}}+\frac{C_{8}}{(a / b)^{3}}\right] 4 a^{2} t
$$

where if $0.25<a / b \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{5} & =-0.0292+0.3749 D^{1 / 2}+0.0578 D \\
C_{6} & =0.3674-0.8531 D^{1 / 2}+0.3882 D \\
C_{7} & =-0.1218+0.3563 D^{1 / 2}-0.1803 D \\
C_{8} & =0.0154-0.0448 D^{1 / 2}+0.0233 D \\
\text { or if } 1 & \leqslant a / b<4, \text { then } \\
C_{5} & =0.2241-0.3922 D^{1 / 2}+0.2960 D \\
C_{6} & =-0.6637+2.7357 D^{1 / 2}-2.0482 D \\
C_{7} & =1.5211-5.3864 D^{1 / 2}+3.9286 D \\
C_{8} & =-0.8498+2.8763 D^{1 / 2}-1.8874 D
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { For } Z_{y} \text { use one-half the value for } Z_{y} \text { in case } 26 \text {. }
$$

| Form of section | Area and distances from centroid to extremities | Moments and products of inertia and radii of gyration about central axes | Plastic section moduli, shape factors, and locations of plastic neutral axes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28. Regular polygon with $n$ sides | $\begin{aligned} A & =\frac{a^{2} n}{4 \tan \alpha} \\ \rho_{1} & =\frac{a}{2 \sin \alpha} \\ \rho_{2} & =\frac{\alpha}{2 \tan \alpha} \end{aligned}$ <br> If $n$ is odd $y_{1}=y_{2}=\rho_{1} \cos \left[\alpha\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)-\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ <br> If $n / 2$ is odd $y_{1}=\rho_{1}, \quad y_{2}=\rho_{2}$ <br> If $n / 2$ is even $y_{1}=\rho_{2}, \quad y_{2}=\rho_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & I_{1}=I_{2}=\frac{1}{24} A\left(6 \rho_{1}^{2}-a^{2}\right) \\ & r_{1}=r_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{24}\left(6 \rho_{1}^{2}-a^{2}\right)} \end{aligned}$ | For $n=3$, see case 9 . For $n=4$, see cases 1 and 13 . For $n=5, Z_{1}=Z_{2}=0.8825 \rho_{1}^{3}$. For an axis perpendicular to axis $1, Z=0.8838 \rho_{1}^{3}$. The location of this axis is $0.7007 a$ from that side which is perpendicular to axis 1 . For $n \geqslant 6$, use the following expression for a neutral axis of any inclination: $Z=\rho_{1}^{3}\left[1.333-13.908\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}+12.528\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{3}\right]$ |
| 29. Hollow regular polygon with $n$ sides | $\begin{aligned} A & =n a t\left(1-\frac{t \tan \alpha}{a}\right) \\ \rho_{1} & =\frac{a}{2 \sin \alpha} \\ \rho_{2} & =\frac{\alpha}{2 \tan \alpha} \end{aligned}$ <br> If $n$ is odd $y_{1}=y_{2}=\rho_{1} \cos \left(\alpha \frac{n+1}{2}-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ <br> If $n / 2$ is odd $y_{1}=\rho_{1}, \quad y_{2}=\rho_{2}$ <br> If $n / 2$ is even $y_{1}=\rho_{2}, \quad y_{2}=\rho_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} I_{1}= & I_{2}=\frac{n a^{3} t}{8}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{\tan ^{2} \alpha}\right) \\ & \times\left[1-3 \frac{t \tan \alpha}{a}+4\left(\frac{t \tan \alpha}{a}\right)^{2}-2\left(\frac{t \tan \alpha}{a}\right)^{3}\right] \\ r_{1}= & r_{2}=\frac{a}{\sqrt{8}} \\ & \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)+\frac{1}{\tan ^{2} \alpha}\left[1-2 \frac{t \tan \alpha}{a}+2\left(\frac{t \tan \alpha}{a}\right)^{2}\right]} \end{aligned}$ |  |

# Appendix B 

## Glossary: Definitions

The definitions given here apply to the terminology used throughout this book. Some of the terms may be defined differently by other authors; when this is the case, alternative terminology is noted. When two or more terms with identical or similar meaning are in general acceptance, they are given in the order of preference of the current writers.

Allowable stress (working stress): If a member is so designed that the maximum stress as calculated for the expected conditions of service is less than some limiting value, the member will have a proper margin of security against damage or failure. This limiting value is the allowable stress subject to the material and condition of service in question. The allowable stress is made less than the damaging stress because of uncertainty as to the conditions of service, nonuniformity of material, and inaccuracy of the stress analysis (see Ref. 1). The margin between the allowable stress and the damaging stress may be reduced in proportion to the certainty with which the conditions of the service are known, the intrinsic reliability of the material, the accuracy with which the stress produced by the loading can be calculated, and the degree to which failure is unattended by danger or loss. (Compare with Damaging stress; Factor of safety; Factor of utilization; Margin of safety. See Refs. l-3.)

Apparent elastic limit (useful limit point): The stress at which the rate of change of strain with respect to stress is $50 \%$ greater than at zero stress. It is more definitely determinable from the stress-strain diagram than is the proportional limit, and is useful for comparing materials of the same general class. (Compare with Elastic limit; Proportional limit; Yield point, Yield strength.)

Apparent stress: The stress corresponding to a given unit strain on the assumption of uniaxial elastic stress. It is calculated by multi-
plying the unit strain by the modulus of elasticity, and may differ from the true stress because the effect of the transverse stresses is not taken into account.

Bending moment: Reference is to a simple straight beam, assumed for convenience to be horizontal and loaded and supported by forces, all of which lie in a vertical plane. The bending moment at any section of the beam is the moment of all forces that act on the beam to the left (or right) of that section, taken about the horizontal axis in the plane of the section. When considering the moment at the section due to the forces to the left of the section, the bending moment is positive when counterclockwise and negative when clockwise. The reverse is true when considering the moment due to forces to the right of the section. Thus, a positive bending moment bends the beam such that the beam deforms concave upward, and a negative bending moment bends it concave downward. The bending moment equation is an expression for the bending moment at any section in terms of $x$, the distance along the longitudinal axis of the beam to the section measured from an origin, usually taken to be the left end of the beam.

Bending moments as applied to straight beams in two-plane symmetric or unsymmetric bending, curved beams, or plates are a bit more involved, and are discussed in the appropriate sections of this book.

Bending stress (flexural stress): The tensile and compressive stress transmitted in a beam or plate that arises from the bending moment. (See Flexure equation.)

Boundary conditions: As used in structural analysis, the term usually refers to the condition of stress, displacement, or slope at the ends or edges of a member, where these conditions are apparent from the circumstances of the problem. For example, given a beam with fixed ends, the zero displacement and slope at each end are boundary conditions. For a plate with a freely supported edge, the zero-stress state is a boundary condition.

Brittle fracture: The tensile failure of a material with negligible plastic deformation. The material can inherently be a brittle material in its normal state such as glass, masonry, ceramic, cast iron, or high strength high-carbon steel (see Sec. 3.7); or can be a material normally considered ductile which contains imperfections exceeding specific limits, or in a low-temperature environment, or undergoing high strain rates, or any combination thereof.

Bulk modulus of elasticity: The ratio of a tensile or compressive stress, triaxial and equal in all directions (e.g., hydrostatic pressure) to the relative change it produces in volume.

Central axis (centroidal axis): A central axis of a line, area, or volume is one that passes through the centroid; in the case of an area, it is understood to lie in the plane of the area unless stated otherwise. When taken normal to the plane of the area, it is called the central polar axis.

Centroid of an area: That point in the plane of an area where the moment of the area is zero about any axis. The centroid coincides with the center of gravity in the plane of an infinitely thin homogeneous uniform plate.

Corrosion fatigue: Fatigue aggravated by corrosion, as in parts repeatedly stressed while exposed to a corrosive environment.

Creep: Continuous increase in deformation under constant or decreasing stress. The term is ordinarily used with reference to the behavior of metals under tension at elevated temperatures. The similar yielding of a material under compressive stress is called plastic flow, or flow. Creep at atmospheric temperature due to sustained elastic stress is sometimes called drift, or elastic drift. (See also Relaxation.)

Damaging stress: The least unit stress of a given kind and for a given material and condition of service that will render a member unfit for service before the end of its useful life. It may do this by excessive deformation, by excessive yielding or creep, or through fatigue cracking, excessive strain hardening, or rupture.

Damping capacity: The amount of energy dissipated into heat per unit of total strain energy present at maximum strain for a complete cycle. (See Ref. 4.)

Deformation: Change in the shape or dimensions of a body produced by stress. Elongation is often used for tensile deformation, compression or shortening for compressive deformation, and distortion for shear deformation. Elastic deformation is deformation that invariably disappears upon removal of stress, whereas permanent deformation is that which remains after the removal of stress. (Compare with Set.)

Eccentricity: A load or component of a load normal to a given cross section of a member is eccentric with respect to that section if it does not act through the centroid. The perpendicular distance from the line of action of the load to the central polar axis is the eccentricity with respect to that axis.

Elastic: Capable of sustaining stress without permanent deformation; the term is also used to denote conformity to the law of stress-
strain proportionality (Hooke's law). An elastic stress or strain is a stress or strain within the elastic limit.

Elastic axis: The elastic axis of a beam is the line, lengthwise of the beam, along which transverse loads must be applied to avoid torsion of the beam at any section. Strictly speaking, no such line exists except for a few conditions of loading. Usually the elastic axis is assumed to be the line through the elastic center of every section. The term is most often used with reference to an airplane wing of either the shell or multiple spar type. (Compare with Torsional center; Flexural center; Elastic center. See Ref. 5.)

Elastic center: The elastic center of a given section of a beam is that point in the plane of the section lying midway between the shear center and center of twist of that section. The three points may be identical-which is the normal assumption. (Compare with Shear center; Torsional center; Elastic axis. See Refs. 5 and 6.)

Elastic curve: The curve assumed by the longitudinal axis of an initially straight beam or column in bending where the stress is within the elastic limit.

Elastic instability (buckling): Unstable local or global elastic deformations caused by compressive stresses in members with large length to lateral dimensions. (See Slenderness ratio.)

Elastic, perfectly plastic material: A model that represents the stress-strain curve of a material as linear from zero stress and strain to the elastic limit. Beyond the elastic limit, the stress remains constant with strain.

Elastic limit: The least stress that will cause permanent set. (Compare with Proportional limit; Apparent elastic limit, Yield point; Yield strength. See Sec. 3.2 and Ref. 7.)

Elastic ratio: The ratio of the elastic limit to the ultimate strength.
Ellipsoid of strain: An ellipsoid that represents the state of strain at any given point in a body. It has the shape assumed under stress by a sphere centered at the point in question (Ref 8).

Ellipsoid of stress: An ellipsoid that represents the state of stress at any given point in a body; its semi-axes are vectors representing the principal stresses at the point, and any radius vector represents the resultant stress on a particular plane through the point. For a condition of plane stress, where one of the principal stresses is zero, the ellipsoid becomes the ellipse of stress (see Ref. 9).

Endurance limit (fatigue strength): The maximum stress amplitude of a purely reversing stress that can be applied to a material an
indefinitely large number of cycles without producing fracture (see Sec. 3.8).

Endurance ratio: Ratio of the endurance limit to the ultimate static tensile strength.

Endurance strength: The maximum stress amplitude of a purely reversing stress that can be applied to a material for a specific number of cycles without producing fracture. (Compare with Endurance limit.)

Energy of rupture (modulus of toughness): The work done per unit volume in producing fracture. It is not practicable to establish a specific energy of rupture value for a given material, because the result obtained depends upon the form and proportions of the test specimen and the manner of loading. As determined by similar tests on similar specimens, the energy of rupture affords a criterion for comparing the toughness of different materials.

Equivalent bending moment: A bending moment that, acting alone, would produce in a circular shaft a normal (tensile or compressive) stress of the same magnitude as the maximum normal stress produced by a given bending moment and a given twisting moment acting simultaneously.

Equivalent twisting moment: A twisting moment that, acting alone, would produce in a circular shaft a shear stress of the same magnitude as the maximum shear stress produced by a given twisting moment and a given bending moment acting simultaneously.

Factor of safety: The intent of the factor of safety is to provide a safeguard to failure. The term usually refers to the ratio of the load that would cause failure of a member or structure to the load that is imposed upon it in service. The term may also be used to represent the ratio of the failure to service value of speed, deflection, temperature variation, or other stress-producing quantities. (Compare with Allowable stress; Margin of safety.)

Fatigue: The fracture of a material under many repetitions of a stress at a level considerably less than the ultimate strength of the material.

## Fatigue strength: See Endurance limit.

Fixed (clamped): A support condition at the end of a beam or column or at the edge of a plate or shell that prevents rotation and transverse displacement of the edge of the neutral surface but permits longitudinal displacement. (Compare Guided; Held; Simplysupported.)
Flexural center: See Shear center.

Flexure equation: The equation for tensile and compressive stresses in beams undergoing bending, given by $\sigma=M c / I$.

Flexural rigidity (beam, plate): A measure of the resistance of the bending deformation of a beam or plate. For a beam, the flexural rigidity is given by $E I$; whereas for a plate of thickness $t$, it is given by $E t^{3} /[12(1-v)]$.

Form factor: The term is applied to several situations pertaining to beams:
(1) Given a beam section of a given shape, the form factor is the ratio of the modulus of rupture of a beam having that particular section to the modulus of rupture of a beam otherwise similar but having a section adopted as a standard. This standard section is usually taken as rectangular or square; for wood it is a 2 in by 2 in square with edges horizontal and vertical (see Secs. 3.11 and 8.15).
(2) For the shear deflection of a beam due to transverse loading, the form factor is a correction factor that is the ratio of the actual shear deflection to the shear deflection calculated on the assumption of a uniform shear stress across the section (see Sec. 8.10).
(3) For a given maximum fiber stress within the elastic limit, the form factor is the ratio of the actual resisting moment of a wide-flanged beam to the resisting moment the beam would develop if the fiber stress were uniformly distributed across the entire width of the flanges. So used, the term expresses the strength-reducing effort of shear lag.

Fretting fatigue (chafing fatigue): Fatigue aggravated by surface rubbing, as in shafts with press-fitted collars.

Guided: A support condition at the end of a beam or column or at the edge of a plate or shell that prevents rotation of the edge of the neutral surface in the plane of bending but permits longitudinal and transverse displacement. (Compare with Fixed; Held; Simplysupported.)
Held: A support condition at the end of a beam or column or at the edge of a plate or shell that prevents longitudinal and transverse displacement of the edge of the neutral surface but permits rotation in the plane of bending. (Compare with Fixed; Guided; Simplysupported.)

Hertzian Stress (contact stress): Stress caused by the pressure between elastic bodies in contact.

Hysteresis: The dissipation of energy as heat during a stress cycle of a member.

Influence line: Usually pertaining to a particular section of a beam, an influence line is a curve drawn so that its ordinate at any point represents the value of the reaction, vertical shear, bending moment, or deflection produced at the particular section by a unit load applied at the point where the ordinate is measured. An influence line may be used to show the effect of load position on any quantity dependent thereon, such as the stress in a given truss member, the deflection of a truss, or the twisting moment in a shaft.

Isoclinic: A line (in a stressed body) at all points on which the corresponding principal stresses have the same direction.

Isotropic: Having the same properties in all directions. In discussions pertaining to strength of materials, isotropic usually means having the same strength and elastic properties (modulus of elasticity, modulus of rigidity, and Poisson's ratio) in all directions.

Kern (kernal): Reference is to some particular section of a member. The kern is that area in the plane of a section through which the line of action of a force must pass if that force is to produce, at all points in the given section, the same kind of normal stress, i.e., tension throughout or compression throughout.

Limit load: The fictitious theoretical load that the cross section of a member made of an elastic, perfectly plastic material reaches when the entire section goes into the plastic range.

Lüder's lines: See Slip lines.
Margin of Safety: As used in aeronautical design, margin of safety is the percentage by which the ultimate strength of a member exceeds the design load. The design load is the applied load, or maximum probable load, multiplied by a specified factor of safety. [The use of the terms margin of safety and design load in this sense is practically restricted to aeronautical engineering (see Ref. 11).]

Member: Any single part or element of a machine or structure, such as a beam, column, shaft, etc.

Modulus of elasticity, $\boldsymbol{E}$ (Young's modulus): The rate of change of normal stress, $\sigma$, to normal strain, $\varepsilon$, for the condition of uniaxial stress within the proportional limit of a given material. For most, but not all materials, the modulus of elasticity is the same for tension and compression. For nonisotropic materials such as wood, it is necessary to distinguish between the moduli of elasticity in different directions.

Modulus of resilience: The strain energy per unit volume absorbed up to the elastic limit under conditions of uniform uniaxial stress.

Modulus of rigidity, $G$ (modulus of elasticity in shear): The rate of change of shear stress, $\tau$, with respect to shear strain, $\gamma$, within the proportional limit of a given material. For nonisotropic materials such as wood, it is necessary to distinguish between the moduli of rigidity in different directions.
Modulus of rupture in bending (computed ultimate bending strength): The fictitious normal stress in the extreme fiber of a beam computed by the flexure equation $\sigma=M_{R} c / I$, where $M_{R}$ is the bending moment that causes rupture.
Modulus of rupture in torsion (computed ultimate torsional strength): The fictitious shear stress at the outer radius of a circular shaft computed by the torsion equation $\tau=T_{R} r / J$, where $T_{R}$ is the torsional moment that causes rupture.
Moment of an area (first moment of an area): With respect to an axis within the plane of an area, the sum of the products obtained by multiplying each element of the area $d A$ by its distance, $y$, from the axis: it is therefore the quantity $\int y d A$.
Moment of inertia of an area (second moment of an area): With respect to an axis $x$ within the $x y$ plane of an area, the sum of the products obtained by multiplying each element of the area $d A$ by the square of the distance $y$ from the $x$ axis: it is thus the quantity $I_{x}=\int y^{2} d A$ (see Appendix A).
Neutral axis: The line of zero fiber stress in any given section of a member subject to bending; it is the line formed by the intersection of the neutral surface and the section.

Neutral surface: The longitudinal surface of zero fiber stress in a member subject to bending; it contains the neutral axis of every section.

Notch-sensitivity factor: Used to compare the stress concentration factor $K_{t}$ and fatigue-strength reduction factor $K_{f}$. The notch-sensitivity factor $q$ is commonly defined as the ratio $\left(K_{f}-1\right) /\left(K_{t}-1\right)$, and varies from 0 , for some soft ductile materials, to 1 , for some hard brittle materials.
Plane strain: A condition where the normal and shear strains in a particular direction are zero; e.g., $\varepsilon_{z}=\gamma_{z x}=\gamma_{z y}=0$.
Plane stress: A condition where the normal and shear stresses in a particular direction are zero; e.g., $\sigma_{z}=\tau_{z x}=\tau_{z y}=0$.

Plastic moment; plastic hinge; plastic section modulus: The maximum hypothetical bending moment for which the stresses in all fibers of a section of a ductile member in bending reach the lower yield point $\sigma_{y}$ is called the plastic moment, $M_{p}$. Under this condition the section cannot accommodate any additional load, and a plastic hinge is said to form. The section modulus $Z_{p}$ is defined as $M_{p} / \sigma_{y}$.
Plasticity: The property of sustaining appreciable permanent deformation without rupture. The term is also used to denote the property of yielding or flowing under steady load (Ref. 13).

Poisson's ratio, $\boldsymbol{v}$ : The ratio of lateral to longitudinal strain under the condition of uniform and uniaxial longitudinal stress within the proportional limit.

Polar moment of inertia: With respect to an axis normal to the plane of an area, the sum of the products obtained by multiplying each element of the area $d A$ by the square of the distance $r$ from the axis; it is thus the quantity $\int r^{2} d A$ (see Appendix A).

Principal axes of inertia: The two mutually perpendicular axes in the plane of an area, centered at the centroid of the area, with moments of inertia that are maximum and minimum (see Appendix A).

Principal axes of stress: The three mutually perpendicular axes at a specific point within a solid where the state of stress on each surface normal to the axes contains a tensile or compressive stress and zero shear stress.

Principal moment of inertia: The moment of inertia of an area about a principal axis of inertia (see Appendix A).

Principal stresses: The tensile or compressive stresses acting along the principal axes of stress.
Product of inertia of an area: With respect to a pair of xy rectangular axes in the plane of an area, the sum of the products obtained by multiplying each element of area $d A$ by the coordinates with respect to these axes; that is, $\int x y d A$ (see Appendix A). The product of inertia relative to the principal axes of inertia is zero.

Proof stress: Pertaining to acceptance tests of metals, a specified tensile stress that must be sustained without deformation in excess of a specified amount.

Proportional limit: The greatest stress that a material can sustain without deviating from the law of stress-strain proportionality (Hookes' law.) (Compare Elastic limit; Apparent elastic limit; Yield point; Yield strength. See Sec. 3.2 and Ref. 8.)

Radius of gyration, $\boldsymbol{k}$ : The radius of gyration of an area with respect to a given axis is the square root of the quantity obtained by dividing the moment of inertia of the area $I$ with respect to that axis by the area $A$; that is, $k=\sqrt{I / A}$ (see Appendix A)

Reduction of area: The difference between the cross-sectional areas of a tensile specimen at the section of rupture before loading and after rupture.

Relaxation: The reduction in stress when the deformation is maintained constant. (Compare Creep.)

Rupture factor: Used in reference to brittle materials, i.e., materials in which failure occurs through tensile rupture rather than excessive deformation. For a member of given form, size and material, loaded and supported in a given manner, the rupture factor is the ratio of the fictitious maximum tensile stress at failure, as calculated by the appropriate formula for elastic stress, to the ultimate tensile strength of the material, as determined by a conventional tension test (Sec. 3.11.)

Saint-Venant's principle: If a load distribution is replaced by a statically equivalent force system, the distribution of stress throughout the body is possibly altered only near the regions of load application.

Section modulus (section factor), $S$ : Pertaining to the cross section of a beam, the section modulus with respect to either principal axis of inertia is the moment of inertia with respect to that axis, $I$, divided by the distance from that axis to the most remote point of the section, $c$; that is, $S=I / c$. (Compare Plastic section modulus.)

Set (permanent deformation): Strain remaining after the removal of the applied loading.

Shakedown load (stabilizing load): The maximum load that can be applied to a beam or rigid frame and upon removal leave residual moments such that subsequent applications of the same or a smaller load will cause only elastic stresses.

Shape factor: The ratio of the plastic section modulus to the elastic section modulus.

Shear center (flexural center): With reference to a beam, the shear center of any section is that point in the plane of the section through which a transverse load, applied at the section, must act to produce bending deflection only and no twist of the section. (Compare with Torsional center; Elastic center; Elastic axis. See Refs. 5 and 10.)

Shear lag: Because of shear strain, the longitudinal tensile or compressive bending stresses in wide beam flanges decrease with the distance from the web(s), and this stress reduction is called shear lag.

Simply-supported: A support condition at the end of a beam or column or at the edge of a plate or shell that prevents transverse displacement of the edge of the neutral surface but permits rotation and longitudinal displacement. (Compare Fixed, Guided; Held.)

Singularity functions: A class of mathematical functions that can be used to describe discontinuous behavior using one equation. Singularity functions are commonly employed to represent shear forces, bending moments, slopes, and deformations as functions of position for discontinuous loading of beams, plates, and shells. The functions are written using bracket notation as $F_{n}=\langle x-a\rangle^{n}$, where $F_{n}=0$ for $x \leqslant a$, and $F_{n}=(x-a)^{n}$ for $x>a$. (See Ref. 12.)

Slenderness ratio: The ratio of length of a uniform column to the minimum radius of gyration of the cross-section.

Slip lines (Lüder's lines): Lines that appear on the polished surface of a crystal or crystalline body that has been stressed beyond the elastic limit. They represent the intersection of the surface by planes on which shear stress has produced plastic slip (see Sec. 3.5 and Ref. 13).
Strain: Any forced change in the dimensions and/or shape of an elastic element. A stretch is a tensile strain; a shortening is a compressive strain; and an angular distortion is a shear strain.

Strain concentration factor: Localized peak strains develop in the presence of stress raisers. The strain concentration factor is the ratio of the localized maximum strain at a given location to the nominal average strain at that location. The nominal average strain is computed from the average stress and a knowledge of the stressstrain behavior of the material. In a situation where all stresses and strains are elastic, the stress and strain concentration factors are equal. (Compare with Stress concentration factor.)
Strain energy: Mechanical energy stored in a stressed material. Stress within the elastic limit is implied where the strain energy is equal to the work done by the external forces in producing the stress and is recoverable.

Strain rosette: At any point on the surface of a stressed body, strains measured along each of three intersecting gage lines make the calculation of the principal stresses possible. The gage lines and the corresponding strains are called strain rosettes.

Strength: Typically refers to a particular limiting value of stress for which a material ceases to behave according to some prescribed function. [Compare Endurance limit (Fatigue strength); Endurance strength; Ultimate strength; Yield strength.]

Stress: Internal force per unit area exerted on a specified surface. When the force is tangential to the surface, the stress is called a shear stress; when the force is normal to the surface, the stress is called a normal stress; when the normal stress is directed toward the surface, it is called a compressive stress; and when the normal stress is directed away from the surface, it is called a tensile stress.

Stress concentration factor, $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ : Irregularities of form such as holes, screw threads, notches, and sharp shoulders, when present in a beam, shaft, or other member subject to loading, may produce high localized stresses. This phenomenon is called a stress concentration, and the form irregularities that cause it are called stress raisers. For the particular type of stress raiser in question, the ratio of the true maximum stress to the nominal stress calculated by the ordinary formulas of mechanics ( $P / A, M c / I, T c / J$, etc.) is the stress concentration factor. The nominal stress calculation is based on the net section properties at the location of the stress raiser ignoring the redistribution of stress caused by the form irregularity. (See Sec. 3.10.)

Stress intensity factor: A term employed in fracture mechanics to describe the elastic stress field surrounding a crack tip.

Stress trajectory (isostatic): A line (in a stressed body) tangent to the direction of one of the principal stresses at every point through which it passes.

Superposition, principle of: With certain exceptions, the effect of a given combined loading on a structure may be resolved by determining the effects of each load separately and adding the results algebraically. The principle may be applied provided: (1) each effect is linearly related to the load that produces it, (2) a load does not create a condition which affects the result of another load, and (3) the deformations resulting from any specific load are not large enough to appreciably alter the geometric relations of the parts of the structural system. (See Sec. 4.2.)

Torsional center (center of twist): If a twisting couple is applied at a given section of a straight member, that section rotates about some point in its plane. This point, which does not move when the member twists, is the torsional center of that section. (See Refs. 5 and 6.)

Torsional moment (torque, twisting moment): At any section of a member, the moment of all forces that act on the member to the left (or right) of that section, taken about a polar axis through the flexural center of that section. For sections that are symmetrical about each principal axis, the flexural center coincides with the centroid (see Refs. 6 and 10).

Transformations of stress or strain: Conversions of stress or strain at a point from one three-dimensional coordinate system to another. (See Secs. 2.3 and 2.4)

Transverse shear force (vertical shear): Reference is to a simple straight beam, assumed for convenience to be horizontal and loaded and supported by forces, all of which lie in a vertical plane. The transverse shear force at any section of the beam is the vertical component of all forces that act on the beam to the left (or right) of that section. The shear force equation is an expression for the transverse shear at any section in terms of $x$, the distance to that section measured from a chosen origin, usually taken from the left of the beam.

Tresca stress: Based on the failure mode of a ductile material being due to shear stress, the Tresca stress is a single shear stress value, which is equivalent to an actual combined state of stress.

True strain: The summation (integral) of each infinitesimal elongation $\Delta L$ of successive values of a specific gage length $L$ divided by that length. It is equal to $\int_{L_{0}}^{L}(d L / L)=\log _{e}\left(L / L_{0}\right)=\log _{e}(1+\varepsilon)$, where $L_{0}$ is the original gage length and $\varepsilon$ is the normal strain as ordinarily defined (Ref. 14).

True stress: For an axially loaded bar, the force divided by the actual cross-sectional area undergoing loading. It differs from the engineering stress defined in terms of the original area.

Ultimate elongation: The percentage of permanent deformation remaining after tensile rupture (measured over an arbitrary length including the section of rupture).

Ultimate strength: The ultimate strength of a material in uniaxial tension or compression, or pure shear, respectively, is the maximum tensile, compressive, or shear stress that the material can sustain calculated on the basis of the greatest load achieved prior to fracture and the original unstrained dimensions.
von Mises stress: Based on the failure mode of a ductile material being due to distortional energy caused by a stress state, the von Mises
stress is a single normal stress value, which is equivalent to an actual combined state of stress.

Yield point: The stress at which the strain increases without an increase in stress. For some purposes, it is important to distinguish between upper and lower yield points. When they occur, the upper yield point is reached first and is a maxima that is followed by the lower yield point, a minima. Only a few materials exhibit a true yield point. For other materials the term is sometimes used synonymously with yield strength. (Compare Yield strength; Elastic limit; Apparent elastic limit; Proportional limit. See Ref. 7.)

Yield strength: The stress at which a material exhibits a specified permanent deformation or set. This stress is usually determined by the offset method, where the strain departs from the linear portion of the actual stress-strain diagram by an offset unit strain of 0.002. (See Ref. 7.)
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Composite members, as discussed in Secs. 8.3 and 8.8, are composed of more than one material where each material is of a continuous and homogeneous cross-section. The equivalent stiffnesses of these members are determined by a simple technique using an equivalent width. Composite materials, on the other hand, are made up of more than one material continuously dispersed at the macroscopic level at various angular orientations, and obtaining the combined material properties is much more complex. To this end, computer software is available. Once the material properties have been obtained from the software, the equations and tables provided in this book can be utilized. The following discussion is only intended to provide some introductory insight into the analysis of structures composed of

[^40]composite materials. Certainly, much more exposure is necessary before one can become proficient in this important topic.

## C. 1 Composite Materials

Composites are formed from two or more dissimilar materials, each of which contributes to the final properties. Unlike metallic alloys, the materials in a composite remain distinct from each other at the macroscopic level.

Most engineering composites consist of two materials: a reinforcement and a matrix. The reinforcement provides stiffness and strength; the matrix holds the material together and serves to transfer load among the discontinuous reinforcements. The most common reinforcements, illustrated in Figure C.1, are continuous fibers, either straight or woven, short chopped fibers, and particulates. The most common matrices are various plastic resins.

Metals and other traditional engineering materials are uniform, or isotropic, in nature. This means that material properties, such as strength, stiffness, and thermal conductivity, are independent of both position within the material and the choice of coordinate system. The discontinuous nature of composite reinforcements, though, means that material properties can vary with both position and direction. For example, an epoxy resin reinforced with continuous graphite fibers will have very high strength and stiffness in the direction of the fibers, but very low properties normal or transverse to the fibers.

This directionality increases the complexity of structural analyses. Isotropic materials are fully defined by two engineering constants: Young's modulus $E$ and Poisson's ratio $v$. A single ply of a composite material, however, requires four constants, defined with respect to the ply coordinate system shown in Figure C.2. The constants are two Young's moduli (the longitudinal modulus in the direction of the fibers, $E_{1}$, and the transverse modulus normal to the fibers, $E_{2}$, one Poisson's ratio $v_{12}$, called the major Poisson's ratio, and one shear modulus $G_{12}$ ).


Figure C. 1 Composites categorized by reinforcement type


Figure C. 2 Ply coordinate system

A fifth constant, the minor Poisson's ratio $v_{21}$, is determined from the other properties through the reciprocity relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v_{12}}{E_{1}}=\frac{v_{21}}{E_{2}} \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table C. 1 shows typical properties for a variety of composite systems. Each of the composites listed is a unidirectional composite, consisting of parallel fibers running in a single direction. The longitudinal modulus is largely a function of the fiber modulus, whereas the transverse and shear moduli are largely functions of the resin modulus. Thus, highermodulus fibers will raise the longitudinal modulus of the composite, but will have a negligible effect on the other properties. The table also shows typical strengths for each material. As with the elastic properties, the strength is significantly greater in the longitudinal than in the transverse direction. Also note that compressive strengths are significantly lower than tensile strengths. This difference must be accounted for when analyzing composite structures for failure.

## C. 2 Laminated Composite Materials

The properties in Table C. 1 are for a single ply or lamina of a composite. Because the transverse properties are so low, practical composite structures consist of laminates built up from a stack of laminae. To improve the transverse properties of the laminate, the plies are stacked so the fibers are rotated at various angles $\theta$, defined relative to a convenient laminate coordinate system, as shown in Figure C.3. In the case of a beam, for example, the $x$-axis of the
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table C. 1 Composite Material Systems

|  | T300/976 | IM7/3501-6 | IM6/APC2 | E/Epoxy | S2/381 | K49/Epoxy | B/5505 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Low-mod. <br> Gr/Epoxy | Int.-mod. <br> Gr/Epoxy | Int.-mod. <br> Gr/PEEK | E-Glass/ <br> Epoxy | S-Glass/ <br> Epoxy | Kevlar/ <br> Epoxy | Boron/ <br> Epoxy |
| Property |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $E_{1}$ (Msi) | 19.6 | 20.2 | 21.6 | 5.7 | 6.93 | 12.6 | 29.2 |
| $E_{2}$ (Msi) | 1.34 | 1 | 1.28 | 1.24 | 1.84 | 0.8 | 3.15 |
| $G_{12}(\mathrm{Msi})$ | 0.91 | 0.8 | 0.78 | 0.54 | 0.681 | 0.31 | 0.78 |
| $v_{12}$ | 0.318 | 0.33 | 0.342 | 0.28 | $0.27^{*}$ | 0.34 | 0.17 |
| $F_{1 t}(\mathrm{ksi})$ | 211 | 350 | 350 | 157 | 255 | 185 | 200 |
| $F_{1 c}(\mathrm{ksi})$ | 188 | 234 | 167 | 90 | 172 | 49 | 232 |
| $F_{2 t}(\mathrm{ksi})$ | 5.66 | 8.1 | 9.41 | 5.7 | 8.7 | 4.2 | 8.1 |
| $F_{2 c}(\mathrm{ksi})$ | 30 | 35.7 | $25.8^{*}$ | 18.6 | $28.1^{*}$ | 22.9 | 18 |
| $F_{12}(\mathrm{ksi})$ | 11.1 | 13.8 | 23.9 | 12.9 | 19.7 | 7.1 | 0.78 |
| Ref. | 6 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 |

Key: $F_{1 t}=$ longitudinal tensile strength; $F_{1 c}=$ longitudinal compressive strength; $F_{2 t}=$ transverse tensile strength; $F_{2 c}=$ transverse compressive strength; $F_{12}=$ shear strength

* Estimated
laminate coordinate system might be chosen to coincide with the axis of the beam.

Rotating the plies increases the properties in the laminate $y$-direction, but this comes at the expense of a decrease in properties in the laminate $x$-direction. The greater the rotation angle, the greater the decrease in $x$-direction properties. Figure C.4, for example, shows how the modulus in the laminate $x$-direction decreases as the ply is rotated off-axis. The key to lightweight laminate design is to provide just enough off-axis stiffness or strength to handle the secondary loads, while orienting as many fibers as possible in the direction of the primary load.


Figure C. 3 Laminate coordinate system


Figure C. 4 Laminate modulus as a function of ply angle

Composite laminates are analyzed by determining the properties of the individual laminae and then calculating the effective properties of the laminate. Ply properties must be expressed in terms of the laminate coordinate system; this transformation is accomplished using a method similar to stress and strain transformations (e.g., Mohr's circle).

This analytical process is called Classical Laminated Plate Theory (CLPT). CLPT requires all lamina and laminate stiffness equations to be expressed in matrix form. Because of the large amount of matrix mathematics involved, CLPT solutions are usually handled by a computer software program. Users input the laminate stacking sequence, ply properties, and loads; the program outputs the stiffness matrix, engineering properties, and stresses and strains.
A list of shareware and commercial programs is available on the Internet (Ref. 5). Some of the more popular programs used for laminate analysis include:

- CompositePro
- ESAComp
- HyperSizer
- Laminator
- V-Lab

Although the programs handle all of the mathematics, it is important to at least understand the basic stress-strain relation for a laminate. The following discussion presents an overview of laminate theory;


Figure C. 5 Variation of strain, modulus, and stress in a laminate subjected to bending
more complete coverage can be found in any textbook on composite analysis, such as Daniel and Ishai (Ref. 1).
A general laminate can be subjected to both membrane (in-plane) and bending loads. CLPT assumes that the resulting strains and curvatures are uniform throughout the laminate. Because the plies are oriented in various directions, though, stresses are continuous only within the individual plies. Figure C. 5 shows the variation in strain, modulus, and stress for a laminate subjected to a bending load. The strain variation is linear, as with any isotropic material. Because the ply moduli are discontinuous, however, ply stresses are also discontinuous. Stresses vary linearly within each ply, but are discontinuous at the ply boundaries.

Because the stresses are discontinuous, it is easier to define applied loads in terms of averaged stresses, or stress resultants. An element of a laminate can have up to six applied stress resultants, as shown in Figure C.6: three in-plane resultants $N_{i}$, and three bending resultants $M_{i}$. For an isotropic material, the resultants are simply the applied


Figure C. 6 Force and moment resultants
stress or stress couple multiplied by the thickness. For laminated composites, the resultants are found by integrating the ply stresses through the thickness of the laminate. As with the stiffness properties, the CLPT computer programs handle the necessary integrations.

Although software makes it unnecessary to work directly with the stiffness matrices, it is important to understand what the different values mean. The general form of the laminate stress-strain relation is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
N_{x}  \tag{C.2}\\
N_{y} \\
N_{x y} \\
\hline M_{x} \\
M_{y} \\
M_{x y}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll|lll}
A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} & B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} & B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} \\
A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} & B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} \\
\hline B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{16} & D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & B_{26} & D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
B_{16} & B_{26} & B_{66} & D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{x}^{0} \\
\varepsilon_{y}^{0} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{0} \\
\hline \kappa_{x} \\
\kappa_{y} \\
\kappa_{x y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

which can be written more succinctly as

$$
\begin{align*}
{[N] } & =[A]\left[\varepsilon^{0}\right]+[B][\kappa] \\
{[M] } & =[B]\left[\varepsilon^{0}\right]+[D][\kappa] \tag{C.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The matrices $[N]$ and $[M]$ are the applied membrane and bending loads, expressed as stress resultants. $\left[\varepsilon^{0}\right]$ are the in-plane strains; the supercript 0 indicates the strains are referenced to the laminate midplane. $[\kappa]$ are the laminate curvatures. $[A],[B]$, and $[D]$ are the matrix forms of the laminate stiffnesses. The matrix $[A]$ relates inplane loads to in-plane strains, and the matrix [D] relates bending loads to curvatures.
$[B]$ is known as the membrane-bending coupling matrix. It shows that, under the right conditions, a purely in-plane load can cause the laminate to warp, or a pure bending moment can cause the laminate to stretch. This can be seen by looking at one of the six stress-strain equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x}=A_{11} \varepsilon_{x}^{0}+A_{12} \varepsilon_{y}^{0}+A_{16} \gamma_{x y}^{0}+B_{11} \kappa_{x}+B_{12} \kappa_{y}+B_{16} \kappa_{x y} \tag{C.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $B_{11}$ is non-zero, then pulling on the laminate in the $x$-direction also causes it to warp about the $y$-axis. This is the same effect that causes bimetallic beams (Sec. 8.2) to warp, and in fact the laminate stressstrain relation will reduce to the bimetallic beam solution if all of the plies are made from isotropic materials. Such coupling between inplane and bending behavior is often undesirable. Fortunately, it is a simple matter to design a laminate where all $[B]$ terms are equal to zero.

Laminates are described by their stacking sequence. This lists the orientations of each ply in the stack, beginning with the top ply. If all plies are of the same material and thickness, then no further notation is needed. Numerical subscripts refer to the number of times a ply orientation is repeated. Superscripts may be used to denote different materials and thicknesses. For example, a [ $\left.0_{2} / 90\right]$ laminate consists of three plies: two $0^{\circ}$ plies, followed by one $90^{\circ}$ ply. Often, the subscript " $T$ " is used to denote "total." Thus, the previous laminate might be written as $\left[0_{2} / 90\right]_{T}$.
A laminate is called symmetric if, for each ply on one side of the laminate midplane, there is a corresponding ply on the other side of the midplane at the same distance and of the same material, thickness and orientation. The subscript " $S$ " is used to denote symmetry. The laminate in Figure C. 7 can be written as [0/90/30/30/90/0], or more succinctly as $[0 / 90 / 30]_{S}$.

The matrix $[B]$ is identically zero for all symmetric laminates. This greatly reduces the stress-strain relations by uncoupling the membrane and bending terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[N]=[A]\left[\varepsilon^{0}\right]}  \tag{C.5}\\
& {[M]=[D][\kappa]}
\end{align*}
$$

In a general symmetric laminate, the $A_{12}, A_{26}, D_{16}$, and $D_{26}$ terms are nonzero. This means that there is coupling between extensional stresses and shear strains, and between bending and twisting. Once again, the $N_{x}$ equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x}=A_{11} \varepsilon_{x}^{0}+A_{12} \varepsilon_{y}^{0}+A_{16} \gamma_{x y}^{0} \tag{C.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $A_{16}$ term shows that an extensional load is related to shear strain.

The $A_{16}$ shear coupling terms can be made to vanish by requiring that the laminate consist only of plies oriented at $0^{\circ}$ and $90^{\circ}$, or that all

| 0 |
| ---: |
| 90 |
| 30 |
| 30 |
| 90 |
| 0 |

Figure C. 7 General symmetric laminate with a stacking sequence for a $[0 / 90 / 30]_{S}$ laminate
angle plies be balanced. Angle plies are balanced when, for each ply at $+\theta^{\circ}$, there is a corresponding ply at $-\theta^{\circ}$. If the laminate is both balanced and symmetric, then all coupling terms are zero, and the stress-strain relation reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
N_{x} \\
N_{y} \\
N_{x y}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{11} & A_{12} & 0 \\
A_{12} & A_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & A_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{x}^{0} \\
\varepsilon_{y}^{0} \\
\gamma_{x y}^{0}
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
M_{x} \\
M_{y} \\
M_{x y}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{11} & D_{12} & 0 \\
D_{12} & D_{22} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & D_{66}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\kappa_{x} \\
\kappa_{y} \\
\kappa_{x y}
\end{array}\right]} \tag{C.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure C. 8 shows the stacking sequence for a $[0 / \pm 30 / 90 / \pm 45]_{T}$ balanced laminate; Figure C. 9 shows the stacking sequence for a $[0 / \pm 30]_{S}$ balanced-symmetric laminate.

For balanced-symmetric laminates, it is also possible to calculate effective engineering properties. They are

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{x} & =\frac{1}{h}\left[A_{11}-\frac{A_{12}^{2}}{A_{22}}\right] \\
E_{y} & =\frac{1}{h}\left[A_{22}-\frac{A_{12}^{2}}{A_{11}}\right]  \tag{C.8}\\
v_{x y} & =\frac{A_{12}}{A_{22}} \\
G_{x y} & =\frac{A_{66}}{h}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h$ is the total laminate thickness.
There are several other types of special laminates, but the one of most interest is the quasi-isotropic laminate. Quasi-isotropic laminates are balanced-symmetric, and the ply angles are such that the laminate stiffness properties are independent of direction. In other

| 0 |
| ---: |
| 30 |
| -30 |
| 90 |
| 45 |
| -45 |

Figure C. $8 \quad[0 / \pm 30 / 90 / \pm 45]_{T}$ balanced laminate

| 0 |
| ---: |
| 30 |
| -30 |
| -30 |
| 30 |
| 0 |

Figure C. $9 \quad[0 / \pm 30]_{S}$ balanced-symmetric laminate
words, the laminate behaves like an isotropic material. (Strength and bending stiffness however, still vary with direction - hence the term quasi-isotropic.)

Quasi-isotropic laminates are of the form (angles are in radians)

$$
\left[0 / \frac{\pi}{n} / \frac{2 \pi}{n} / \cdots / \frac{(n-1) \pi}{n}\right]_{S}
$$

or

$$
\left[\frac{\pi}{n} / \frac{2 \pi}{n} / \cdots / \pi\right]_{S}
$$

where $n$ is any integer greater than 2 . The two simplest and most common quasi-isotropic laminates are $[0 / \pm 60]_{S}$ and $[0 / \pm 45 / 90]_{S}$, illustrated in Figure C.10(a) and (b), respectively.

|  | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 45 |
| 0 | -45 |
| 60 | 90 |
| -60 | 90 |
| -60 | -45 |
| 60 | 45 |
| 0 | 0 |
| (a) | (b) |

Figure C. 10 Stacking sequences for the two simplest quasi- isotropic laminates

## C. 3 Laminated Composite Structures

Classical Laminate Plate Theory describes the behavior of laminated plate elements under in-plane and bending loads. The solution applies only to plate elements that are in load equilibrium - it does not account for the geometry of the plate or the boundary conditions.
In general, analytical solutions for composite structures are much more difficult to derive than for isotropic structures. Solutions for beams (Refs. 2 and 3), plates (Refs. 2 and 4), and shells (Ref. 2) can be found if the loads, geometry and boundary conditions remain simple. Beam solutions are the simplest and are illustrated in the two examples below.

## Bending of Composite Beams

If a composite plate meets the geometric definition of a beam, namely that the width and height are much smaller than the length, then the assumptions of standard beam theory can be used. An equivalent modulus $E^{*}$ is derived for composite beams under pure bending. The equivalent modulus is then used in conjunction with Table 8.1 of Chap. 8 to provide an approximate solution for the bending of laminated rectangular beams. The solution ignores transverse shear deformation, which could be significant, so results should be used for initial sizing only.

In addition to the standard beam theory assumptions, the following requirements also apply:

1. The beam is of rectangular cross-section with width $b$ and height $h$.
2. Plies lie in the $x-z$ or $1-2$ plane.
3. The shear coupling terms ()$_{16}$ and ( $)_{26}$ of the matrices $[A],[B]$, and [D] are zero.

The equivalent modulus is found by applying the beam theory assumptions to the constitutive equations, Eq. (C.2), which gives a simple expression for the moment resultant $M_{x}$ in terms of the curvature $\kappa_{x}$ (Refs. 2 and 3). This expression can then be substituted into the standard beam bending expression, $(E I) \kappa_{x}=M$ to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{*}=\left(D_{11}-\frac{B_{11}^{2}}{A_{11}}\right) \frac{12}{h^{3}} \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the lay-up is symmetric about the mid-plane, then $B_{11}=0$, and the equivalent modulus reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{*}=D_{11} \frac{12}{h^{3}} \tag{C.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stresses are calculated on a ply-by-ply basis. In general, only the stresses along the beam axis will be of interest. The stress in ply $k$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sigma_{x}\right]_{k}=z\left[\bar{Q}_{11}\right]_{k} \kappa_{x} \tag{C.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{x}=M\left[\left(D_{11}-\frac{B_{11}^{2}}{A_{11}}\right) b\right]^{-1} \tag{C.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\bar{Q}$ is the transformed stiffness matrix of ply $k$. The components of $\bar{Q}$ are a function of the ply material properties and the orientation angle. $\bar{Q}$ is found while calculating the matrices $[A],[B]$, and $[D]$, and is usually output by whatever software package is being used.

## EXAMPLE

A composite beam is made from T300/976 graphite epoxy with a $\left[0_{3} / \pm 45\right]_{4 T}$ layup. It is 10 in . long, 0.5 in wide, cantilevered at the right end, and subjected to a concentrated load of 5 lb at the left end. It is desired to find the maximum deflection and the stresses at the mid-plane of the top five plies.

## Solution

From Table C.1, the ply properties are $E_{1}=19.6 \mathrm{Msi}, E_{2}=1.34 \mathrm{Msi}$, $G_{12}=0.91 \mathrm{Msi}$, and $v_{12}=0.318$. Normalized ply thickness is 0.005 in , for a total laminate thickness of 0.100 in .

Using a standard software package, the constitutive properties are found to be $A_{11}=1.440 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}, B_{11}=-4.002 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{lb}$ and $D_{11}=1.193 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{in}$. These values give an equivalent modulus of $E^{*} I=590.94 \mathrm{lb}^{-\mathrm{in}^{2}}$. Table 8.1 of Chap. 8, Case 1a, then gives -0.705 in as the tip deflection and $-50 \mathrm{in}-\mathrm{lb}$ as the moment.

The transformed stiffness properties, again from a standard software package, are $\left[\bar{Q}_{11}\right]^{0}=1.974 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{psi}$ and $\left[\bar{Q}_{11}\right]^{ \pm 45}=6.396 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{psi}$. The curvature is $\kappa_{x}=-0.085 \mathrm{in}^{-1}$, giving the following for the ply stresses:

| Ply | $z$ (in) | $\sigma_{11}(\mathrm{ksi})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | -0.0475 | 79.34 |
| 2 | -0.0425 | 70.98 |
| 3 | -0.0375 | 62.63 |
| 4 | -0.0325 | 17.59 |
| 5 | -0.0275 | 14.88 |

The stresses $\sigma_{11}$ are in the laminate coordinate system. Because plies 4 and 5 are oriented at $+45^{\circ}$ and $-45^{\circ}$, the $\sigma_{11}$ stresses in the ply coordinate system have a shear component. Because the shear strength of composites is much lower than the tensile strength, this laminate would have to be checked for failure by comparing the resulting value of $\sigma_{11}$ in plies 4 and 5 with the ultimate shear strength of T300/976.

## Axial Tension or Compression of Composite Beams

The solution for beams under pure axial load is similar to beams under bending. Instead of an equivalent bending stiffness $E^{*} I$, an equivalent axial stiffness $(E A)^{*}$ is found. Again, the standard beam assumptions apply, with the following additional restrictions:

1. Plies lie in the $x-z$ or $1-2$ plane.
2. The shear coupling terms ( $)_{16}$ and ()$_{26}=0$.

3 . The laminate is balanced-symmetric $([B]=[0])$.
The requirement for a rectangular cross-section has been relaxed, but the laminate is now restricted to balanced symmetric lay-ups. Without that assumption, the membrane-bending coupling matrix $[B]$ is nonzero, and pure axial loads cause bending in the beam.

The process for calculating the equivalent beam stiffness is similar to the process for calculating beam bending stiffness (Refs. 3 and 4). The constitutive equations (C.2) are again simplified using the beam theory assumptions, and the standard beam equation $(E A) \varepsilon_{x}^{0}=P$ is solved using the laminate properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(E A)^{*}=b^{*} A_{11} \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b^{*}$ is the equivalent width of the cross-section. For a rectangular beam, $b^{*}=b$, the width of the cross-section. For a thin-walled circular cross section, $b^{*}=\pi D$, the circumference of the cross section. If the beam is built up from a series of uniform cross sections (such as a box beam or an I-beam), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(E A)^{*}=\sum b^{*} A_{11} \tag{C.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product $b^{*} A_{11}$ is summed over each cross-section.
Ply stresses are calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\sigma_{x}\right]_{k}=\left[\bar{Q}_{11}\right]_{k} \varepsilon_{x}^{0} \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{x}^{0}=\frac{P}{(E A)^{*}} \tag{C.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## EXAMPLE

A composite I-beam is made from T300/976 graphite epoxy with a $[0 / \pm 30]_{n S}$ lay-up (where $n=5$ for each flange and $n=3$ for the web). The flanges are 1 in wide and 0.15 in thick; the web is 2 in tall and 0.09 in thick. The length of the beam is 20 in . An axial tensile load of 1000 lb is applied to the beam. It is desired to find the axial deflection and the stresses in the plies.

Ply properties are the same as in the previous example. The constitutive properties are calculated using a standard software package, and are found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[A_{11}\right]_{\text {flange }} } & =2.190 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in} \\
{\left[A_{11}\right]_{\text {web }} } & =1.314 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equivalent stiffness is

$$
\begin{aligned}
(E A)^{*}= & \sum b A_{11}=2\left[b A_{11}\right]_{\text {flange }}+\left[b A_{11}\right]_{\mathrm{web}}=2\left[(1)\left(2.190 \times 10^{6}\right)\right] \\
& \left.+\left[(2)(1.314) \times 10^{6}\right)\right] \\
= & 7.008 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{lb}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (7.1-3) of Chap. 7 then gives the total beam elongation as

$$
\delta=P l /(E A)^{*}=(1000)(20) /\left(7.008 \times 10^{6}\right)=2.854 \times 10^{-3} \text { in }
$$

The transformed stiffness properties, once again from the software package, are $\left[\bar{Q}_{11}\right]^{0}=1.974 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{psi}$ and $\left[\bar{Q}_{11}\right]^{ \pm 30}=1.203 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{psi}$. The strain in the beam is simply $\varepsilon_{x}^{0}=\delta / l=1.427 \times 10^{-4}$, giving

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\sigma_{x}\right]^{0} } & =2817 \mathrm{psi} \\
{\left[\sigma_{x}\right]^{30} } & =1717 \mathrm{psi}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Crack initiation, 47
Crack propagation, 47
Creep, 40, 815
Criteria of failure, 41-46
Critical slenderness ratio, 526
Curve, elastic, 127, 816
Curved beams, 267-380
helical springs, 398
loaded normal to the plane of curvature:
closed circular rings, 297, 298
compact cross sections, 297
moments and deformations of, table, 350-378
flanged sections, 302
loaded in the plane of curvature, 267296
circular arches, 348-350
moments and deformations of, table, 333-349
circular rings, 285-290
moments and deformations of, table, 313-332
deflection of, 275-285
with large radius of curvature, 275
with small radius of curvature, 278
with variable cross section, 283
with variable radius, 283
distortion of tubular sections, 277

Curved beams (Cont.):
elliptical rings, 295
neutral axis of, table, 304-312
normal stress, 267
radial stress, 271
shear stress, 270
U-shaped members, 275, 794
with wide flanges, 273
Cyclic plastic flow, 47
Cylinder:
hollow, temperature stresses in, 761, 762
thin-walled, concentrated load on, 636, 637
solid, temperature stresses in, 763
Cylindrical rollers:
allowable loads on, 691
deformations and stresses, table, 703
tests on, 691
Cylindrical vessels:
thick, stresses in, 587-589
table, 683-685
thin:
bending stresses in, 557-564
table,601-607
under external pressure, 585, 716
table, 736
membrane stresses in, 554
table, 592, 593
on supports at intervals, 589-591

Damage law, linear, 51
Damaging stress, 815
Damping capacity, 815
in vibrations, 754
Deep beams, 166-169
deflections of, 167
stresses in, 168
Deflections:
of beams (see Beams, deflection of)
of diaphragms, 448, 450
of plates (see Circular plates; Flat plates)
of trusses, 116-119
Deformation, 815
lateral, 110
torsional, table, 401-412
Diagonal tension:
field beam, 176
in thin webs, 175-177
Diaphragm, flexible, 448, 450
Diaphragm stresses (see Membrane stresses)
Differential transformer, linear, 84

Diffraction grating strain gage, 84
Dimensional analysis, 67
Directional cosines, 17
Discontinuity stresses in shells, 572 table, 638-682
Disk:
bimetallic, 436
dynamic stresses in rotating, 745-750
temperature stresses in, 760
Disk spring, 443, 444
Dynamic loading, 36, 743-758
Dynamic stress, 744-758

Eccentric loading:
on columns, 537-540
defined, 815
on prisms, 544-547
on riveted joints, 697
Eccentric ratio for columns, 527
Effective length of columns, 526
Effective width:
of thin curved plates in compression, 543
of thin flat plates in compression, 542
of wide beams, 170
of wide flanges, 173
Elastic axis, 816
Elastic center, 816
Elastic curve, 127, 816
Elastic deformation, 815
Elastic failure, 41-46
Elastic foundations:
beams on, 147, 148
table, 211-224
plates on, 439
Elastic limit, 816
apparent, 813
Elastic ratio, 816
Elastic stability, 58, 709-742
tables, 718-738
Elastic strain energy, 823
Elastic stress, factors of stress
concentration for, table, 781-794
Elasticity, 37
modulus of, 819
Electrical analogy for isopachic lines, 101
Electrical resistance strain gage, 83, 87100
Electrical strain gages, 83
Ellipsoid:
of strain, 816
of stress, 816
Elliptic cylinders, 555

Elliptical plates:
large deflections for, 451
table, 499
Elliptical rings, 295
Elliptical section in torsion, table, 401, 404
Embedded photoelastic model, 85
Endurance limit, 47, 816
Endurance ratio, 817
Endurance strength, 817
Energy:
losses in impact, 756
of rupture, 817
strain (see Strain energy)
Equation of three moments, 140
Equations of motion and equilibrium, 63
Equivalent bending moment, 817
Equivalent eccentricity for columns, 527
Equivalent radius for concentrated loads on plates, 428
Equivalent twisting moment, 817
Euler's column formula, 526
Experimental methods of stress determination, 81-106
Extensometers, 82
Factor:
form, 54, 166, 181, 818
of safety, 817
of strain concentration, 823
of strength reduction, 53
of stress concentration, 53, 824
elastic, table, 781-794
at rupture, 53
Failure:
criteria of, 41-46
of wood, 45
Fatigue, 36, 46-51, 817
chafing (fretting), 818
corrosion, 48
life gage, 51
limit, 29, 817
strength, 817
Fiber stress, 126
Fillet welds, 700
Finite difference method (FDM), 73
Finite element method (FEM), 73-77
Fixed supports, 817
deformations due to the elasticity of, 152
Flange:
in beams, buckling of, 182, 710
table, 729
in columns, 531
effective width of, 173

Flat plates, 427-524
circular, 428-443, 448-450, 455-501
bimetallic, 436-439
coefficients and formulas for, 428, 429
table, 455-499
under concentrated loading, 428, 439
table, 491-493, 502, 517-519
deflection due to shear of, 433
table, 500, 501
on elastic foundations, 439
with large deflections, 448, 449 table, 449, 450
membrane (diaphragm) stresses in, 448
under nonuniform loading, 439
perforated, 443
stability of, 713
table, 734
thermal stresses in, 484-487, 491
trunnion loading on, 493, 494
ultimate strength of, 453
of variable thickness, 441
elliptical, table, 499
large deflection for, 451
with straight edges, 446
coefficients for, 447
table, 502-520
with large deflections, 451
table, 452
membrane stresses in, 451
plastic analysis of, 451, 453
stability of, 713, 714
table, 730-733
ultimate strength of, 453, 454
of variable thickness, 447
Flexural center, 177-179, 817
table, 258, 259
Flow, 40, 815
Flow analogy, 776
Forces, inertia, 743
Form, effect on strength of, 54
Form factor, 54, 166, 181, 818
Formulas, use of, 69, 70
Fracture, brittle, 51, 52
Frames (see Rigid frames)
Fretting fatigue, 818

Gear teeth, 168, 169, 699
contact stresses in, 699
Goodman diagram, 49
Guided boundaries, 818
Gyration, radius of, 800, 801, 822
table, 802-812

Haigh diagram, 50
Held boundaries, 818
Helical springs, 398
Hemispherical shells, 565
table, 608-611
Hollow cylinders:
thick-walled, 699
temperature stresses in, 762, 763
thin-walled (see Cylindrical vessels, thin)
Hollow pins, 699
Hollow rollers, 699
Hollow sphere, temperature stresses in, 763
Holographic interferometry, 86
Hooke's law, 37
Hoop, rotating, stresses in, 332, 745
Hoop stresses (see Shells)
Hyperbolic paraboloid, 555
Hysteresis, mechanical, 818

Impact loading, 36, 752-758
Impact stresses, remarks on, 758
Inductance strain gage, 84
Inertia:
moment of (see Moment, of inertia)
product of, 800, 821
table, 802-812
rotary, 755
Inertia forces, 743
Influence line, 819
Initial curvature of columns, 537
Initial stress, 56-58
Interferometric strain gage, 84
Interferometry, 84-87
holographic, 86
laser speckle, 86
moire, 86
shadow optical method of caustics, 87
Isoclinic lines, 819
Isopachic lines, electrical analogy for, 101
Isostatic, 824
Isotropic, 819

Joints:
riveted, 695-698
welded, 700

Kern, 8, 505
shape of, table, 548-551
Keys, shear in, 700

Lacing bars, 534, 535
Laminated composite materials, 829
properties, table, 830
Laminated composite structures, 837
axially loaded, 839
in bending, 837
Large deflection of plates, 448-451
circular, 448
table, 449, 450
elliptical, 451
parallelogram, 451
rectangular, 451
table, 452
Lateral buckling of beams, 710
table, 728, 729
Lateral deformation, 110
Latticed columns, 534-537
local buckling of, 535-537
Least work, principle of, 66, 117
Limit:
elastic, 816
endurance, 47, 816
proportional, 821
Linear damage law, 51
Linear differential transformer, 84
Loading:
dynamic, 36, 743-758
eccentric (see Eccentric loading)
impact, $36,752-758$
methods of, 35
Loads:
concentrated (see Concentrated loads)
unit, method of, 65, 116
Local buckling, 529-535
of attached plates, 534
of lacing bars, 534
of latticed columns, 534
of outstanding flanges, 531
of thin cylindrical tubes, 534
of thin plates with stiffeners, 542-544
of thin webs, 181, 533
Longitudinal stress:
due to torsion, 389
and strain, 110
Lüders lines (slip lines), 823
Margin of safety, 819
Material properties, table, 33
Maximum-distortional-energy theory, 42, 43
Maximum-octahedral-shear-stress theory, 42-43
Maximum-principal-strain theory, 42
Maximum-principal-stress theory, 42

Maximum-shear-stress theory, 42, 44
Mean stress, 49
Measurement of strain, 62-87
Mechanical hysteresis, 9
Mechanical lever extensometer, 82
Membrane analogy for torsion, 100
Membrane stresses:
in flat plates, 448
in pressure vessels, $554,555,557$
table, 592-600
Meridian in shells, 553
Methods:
analytical, 63-71
experimental, 81-106
numerical, 73-79
Mode shapes:
in vibrating beams, 754
table, 765, 766
in vibrating plates, 755
table, 767, 768
Modulus:
bulk, 814
formula for, 122
of elasticity, 15,819
of resilience, 820
of rigidity (shear modulus), 16,820
of rupture:
in bending, 179, 820
in torsion, 398, 820
section, 129, 822
Moire techniques, 86
Moment:
of area, 820
bending (see Bending moment)
of inertia:
of an area, 799-801, 820
table, 802-812
of composite sections, 137
polar, 381, 800, 821
equivalent constant, 383
tables, 401-416
principal, 800, 821
Motion, equations of, 63
Moving loads on beam, 754
Multielement shells of revolution, 572-585
stresses and deformations, table, 638-682
Multilayer vessel, 587

Narrow ring under distributed torque, 444
Neuber's formula, 774
Neutral axis, 127, 820

Neutral axis (Cont.):
of beams loaded in plane of symmetry (centroidal axis), table, 802-812
of beams not loaded in plane of symmetry, 177
of curved beams, table, 304-312
of prisms eccentrically loaded, table, 548-551
Neutral surface, 125, 820
Notch sensitivity, 53
Notch-sensitivity ratio, 820
Numerical methods, 73-79
the boundary element method, 77
the finite difference method, 73
the finite element method, 74

Ogival shells, 554
Omega joint, 634
Optical-lever extensometer, 82
Optical remote sensing, 82
Orthotropic plates, 453

Parabolic formula for columns, 528
Parallel-axes theorem, 800
Parallelogram plates:
bending of, 447
table, 518, 519
buckling of, 714, 733
large deflection of, 451
Perforated plates, 443
Photoelastic analysis:
three-dimensional, 85
scattered light, 85
by stress freezing, 85
two-dimensional, 84
Photoelastic coatings, 85, 86
Pins, 698
hollow, 699
Pipes, 553
circumferential bending in, table, 327, 331
supported at intervals, 589-591
Plane area, properties of, 799-801
table, 802-812
Plastic analysis:
beams, 184-188
section modulus for, $180,185,801,821$
shape factor for, 181
table of collapse loads and plastic hinge locations, 260-263
plates, 451, 453
Plastic flow, 40, 815
Plastic moment, 180, 821
Plasticity, 39, 821

Plastics:
creep of, 40
used in photoelasticity, 85
Plate girders, stiffeners for, 182
Plates (see Flat plates; Large deflection of plates)
Poisson's ratio, 15, 121, 821
Polar moment of inertia, 381, 800, 821
equivalent constant, 383
tables, 401-416
Pressure, collapsing, for tubes, 585
table, 736
Pressure vessels (see Shells)
Prestressing, 56-58
Principal axes of inertia, 800, 821
Principal axes of stress, 25, 28, 821
Principal moments of inertia, 800, 821
Principal stresses, 24-29, 821
table, 34
Principle:
of least work, 66, 117
of reciprocal deflections, 64
of superposition, 64, 154
Principles and analytical methods, 63-71
Prisms under eccentric loading, 544-547
table, 548-551
Product of inertia, 800, 821
table, 802-812
Proof stress, 821
Proportional limit, 38, 821
Proportionality of stress and strain, 37
Pure shear, 119
Quasi-static loading, 36
Quick static loading, 36
Radius:
of curvature of beams, 127
of gyration, $800,801,822$
table, 802-812
Range of stress, 48
Rankine's column formula, 527
Ratio, elastic, 816
Reciprocal deflections, principle of, 64
Rectangular plates (see Flat plates)
Reducing stress concentrations, 776
Reduction of area, 822
Redundant members, 117
Relative amplification factor, 754
Relaxation, 40, 815
Repeated loading, 36
Repeated stress (see Fatigue)
Residual stress, 50

Resilience (see Strain energy)
Resonant frequencies, 755
table, 765-768
Rigid frames:
circular (see Circular arches)
rectangular, 141-147
table, 202-210
Rigidity, modulus of, 16, 121, 820
Rings:
circular (see Circular rings)
elliptical, 295
Riveted joints, 695-698
eccentrically loaded, 697
Rivets, shear in, 695
Rollers:
hollow, 699
solid, 689
table, 703
Rotary inertia, 755
Rotating bar, 744, 745
Rotating disk, 745-750
Rotating hoop, 332, 745
Rupture:
criterion for, 41-46
energy of, 817
modulus of (see Modulus, of rupture)
Rupture factor, 45, 54, 822
Safety:
factor of, 817
margin of, 819
Sandwich plates, buckling of, 714
Scattered light photoelasticity, 85
Scratch strain gage, 83
Screw threads, 168, 701
Secant column formula, 529
Secondary principal stress differences, 85
Section modulus:
elastic, 129, 822
plastic, 180, 185, 801, 821
table, 802-812
Set, 822
Shadow moire, 86
Shafts (see Torsion)
Shakedown load, 822
Shape factor, 822
table, 802-812
Shear:
in beams, 129, 130, 165-167
in columns, 535
in flat plates, 433
deflection due to, table, 500, 501
in keys, 700
on oblique section, 21, 24

Shear (Cont.):
pure, 119
in rivets, 695
in shafts (see Torsion)
Shear center (see Flexural center)
Shear lag, 173, 175, 823
effect of, on compression flange instability, 175
Shear stresses, maximum, 29
Shells, 553-688
conical (see Conical shells)
spherical (see Spherical vessels)
thick-walled, 587-589
stresses and deformations, table, 683-685
thin-walled, 553-587
bending stresses in, cylindrical, table, 601-607
of revolution, table, 608-637
under external pressure, 585, 586
membrane stresses in, table, 592-600
stability of, table, 734-738
toroidal, 567, 600, 634, 635
Simply supported (condition of support), 823
Singularity function, 131, 429, 823
Skew plate (see Parallelogram plates)
Slenderness ratio, 526, 823
Slip lines, 100, 823
Slotted beams, 165
S-N curve, 47
S-N fatigue life gage, 51
Soap-film analogy, 100
Southwell plot, 711
Sphere:
hollow, temperature, stresses in, 763
solid, temperature stresses in, 763
Spherical vessels:
thick, stresses in, 587, 685
bursting pressure for, 588
thin:
bending stresses in, 565
table, 608-611
membrane stresses in, 554
table, 597, 598
stability of, 716, 737
Springs:
disk, 443, 444
helical, 398
Squirming instability of bellows and
cylinders, 585, 717
Stability, elastic, 58, 709-742
tables, 718-738
Static loading:

Static loading (Cont.):
short time, 35
long time, 36
Steel, fatigue properties, 47
Step function, 131
Stiffeners:
for plate girders, 182
for thin plates in compression, 542-544
Straight beams (see Beams)
Straight-line column formula, 528
Strain, 823
axial, 109
compatibility, 64
due to weight, 112
ellipsoid of, 816
lateral, 109
measurement of, 82-87
normal:
plane, 15
three-dimensional, 15
proportionality of, 37
shear, 16,120
transformations, 32
Strain concentration factor, 823
Strain energy, 823
of bar axially stressed, 110
of flexure, 127
methods involving, 65-67
of torsion, 382
Strain gage configurations, 90
Strain gage corrections, 95
tables, 104, 105
Strain gage factor, 88
Strain gage rosettes, 90-94
tables, 102-105
Strain gages, 83, 84, 87-100
Strain rosette, 823
Strength:
effect of form on, 54
ultimate (see Ultimate strength)
Stress, 824
allowable, 813
alternating, 49
apparent, 813
axial, 110
behavior of bodies under, 35-61
combined, 121
damaging, 815
due to pressure between elastic bodies, 689-695
due to weight, 112
dynamic, 743-758
ellipsoid of, 816
fiber, 125,126

Stress (Cont.):
impact, remarks on, 758
longitudinal, 110
due to torsion, 389
membrane (see Membrane stresses)
on oblique section:
plane, 24
three-dimensional, 21
principal, 24-29, 821
table, 34
proportionality of, 37
repeated (see Fatigue)
temperature, 758-764
torsional deformation and, table, 401412
transformations, 17-32
working, 813
Stress concentration, 52-54, 771-797
factors of, 52, 53, 773, 824
in fatigue, 35, 54
table, 781-794
reduction methods, 776
Stress determination, experimental methods of, 81-105
Stress freezing, 85
Stress history, 50
Stress raisers, 52, 771, 824
Stress trajectory, 824
Stress-transmission velocity, 752
Struts (see Columns)
Sudden loading, 37, 753, 755
Superposition, principle of, 64, 154
Surface, neutral, 125, 820
Surface conditions, effect on fatigue, 48
Temperature effect on metals, 49, 51
Temperature stresses, 758-764
due to external constraint, 759
due to internal constraint, 760
Theorem:
of least work, 66, 117
of minimum energy, 66
of minimum resilience, 66
of three moments, 140
Theories of failure:
Coulomb-Mohr, 45
maximum-distortion-energy, 42, 43
maximum-octahedral-shear-stress, 42-43
maximum-principal-strain, 42
maximum-principal-stress, 42
maximum-shear-stress, 42,44
Thick-walled cylinder, 587
table, 683-685

Thick-walled sphere, table, 685
Thin plates (see Flat plates)
Thin-walled open cross section, torsion of, 389
tables, 413-425
Thin-walled shells (see Shells, thinwalled)
Thin webs, 175-177
local buckling of, 182
Thread-load concentration factor, 701
Three-moment equation, 140
Toroidal shells, 567, 600, 634, 635
buckling of, 716
Torsion, 381-426
analogies for, 100
bending due to, 389
buckling due to, 727
of circular bars, 381
of curved bars, 398
effect on:
of end constraint, 389
of initial twist of thin strip, 397
of longitudinal stresses, 396, 397
of multicelled structures, 384
of noncircular uniform section, 382-389 table, 401-412
strain energy of, 382
of thin-walled open cross section, 389 tables, 413-425
ultimate strength in, 397
warping restraint, 389
constants for, table, 413-416
Torsional center, 824
Torsional deformation, table, 401-412
Torus, stresses in, table, 600, 634
Transformation equations, 17-32
table, 34
Transformation matrices, 18
table, 33
Transformations:
strain, 32
stress, 17-32
Transition temperature, 51
Trapezoidal plates, 447, 451, 518
Triangular plates:
buckling of, table, 733
stresses and deformations, 447
table, 519, 520
Triaxial stress, 121
effect on brittle fracture, 52
True strain, 825
True stress, 825
Trusses:
deflection of, 116

Trusses (Cont.):
statically indeterminate, 117
Tubes:
collapsing pressure for, 585
table, 736
as columns, 534
corrugated, 634
instability under internal pressure of, 585, 717
distortion under bending of, 277
elastic stability of, table, 735, 736
Turbine disks, 747-750
Twisting moment, 825

Ultimate elongation, 825
Ultimate strength, 825
in bending, 179
design, plastic, 184-188
of flat plates, 453
in torsion, 397
Understressing, effect on steel, 51
Unit loads, method of, 65, 116
Units, 3
conversions, 5
prefixes, 5
used in structural analysis, 4
Unsymmetrical bending, 177
Useful limit point, 813
Velocity of stress transmission, 752
Vertical shear, 127

Vessels:
conical (see Conical shells)
cylindrical (see Cylindrical vessels)
pressure (see Shells)
spherical (see Spherical vessels)
toroidal (see Toroidal shells)
Vibration, 754
frequency of, table, 765-768
Viscous creep, 40, 815

Wagner beam, 176
Web, buckling of:
in beams, 181
in columns, 533
Weight, stress and strain due to, 112
Welded joints, 700
Wide beams, 169
Wood:
bearing strength of, at angle to grain, 698, 699
elastic properties of, effect of moisture on, 38
failure of, 45, 46
under sustained stress, 41
Working stress, 813

X-ray diffraction, 87

Yield point, 826
Yield strength, 826
Young's modulus, 15, 819


[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ SI and USCU are abbreviations for the International System of Units (from the French Systéme International d'Unités) and the United States Customary Units, respectively.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Standard engineering practice is to use the Greek symbols $\sigma$ and $\tau$ for normal (tensile or compressive) and shear stresses, respectively.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Stresses can only be added as vectors if they exist on a common surface.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Mathematical software packages can be used quite easily to extract the eigenvalues $\left(\sigma_{p}\right)$ and the corresponding eigenvectors ( $l_{p}, m_{p}$, and $n_{p}$ ) of a stress matrix. The reader is urged to explore software such as Mathcad, Matlab, Maple, and Mathematica, etc.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ This method has one potential flaw. If $l_{1}$ is actually zero, then a solution would not result. If this happens, simply repeat the approach letting either $m_{1}$ or $n_{1}$ equal unity.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ A positive rotation about a given axis is counterclockwise about the axis (as viewed from the positive axis direction).

[^6]:    $\dagger$ Plane stress problems are encountered quite often where the principal stresses are found from Eq. (2.3-23), which is

    $$
    \sigma_{p}=\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}}{2}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}}{2}\right)^{2}+\tau_{x y}^{2}}
    $$

    This yields only two of the three principal stresses. The third principal stress for plane stress is zero. Once the three principal stresses are determined, they can be ordered according to $\sigma_{1} \geqslant \sigma_{2} \geqslant \sigma_{3}$ and then Eq. (3.7-8) can be employed.

[^7]:    $\dagger$ By theorem of least work is usually meant only so much of the theorem as is embodied in the first application here described, and so understood it is often referred to as Castigliano's second theorem. But, as originally stated by Castigliano, it had a somewhat different significance. (See his "Théorème de l'équilibre des systèmes élastiques et ses applications," Paris, 1879, or the English translation "Elastic Stresses in Structures," by E. S. Andrews, Scott, Greenwood, London. See also R. V. Southwell, Castigliano's Principle of Minimum Strain-energy, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond., Ser. A, vol. 154, 1936.) The more general theory stated is called theorem of minimum energy by Love (Ref. 1) and theorem of minimum resilience by Morley (Ref. 2).

[^8]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ When using a commercial strain indicator, one must enter the sensitivity provided by the gage manufacturer. This sensitivity is referred to the gage factor of the gage, $S_{g}$. This is defined slightly differently than $S_{a}$, and will be discussed shortly.

[^9]:    $\dagger$ The strain gage readings are typically corrected due to the effect of transverse strains on each gage. This will be discussed shortly.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ When calculating $\theta_{p}$, do not change the signs of the numerator and denominator in the equation. The $\tan ^{-1}$ is defined from $0^{\circ}$ to $360^{\circ}$. For example, $\tan ^{-1}(+/+)$ is in the range $0^{\circ}-90^{\circ}, \tan ^{-1}(+/-)$ is in the range $90^{\circ}-180^{\circ}, \tan ^{-1}(-/-)$ is in the range $180^{\circ}-270^{\circ}$, and $\tan ^{-1}(-/+)$ is in the range $270^{\circ}-360^{\circ}$. Using this definition for $\theta_{p}$, the calculation will yield the counterclockwise angle from the $x$ axis to $\sigma_{p 1}$, the greater of the two principal stresses in the plane of the gages.

[^11]:    $\dagger$ Note that if $v$ for the specimen was different from $v_{0}=0.285$, it would be used in the equations of Table 6.1 but not for Table 6.2.

[^12]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ See Example in Sec. 6.2.

[^13]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ See Example (as applied to a rectangular rosette) in Sec. 6.2.

[^14]:    $\dagger+$ for tension and - for compression.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Note: Since $\tau_{y z}=\tau_{z x}=0, \sigma_{z}$ is one of the principal stresses and the other two can be found from the plane stress equations. Consequently, the other two principal stresses are in the $x y$ plane.

[^16]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Note that the transverse shear stress denoted here is the shear stress due to the vertical transverse force, $V$. The maximum transverse shear stress in a beam is not necessarily the maximum shear stress in the beam. One needs to look at the overall state of stress in light of stress transformations. For long beams, the maximum shear stress is normally due to the maximum fiber stress, and, using Eq. (2.3-25), the maximum shear stress is $\tau_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} M / S$. For this reason, we will denote the maximum transverse shear stress in a beam as $\left(\tau_{\max }\right)_{V}$.

[^17]:    $\dagger$ The actual maximum shear stress for this example is found from a stress transformation of the maximum bending stress. Thus, at the outer fibers of the beam at $x=75$, $\tau_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\max }=\frac{1}{2} 1460=730 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{in}^{2}$.

[^18]:    Note: The use of the bracket $\langle x-\theta\rangle^{0}$ is explained on page 131 and has a value of zero unless $x>\theta$

[^19]:    $\dagger$ Note: Table 11.1 contains numerical values for functions used in Table 11.2

[^20]:    $\dagger$ To obtain a value of either $C_{i}, L_{i}$, or $F_{i}$ for a corresponding value of either $b / a, r_{o} / a$, or $b / r$, respectively, use the tabulated value of $G_{i}$ for the corresponding value of $r_{o} / r$.

[^21]:    By double linear interpolation, the value of $K$ for any eccentricity within the limits of the table may readily be found.

[^22]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms.

[^23]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms

[^24]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms. $\dagger$ If $\alpha_{2}$ approaches 0 , use the correction term from case 1a.

[^25]:    The second subscript is added to refer to the right-hand shell. Evaluate $K$ at the junction where $\omega=0$.

[^26]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q_{1}, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms

[^27]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q$ and $a_{1}$ for $b_{1}$ in the load terms.

[^28]:    * Note: If either conical shell increases in radius away from the junction, substitute $-\alpha$ for $\alpha$ for that cone in all the appropriate formulas above and in those used from case 4 , Table 13.3 .

[^29]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms.

[^30]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q_{1}$ for $q_{1}, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms.

[^31]:    * If the conical shell increases in radius away from the junction, substitute $-\alpha$ for $\alpha$ for the cone in all of the appropriate formulas above and in those used from case 4 , Table 13.3 .

[^32]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q, a_{1}$ for $b_{1}, b_{2}$ for $a_{2}$, and $a_{2}$ for $b_{2}$ in the load terms.

[^33]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q$ for $q$ and $a_{1}$ for $b_{1}$ in the load terms.

[^34]:    * For external pressure, substitute $-q_{1}$ for $q_{1}$ and $a_{1}$ for $b_{1}$ in the load terms.

[^35]:    * The second subscript refers to the left-hand (1) or right-hand (2) shell

[^36]:    $\dagger$ If pressure increases right to left, substitute $-x_{1}$ for $x_{1}$.

[^37]:    *Most of the formulas given here are taken from the papers by Goodier (Refs. 7 and 14), Maulbetsch (Ref. 8). and Kent (Ref. 9).

[^38]:    *See Case 7a of Table 17.1. As $2 a / D \rightarrow 0, K_{t} \rightarrow 3.00$.

[^39]:    *Photoelasticity is discussed at some length in Ref. 60.

[^40]:    *Analysis of composites is simplified if you have access to software specifically developed for this purpose. A free version of software for a limited number of composite materials is available from UTS at the web site, www.uts.com/composites/.

